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COMPARISON OF INTERMEDIATE-DOSE METHOTREXATE WITH CRANIAL IRRADIATION FOR THE POST-INDUCTION TREATMENT OF ACUTE LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA IN CHILDREN

ARNOLD I. FREEMAN, M.D., VIVIAN WEINBERG, MARTIN L. BRECHER, M.D., BARBARA JONES, M.D., ARVIN S. GLICKSMAN, M.D., LUCIUS F. SINKS, M.D., MARISE WEIL, M.D., HANSJUERG PLEUSS, M.D., JULIET HANANIAN, M.D., E. OMER BURGERT, JR., M.D., GERALD S. GILCHRIST, M.D., THOMAS NECHIELES, M.D., MICHAEL HARRIS, M.D., FAITH KUNG, M.D., RICHARD B. PATTERSON, M.D., HAROLD MAURER, M.D., BRIGID LEVENTHAL, M.D., LOUISE CHEVALIER, M.D., EDWIN FORMAN, M.D., AND JAMES F. HOLLAND, M.D.

Abstract We compared two regimens with respect to their ability to prolong disease-free survival in 506 children and adolescents with acute lymphocytic leukemia. All responders to induction therapy were randomized to treatment with 2400 rad of cranial irradiation plus intrathecal methotrexate or to treatment with intermediate-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal methotrexate, as prophylaxis for involvement of the central nervous system and other "sanctuary" areas. Patients were then treated with a standard maintenance regimen. Complete responders were stratified into either standard-risk or increased-risk groups on the basis of age and white-cell count at presentation.

Among patients with standard risk, hematologic relapses occurred in 9 of 117 given methotrexate and 24 of 120 given irradiation (P<0.01). The rate of central-nervous-system relapse was higher in the methotrexate group (23 of 117) than in the irradiation group (8 of 120) (P = 0.01). Among patients with increased risk, radiation offered greater protection to the central nervous system than methotrexate (P = 0.03); there was no difference in the rate of hematologic relapse. In both risk strata the frequency of testicular relapse was significantly lower in the methotrexate group (1 patient) than the radiation group (10 patients) (P = 0.01).

Methotrexate offered better protection against systemic relapse in standard-risk patients and better protection against testicular relapse overall, but it offered less protection against relapses in the central nervous system than cranial irradiation. (N Engl J Med. 1983; 308:477-84.)

The survival of children with acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) has improved dramatically in the past 15 years, so that over half may be cured. This improvement has been due to the use of central-nervous-system "prophylaxis" as well as effective systemic chemotherapy.

Without central-nervous-system "prophylaxis," central-nervous-system leukemia develops in approximately half these children.1 Classical teaching has held that once central-nervous-system leukemia occurs, very few are cured. This concept has recently been challenged by Nesbit et al.2; in fact, these investigators noted that isolated central-nervous-system relapse did not have a negative impact on survival. This analysis may be overly optimistic: although a substantial proportion of children with an isolated central-nervous-system relapse may be saved, an appreciable percentage will eventually die of leukemia.4

In 1968 Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) demonstrated that the use of prophylactic intrathecal methotrexate alone decreased the incidence of overt central-nervous-system leukemia from over 50 per cent to 23 per cent.4 The addition of cranial irradiation to intrathecal methotrexate as central-nervous-system prophylaxis further reduced the incidence of central-nervous-system disease to approximately 10 per cent.5-9

However, cranial irradiation clearly cannot eradicate leukemic cells in regions other than the cranial cavity — e.g., the gonads, liver, and spleen. In particular, the problem of late testicular relapses has been emphasized by two cooperative group studies.10,11 Furthermore, intellectual impairment after prophylactic cranial irradiation has been a growing concern, leading us to explore alternative forms of central-nervous-system prophylaxis.12 In 1973 we began a pilot study at the Roswell Park Memorial Institute, with the following objectives: to prevent the development of central-nervous-system leukemia without using cranial irradiation, and to intensify systemic therapy and thus eradicate leukemic cells in other "sanctuary" areas. This study was based on clinical pharmacologic data demonstrating that intravenous intermediate-dose methotrexate in a dose of 500 mg per square meter of body-surface area, given over 24 hours, was capable of diffusing across the central-nervous-system barrier in amounts adequate to eradicate leukemic cells in the central nervous system13 and, presumably, to penetrate other regions simultaneously. The preliminary results of the pilot study were encouraging and led to a definitive randomized study (CALGB Protocol 7611), in which “standard therapy” with cranial irradiation (2400 rad) plus intrathecal methotrexate was compared with intermediate-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal methotrexate, with re-
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spect to their ability to improve disease-free survival by reducing systemic, central-nervous-system, and extramedullary relapses. This paper reports the results of this study after a median follow-up period of 40 months.

**Methods**

**Patient Eligibility and Selection**

Previously untreated patients with ALL who were less than 20 years old, including those with unbalanced or stem-cell ALL, were eligible for entry after informed consent had been obtained. Patients with hyperuricemia, active infections, or evidence of severe renal or hepatic dysfunction were excluded from entry until these abnormalities had been brought under control.

The French–American–British classification was not used, and cell-surface markers were not a prerequisite since many of the participating centers did not have the capacity to investigate them when the study was begun.

Spinal taps were performed routinely during the induction and intensification phase but not thereafter unless there was clinical evidence of central-nervous-system relapse. After remaining in continuous complete remission for three years and before completing therapy, all patients underwent a diagnostic spinal tap.

Bone-marrow aspirates were examined before the beginning of induction therapy, at Day 28 and Day 42 (before prophylactic therapy), and every three months thereafter or at any time that relapse was suspected. The criteria for determining complete remission have been described previously. Remission was indicated by bone marrow with normal granulopoiesis, thrombopoiesis, and erythropoiesis with less than 5 percent lymphoblasts or less than 40 percent total of lymphocytes and lymphoblasts. The patient’s performance status, physical findings, and peripheral-blood chemistry had to have reverted to normal. Induction therapy was considered a failure if the bone marrow did not indicate a remission by Day 42.

Leukopenic neutropenia was defined clinically as the persistent unexplained presence of confusion, somnolence, ataxia, spasticity, focal neurologic changes, and seizures, in association with CT scans showing calcification, hypodense white matter, dilatation of the ventricles, and an increase in the size of the cortical sulci. If a pathologic examination was carried out, discrete necrotic foci and reactive astrocytosis were required for histologic confirmation.

For purposes of analysis, relapse or the termination of complete remission was defined as one of the following: (1) bone-marrow relapse (more than 25 percent blast cells); (2) central-nervous-system relapse (definite blast cells on cytologic [cytocentrifuge] preparations of cerebrospinal fluid, or 10 mononuclear cells per microliter whose presence was not attributable to chemical meningitis); (3) biopsy-confirmed relapse in an extramedullary organ; or (4) death during complete remission. If a patient had a relapse of any type, the treatment was considered to have failed and the patient was withdrawn from the study.

**Statistical Analysis**

Curves for remission duration were drawn according to the actuarial life-table technique to calculate the percentage of patients in remission. Differences in patterns of relapse were determined with Breslow’s modification of the Kruskal–Wallis test. Differences between treatments in distributions of the features of patients were examined with the chi-square test for contingency tables. Multivariate regression analyses using Cox’s regression model for concomitant variables were performed to identify features prognostic of remission duration.

**Treatment Assignment**

A registration card for each patient was submitted to the CALGB Statistical Office before the start of protocol therapy. The initial therapy was identical for all patients entered. After completing induction therapy, complete responders were randomized to prophylactic therapy — either intermediate-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal methotrexate, or cranial irradiation plus intrathecal methotrexate — by means of sealed envelopes; treatment assignment was determined by a Latin-square arrangement that balanced assignment within and across institutions. Patients were stratified according to type of risk, according to CALGB criteria that combined values for age and white-cell count at presentation; a patient was considered to be at standard risk if the initial white-cell count was less than 30,000 and if the age was more than two but less than eight years. All other patients were classified as being at increased risk. Patients were randomized from within each risk stratum.

**Treatment (Fig. 1)**

**Induction Phase**

All patients received the same induction therapy: intravenous vincristine, 2 mg per square meter per week, for four doses (with a maximum single dose of 2 mg); oral prednisone, 40 mg per square meter per day, given for four weeks and then tapered over approximately 10 days; intrathecal methotrexate, 12 mg per square meter for three doses (with a maximum single dose of 15 mg); and intravenous asparaginase, 1000 IU per kilogram of body weight per day, for 10 doses. If after four weeks the bone marrow was M-2 (5 to 25 percent blast cells) or M-3 (>25 percent blast cells), vincristine and prednisone induction was continued for two additional weeks, to be followed by asparaginase. If the patient completed six weeks M-1 (<5 percent blast cells) marrow was not present, induction was considered to have failed and the patient was withdrawn. Upon complete remission and after 10 days of asparaginase therapy, a patient was randomized to receive either intermediate-dose methotrexate or cranial irradiation as prophylactic therapy.

**Prophylactic (Intensified) Phase**

Intermediate-dose methotrexate was administered at a dosage of 500 mg per square meter per day on three occasions at three-week intervals. On each occasion one third of the dose was given as an intravenous bolus that would produce a serum level of methotrexate of 10−5 M, and then two thirds were infused over 24 hours to maintain this level for the period of the infusion. A single dose of leucovorin (12 mg per square meter) was administered 24 hours after completion of intermediate-dose methotrexate administration. Intrathecal methotrexate (12 mg per square meter; maximum single dose, 15 mg) was given concurrently with intermediate-dose methotrexate on three occasions. During intermediate-dose methotrexate administration, good hydration was mandatory (a minimum of 2000 ml per square meter per 24 hours). If severe mucosal ulceration occurred with intermediate-dose methotrexate, the next course was delayed until healing had occurred; the next course (or courses) was again given at full dosage, but an additional dose of leucovorin was administered 72 hours after the start of intermediate-dose methotrexate.

Cranial irradiation was given over a period of 16 days in 200-rad increments, for a total of 2400 rad. External irradiation was delivered to the whole brain, including the spinal cord down to C2. The radiation field included the entire frontal lobe and the posterior halves of the eyeballs, including the optic discs. The anterior halves of both eyes were shielded. The patients were treated with two lateral parallel opposed fields. Intrathecal methotrexate, 12 mg per square meter (maximum single dose, 15 mg) weekly for three doses, was also administered during the period of cranial irradiation.

**Maintenance Phase**

Upon completion of the prophylactic phase, all patients received the same maintenance therapy, consisting of oral mercaptopurine (90 mg per square meter per day) plus oral methotrexate (15 mg per square meter per week) on the first day of each week. Reinforcement courses of vincristine and prednisone were given at Weeks 6, 12, 16, 20, and 24 after the start of prophylactic therapy; starting at Week 28, two weekly doses of vincristine plus two weeks of prednisone treatment were given. After a total of the doses, every 12 weeks for the duration of maintenance. During maintenance, vincristine and prednisone were given regardless of hematologic values; the
doses of mercaptopurine and methotrexate were modified as follows: the entire dose was given if the white-cell count was above 2500 and the platelet count was above 100,000; half the dose was given if the white-cell count was 1500 to 2500 or the platelet count was 50,000 to 100,000; and none was given if the white-cell count was below 1500 or the platelet count was below 50,000.

After three years of maintenance therapy, patients were completely reevaluated by means of biopsies of the bone marrow and tests and a diagnostic lumbar puncture, to determine their status with regard to remission.

RESULTS

The study enrolled 634 patients from November 12, 1976, until July 16, 1979, when enrollment was closed. This preliminary analysis covered all available follow-up data through April 1981, for a median follow-up period of 40 months.

Of the 634 patients entered, 600 (95 per cent) were evaluable for their response to induction therapy (Table 1).

Complete remission was achieved in 548 of the 600 (91 per cent). As expected, the rate was higher among the standard-risk patients (251 of 262, 96 per cent) than among the increased-risk patients (297 of 338, 88 per cent) (P<0.01).

Forty-two patients (8 per cent) with marrow indicating remission were not included in the analysis of the effect of prophylactic therapy on remission duration (Table 2). Of the 506 evaluable responders, 259 had been randomized to receive intermediate-dose methotrexate and 247 to receive cranial irradiation as prophylactic therapy (Table 2).

The characteristics of the two treatment groups were very similar (Table 3); the only possible exception was that twice as many children less than two years old belonged to the intermediate-dose methotrexate arm (P = 0.09). Despite this, the effect of the prophylactic therapy on the duration of complete remission was similar: one third of these small subgroups in both treatment groups had relapses. Current remission status is summarized in Table 4, according to type of prophylactic treatment and risk stratum.

The effect of treatment on overall, hematologic, and central-nervous-system remission among standard-risk patients is shown in Figures 2 through 4. Superior hematologic protection occurred after intermediate-dose methotrexate therapy (P<0.01). In contrast, standard-risk patients had a higher rate of central-nervous-system relapse (P = 0.01) when treated with intermediate-dose methotrexate; the resultant overall continuous complete remission was similar in both treatment groups.

Among increased-risk patients there was no difference in the duration of complete remission (Fig. 5) or hematologic remission (Fig. 6) associated with prophylactic therapy. As in standard-risk patients, there was greater central-nervous-system protection in

Table 1. Patients Entered into Study or Disqualified (Induction Phase).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number entered</th>
<th>Number disqualified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protocol violation</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate records</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients treated with cranial irradiation (Fig. 7) (P = 0.03).

Interestingly, there were 11 occurrences of testicular relapse in 269 male patients, 10 of them among patients receiving cranial irradiation (P = 0.01) (Table 4).

At evaluation after three years of continuous complete remission with maintenance therapy, five relapses were documented among 87 evaluable patients: one relapse in bone marrow, two in the testes, and two in the central nervous system.

Cox's regression model was used to assess simultaneously the relative importance of various factors in remission duration. For standard-risk patients the possible prognostic variables considered were prophylactic therapy (intermediate-dose methotrexate vs. cranial

| Number responding to induction therapy | 548 |
| Number not evaluable | 42 |
| Early relapse or loss to study | 11 |
| Improper randomization (including refusals) | 21 |
| Disqualification during maintenance therapy | 2 |
| Exclusion due to central-nervous-system disease during induction therapy | 5 |
| Inadequate records | 1 |
| Number evaluable and randomized | 506 |
| Intermediate-dose methotrexate | 259 |
| Cranial irradiation | 247 |

Table 3. Comparability of Treatment Groups at Time of Diagnosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERMEDIATE-DOSE METHOTREXATE (n = 259)</th>
<th>CRANIAL IRRADIATION (n = 247)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk of relapse (% of group)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard risk</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased risk</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of age</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of white-cell count</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Because of both factors</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (% of group)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 yr</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–7 yr</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–19 yr</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White-cell count (% of group)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30,000/mm³</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30,000/mm³</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (M:F)</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent blasts in marrow (group mean)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean hemoglobin (g/dl)</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean platelet count (×10¹²/mm³)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per cent circulating blasts (group mean)</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly ≥4 cm (% of group)</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly ≥4 cm (% of group)</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal involvement (% of group)</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Patients Evaluable for Study (Prophylactic Phase).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REMISSION STATUS</th>
<th>STANDARD RISK (n = 117)</th>
<th>INCREASED RISK (n = 142)</th>
<th>STANDARD RISK (n = 120)</th>
<th>INCREASED RISK (n = 127)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete remission</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse Marrow</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS *</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simultaneous marrow and CNS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS followed by marrow</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testicular (no. affected/ no. male)</td>
<td>0/56</td>
<td>1/73</td>
<td>3/67</td>
<td>1/73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Remission Status at Last Evaluation (April 1981).

*Central nervous system.
†Two of these patients also had simultaneous bone marrow relapse.

patient was classified as being at increased risk had a significant impact on predicting the duration of hematologic remission (P = 0.001), favoring those at increased risk due to age alone. This model showed that central-nervous-system remission was significantly prolonged in patients who received cranial irradiation (P = 0.001) and who had minimal or no organomegaly at diagnosis (P = 0.005). Overall, of the factors considered in this analysis, increased risk due to age alone had the least negative impact on the duration of complete remission.

CALGB classified patients as being at standard risk if they were two to seven years old with a white-cell count below 30,000 per cubic millimeter at presentation. When duration of complete remission was analyzed according to risk classification, an increased risk due to initial white-cell count — without any restriction on age — conferred a poorer prognosis within each treatment group (intermediate-dose methotrexate, P < 0.001; cranial irradiation, P = 0.002). Re-
sponders at increased risk because of age alone represented a group at an intermediate risk with respect to prolonging of complete remission. When the duration of complete remission was evaluated according to age alone, an age of 8 to 10 years at diagnosis did not carry a poorer prognosis than an age of 2 to 7; of the 60 patients in the older age group, 51 (85 per cent) were classified as being at increased risk because of age alone.

Overall, intermediate-dose methotrexate was quite tolerable; 94 per cent of the patients received the full three doses (Table 5). Overt clinical leukoencephalopathy was not observed with intermediate-dose methotrexate in this study. Mucositis (generally mild) was the most common problem. Furthermore, the vast majority of patients received the prescribed amount of intermediate-dose methotrexate. In 95 per cent of the cases cranial irradiation was administered at the recommended dosage; compliance with the protocol for cranial irradiation was evaluated by the CALGB Radiation Therapy Quality Assurance Committee to determine the effects of dosing and field accuracy on central-nervous-system remission.

Methotrexate levels were determined only at selected institutions; serum levels reached $10^{-5}$ M during the period of administration, and simultaneously determined cerebrospinal-fluid levels were $10^{-7}$ M. These findings have been published previously.13

**DISCUSSION**

This study (CALGB 7611) was a straightforward comparison between intermediate-dose methotrexate given on three occasions plus intrathecal methotrexate, and cranial irradiation (2400 rad) plus intrathecal methotrexate, in patients who had a complete remission. These two treatments were added to what was considered the best standard induction and maintenance treatment when the study was designed. Vincristine and prednisone were used in a standard induction regimen followed by a 10-day program of asparaginase. The asparaginase was used in this fashion because of the findings of Jones et al., which demonstrated an improved duration of complete remission in patients with ALL when asparaginase treatment followed vincristine–prednisone induction therapy.22 Maintenance therapy consisted of daily mercaptopurine and weekly methotrexate, plus reinduction with pulsed doses of prednisone and vincristine.

The clinical basis for testing intermediate-dose methotrexate was the early work of Djerassi, who demonstrated the effectiveness of high doses of methotrexate in ALL,23; CALGB Protocol 6601, which showed that the proportion of children remaining in complete remission was highest among those who received intensive cycles of intravenous methotrexate — 18 mg per square meter daily for five days every two weeks (i.e., a total dose of 90 mg per square meter every two weeks), with reinduction pulses of vincristine and prednisone repeated over a period of nine months; and the pilot study of intermediate-dose methotrexate conducted at the Roswell Park Memorial Institute, which indicated, in a nonrandomized fashion, its effectiveness as therapy for ALL, particu-
The pharmacologic basis of this study included the following: (1) reports showing that intravenous intermediate-dose methotrexate resulted in methotrexate levels of $10^{-7}$ M that reached the central-nervous-system axis and diffused into the cerebrospinal fluid; (2) the studies of Oldendorf and Davson, using $[^{14}C]$ sucrose in rabbits, and of Bourke et al., using $[^{14}C]$ fluourouracil in monkeys, which demonstrated that the concomitant use of intrathecal and intravenous injection led to higher levels of drug in the cerebrospinal fluid and a more even distribution throughout the central nervous system than the use of either method alone; and (3) the finding that when methotrexate was given only by lumbar puncture the distribution of the drug throughout the cerebrospinal fluid varied greatly. Studies in human beings have corroborated these observations in animals — i.e., that higher cerebrospinal-fluid levels of methotrexate are present for longer periods when the drug is administered by concomitant intrathecal and intravenous injection rather than by either technique alone. Thus, the technique used in the present study of simultaneous intermediate-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal methotrexate enabled the physician to bathe the entire central-nervous-system axis more effectively. After a dose of 500 mg per square meter the serum methotrexate levels remained at $10^{-5}$ M for the 24-hour infusion period. It was also anticipated that intermediate-dose methotrexate would afford protection to other "sanctuary" sites, such as the gonads, liver, and spleen. Recently, Riccardi et al. have shown in rats that the gradient between methotrexate in serum and methotrexate in the interstitial tissue of the testes was 4:1, suggesting that higher doses are more effective in eradicating leukemic cells in the testes.

An alternative treatment to cranial irradiation has become particularly important, since recent evidence has indicated that cranial irradiation is toxic to the brain. In a study by Moss et al. children with ALL treated with cranial irradiation plus multiple doses of intrathecal chemotherapy had a significant mean drop in IQ of approximately 12 points, as compared with their siblings. Brecher et al. compared 106 children entered in three CALGB studies who had been randomized to receive intrathecal methotrexate alone, intrathecal methotrexate plus cranial irradiation, or intrathecal methotrexate plus intermediate-dose methotrexate. The children who received cranial irradiation had significantly lower performance and verbal IQs than those not given irradiation. The mean drop in IQ was again approximately 12 points. There were no differences between the patients who received intermediate-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal methotrexate and those who received intrathecal methotrexate alone.

It should be pointed out that there are risks to the use of intermediate-dose methotrexate alone. Cohen et al. have reported an increased incidence of electroencephalographic abnormalities in patients given this type of dosage, although these abnormalities have so far not been accompanied by an increased incidence of convulsions. The potential late deleterious effect of both intermediate-dose methotrexate and cranial irra-
diation is under careful investigation in an ongoing longitudinal study of psychometric, cognitive, neurologic, and endocrine functions in long-term survivors treated according to CALGB protocols.

In this study, although continuous complete remission was the same among standard-risk and increased-risk patients receiving either intermediate-dose methotrexate or cranial irradiation, the pattern of relapse was different. Standard-risk patients receiving intermediate-dose methotrexate had significantly fewer bone-marrow relapses (P<0.01) but significantly more central-nervous-system relapses (P = 0.01). Increased-risk patients receiving cranial irradiation also had significantly fewer central-nervous-system relapses (P = 0.03). Also noteworthy was the significantly lower number of testicular relapses in male patients receiving intermediate-dose methotrexate (P = 0.01). The difference in the pattern of relapse is important, because the salvage rate among children with an isolated central-nervous-system relapse has been shown to be significant.34,35 Indeed, to date the Children’s Cancer Study Group has not found any negative effect on survival related to an isolated central-nervous-system relapse.2 Furthermore, Bowman et al. have observed that an isolated testicular relapse during maintenance therapy is followed by systemic relapse in a median period of three months, thereby indicating a poor prognosis; in contrast, patients with an isolated testicular relapse after cessation of therapy have a significant salvage rate.36 Moreover, apart from children given bone-marrow transplants,37 virtually no children who have a bone-marrow relapse while receiving chemotherapy are cured. Thus, an isolated central-nervous-system relapse during therapy does not carry as grave a prognosis as does a bone-marrow relapse2 or a testicular relapse.36

Three courses of intermediate-dose methotrexate, along with only six doses of intrathecal methotrexate, appear to be insufficient prophylaxis for the central nervous system of patients with ALL. Van Eys,38 Komp,39 and Sullivan40 and their colleagues, reporting on the experience of the Southwest Oncology Group, have shown that among patients with ALL (except for those with T-cell ALL) who were at standard or increased risk, there was no difference between patients receiving cranial irradiation plus intrathecal chemotherapy and those receiving multiple repetitive intrathecal chemotherapy for up to three years, in terms of central-nervous-system protection or the duration of complete remission. In both groups the incidence of central-nervous-system relapse as the first site of treatment failure was less than 10 per cent. An alternative approach was that of the Children’s Cancer Study Group, which has demonstrated that 1800 rad was as effective as 2400 rad in providing central-nervous-system protection in children with ALL.41

A pilot study conducted at the Roswell Park Memorial Institute has shown that increased-risk patients receiving six courses of intermediate-dose methotrexate had a significantly longer duration of complete remission, which was attributed to the occurrence of fewer systemic relapses, as compared with patients receiving only three courses of intermediate-dose methotrexate.42 Moreover, the West Berlin Study Group has reported that very intensive therapy given soon after remission improves the prognosis among increased-risk patients with ALL.43

Thus, it appears that in a substantial proportion of patients, intensive repetitive intrathecal chemotherapy, along with repetitive intermediate-dose methotrexate and early intensive therapy, could result in an improved rate of complete remission and probably prevent the potential neurotoxicity of cranial irradiation. Such a study is being conducted by the Pediatric Oncology Group. Prophylactic cranial irradiation can probably be avoided in standard-risk patients with ALL and in a proportion of increased-risk patients. Nonetheless, at present it is likely that some increased-risk patients will still require cranial irradiation; these may include patients presenting with T-cell ALL or pre-B-cell ALL, who have a higher incidence of extramedullary relapse, or those presenting with a very high white-cell count.38,39 Perhaps the dose of cranial irradiation in this group could be decreased to 1800 rad, which might decrease the toxicity to the central nervous system. Indeed, in these increased-risk subgroups it appears reasonable to test delaying cranial irradiation and first administering intensive therapy including intermediate-dose methotrexate plus intrathecal chemotherapy. This approach might prevent the additive toxicity of cranial irradiation and systemic methotrexate, since it has been suggested that cranial irradiation alters the blood–brain barrier, paving the way for methotrexate-induced central-nervous-system

---

Table 5. Toxic Complications of Intermediate-Dose Methotrexate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Mild to Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mucositis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>68 (38)</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>25 (15)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>19 (11)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematologic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>18 (11)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infection</strong></td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Definitions**

- Oral erythema or pain
- Ulcers but ability to eat
- Inability to eat, drooling, or both, secondary to ulcers
- White cells <1.0 x 10^9/l
- Neutrophils <500 x 10^9/l
- Platelets <50,000 x 10^9/l
- Up to three time the upper normal level of enzymes
- Occasional abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting, and diarrhea
- Temperature >38°C, systemic signs of infection, severe local infection, or visceral sepsis — i.e., life-threatening

*Percentage of patients in whom toxicity data were adequately reported.
†Derived from CALGB criteria.
leukoencephalopathy. By reversing this order of events, one might avoid toxicity and still retain the additive benefit of both modalities. In our study the toxicity of intermediate-dose methotrexate was within acceptable limits. Neither a drop in IQ nor leukoencephalopathy was noted, and bone-marrow depression was mild, but an increased number of electroencephalographic abnormalities was observed. Oral ulcerations were the most troublesome problem.

In conclusion, although there was no difference in complete remission in association with prophylactic therapy within the confines of this study, intermediate-dose methotrexate conferred greater protection to the bone marrow in standard-risk patients and to the testes in male patients, whereas cranial irradiation conferred greater protection to the central nervous system. However, the use of intermediate-dose methotrexate probably avoids the long-term toxicity to the central nervous system that is inherent in cranial irradiation. Furthermore, intensifying intrathecal chemotherapy may replace the need for cranial irradiation in many children with ALL.
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