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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Eltrombopag is a new, orally active thrombopoietin-receptor agonist that stimulates thrombopoiesis. We evaluated its ability to increase platelet counts and facilitate treatment for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in patients with thrombocytopenia associated with HCV-related cirrhosis.

METHODS

Seventy-four patients with HCV-related cirrhosis and platelet counts of 20,000 to less than 70,000 per cubic millimeter were randomly assigned to receive eltrombopag (30, 50, or 75 mg daily) or placebo daily for 4 weeks. The primary end point was a platelet count of 100,000 per cubic millimeter or more at week 4. Peginterferon and ribavirin could then be initiated, with continuation of eltrombopag or placebo for 12 additional weeks.

RESULTS

At week 4, platelet counts were increased to 100,000 per cubic millimeter or more in a dose-dependent manner among patients for whom these data were available: in 0 of the 17 patients receiving placebo, in 9 of 12 (75%) receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, in 15 of 19 (79%) receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag, and in 20 of 21 (95%) receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag (P<0.001). Antiviral therapy was initiated in 49 patients (in 4 of 18 patients receiving placebo, 10 of 14 receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, 14 of 19 receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag, and 21 of 23 receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag) while the administration of eltrombopag or placebo was continued. Twelve weeks of antiviral therapy, with concurrent receipt of eltrombopag or placebo, were completed by 36%, 53%, and 65% of patients receiving 30 mg, 50 mg, and 75 mg of eltrombopag, respectively, and by 6% of patients in the placebo group. The most common adverse event during the initial 4 weeks was headache; thereafter, the adverse events were those expected with interferon-based therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

Eltrombopag therapy increases platelet counts in patients with thrombocytopenia due to HCV-related cirrhosis, thereby permitting the initiation of antiviral therapy. (ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT00110799.)
T
t
	hrombocytopenia is a frequent complication of chronic liver disease and is considered an indicator of advanced disease.\textsuperscript{1,2} The low platelet count is due partly to the effects of portal hypertension and hypersplenism,\textsuperscript{4} decreased thrombopoietin production,\textsuperscript{2,5,6} and virus-induced bone marrow suppression.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Patients with chronic liver disease due to infection with the hepatitis C virus (HCV) who have thrombocytopenia (<75,000 platelets per cubic millimeter) have been routinely excluded from clinical trials of interferon and ribavirin, and few published reports have described the treatment of chronic HCV infection in patients with platelet counts of less than 50,000 per cubic millimeter. Although a reduced platelet count is not an absolute contraindication to treatment with pegylated interferon (peginterferon) and ribavirin, product labels advise that caution be used in treating patients with clinically significant thrombocytopenia. Furthermore, if thrombocytopenia develops during antiviral therapy, peginterferon may need to be delivered at a reduced dose or discontinued.\textsuperscript{9,10} Currently, there is no approved treatment for thrombocytopenia in patients with HCV infection.

Eltrombopag (SB-497115, GlaxoSmithKline) is a new, small-molecule, nonpeptide, oral platelet growth factor that acts as a thrombopoietin-receptor agonist. The drug interacts with the transmembrane domain of the thrombopoietin receptor and induces proliferation and differentiation of megakaryocytes and, as a result, an increase in platelet production. In preclinical and early clinical studies, el trombopag therapy was shown to stimulate megakaryocyte proliferation and differentiation and to cause dose-dependent increases in platelet counts in chimpanzees and humans.\textsuperscript{11-14} Ex vivo experiments with platelets from humans and in vivo studies of healthy subjects have shown that treatment with el trombopag does not adversely affect platelet function.\textsuperscript{15,16} El trombopag has also been shown to increase platelet counts in a dose-dependent manner in patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenic purpura.\textsuperscript{17}

In this phase 2 study, we assessed whether the use of el trombopag can increase platelet counts in patients with thrombocytopenia associated with cirrhosis due to chronic HCV infection. The safety and adverse-event profiles of el trombopag were also evaluated.

\section*{METHODS}

\subsection*{Patients}
Patients were enrolled from 22 centers in the United States and Europe. Eligible patients were 18 years of age or older and had chronic HCV infection (defined as the presence of anti-HCV antibodies and detectable serum HCV RNA levels, as determined with the use of a clinically available assay chosen by the investigator), compensated liver disease, and thrombocytopenia (defined as a platelet count of 20,000 to <70,000 per cubic millimeter). Patients were also required to have a liver-biopsy specimen indicative of cirrhosis, radiographic evidence of cirrhosis, or endoscopic evidence of portal hypertension. Patients were excluded if they were pregnant, had a history of thrombosis, or were coinfected with the human immunodeficiency virus or the hepatitis B virus.

Our study was approved by the institutional review board or ethics committee at each participating center and was conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice guidelines, and local laws and regulations. All patients provided written informed consent.

\subsection*{Study Design and Organization}
Our study was an international, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial covering a range of doses of el trombopag. After meeting the eligibility criteria, patients were randomly assigned to study groups in a 1:1:1:1 ratio with the use of permuted-block randomization and a block size of four. The random assignment was stratified according to the baseline platelet count (20,000 to <50,000 per cubic millimeter vs. 50,000 to <70,000 per cubic millimeter). Patients were assigned to an el trombopag group or the placebo group by means of central randomization, which was independent of the study centers and involved an interactive voice system. In the initial treatment phase, patients received either el trombopag tablets, once daily, at a dose of 30 mg, 50 mg, or 75 mg or matching placebo, once daily, for 4 weeks (Fig. 1). Hematologic, biochemical, and other safety assessments were performed weekly. Treatment with el trombopag was interrupted if the platelet count was 200,000 or more per cubic millimeter; treatment was then reinstat-
Initial Treatment Phase

74 Patients were randomly assigned to a study group

18 Received placebo
14 Received 30 mg of eltrombopag
19 Received 50 mg of eltrombopag
23 Received 75 mg of eltrombopag

Antiviral Treatment Phase (peginterferon + eltrombopag or placebo)

4 Did not receive peginterferon
2 For personal reasons
1 Owing to an adverse event
2 For personal reasons
4 For unspecified reasons

5 Did not receive peginterferon
2 For personal reasons
2 Owing to lack of efficacy
1 For unspecified reasons

2 Did not receive peginterferon
1 Owing to lack of efficacy
1 For unspecified reasons

14 Did not receive peginterferon
2 For personal reasons
7 Owing to lack of efficacy
5 For unspecified reasons

4 Received peginterferon
10 Received peginterferon
14 Received peginterferon
21 Received peginterferon

3 Did not complete 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
1 Owing to lack of efficacy
2 For unspecified reasons

5 Did not complete 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
2 Owing to an adverse event
1 Owing to loss to follow-up
1 For personal reasons
1 For unspecified reasons

6 Did not complete 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
1 Owing to an adverse event
1 Owing to loss to follow-up
4 For unspecified reasons

4 Did not complete 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
1 Owing to an adverse event
1 Owing to lack of efficacy
1 For personal reasons
1 For unspecified reasons

2 Did not receive peginterferon
1 Owing to an adverse event
2 For personal reasons
1 For unspecified reasons

1 Completed 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
5 Completed 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
10 Completed 12 wk of peginterferon therapy
15 Completed 12 wk of peginterferon therapy

5 Did not receive peginterferon
1 Owing to an adverse event
2 For personal reasons
1 For unspecified reasons

1 Received peginterferon
10 Received peginterferon
14 Received peginterferon
21 Received peginterferon

Figure 1. Randomization and Follow-up of the Study Patients.

In the initial treatment phase, patients received eltrombopag (30, 50, or 75 mg) or placebo daily for 4 weeks. Hematologic and safety assessments were performed weekly during this phase. After 4 weeks, antiviral therapy for 12 weeks with peginterferon and ribavirin was added in patients with adequate platelet counts (≥70,000 per cubic millimeter for peginterferon alfa-2a or ≥100,000 per cubic millimeter for peginterferon alfa-2b), followed by a 4-week follow-up period. Five of the 74 patients (7%) were excluded from the primary analysis owing to baseline platelet counts of 70,000 or more per cubic millimeter (2 patients receiving placebo, 2 receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag, and 1 receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag). Two patients in the placebo group who received peginterferon antiviral therapy did so in error, since their platelet counts were less than 70,000 per cubic millimeter. During the antiviral treatment phase, the platelet count was less than 50,000 per cubic millimeter in four patients receiving placebo, five receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, four receiving 50 mg, and three receiving 75 mg. All patients in the eltrombopag groups in whom peginterferon was initiated had baseline platelet counts of more than 100,000 per cubic millimeter at the time of initiation. The known reasons for the lack of initiation of interferon antiviral therapy or lack of its completion were recorded by the investigator when the patient left the study. Lack of efficacy was defined as a platelet count that was not high enough for the initiation or continuation of antiviral therapy. Adverse events were defined as any untoward medical occurrence temporarily associated with the use of the study drug, whether or not it was considered to be related to the study drug.
ed on an individual basis, generally when platelet counts returned to 100,000 or less per cubic millimeter.

Patients who completed the initial treatment phase were eligible for antiviral treatment if they had attained a predefined platelet count: 70,000 or more per cubic millimeter for the use of peginterferon alfa-2a (Pegasys, Roche) or 100,000 or more per cubic millimeter for the use of peginterferon alfa-2b (Peg-Intron, Schering-Plough). The choice of interferon was not dictated by the protocol but rather was at the investigator’s discretion.

In this antiviral treatment phase, peginterferon (180 μg of peginterferon alfa-2a per week or 1.5 μg of peginterferon alfa-2b per kilogram of body weight per week) and ribavirin (1000 to 1200 mg per day for patients receiving peginterferon alfa-2a and 800 mg per day for those receiving peginterferon alfa-2b) were administered for 8 weeks concomitantly with eltrombopag or placebo. Once additional preclinical safety data were available, the protocol was amended to extend this phase to 12 weeks, at which time eltrombopag was stopped and antiviral therapy was continued at the investigator’s discretion. A follow-up visit was scheduled for 4 weeks after the last dose of eltrombopag or placebo had been received. Throughout the antiviral treatment phase, in accordance with the product labels for these approved therapies, the dose of peginterferon alfa-2a was reduced by half if the platelet count had decreased to 25,000 to 50,000 per cubic millimeter and was discontinued altogether if the platelet count was below 25,000 per cubic millimeter. The dose of peginterferon alfa-2b was reduced by half if the platelet count had decreased to 50,000 to 80,000 per cubic millimeter and was discontinued altogether if the platelet count was less than 50,000 per cubic millimeter.

An independent data monitoring committee was established to review data during the study. A separate independent committee reviewed all ophthalmic evaluations, because ocular findings in preclinical studies of immature rodents indicated a risk of cataract formation.

The sponsor, GlaxoSmithKline, and the academic principal investigator designed the study, developed the protocol, and prepared the first and subsequent drafts of the manuscript, with input from participating academic investigators. The sponsor and the academic principal investigator held and analyzed the data. Decisions related to the content of the final draft of the manuscript were made by the academic principal investigator in consultation with all coauthors. All authors, four of whom are employees of GlaxoSmithKline, had access to the data and contributed to the writing of the manuscript. The academic principal investigator vouches for the completeness and accuracy of this article.

**Statistical Analysis**

The primary efficacy end point was an increase in the platelet count from the baseline value (20,000 to <70,000 per cubic millimeter) to 100,000 or more per cubic millimeter after the 4-week initial treatment phase. Secondary end points included those related to safety, tolerability, and the ability to continue peginterferon therapy during the antiviral treatment phase. The analyses included all patients who were randomly assigned to a study group and who received at least one dose of the study medication. In the analysis of the primary end point, however, data for 5 of the 74 patients (7%) who entered the study but whose baseline platelet count was 70,000 or more per cubic millimeter were excluded; missing data were imputed with the use of the last-observation-carried-forward approach.

We planned to enroll 160 patients in the study, with 40 patients randomly assigned to each study group. We estimated the number of patients assuming a rate of response of 20% in the placebo group and 60% in the three active treatment groups. The power of our study to detect this anticipated treatment effect was 90% at an overall two-sided level of significance of 5%. The primary end point was analyzed with the use of multiple logistic-regression analysis. Each of the three eltrombopag groups was compared with the placebo group by means of a closed testing procedure. We tested the global null hypothesis of no significant difference among the four study groups and, if this global hypothesis was rejected, the null hypothesis of no significant difference between the placebo group and each eltrombopag group, with testing performed in the predetermined order of the highest dose (75 mg) to the lowest dose (30 mg). The sequential testing was continued until the null hypothesis could not be rejected.

The study was originally planned to be performed without interim analyses, except for a blinded review by the independent data monitor-
ing committee of the safety and adverse-event profiles after 40 patients had completed the initial treatment phase. A subsequent amendment to the protocol stipulated the performance of formal interim analyses of efficacy data from the initial treatment phase.

The criterion for stopping the study early was a two-sided P value no greater than 0.0001, based on the O’Brien–Fleming adjustment for a group-sequential design, from an interim analysis of the efficacy data. The criterion was not met in the first interim analysis but was met in the second interim analysis (overall comparison for the four study groups, P<0.0001; 30 mg of eltrombopag vs. placebo, P=0.00067; 50 mg of eltrombopag vs. placebo, P=0.00015; and 75 mg of eltrombopag vs. placebo, P<0.0001).

### Results

#### Characteristics of the Patients

Between April 5, 2005, and October 20, 2006, a total of 74 patients were randomly assigned to a study group and received the study drug or placebo (Fig. 1): 18 patients received placebo, 14 received 30 mg of eltrombopag, 19 received 50 mg of eltrombopag, and 23 received 75 mg of eltrombopag. Since the 74 patients were distributed across 22 sites, some sites did not use a complete block, which caused a slight imbalance in the numbers of patients assigned to the four groups. The demographic and baseline clinical characteristics of the study groups were well balanced (Table 1). The median age was 51 years (range, 30 to 74), and more than two thirds of the patients were men.

### Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Eltrombopag (N=74)</th>
<th>Placebo (N=18)</th>
<th>All (N=74)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age — yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 30 mg (N=14)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 30 mg (N=19)</td>
<td>43–74</td>
<td>38–60</td>
<td>41–71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 75 mg (N=23)</td>
<td>30–72</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>30–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex — no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male 30 mg (N=14)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>19 (83)</td>
<td>11 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female 30 mg (N=19)</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td>4 (17)</td>
<td>7 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race — no. (%)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black 30 mg (N=14)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian 30 mg (N=19)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White 30 mg (N=23)</td>
<td>13 (93)</td>
<td>16 (42)</td>
<td>16 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count — per mm³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median 30 mg (N=14)</td>
<td>59,000</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range 30 mg (N=19)</td>
<td>34,000–94,000</td>
<td>28,000–75,000</td>
<td>27,000–75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 to &lt;50,000/mm³</td>
<td>5 (36)</td>
<td>8 (35)</td>
<td>6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 mg (N=19)</td>
<td>7 (37)</td>
<td>12 (63)</td>
<td>13 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50,000 to &lt;70,000/mm³</td>
<td>7 (50)</td>
<td>13 (57)</td>
<td>11 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 mg (N=23)</td>
<td>2 (14)</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70,000/mm³ — no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 or 4 30 mg (N=14)</td>
<td>10 (71)</td>
<td>14 (61)</td>
<td>10 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 or 3 30 mg (N=19)</td>
<td>4 (29)</td>
<td>8 (35)</td>
<td>7 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 30 mg (N=23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin — g/liter</td>
<td>35.8±6.8</td>
<td>33.8±4.9</td>
<td>36.6±5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase—IU/liter</td>
<td>120.6±51.4</td>
<td>117.8±67.5</td>
<td>117.0±69.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase—IU/liter</td>
<td>123.3±68.1</td>
<td>127.4±67.3</td>
<td>128.6±104.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin — µmol/liter</td>
<td>25.3±11.5</td>
<td>25.1±14.1</td>
<td>24.9±16.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plus–minus values are means ±SD. There were no significant differences in characteristics between the study groups. HCV denotes hepatitis C virus. To convert values for total bilirubin to milligrams per deciliter, divide by 17.1.

† Race was self-reported; data were not available for one patient.
The median baseline platelet count was 55,000 per cubic millimeter (range, 26,000 to 94,000). The baseline platelet count was 20,000 to less than 50,000 per cubic millimeter in 35% of patients (26 of 74) and 50,000 to less than 70,000 per cubic millimeter in 58% (43 of 74) (Table 1). Seven percent of patients (5 of 74) who were successfully screened had baseline platelet counts of 70,000 or more per cubic millimeter just before administration of the study drug, which was considered a violation of the protocol. Therefore, the data for these five patients were excluded from the analysis of the primary efficacy end point, but they were included in the safety analysis because the patients received the study drug.

**Efficacy**

**Initial Treatment Phase**

The receipt of eltrombopag increased platelet counts to 100,000 or more per cubic millimeter at week 4, in a dose-dependent manner (P<0.001 for overall treatment effect) (Table 2). The effect of each dose of eltrombopag was significant (P<0.001), as compared with the effect of placebo. No patient in the placebo group had an increase in the platelet count to 100,000 or more per cubic millimeter after 4 weeks. The results for the primary end point based on the observed data only (i.e., those obtained without the application of the last-observation-carried-forward approach) were similar to the results when the last-observation-carried-forward approach was used.

### Table 2. Median Platelet Counts at the End of the Initial Treatment Phase and the End of the Antiviral Treatment Phase.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Eltrombopag 30 mg (N = 14)</th>
<th>Eltrombopag 50 mg (N = 19)</th>
<th>Eltrombopag 75 mg (N = 23)</th>
<th>Placebo (N = 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of initial treatment phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with data</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median — per mm$^3$</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>212,000</td>
<td>204,000</td>
<td>53,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range — per mm$^3$</td>
<td>40,000 to 214,000</td>
<td>47,000 to 599,000</td>
<td>78,000 to 527,000</td>
<td>34,000 to 74,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with data</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median — per mm$^3$</td>
<td>74,000</td>
<td>152,000</td>
<td>151,000</td>
<td>−3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range — per mm$^3$</td>
<td>6,000 to 155,000</td>
<td>10,000 to 540,000</td>
<td>45,000 to 473,000</td>
<td>−22,000 to 13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥100,000/mm$^3$ — no. of responders/total no. of patients who could be evaluated (%)</td>
<td>9/12 (75)</td>
<td>15/19 (79)</td>
<td>20/21 (95)</td>
<td>0/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥200,000/mm$^3$ — no. of responders/total no. of patients who could be evaluated (%)</td>
<td>3/12 (25)</td>
<td>9/19 (47)</td>
<td>11/21 (52)</td>
<td>0/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>End of antiviral treatment phase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients with data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median — per mm$^3$</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>92,000</td>
<td>39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range — per mm$^3$</td>
<td>43,000 to 164,000</td>
<td>46,000 to 156,000</td>
<td>38,000 to 245,000</td>
<td>39,000 to 39,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from baseline — per mm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>54,000</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>−25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>−18,000 to 122,000</td>
<td>8000 to 97,000</td>
<td>−23,000 to 191,000</td>
<td>−25,000 to −25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data were not available for some patients because of withdrawal from the study before week 4 or for other reasons. Patients who could be evaluated were those whose data were included in the last-observation-carried-forward analysis in the intention-to-treat population and excluded those with a platelet count of less than 20,000 per cubic millimeter or 70,000 or more per cubic milliliter at baseline or those for whom the platelet count was unknown during the antiviral treatment phase. The overall P value for the treatment effect at the end of the initial treatment phase was less than 0.001, as were the P values for the comparison of each eltrombopag group with the placebo group with respect to the percentages of patients with platelet counts of 100,000 or more per cubic millimeter at the end of the initial treatment phase.
ward approach was used. Between 25 and 52% of patients receiving eltrombopag (primarily those receiving 50-mg or 75-mg doses daily) had platelet counts of 200,000 or more per cubic millimeter at any time during the initial treatment phase. Treatment with eltrombopag was interrupted in these patients until their platelet counts decreased to 100,000 or fewer per cubic millimeter.

**Antiviral Treatment Phase**

Overall, two thirds of the patients (49 of 74) proceeded to the antiviral treatment phase: 4 of the 18 patients (22%) receiving placebo and 10 of the 14 (71%), 14 of the 19 (74%), and 21 of the 23 (91%) receiving 30 mg, 50 mg, and 75 mg of eltrombopag, respectively (Fig. 1). Of these 49 patients, 48 had a platelet count that met the prespecified threshold for entry into the antiviral treatment phase (≥70,000 per cubic millimeter for the use of peginterferon alfa-2a or ≥100,000 per cubic millimeter for the use of peginterferon alfa-2b), and therefore peginterferon-based therapy could be initiated (Fig. 1).

On an intention-to-treat basis, the first 12 weeks of antiviral therapy were completed by 5 of 14 patients receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag (36%), 10 of 19 receiving 50 mg (53%), and 15 of 23 receiving 75 mg (65%), as compared with 1 of 18 patients (6%) in the placebo group (Fig. 2). Platelet counts in all eltrombopag groups decreased during the antiviral treatment phase, despite continued treatment with eltrombopag, but remained consistently above baseline values, with a nadir of more than 50,000 per cubic millimeter (Table 2 and Fig. 2). Among the patients who completed the 12-week antiviral treatment phase and for whom platelet counts were available, a platelet count greater than the baseline value was maintained after completion of the antiviral treatment phase in 0 of 1 patient receiving placebo, 4 of 5 receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, 4 of 5 receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag, and 10 of 12 receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag.

At all time points during the antiviral treatment phase, platelet counts in all three eltrombopag groups were higher than those in the placebo group and remained higher than the level at which a reduction in the peginterferon dose is recommended (<50,000 per cubic millimeter). In the group receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag, 18 of 21 patients had platelet counts of 50,000 or more per cubic millimeter during this phase. No patient in the placebo group had a platelet count this high while receiving antiviral therapy. In the initial or antiviral treatment phase, platelet counts exceeded the protocol-specified upper limit of 200,000 per cubic millimeter in at least one visit in 4 of 14 patients (29%), 9 of 19 (47%), and 16 of 23 (70%) in the groups receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, 50 mg of eltrombopag, and 75 mg of eltrombopag, respectively, necessitating a temporary interruption in eltrombopag therapy.

**SAFETY**

During the 4-week initial treatment phase, headache was reported in 36%, 16%, and 17% of patients who received 30 mg of eltrombopag, 50 mg of eltrombopag, and 75 mg of eltrombopag, respectively, as well as in 17% of patients who received placebo (Table 3). Other common adverse events in the three eltrombopag groups were dry mouth, abdominal pain, and nausea.

During the subsequent antiviral treatment phase, the incidence of adverse events was similar in all three eltrombopag groups. The adverse events most commonly reported during this phase were influenza-like illness, fatigue, chills, and headache, all of which are known side effects of interferon-based therapy.19,20 Drug-related adverse events were reported for five patients receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag (36%), eight receiving 50 mg (42%), and eight receiving 75 mg (35%), as compared with three patients (17%) in the placebo group. The most frequent drug-related adverse events (all of low-grade severity) were dry mouth, headache, and nausea. After treatment had been discontinued, thrombocytopenia occurred in one patient receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, decreased visual acuity occurred in another receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag, and petechiae occurred in one patient receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag.

During the entire study, 62 patients reported adverse events and 7 reported serious adverse events. These serious events included ascites (in the group receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag), which subsequently resolved, and retinal exudates (in the group receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag), which did not resolve and which were deemed by the investigator to be unrelated to treatment with eltrombopag. Thrombocytopenia in one patient receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag and myositis in
one patient receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag were resolving at the end of the study. The thrombocytopenia occurred after eltrombopag therapy had been discontinued and peginterferon administration had begun; the platelet count fell below the patient's baseline count, and the event was considered by the investigator to be related to the study drug. One patient in the placebo group had two reported serious adverse events, abdominal pain and renal failure, and subsequently died. Adverse events led to the withdrawal of three patients receiving 30 mg of eltrombopag (owing to upper abdominal pain and ascites), one patient receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag (owing to neutropenia), and one patient receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag (owing to retinal exudates).

Figure 2. Median Platelet Counts and Percentages of Patients Who Completed the 12-Week Antiviral Treatment Phase.
During the initial treatment phase, in which patients received eltrombopag or placebo, the median platelet count for each eltrombopag group was increased relative to the baseline value in a dose-dependent manner (Panel A). Counts reached a maximum at week 4, after which antiviral therapy was initiated while the administration of eltrombopag or placebo was continued. As expected, because of the marrow-suppressive effects of peginterferon, counts declined during the antiviral treatment phase and reached a nadir. However, platelet counts in the active-treatment groups remained higher than those in the placebo group and those at baseline. I bars indicate the minimum and maximum values. Panel B shows the percentages of all patients randomly assigned to each group who completed 12 weeks of antiviral therapy.
DISCUSSION

Thrombocytopenia in patients with cirrhosis due to HCV can prevent or limit antiviral treatment, but there is little information concerning the eligibility for treatment of patients with platelet counts of less than 50,000 per cubic millimeter. In our study, 30 of 45 patients who had had a response to eltrombopag, with a rise in the platelet count to 70,000 or more per cubic millimeter (or ≥100,000 per cubic millimeter) during the initial treatment phase, completed the first 12 weeks of antiviral treatment with peginterferon, during which the use of eltrombopag was continued; specifically, 65% of those receiving 75 mg of eltrombopag and 53% of those receiving 50 mg of eltrombopag completed the first 12 weeks of the treatment.

The most common side effects of eltrombopag during the initial treatment phase were headache, dry mouth, abdominal pain, and nausea; these effects were of insufficient severity to require discontinuation of the drug. In this small and therefore underpowered study, we did not find evidence of a dose–response relation with respect to the occurrence of adverse events in the antiviral treatment phase, during which the reported side effects were consistent with those associated with interferon-based therapy.

During the initial treatment phase, significant increases in platelet counts were observed in each of the three eltrombopag groups as compared with the placebo group. The primary end point (a platelet count ≥100,000 per cubic millimeter at week 4) was met in 75 to 95% of patients in the eltrombopag groups, in a dose-dependent man-
ner. During the subsequent antiviral phase, platelet counts decreased, perhaps owing to the antiplatelet effect of peginterferon; nevertheless, platelet counts remained consistently above baseline levels. These results require confirmation in phase 3 trials involving standard-duration courses of peginterferon and ribavirin.
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