

Virginia Commonwealth University [VCU Scholars Compass](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fsocialwork_pubs%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

[Social Work Publications](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/socialwork_pubs?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fsocialwork_pubs%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [School of Social Work](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/socialwork?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fsocialwork_pubs%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Publications School of Social Work

2012

Cardiorespiratory Fitness, Alcohol Intake, and Metabolic Syndrome Incidence in Men

Kerem Shuval *University of Texas*

Carrie E. Finley *The Cooper Institute*

Karen G. Chartier *Virginia Commonwealth University*, kgchartier@vcu.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: [http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/socialwork_pubs](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/socialwork_pubs?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fsocialwork_pubs%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Sports Medicine Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1331?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fsocialwork_pubs%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012 Nov;44(11):2125-31 available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182640c4e. This is the author's manuscript which was accepted for publication.

Downloaded from

[http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/socialwork_pubs/5](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/socialwork_pubs/5?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fsocialwork_pubs%2F5&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Social Work at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Social Work Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact [libcompass@vcu.edu.](mailto:libcompass@vcu.edu)

Authors

Kerem Shuval, Carrie E. Finley, Karen G. Chartier, Bijal A. Balasubramanian, Kelley Pettee Gabriel, and Carolyn E. Barlow

NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Med Sci Sports Exerc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2012 November ; 44(11): 2125–2131. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182640c4e.

Cardiorespiratory Fitness, Alcohol Intake, and Metabolic Syndrome Incidence in Men

Kerem Shuval, PhD, MPH1,2, **Carrie E. Finley, MS**3, **Karen G. Chartier, PhD**1, **Bijal A. Balasubramanian, MBBS, PhD**1,2, **Kelley Pettee Gabriel, PhD**1, and **Carolyn E. Barlow, MS***, 1,3

¹University of Texas, Health Science Center at Houston, School of Public Health, Division of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, Texas, USA

²Harold C Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, Texas, USA

³The Cooper Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA

Abstract

Purpose—To prospectively examine the independent and joint effects of alcohol consumption and cardiorespiratory fitness on the incidence of metabolic syndrome in a cohort of men.

Methods—A prospective examination of 3,411 apparently healthy men at baseline, who came to the Cooper Clinic (Dallas, Texas) for at least 2 preventive visits (1979–2010). Primary exposure variables were cardiorespiratory fitness and alcohol intake; the outcome measure was metabolic syndrome (MetS) and the components thereof. Cox proportional hazard models were computed to assess the relationship between the exposure variables and the incidence of MetS while adjusting for confounders.

Results—Over a mean follow-up period of 9 years (SD=7.8), 276 men developed MetS. In multivariable analysis, a dose-response relationship was observed between increased levels of fitness and reduced MetS risk (moderate fitness: HR=0.60, 95%CI 0.43–0.82; high fitness: HR=0.49, 95%CI 0.35–0.69). When examining the independent effects of alcohol, light drinking increased the risk for MetS by 66% (HR=1.66, 95%CI 1.11–2.48). No statistically significant interaction effect was observed between alcohol and fitness in relation to MetS ($P = 0.32$). When assessing the relation between each exposure and the components of MetS, higher fitness consistently reduced the risk of all components; whereas lower alcohol intake reduced the risk of elevated glucose and blood pressure and increased the risk for low HDL-c.

Conclusions—Among this cohort of men, higher fitness levels reduced the risk for MetS and its components. The relation between alcohol intake levels and metabolic risk was more complex and not reflected when examining MetS as a whole.

^{*}Address correspondence to: Carolyn E. Barlow, MS, The Cooper Institute, 12230 Preston Road, Dallas, TX 75230, USA, 972 341 3246, 972 341 3224, bwright@cooperinst.org.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

There is no funding to disclose for this study for any of the authors.

There are no conflicts of interest for any of the authors to declare.

The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.

Keywords

CARDIORESPIRATORY FITNESS; ALCOHOL INTAKE; METABOLIC SYNDROME; COHORT STUDY

> Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is defined by the American Heart Association/ National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute as a clustering of metabolic abnormalities, including elevated plasma glucose, central obesity, dyslipidemia, and hypertension (6, 13). This constellation of metabolic risk factors is associated with increased risk for developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) (16), and once diabetes has developed, the risk for CVD increases even further (13, 25). The age-adjusted prevalence of MetS in the U.S. is 24% in men, with an increased prevalence with age (8). Physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness (fitness) have been linked independently to MetS. Higher levels of physical activity and fitness increase insulin sensitivity, improve endothelial function, and are inversely associated with MetS (12). For example, Ribisl et al., in a cross-sectional study, and Hassinen et al., in a prospective cohort study, found a dose response effect between increased fitness and a decrease in prevalence and incidence of MetS (14, 29). In comparison to the inverse association between fitness and MetS, the direction of the alcohol-MetS relationship has not been consistent; with some studies finding an inverse association while others a positive or null association $(2, 23, 30)$.

> Though the independent effects of fitness and alcohol on MetS have been examined, the combined impact has rarely been investigated. A number of studies have assessed the effects of alcohol intake in conjunction with physical activity on type 2 diabetes and coronary heart disease; finding moderate alcohol intake to be protective against these conditions while controlling for physical activity and other lifestyle factors (e.g. weight and smoking status) (17, 24). These studies, however, have not focused on MetS as an endpoint, and have examined self-report physical activity, which might be subject to recall and information bias (31). The effects of objectively measured fitness, a physiological consequence of physical activity behavior (26), and alcohol on MetS have yet to be investigated. Hence in the current study we investigate the effects of both fitness and alcohol consumption (independently and jointly) on the incidence of MetS in a large cohort of healthy men.

METHODS

Design and Participants

We prospectively examined patients from the Cooper Clinic (Dallas, Texas), who were enrolled in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study (CCLS), to assess the associations between fitness, alcohol intake, and the incidence of MetS. The CCLS, a continuation of the Aerobics Center Longitudinal Study(3) with additional morbidity and mortality data, is an ongoing cohort study aimed primarily at examining the health-promoting effects of physical activity and cardiorespiratory fitness on cardiovascular health and longevity (7). The Cooper Institute's Institutional Review Board approves the CCLS annually; the current study received exempt status from the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston. Participants were included in these analyses if they were adult men, came to the Cooper Clinic for at least 2 preventive service visits between 1979 and 2010, and had complete measurements pertaining to the primary exposure variables (i.e., fitness and alcohol intake), the outcomes (i.e., MetS and its components), and covariates (e.g., smoking status). Of the 17,884 men meeting these inclusion criteria, participants with prevalent or potential underlying diseases were excluded: 11,928 with MetS or at least one of its components; 1,105 with abnormal ECG; 860 reporting a personal history of cancer, myocardial infarction, or stroke; 442 who did not reach 85% of their

maximal heart rate (220-age) on the treadmill test at baseline; and 138 men with <1 year of follow up. These criteria resulted in 3,411 apparently healthy men, aged $20 - 79$ years for analysis, who were primarily non-Hispanic white (>95%) and well-educated.

Primary Exposure Variables

Cardiorespiratory fitness was measured using the modified-Balke protocol (18). In this protocol, the treadmill speed is set at 88 meters/minute for 25 minutes. The treadmill's incline is set at 0% for the first minute, 2% for the second minute, and is followed by 1% increases each minute up to 25 minutes. After the 25-minute juncture, the incline remains constant and the speed is increased by 5.4 meters/minute each minute until the end of the test. From the final treadmill speed and grade, which is highly correlated with maximal oxygen uptake (28), we calculated maximal metabolic equivalents (METs) (1 MET = 3.5 ml O_2 uptake · kg body mass⁻¹ · min⁻¹). Participants were assigned to fitness categories based on their age groups $(20-39, 40-49, 50-59,$ and 60 years) and maximal METs from the treadmill test. Quintiles of METs were determined for each age group. Men in the first quintile within each age group were assigned to the low fitness category. Those in the second and third quintiles were assigned to the moderate fitness category and those in the fourth and fifth to high fitness strata.

Alcohol consumption was measured through responses to a medical history questionnaire, asking participants how many times per week they consumed a 12-ounce (355 ml) beer, a 3 ounce (89 ml) glass of wine, or a 1.5-ounce (44 ml) drink of hard liquor (33). Based on these responses, participants were categorized into 1 of 4 mutually exclusive categories: 1) nondrinkers, if not consuming alcohol; 2) light drinkers ($\overline{3}$ drinks per week); 3) moderate drinkers (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and 4) heavy drinkers (>14 drinks per week) (32, 33).

Primary Outcome Measures

MetS was defined as meeting 3 or more of the following 5 criteria measured during a subsequent clinical examination: 1) elevated waist circumference 102 cm ; 2) triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL; 3) low high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c) <40 mg/dL; 4) blood pressure 130 mm Hg systolic or 85 mm Hg diastolic; and $5)$ fasting glucose 100 mg/dL (13). Trained staff measured blood pressure at the first and fifth Korotkoff sounds using auscultation methods. Serum samples were analyzed for lipids and glucose using automated bioassays based on the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Lipid Standardization Program. Waist circumference was measured at the umbilicus level with a tape measure. In the analysis, MetS was a dichotomous variable (yes/no) and each component of the syndrome (yes/no) was assessed independently using the criteria listed above.

Covariates

The covariates, selected based on the literature (18), were derived from responses to the medical history questionnaire and adjusted for in multivariable analysis. Covariates included age, smoking status (never, former, current), and family history of CVD (yes/no). Additionally, the date (i.e., month and year) of the baseline clinic visit was ascertained for each participant and adjusted for in the analysis. Furthermore, participants' height and weight, measured during the clinic visit, was utilized to calculate body mass index (BMI) using the standard formula ($kg/m²$), which was controlled for in the analysis.

Statistical Analysis

The incidences of MetS and its components for cases were calculated as the follow-up interval between the date of participants' baseline visit (free of MetS and its components) and the date of the next clinical visit in which the criteria for MetS and its components were

met (18). The follow-up period for the non-cases was calculated as the difference between the date of the baseline visit (free of MetS and its components) and the last clinic visit (also free of MetS and its components). Incidence rates (per 10,000) were calculated as the number of cases divided by the person years of exposure in cases and non-cases according to fitness levels and alcohol intake. Cox proportional hazard models were computed to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of MetS incidence according to fitness (low, moderate, high) and alcohol intake (nondrinkers, low, moderate, heavy). Low fitness and heavy alcohol intake, both detrimental to cardiometabolic risk, were utilized as the reference categories. We assessed the proportional hazards assumption using the method of Lin et al. based on cumulative sums of Martingale residuals (21). Four regression models were computed for each exposure separately (i.e., fitness and alcohol) with MetS (yes/no) as the outcome while adjusting for the following covariates: 1) age; 2) age, baseline examination year, smoking status, and family history of CVD; 3) all variables in models 1 and 2 plus either fitness or alcohol intake; and 4) variables in model 3 plus BMI. Effect modification was tested using the cross products of alcohol and fitness as the interaction variable in the regression models. We used the Wald test to examine the linear and quadratric trends across ordered levels of fitness and alcohol. Additionally, we assessed the joint effects of alcohol and fitness on MetS incidence in the same model while controlling for all covariates. We also examined the effects of the exposures (separately) on the incidence of each of the 5 components of MetS, while adjusting for age, examination year, smoking status, BMI, family history of CVD, and either alcohol intake or fitness. P values were two sided with an alpha of 0.05. All statistical analysis was conducted using SAS (version 9.2, SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Of the 3,411 participants followed for a mean duration of 9 years (SD=7.8), 276 developed MetS. At baseline, participants' mean age was 42.3 (SD=8.6) years, they were of normal weight (i.e., mean BMI=24.7, SD=2.3 kg/m²), and 87% were not current smokers. Their estimated oxygen uptake at baseline was 46.2 mL/kg/minute (SD=7.3), and slightly less than half (48%) were moderate drinkers. Baseline characteristics of participants, stratified by drinking levels, are depicted in Table 1. Most notably, men who were heavy drinkers smoked more and had higher total cholesterol and HDL-c levels than light drinkers.

The independent associations between fitness, alcohol intake, and the incidence and risk of developing MetS appear in Table 2. The age-adjusted incidence rate of MetS decreased linearly with the increase in fitness ($P < 0.001$), that is, the incidence rates per 10,000 person years were 142.7 in the low fit, 97.7 in the moderately fit, and 62.9 in the high fit strata. A similar relationship was found in multivariable analysis. There was a 40% reduced risk in the moderately fit as compared to the reference group of the low fit (HR=0.60; 95%CI 0.43– 0.82) and a 51% reduced risk in the high fit strata (HR=0.49, 95%CI 0.35–0.69), while controlling for age, examination year, smoking, BMI, family history of CVD, and alcohol consumption. When examining the association between alcohol and MetS incidence, the age-adjusted incidence rates per 10,000 person years were: 94.2 (non-drinkers), 89.5 (light drinkers), 82.7 (moderate drinkers), and 110.1 (heavy drinkers); however, this U-shaped curve was not statistically significant (curvilinear $P = 0.52$). In multivariable analysis, light drinking in comparison to the reference category of heavy drinking increased the risk for MetS by 66% (HR=1.66; 95%CI 1.11–2.48) after adjusting for fitness, BMI, and other covariates. Additionally, the joints effects of both alcohol intake and fitness on MetS (adjusted for potential confounders) appear in Table 3. The interaction between alcohol intake and fitness in relation to MetS was not statistically significant ($P = 0.32$). Light and non-drinking in the low fitness strata significantly increased the risk for MetS (HR=3.38,

95%CI 1.56–7.28; HR=3.08, 95%CI 1.34–7.09, respectively); in the moderate and high fitness strata these detrimental associations were not found.

In addition to examining the effects of alcohol intake and fitness on MetS, the effect of each of these exposures on the 5 components of MetS was assessed (Table 4). A significant linear relation was found between increased fitness and reduced risk for all metabolic components with the exception of elevated blood pressure. When this relation was examined categorically, both moderate and high fitness (in comparison to low fitness) significantly reduced the risk for elevated waist circumference, elevated levels of triglycerides, and low levels of HDL-c (e.g., for waist circumference: HR=0.57; 95%CI 0.42–0.78; HR=0.42 95%CI 0.29–0.61 in the moderate and high fitness strata, respectively). High fitness significantly reduced the risk for elevated fasting glucose (HR=0.77; 95%CI 0.63–0.95), whereas the association between moderate fitness and glucose (HR=0.83; 95%CI 0.68–1.02) did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.07$). Moderate and high fitness did not significantly reduce the risk for elevated blood pressure.

In comparison to the fitness-MetS relationship, analyses with alcohol intake revealed variability across MetS components in both the direction and the statistical significance of the relationships (Table 4). Thus, significant and positive linear relationships were found between alcohol consumption and risks for elevated blood pressure $(P< 0.01)$ and high glucose levels ($P = 0.04$), whereas for low HDL-c the relationship was significant and negative (i.e., increased alcohol intake reduced the risk, $P < 0.001$). When examining these relationships categorically (Table 4), low levels of alcohol consumption significantly increased the risk for low HDL-c by 71% in comparison to high levels of alcohol intake (HR=1.71, 95%CI 1.15–2.55). No statistically significant associations were found in categorical analysis between alcohol intake, waist circumference, triglycerides, or blood pressure.

DISCUSSION

Current study findings pertaining to the protective effects of fitness against MetS risk are consistent with a large body of research, including a previous study examining this cohort for a shorter duration (14, 18). This study expands upon the previous study by following this cohort an additional 7 years, while assessing the effects of two primary behavioral exposures on MetS and examining the impact on MetS components. Results emphasize the doseresponse effect between fitness and reduced metabolic risk (i.e., 40% and 57% reduced risk in the moderate and high fitness strata respectively). Additionally, significant risk reductions were observed in all MetS components, with the exception of blood pressure, which was reduced (7% and 12% in the moderate and high fitness groups, respectively) without statistical significance. Most studies to date (both prospective observational studies and randomized controlled trials) have found higher levels of fitness to reduce hypertension incidence (27). Higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness are associated with reduced resting heart rate, increased cardiac output, and reduced vascular resistance, all of which impact blood pressure (22, 27). The impact of exercise interventions, aimed at reducing blood pressure, is greater when the study population includes hypertensive patients (27). Hence, the lack of a statistically significant association between fitness and blood pressure is most probably due to the fact that participants in the present study were a normotensive sample with little variation in their blood pressure.

When looking at the independent effect of alcohol on MetS incidence, current findings indicate that higher levels of alcohol consumption were protective of MetS incidence. This finding is in contradiction with a meta-analysis by Alkerwi et al. and other studies finding a J-shape association; that is, lower, but not higher, levels of alcohol are protective against

Shuval et al. Page 6

MetS (2, 5, 20) In contrast, both Freiberg et al., in a cross-sectional study, and Gigleux et al., in a cohort study, determined that higher levels of alcohol decrease the risk of MetS, which is consistent with current findings (9, 10). In the present study, when assessing the impact of alcohol on the various components of MetS, the direction of association varied. Thus, of the 3 components significantly associated with alcohol intake, lower alcohol consumption was linked to the decreased risk of two (elevated blood pressure and glucose) and the increased risk of one (low HDL-c). The stronger association between alcohol and HDL-c levels appeared to have a paramount role in the alcohol-MetS association found in the current results. The positive effects of alcohol intake on HDL-c is consistent with previous studies, which found a dose-response relationship between increased alcohol and higher circulating levels of HDL, even in excess of 21 drinks per week (1). Proposed biological mechanisms for this association include increased transport rates of lipoprotein and lipoprotein lipase activity (4).

Interpretation of the study's findings should be tempered by its limitations. The sample consists of mostly white well-educated participants, which limits our ability to generalize findings to more diverse populations. This, however, enhances the study's internal validity by minimizing confounding based on the heterogeneity of the sample in terms of race/ ethnicity and socio-economic status. Additionally, though information was obtained on the quantity of alcohol intake, drinking patterns (e.g., binge drinking), which also have important health implications, were not assessed (23). Further, the nondrinking category in the study included both current and lifetime abstainers who may have different cardiometabolic risks (19). As a result, the nondrinking category was not used as the reference group and results pertaining to nondrinkers should be interpreted with caution. An additional limitation to the study pertains to not accounting for participants' medication use, an inherent limitation of this dataset. This might have resulted in non-differential misclassification of the outcome (i.e., MetS and its components). Therefore, the measures of association presented might be 'diluted', that is, biased towards the null (11). Similarly, sufficient dietary information on this sample was unavailable, thus hindering our ability to control for dietary intake in multivariable analysis. Furthermore, though fitness is highly correlated with physical activity, genetics make a significant contribution to aerobic capacity (22). Despite these limitations, the study has significant strengths. To our knowledge this is the first study to assess the combined effects of both fitness, a physiologic attribute of physical activity, and alcohol consumption on MetS risk. Additionally, the large cohort of patients free of CVD risk factors and a follow-up period is significant enough to assess the effects of both exposures on MetS incidence. Moreover, the prospective cohort study design enables calculating risk and determining a temporal relationship between exposures (fitness and alcohol) and outcome (MetS), a criteria enroute to causality (15).

In summary, current study findings emphasize the independent protective effects of cardiorespiratory fitness on the development of metabolic risk factors, irrespective of alcohol intake. Achieving higher levels of cardiorespiratory fitness should be regarded as preventive therapy in the reduction of risk for MetS and its components, and the subsequent development of type 2 diabetes and CVD. The alcohol intake-MetS risk relationship appears to be more complex: lower levels of alcohol intake are protective against elevated glucose and blood pressure, whereas higher alcohol intake protects against low HDL-c. These nuances are not reflected when assessing the effects of alcohol on MetS (as a whole) in this cohort. Future research should continue to explore the joint effects of fitness (and/or objectively measured physical activity) and alcohol intake (while taking into account both quantity and patterns of drinking) on MetS risk in additional, more diverse samples of healthy adults.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Kenneth H. Cooper of the Cooper Clinic for establishing the CCLS, the Cooper Clinic physicians and technicians for data collection, and The Cooper Institute staff for data management. Additionally, we express our gratitude to Melba Morrow of The Cooper Institute for editorial assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. Agarwal, DP.; Seitz, HK. Alcohol in health and disease. Dekker, M., editor. 2001. p. 549-553.
- 2. Alkerwi A, Boutsen M, Vaillant M, et al. Alcohol consumption and the prevalence of metabolic syndrome: a meta-analysis of observational studies. Atherosclerosis. 2009; 204(2):624–635. [PubMed: 19084839]
- 3. Blair SN, Kohl HW III, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Clark DG, Cooper KH, Gibbons LW. Physical fitness and all-cause mortality: a prospective study of healthy men and women. JAMA. 1989; 262(17): 2395–2401. [PubMed: 2795824]
- 4. Brien SE, Ronksley PE, Turner BJ, Mukamal KJ, Ghali WA. Effect of alcohol consumption on biological markers associated with risk of coronary heart disease: systematic review and metaanalysis of interventional studies. BMJ. 2011; 342:d636. [PubMed: 21343206]
- 5. Djousse L, Arnett DK, Eckfeldt JH, Province MA, Singer MR, Ellison RC. Alcohol consumption and metabolic syndrome: does the type of beverage matter? Obes Res. 2004; 12(9):1375–1385. [PubMed: 15483202]
- 6. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet. 2005; 365(9468):1415–1428. [PubMed: 15836891]
- 7. Farrell SW, FitzGerald SJ, McAuley PA, Barlow CE. Cardiorespiratory fitness, adiposity, and allcause mortality in women. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2010; 42(11):2006–2012. [PubMed: 20351588]
- 8. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA. 2002; 287(3):356–359. [PubMed: 11790215]
- 9. Freiberg MS, Cabral HJ, Heeren TC, Vasan RS, Curtis ER. Alcohol consumption and the prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome in the US.: a cross-sectional analysis of data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27(12):2954–2959. [PubMed: 15562213]
- 10. Gigleux I, Gagnon J, St-Pierre A, et al. Moderate alcohol consumption is more cardioprotective in men with the metabolic syndrome. J Nutr. 2006; 136(12):3027–3032. [PubMed: 17116715]
- 11. Gordis, L. Epidemiology. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1996.
- 12. Grundy SM, Barlow CE, Farrell SW, Vega GL, Haskell WL. Cardiorespiratory fitness and metabolic risk. Am J Cardiol. 2012; 109:988–993. [PubMed: 22221951]
- 13. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. Circulation. 2005; 112(17):2735–2752. [PubMed: 16157765]
- 14. Hassinen M, Lakka TA, Hakola L, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and metabolic syndrome in older men and women: the dose responses to Exercise Training (DR's EXTRA) study. Diabetes Care. 2010; 33(7):1655–1657. [PubMed: 20413523]
- 15. Hill A. The Environment and Disease: Association or Causation? Proc Royal Soc Med. 1965; 58:295–300.
- 16. Hunt KJ, Resendez RG, Williams K, Haffner SM, Stern MP. National Cholesterol Education Program versus World Health Organization metabolic syndrome in relation to all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the San Antonio Heart Study. Circulation. 2004; 110(10):1251–1257. [PubMed: 15326061]
- 17. Joosten MM, Grobbee DE, van der AD, Verschuren WM, Hendriks HF, Beulens JW. Combined effect of alcohol consumption and lifestyle behaviors on risk of type 2 diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010; 91(6):1777–1783. [PubMed: 20410096]

Shuval et al. Page 8

- 18. LaMonte MJ, Barlow CE, Jurca R, Kampert JB, Church TS, Blair SN. Cardiorespiratory fitness is inversely associated with the incidence of metabolic syndrome: a prospective study of men and women. Circulation. 2005; 112(4):505–512. [PubMed: 16009797]
- 19. Lee K. Gender-specific relationships between alcohol drinking patterns and metabolic syndrome: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008. Public Health Nutr. 2012:1–8.
- 20. Lee WY, Jung CH, Park JS, Rhee EJ, Kim SW. Effects of smoking, alcohol, exercise, education, and family history on the metabolic syndrome as defined by the ATP III. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2005; 67(1):70–77. [PubMed: 15620436]
- 21. Lin DY, Wei DJ, Ying Z. Checking the Cox model with cumulative sums of martingalebased residuals. Biometrika. 1993; 80(3):557–572.
- 22. McArdle, WD.; Katch, FI.; Katch, VL. Exercise physiology: energy, nutrition, and human performance. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007. p. 195-358.
- 23. Mukamal KJ, Chen CM, Rao SR, Breslow RA. Alcohol consumption and cardiovascular mortality among U.S. adults, 1987 to 2002. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010; 55(13):1328–1335. [PubMed: 20338493]
- 24. Mukamal KJ, Chiuve SE, Rimm EB. Alcohol consumption and risk for coronary heart disease in men with healthy lifestyles. Arch Intern Med. 2006; 166(19):2145–2150. [PubMed: 17060546]
- 25. Nesto RW. Correlation between cardiovascular disease and diabetes mellitus: current concepts. Am J Med. 2004; 116(Suppl 5A):11S–22S. [PubMed: 15019859]
- 26. Pettee Gabriel KK, Morrow JR Jr, Woolsey AL. Framework for physical activity as a complex and multidimensional behavior. J Phys Act Health. 2012; 9(Suppl 1):S11–S18. S11–S18. [PubMed: 22287443]
- 27. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Report, 2008. Washington D.C.: Department of Health and Human Services; 2008. p. G2-1-28
- 28. Pollock ML, Bohannon RL, Cooper KH, et al. A comparative analysis of four protocols for maximal treadmill stress testing. Am Heart J. 1976; 92(1):39–46. [PubMed: 961576]
- 29. Ribisl PM, Lang W, Jaramillo SA, et al. Exercise capacity and cardiovascular/metabolic characteristics of overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes: the Look AHEAD clinical trial. Diabetes Care. 2007; 30(10):2679–2684. [PubMed: 17644623]
- 30. Rimm EB, Williams P, Fosher K, Criqui M, Stampfer MJ. Moderate alcohol intake and lower risk of coronary heart disease: meta-analysis of effects on lipids and haemostatic factors. BMJ. 1999; 319(7224):1523–1528. [PubMed: 10591709]
- 31. Shephard RJ. Limits to the measurement of habitual physical activity by questionnaires. Br J Sports Med. 2003; 37(3):197–206. [PubMed: 12782543]
- 32. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture. Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 2005. Washington DC: U.S. Government Printing Office; 2005. p. 43-45.
- 33. Wei M, Gibbons LW, Mitchell TL, Kampert JB, Blair SN. Alcohol intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in men. Diabetes Care. 2000; 23(1):18–22. [PubMed: 10857962]

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

TABLE 1

Baseline characteristics of all men and stratified by alcohol intake, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 1979 - 2010. Baseline characteristics of all men and stratified by alcohol intake, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 1979 – 2010.

Med Sci Sports Exerc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

 \approx 3.5 ml O2 uptake \cdot kg body ${\rm mas}^{-1}\cdot{\rm min}^{-1}$). Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HDL, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; METs, maximal metabolic equivalent (1 MET = 3.5 ml O2 uptake · kg body mass−1 · min−1). $\begin{array}{c} \n\vdots \\
\downarrow\n\end{array}$ Į È

Values are mean \pm SD unless otherwise stated. Values are mean \pm SD unless otherwise stated. 4 Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinker' (3 drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy drinker' (>14 drinks per week). drinker' (>14 drinks per week).

 $b_{\text{Age-specific}}$ cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following age
groups: 20–39, 40–4 groups: 20–39, 40–49, 50–59, and 60 years. Low fitness represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of Age-specific cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following age quintiles 4 and 5. quintiles 4 and 5.

TABLE 2

Incidence of metabolic syndrome stratified by cardiorespiratory fitness and alcohol intake in men, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 1979 - 2010. Incidence of metabolic syndrome stratified by cardiorespiratory fitness and alcohol intake in men, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 1979 – 2010.

Med Sci Sports Exerc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

respective models.

respective models.

quintiles 4 and 5.

quintiles 4 and 5.

drinker' (>14 drinks per week).

drinker' (>14 drinks per week).

Age-specific cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following age Age-specific cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following agegroups: 20–39, 40–49, 50–59, and 60 years. Low fitness represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of

groups: 20-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60 years. Low finess represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of

A cohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinker' (3 drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinker' (3 drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy

TABLE 3

Joint effects of alcohol consumption and cardiorespiratory fitness on the incidence of metabolic syndrome in men, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, Joint effects of alcohol consumption and cardiorespiratory fitness on the incidence of metabolic syndrome in men, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 1979 – 2010. 1979 – 2010.

Abbreviations: HR, Hazards Ratio; CI- confidence interval

Hazard ratios (HR) were calculated via a Cox proportional hazard model, while adjusting for age, examination year, smoking status, family history of cardiovascular disease, and BMI. Hazard ratios (HR) were calculated via a Cox proportional hazard model, while adjusting for age, examination year, smoking status, family history of cardiovascular disease, and BMI.

groups: 20-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60 years. Low fitness represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of groups: 20–39, 40–49, 50–59, and 60 years. Low fitness represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of $A_{\text{gg-specific}}$ cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following age Age-specific cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following agequintiles 4 and 5. quintiles 4 and 5.

 b Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinker' (3 drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy drinker' (>14 drinks per week). drinker' (>14 drinks per week).

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

TABLE 4

Incidence of the 5 components of metabolic syndrome stratified by cardiorespiratory fitness and alcohol intake in men, Cooper Center Longitudinal
Study, 1979 – 2010. Incidence of the 5 components of metabolic syndrome stratified by cardiorespiratory fitness and alcohol intake in men, Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, 1979 – 2010.

Med Sci Sports Exerc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 November 01.

Abbreviations: HR, Hazards Ratio; CI- confidence interval.

Abbreviations: HR, Hazards Ratio; CI-confidence interval.

Hazard ratios were calculated via a Cox proportional hazard model, while adjusting for age, examination year, smoking status, family history of cardiovascular disease, alcohol or fitness in respective Hazard ratios were calculated via a Cox proportional hazard model, while adjusting for age, examination year, smoking status, family history of cardiovascular disease, alcohol or fitness in respective models, and BMI. models, and BMI. Elevated waist circumference: 102 cm; 2. Elevated triglycerides of 150 mg/dL; 3) Low HDL cholesterol of <40 mg/dL; 4) Elevated blood pressure of 130 mm Hg systolic or 85 mm Hg diastolic; and Elevated waist circumference: ≥102 cm; 2. Elevated triglycerides of ≥150 mg/dL; 3) Low HDL cholesterol of <40 mg/dL; 4) Elevated blood pressure of ≥130 mm Hg systolic or ≥85 mm Hg diastolic; and 5) Elevated fasting glucose 100 mg/dL. 5) Elevated fasting glucose $\frac{100 \text{ mg/dL}}{100 \text{ mg/dL}}$.

groups: 20-39, 40-49, 50-59, and 60 years. Low fitness represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of groups: 20–39, 40–49, 50–59, and 60 years. Low fitness represents quintile 1 of the age-specific fitness distribution, moderate fitness is quintiles 2 and 3 of the distribution, and high fitness is comprised of \mathbf{age} Age-specific cardiorespiratory fitness categories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following age $\Delta_{\text{Q}g\text{-specific cardiorespiratory fitness}$ sategories were defined by the age-specific quintile distribution of METs for this sample of men in the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study utilizing the following quintiles 4 and 5. quintiles 4 and 5.

Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinker' (3 drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy Alcohol intake categories consisted of either 'nondrinkers' or to one of the following categories: (1) 'light drinks per week); (2) 'moderate drinker' (>3 to 14 drinks per week); and (3) 'heavy drinker' $($ >14 drinks per week). drinker' (>14 drinks per week).