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Role of Epigenetic Modification and Immunomodulation in a Murine Prostate Cancer Model

Jay E. Sulek,1* Samuel P. Robinson,1 Albert A. Petrossian,1 Shaoqing Zhou,1 Ekaterine Goliadze,1,2 Masoud H. Manjili,2,3 Amir Toor,2 and Georgi Guruli1,2

1Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center, Richmond, Virginia
2Massey Cancer Center, Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center, Richmond, Virginia
3Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia

INTRODUCTION. Decreased expression of highly immunogenic cancer-testis antigens (CTA) might help tumor to achieve low immunogenicity, escape immune surveillance and grow unimpeded. Our aim was to evaluate CTA expression in tumor and normal tissues and to investigate possible means of improving the immune response in a murine prostate cancer (CaP) model by using the combination of epigenetic modifier 5-azacitidine (5-AzaC) and immunomodulator lenalidomide. No study to date has examined the effect of this combination on the prostate cancer or its impact on antigen-presenting cells (APC).

MATERIALS AND METHODS. Gene microarrays were performed to compare expression of several CTA in murine prostate cancer (RM-1 cells) and normal prostate. RM-1 cells were treated with 5-AzaC and real-time PCR was performed to investigate the expression of several CTA. Western blotting was used to determine whether expression of CTA-specific mRNA induced by 5-AzaC resulted in increase in the corresponding protein. Effect of the epigenetic agents and immunomodulators was assessed on dendritic cells (DC) using flow cytometry, ELISA and T-cell proliferation assay.

RESULTS. Gene arrays demonstrated decreased expression of 35 CTA in CaP tissue compared to normal prostate. 5-AzaC treatment of RM-1 prostate cancer cells upregulated the expression of all 13 CTA tested in a dose-dependent fashion. DC were treated with 5-AzaC and real-time PCR was performed to investigate the expression of several CTA. Western blotting was used to determine whether expression of CTA-specific mRNA induced by 5-AzaC resulted in increase in the corresponding protein. Effect of the epigenetic agents and immunomodulators was assessed on dendritic cells (DC) using flow cytometry, ELISA and T-cell proliferation assay.

CONCLUSIONS. Decreased expression of CTA by prostate cancer may be a means of escaping immune monitoring. Combination of epigenetic modifications and immunomodulation by 5-AzaC and lenalidomide increased tumor immunogenicity and enhanced DC function and may be used in the treatment of advanced prostate cancer. Prostate 77: 361–373, 2017. © 2016 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

The mainstay therapy for advanced prostate cancer is androgen deprivation therapy. However, following an initial period of positive response, prostate cancer over time becomes resistant to hormone therapy. Few options are available at that time and no treatment provides...
long-term survival or cure. There is therefore an urgent need to develop alternative effective treatment modalities for advanced prostate cancer. In this article, we explored the value of epigenetic modification and immunomodulation in the prostate cancer environment.

One type of epigenetic aberration is DNA methylation which can occur as either hypo- or hypermethylation. Both forms can lead to chromosomal instability and transcriptional gene silencing and both have been implicated in a variety of human malignancies including prostate cancer [1]. Unlike genetic alterations such as mutations, epigenetic changes such as DNA methylation are potentially reversible. This property makes epigenetic modulation an attractive target for cancer therapy.

Although the list of epigenetically regulated genes continues to grow, only a few genes have, so far, given promising results as potential tumor biomarkers for early diagnosis and risk assessment of prostate cancer. Thus, large-scale screening of aberrant epigenetic events such as DNA hypermethylation is needed to identify prostate cancer-specific epigenetic fingerprints. More studies into the mechanism and consequence of demethylation are required before the cancer epigenome can be safely manipulated with therapeutics as a treatment modality.

5-Azacytidine and 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine, nucleoside analog inhibitors of DNA methyltransferases (DNMT), have been widely used to reverse abnormal DNA hypermethylation and restore silenced gene expression. This induces changes which may be associated with development of a favorable phenotype in prostate cancer and could potentially be exploited in cancer immunotherapy.

One of the goals of cancer immunotherapy has been to find tumor-associated antigens (TAA) suitable as specific targets for immunotherapy. The ideal TAA is only expressed on tumor cells, is indispensable to tumor cell function, is expressed on most or all tumor cells, and induces a strong host immune response. Cancer testis antigens (CTA) are a group of in many cases highly immunogenic TAA expressed in embryonic stem cells and testicular germ cells which have attracted interest as potential targets of immunotherapy [2]. Despite the fact that most human malignancies simultaneously express multiple CTA, immune response to those antigens seems limited. In part, this is due to levels of expression that may be below the threshold for immune recognition in vivo. Epigenetic events, particularly DNA methylation, appear to be the primary mechanism regulating CTA expression in both normal and transformed cells, as well as in cancer stem cells [3–6].

Better antigen expression is not the only requirement of the immune response. Immune response must be tailored to that antigen. In this regard, we are exploring the impact of the immunomodulator lenalidomide—which has been shown to augment both innate and adaptive immune response and to improve antitumor effect [7–9]—in combination with DNA methylation inhibitors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Male C57BL/6 mice 6–8 weeks old and male BALB/c mice 6–8 weeks old were obtained from Taconic Farms (Germantown, NY). Animals were maintained at the Central Animal Facility at the Virginia Commonwealth University according to standard guidelines. All protocols used in this study were approved by the VCU Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Dendritic Cells

DC were generated from mice bone marrow cell precursors. Bone marrow cells were collected from tibias and femurs of Male C57BL/6 animals and resuspended in complete media, consisting of RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% Heat Inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Quality Biological, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD), 10 mM MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (Gibco), 100 U/ml penicillin (Gibco), 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Gibco). The cell suspension was disrupted by pipetting, filtered through a 70-μm filter, and then cells were depleted of RBC with ACK lysing buffer (Quality Biological, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) for 2–3 min. Cells were incubated overnight in six-well plates at a concentration of 10⁶ cells/ml in 4 ml of complete media per well. The next day, non-adherent cells were collected by gentle pipetting and were then resuspended at a concentration of 250,000 cells/ml in complete medium.

Both recombinant murine GM-CSF (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and recombinant murine IL-4 (Gemini Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA) were added to a final concentration of 50 ng/ml. Cells were cultured in six-well plates (4 ml/well) for 7 days at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ with an additional supplementation of GM-CSF and IL-4 on Day 4. For differentiation into mature DC, cells were additionally stimulated on Day 5 with 50 ng/ml TNFα (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 48 hr. On Day 7, immature and mature dendritic cells were harvested for further studies.

Prostate Cancer Cell Line

RM-1 cell line is an androgen-independent murine prostate cancer cell line. It was a gift from Dr. Timothy C. Thompson (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston,
TX). This model was generated by transduction of cells with the ras and myc oncogenes, yielding a poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma. Tumor cells were maintained in complete media at 37°C in 5% CO2. For in vivo studies, RM-1 cells (50,000 cells/100 μl) were inoculated subcutaneously (SC) into the right shaved flank of C57BL/6 mice, and tumor establishment was determined by palpation. Tumor growth was assessed every other day by microcallipers. Mice were euthanized when tumors reached a volume of 3,000 mm3 or if the mice became moribund or cachectic.

**Study Compounds**

Lenalidomide and 5-AzaC were free gifts from Celgene Corporation (Summit, NJ). Lenalidomide was dissolved in 100% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) before further dilution in cell culture media. Final DMSO concentrations were kept at a constant 0.1% for all samples, including controls, unless otherwise stated. Lenalidomide was used at a final concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0 and 10 μM. 5-AzaC was dissolved as 1.0 mmol/L stock solution in PBS and stored at −20°C. 5-AzaC was added to tissue culture medium daily at final concentrations of 1.0 and 0.5 μM. Both drugs were maintained as stock solutions for in vitro experiments at −20°C for no longer than 1 month.

**Cell Proliferation Assay**

Cell proliferation was measured using a colorimetric assay with WST-1 reagent, which quantifies mitochondrial metabolic activity of viable cells per manufacturer’s instructions (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Cells were cultured in 96-well microplates in a concentration of 5 × 104 cells/ml (in RPMI with 10% FBS) and cultivated for 48 hr in a humidified atmosphere (37.0°C; 5% CO2). After 44 hr, 10 μl of WST-1 was added and cells were incubated for an additional 4 hr. During this incubation period, viable cells convert WST-1 to a water soluble formazan dye. Cell viability was measured at 450 nm in a microplate reader (Bio Rad) (Reference wavelength: 655 nm). Combined results of three experiments are presented.

**Annexin V Assay**

DC were collected and double stained with FITC-conjugated annexin V and 7-AAD, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Biolegend, San Diego, CA). Briefly, DC were collected after 48 hr of culture under different conditions, washed twice with cold Biolegend’s Cell Staining Buffer and then resuspended in Annexin V Binding Buffer at a concentration of 105 cells/ml. Cells were incubated with Annexin V-FITC and 7-AAD for 15 min at room temperature. After washing steps, all samples were analyzed within 30 min. Data were acquired using a BD FACSCANTO II benchtop analyzer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analysis was performed using BD FACSDiva software (BD) and FCS Express (De novo software, Los Angeles, CA).

**Flow Cytometry**

DC phenotype was evaluated using flow cytometry analysis. Dendritic cells were collected and suspended in FACS buffer (1% FCS and 15 mM NaNO3 in PBS). Cells were reincubated for 15 min with immunoglobulin constant Fragment (Fc)−receptor blocking antibody (purified anti-CD16/CD32, Clone 93) to reduce nonspecific binding. Then dendritic cells were stained for 20 min at 4°C with optimal dilution of relevant antibodies directly conjugated with Alexa Fluor or PE. The following mAbs (clone name given in parentheses) were used: Alexa Fluor-labeled anti-mouse CD11c (clone N418, Invitrogen) and PE-labeled anti-mouse CD40 (clone 3/23, Invitrogen), F4/80 (clone BM8, Invitrogen), CD86 (clone F0.3, Invitrogen), MHC class II (I-A) (clone NIMR-4, eBioscience, San Diego, CA), CD205 (clone NLDC-145, Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA), I-Ad/I-Ed (clone 2G9, Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). DC were identified based on forward scatter, CD11c expression and low auto fluorescence. We collected 10,000 events per sample. Data were acquired using a BD FACSCANTO II benchtop analyzer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA) and analysis was performed using BD FACSDiva software (BD) and FCS Express (De novo software, Los Angeles, CA).

**Cytokine Detection Assay**

DC culture supernatants were harvested and cellular debris was removed by centrifugation. Concentration of soluble cytokines IL-12-p70 and IL-15 were measured by eBioscience’s (San Diego, CA) quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. Briefly, 96-well Corning Costar ELISA plates were coated with the appropriate capture antibodies overnight. After blocking the plates and a further 2 hr incubation with supernatants or standard, the plates were developed using biotin-conjugated anticytokine antibodies. Samples and standards were run as triplicates in every assay, and were read at 450 nm wavelength on a benchmark microplate reader (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA). Cytokine concentrations were normalized based on
cell counts and determined by computer software-generated interpolation from the standard curve. They are presented as pmol/ml.

**RNA Isolation**

At the time of euthanasia, tissues were harvested in an RNase-free manner. Tumor or normal prostate were either snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until RNA isolation or used immediately for RNA isolation. RNA from snap frozen tissue or fresh tissue was routinely isolated by TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). For that, tissue was placed in cold TRIzol (4°C) and immediately homogenized using a Bio-Gen PRO200 Homogenizer (Pro scientific Inc., Oxford, CT) The protocol for TRIzol isolation was then completed following the manufacturer’s instructions. Isolated RNA was purified with an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). RNA yields were determined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm.

**Gene Microarray**

Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 V2.0 was used for RM-1 cells and normal murine prostate tissue cells with 4500 probes. Following the RNA isolation procedure, synthesis of double-stranded cDNA was performed using the GeneChip 3’ IVT Plus Reagent kit from Affymetrix (Santa Clara, CA). For first-strand cDNA synthesis, a T7-Oligo(dT) primer containing a T7 promoter site was used. After second-strand synthesis, the double-stranded cDNA was used as a template for in vitro transcription. In this step, labeled complementary RNA (cRNA) was synthesized and amplified by in vitro transcription (IVT) of the second-stranded cDNA template using T7 RNA polymerase. The cRNA was then purified to remove enzymes, salts, inorganic phosphates and unincorporated nucleotides. Following quantitation at A260, the labeled cRNA was fragmented to 35–200 base fragments by divalent cations and elevated temperature. Fifteen μg of fragmented cRNA were hybridized for sixteen hours at 45°C in the appropriate GeneChip Probe Array using the GeneChip Hybridization Oven. Spiked hybridization controls include labeled transcripts from *Escherichia coli bioB, bioC and bioD*, from bacteriophage P1, and synthetic Oligo B2. Following hybridization, the arrays were washed and stained in an Affymetrix GeneChip Fluidics Station. Staining was done in a three-step procedure starting with a streptavidin-phycocerythrin staining solution, followed by incubation with biotinylated antistreptavidin and finally a second staining with streptavidin-phycocerythrin. Stained arrays were scanned using Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner. Analysis of data was performed using the Affymetrix Expression Console Software. In a basic initial analysis, genes that had fold change values of >1.0 and a P-value <0.05 were considered significantly differentially expressed for analysis.

**Quantitative Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)**

After RNA isolation, cDNA was synthesized using the ThermoScript RT-PCR System (Invitrogen) from 1 μg of total RNA using Random Primer. In each experiment, at least three independent reactions were performed to obtain the mean. QRT-PCR was performed in triplicate including a non-template control using the Mx3000P system (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA). Oligos (Invitrogen) were designed using Primer3 software (White head Institute of Biomedical Research MIT, Boston, MA), and are presented in Table I. GAPDH and P1A Primers were obtained from Qiagen.

Real-time RT-PCR reactions were performed in 20 μl volumes with 10 μl of SensiFAST SYBR Lo-ROX Kit (Bioline, Taunton, MA) 2 μl of cDNA template and 0.5 μl each of the forward and reverse primers of the gene of interest (GOI). The cDNA used for the PCR reactions was diluted 1:35 for each GOI. PCR conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation step (10 min at 95°C), 40 cycles consisting of three steps- (30 sec at 95°C, 1 min at 55°C, 30 sec at 72°C), and 1 cycle consisting of three steps (1 min at 95°C, 30 sec at 55°C, and 30 sec at 95°C). The cycle threshold (CT) value was the PCR cycle number in which the fluorescence signal was significantly distinguishable from the baseline for the first time.

The housekeeping gene (GAPDH) was used as an endogenous control for target gene expression evaluation. Expression values of each gene were normalized to the expression of GAPDH of a given sample. Data were presented by the relative amount of mRNA with the formula 2^−ΔΔCT, which stands for the difference between the CT of a gene of interest and the CT of the housekeeping gene (GAPDH).

**Western Blots**

For Western blot analyses, protein was harvested from cells plated to 70–80% confluence. Cells were homogenized in RIPA lysis buffer (20 mM tris, 50 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X–100 (TX-100), and 0.1% SDS, pH 7.4), containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (1:100, Sigma) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails 1 and 3 (both at 1:200, Sigma). Lysates were cleared by
centrifugation (20 min at 14,000 g), diluted with 2 × SDS sample buffer and boiled.

Immunoblotting was conducted by standard protocols with an equal amount of total protein (10 μg) per lane. The protein extracts were loaded, size-fractionated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories). After blocking, the membranes were incubated with the specific rabbit polyclonal antibodies in dilution buffer at 4°C overnight. The blotted membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000) at room temperature for 2 hr. Subsequently, the targeting protein expression level was detected and visualized using the Enhanced Chemiluminescence (ECL) detection system. Primary antibodies Cyclin A1 (H-230) and CEP55 (H-300) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used at 1:500 dilution. GAPDH was used as the internal control.

**T Cell Proliferation Assay**

Functional activity of DC was determined in the primary allogeneic mixed leukocyte reaction (MLR) assay using mouse T cell enrichment columns (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Dendritic cells were stimulated with TNFα and treated with different concentrations of 5-AzaC. DC were collected after 48 hr of culture under different conditions, washed twice to remove any cytokine and cocultured with freshly purified 10^5 Allogeneic CD3+ T cells. The MLR assays were carried out in round bottomed 96-well plates where DC were added in triplicates in graded doses (10^3–10^5 cells/well) to T cells (3 × 10^5 cells/well) in a total volume of 200 μl. Proliferation of T cells was measured by uptake of 3H-thymidine (1 Ci/well, 5 Ci/mmol;) pulsed for 16–18 hr after 3 days in culture. Incorporation of 3H-thymidine was determined on a LS 6500 Scintillation System (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA).

**Statistical Analysis**

The Student t test was used for comparison of two groups (SigmaPlot Software; SPSS, Chicago, IL). If data distribution was not normal, the Mann–Whitney rank sum test was used instead. A z-test was performed to evaluate the significance of differences between the experimental groups in the flow cytometry assays.
when discrete data were presented. For all analysis, the level of significance was set at a probability of 0.05 to be considered significant. Data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

RESULTS

Influence of 5-AzaC and Lenalidomide on RM-1 Cells Proliferation

Cell proliferation assay. RM-1 cells in suspension were exposed to 4 different concentrations of lenalidomide (0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 10 μM). A DMSO control and one group with no treatment were also included. The cell proliferation assay was then performed. The differences in cell proliferation between groups did not reach statistical significance. For 5-AzaC, 2 different concentrations (0.5 and 1.0 μM) were used. One group with no treatment was also included as a control. Cell proliferation decreased in a dose-dependent fashion with increasing concentrations of 5-AzaC. The difference between the control and RM-1 cells treated with both concentrations of 5-AzaC were statistically significant ($P = 0.016$ for the group treated with the concentration of 0.5 μM and $P = 0.004$ for the group treated with the concentration of 1.0 μM of 5-AzaC). There was no statistically significant difference between the 5-AzaC treated groups. Addition of lenalidomide (0.5 μM) did not significantly affect cell proliferation.

Dendritic Cell Apoptosis Induced by 5-Azacitidine and Lenalidomide

We utilized Annexin V/7-ADD staining to establish noncytotoxic concentrations for 5-AzaC and lenalidomide. Cells were treated with various concentrations of 5-AzaC and lenalidomide alone and in combination. Results are presented in Figure 1. Apoptosis was increased with higher concentrations of 5-AzaC alone. Lenalidomide had a milder effect on DC death as concentration increased. Addition of lenalidomide to 5-AzaC seems to lower apoptotic rate at lower concentrations of 5-AzaC, but higher concentrations of 5-AzaC still seems to be quite toxic to DC. These experiments allowed the establishment of nontoxic concentrations of these compounds for ex vivo studies.

Expression of Co-Stimulatory Molecules on Dendritic Cells

Dendritic cells initiate T-cell activation by presenting MHC-bound antigen and co-stimulation markers to the naive T-cell. The maturation status of DC is a key factor required for the induction of a specific immune response, and relies on the presentation of antigens by fully mature DC. Lenalidomide (0.5 μM), 5-AzaC (1.0 μM) and the combination of the two were added during the last 48 hr of culture after which time DC were harvested, stained with antibodies for MHC class I, MHC class II, CD40, CD80, CD86, and CD205 and flow cytometry was performed. The experiment was repeated three times and the composite results are presented in Figure 2. As demonstrated, there was an increase in the expression of all DC markers when exposed to lenalidomide or 5-AzaC. The greatest increase in markers was seen with the combination of the two drugs, and that increase in expression was between...
Fig. 2. DC were analyzed after 7 days of culture with GM-CSF and IL-4, with addition of TNFα for the last 48 hr. Lenalidomide (0.5 μM) and 5-AzaC (1.0 μM) were added alone or in combination to the DC culture during the last 48 hr, together with TNFα. DC treated with DMSO (0.1%, solvent for lenalidomide) provided control. Treated cells were harvested and washed in FACS buffer and stained with appropriately diluted antibodies directly conjugated with Alexa Flour or PE. DC were evaluated phenotypically by flow cytometry to assess expression of surface molecules. Flow cytometry analysis revealed that the percentage of double-positive CD11c/MHC II, CD11c/CD86, CD11c/CD80, CD11c/MHC I, CD11c/CD205, and CD11c/CD40 cells was increased after their treatment with 5-AzaC and lenalidomide. DC, dendritic cells; mDC, mature DC; LN, lenalidomide; 5-AzaC, 5-azacitidine. A: Bar graph depicting the expression of co-stimulatory molecules on DC (combined results). * Depicts statistically significant difference in comparison to mature DC. B: Comparison dot-plots for the expression of co-stimulatory molecules by mature dendritic cells treated with solvent (DMSO, 0.1%) and with the combination of 5-azacitidine (5-AzaC) and lenalidomide (LN). The results of one of three representative experiments are shown.
11% and 22% for different markers. Results achieved statistical significance ($P < 0.001$).

**ELISA for IL-12 and IL-15**

Dendritic cells were grown in culture, matured with TNFα, and treated for the last 48 hr with 1.0 μM 5-AzaC and/or 0.5 μM lenalidomide. Untreated DC provided the control (Fig. 3). The production of IL-12 and IL-15 was identified in all groups using ELISA. Administration of 5-AzaC resulted in statistically significant increase in the production of both IL-12 and IL-15 ($P < 0.001$ for both). Administration of lenalidomide alone had no significant effect on the level of either cytokine. Combined use of 5-AzaC and lenalidomide resulted in significantly elevated production of both cytokines in comparison to mature DC ($P < 0.001$) and DC treated with lenalidomide only. Comparing the combined group to that treated with 5-AzaC alone, production of IL-15 was significantly increased ($P < 0.001$) in the combined group. IL-12 production was increased in the combined group but increase did not reach statistical significance ($P = 0.08$).

**T-Cell Proliferation Assay**

In this experiment, we evaluated the influence of 5-AzaC (concentration 1.0 μM) and or lenalidomide (concentration 0.5 μM) on the ability of DC to stimulate T cells. DC were generated from bone marrow progenitors of C57BL/6 mice. Allogeneic T cells, obtained from the spleens of BALB/c mice, served as responders. DC were stimulated with TNFα and were exposed to 5-AzaC and/or lenalidomide for the last 48 hr of culture. Plain DC and DC with TNFα alone served as controls. Both 5-AzaC and lenalidomide induced activation of DC. Difference in T cell stimulation for the 5-AzaC alone and lenalidomide alone groups was statistically significant for the DC concentrations 1:27 and 1:81 ($P < 0.001$) compared to controls. Exposure to both lenalidomide and 5-AzaC resulted in a statistically significant difference in T cell stimulation for DC concentrations of 1:27, 1:81, 1:243, and 1:729 compared to controls. T cell stimulation for this combined group was statistically increased compared to the lenalidomide alone group at all concentrations and was statistically increased compared to the 5-AzaC alone group at concentrations of 1:27 and 1:81 ($P = 0.024$) (Fig. 4).

**Gene Arrays**

Expression of CTA in normal murine prostate tissue and RM-1 prostate cancer tumor cells was

![Fig. 3. The production of IL-12 and IL-15 by mature DC (treated with TNFα) after exposure to 5-AzaC (1.0 μM) only, lenalidomide (0.5 μM) only, and co-treatment groups for 48 hr. Supernatant of DC were collected after the treatment and ELISA was performed to determine the concentration of cytokines. DC, dendritic cells; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor α; 5-AzaC, 5-azacitidine; Len, Lenalidomide. *Depicts statistically significant difference in comparison to mature DC.](image-url)

![Fig. 4. 5-AzaC and Lenalidomide increase the ability of mature DC to stimulate T-cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner in a standard MLR. Allogenic T cells obtained from the spleens, served as responders. DC used as stimulators were generated from bone marrow progenitors. DC were matured with TNFα for 48 hr. Mature DC were treated with 5-AzaC (1.0 μM) only, Lenalidomide (0.5 μM) only, or combined 5-AzaC/Lenalidomide. DC were then washed, resuspended in complete medium, counted using trypan blue, and added to T cells at different ratios in triplicates. The starting number of live (trypan blue negative) DC was the same in each group. DC were added in graded doses ($10^3–10^5$ cells/well) to T cells ($3 \times 10^5$ cells/well) and proliferation of T cells was measured by uptake of $^3$H-thymidine. Data represent the mean ± S.E.M. of triplicate measurements from three independent experiments. Values represent the count per minute (cpm) in the presence or absence of study compounds. DC, dendritic cells; mDC, mature DC; 5-AzaC, 5-azacitidine, Len, Lenalidomide. *Depicts statistically significant difference between the mDC + 5-AzaC + Lenalidomide and mature DC groups.](image-url)
compared using gene array data (Table II). As shown, there was a downregulation in the expression of multiple CTA genes in prostate cancer cells in comparison to normal prostate.

**Effect of 5-AzaC on CTA Expression in RM-1 Prostate Cancer Cells**

We evaluated the ability of 5-AzaC to induce increased expression of different CTA in prostate cancer cells. For this purpose, murine prostate cancer cells (RM-1 cells) were exposed to two different concentrations of 5-AzaC for 2 days. The expression of 13 different CTA and fold change was evaluated by quantitative PCR. Results are presented in Table III and Figure 5. 5-AzaC induced increased expression of nearly all CTA in RM-1 cells after 2 days of incubation. There appears to be a dose-related response, with greater expression seen at a concentration of 1 μM of 5-AzaC. At this concentration, difference was statistically significant (P < 0.001) for all 13 CTA tested.

To make sure that increased CTA gene expression translated to an increase in expression of the corresponding proteins we performed western blot for 2 randomly selected CTA out of 13 (Cep55 and Cyclin A1). As demonstrated in Figure 5B, expression of

---

**TABLE II. The Microarray Expression Profile of 35 CTA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fold change (normal prostate/RM-1 cells)</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Probe description on MG 430 2.0 chip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>253.375</td>
<td>DKKL1</td>
<td>Dickkopf-like 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.026</td>
<td>TULP2</td>
<td>Tubby-like protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.535</td>
<td>Tex15</td>
<td>Testis expressed gene 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.591</td>
<td>Ndn.</td>
<td>Necdin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.833</td>
<td>Akap4</td>
<td>A kinase (PRKA) anchor protein 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.997</td>
<td>Acrbp</td>
<td>Proacrosin binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.901</td>
<td>ELOVL4</td>
<td>Elongation of very long chain fatty acids (FEN1/Elo2, SUR4/Elo3, yeast)-like 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.168</td>
<td>ODF4</td>
<td>Outer dense fiber of sperm tails 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5361</td>
<td>CCNA1</td>
<td>Cyclin A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.489</td>
<td>Tdrd1</td>
<td>Tudor domain containing 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.510</td>
<td>IL13RA2</td>
<td>Interleukin 13 receptor, alpha 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.728</td>
<td>Adam2</td>
<td>A disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.715</td>
<td>CASC5</td>
<td>Cancer susceptibility candidate 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.008</td>
<td>Cage1</td>
<td>Cancer antigen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>IGF2BP3</td>
<td>Insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA binding protein 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.532</td>
<td>GPATCH2</td>
<td>G patch domain containing 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.531</td>
<td>IGSF11</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin superfamily, member 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>JARID1B</td>
<td>Junonji, AT rich interactive domain 1B (Rbp2 like)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.167</td>
<td>Prme</td>
<td>Preferentially expressed antigen in melanoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.937</td>
<td>LYPD6B</td>
<td>LY6/PLAUR domain containing 6B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.882</td>
<td>Calr3</td>
<td>Calreticulin 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.573</td>
<td>Tex14</td>
<td>Testis expressed gene 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>Trap1a</td>
<td>Tumor rejection antigen P1A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>CTAGE5</td>
<td>CTAGE family, member 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.425</td>
<td>Ctf</td>
<td>CCCTC-binding factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>Spa17</td>
<td>Sperm autoantigenic protein 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>OIP5</td>
<td>Opa interacting protein 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.258</td>
<td>Tsga10</td>
<td>Testis specific 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.229</td>
<td>Cep55</td>
<td>Centrosomal protein 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>PLAC1</td>
<td>Placental specific protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>TTK</td>
<td>Ttk protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.083</td>
<td>Atad2</td>
<td>ATPase family, AAA domain containing 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>SPAG1</td>
<td>Sperm associated antigen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>DPPA2</td>
<td>Developmental pluripotency associated 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>NUF2</td>
<td>NUF2, NDC80 kinetochore complex component</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CEP55 and Cyclin A1 increased in a dose-dependent fashion with exposure to 5-AzaC.

We also exposed RM-1 prostate cancer cells to lenalidomide (at concentrations 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 10 μM), alone and in combination with 5-AzaC (at concentrations 0.5 and 1.0 μM). Exposure to lenalidomide did not alter expression of CTA by prostate cancer cells in either situation in comparison to controls, which were RM-1 cells for lenalidomide alone and RM-1 cells + 5-AzaC for the combined group.

### DISCUSSION

The difficulty in treating metastatic, especially hormone-resistant, prostate cancer has long troubled researchers and clinicians alike. Recent advances in the understanding of tumor immunology have provided new direction in the search for novel therapeutic strategies. Immunotherapy holds promise for the treatment of prostate cancer and other urologic malignancies and the use of antigen-presenting cells (APC) has shown some success in the treatment of prostate cancer. Dendritic cells, as the most effective APC, play a critical role in induction of innate and adaptive antitumor immune responses. Due to their role in generating immune response, DC emerged as attractive candidates for vaccination protocols in cancer therapy. Development of DC therapy has been and remains a multi-step process comprising cell generation, maturation, antigen loading and delivery, and failure to optimize any one of these steps could lead to an ineffective vaccine. Thus, for immunotherapeutic applications, it appears very important to identify factors that might affect the differentiation, maturation and function of DC [10].

In this paper, we examine the effect of epigenetic modification and immunomodulation on DC and prostate cancer cells in vitro. 5-AzaC and lenalidomide have been used in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents with variable results in the treatment of prostate cancer [11–16]. The addition of lenalidomide to 5-AzaC has been shown to be of therapeutic benefit in the treatment of certain hematologic malignancies [17–19]. Despite the disappointing results of the MAINSAIL trial which was stopped prematurely due to decreased overall survival with lenalidomide, docetaxel and prednisone compared to docetaxel and prednisone [20], there have nevertheless been multiple encouraging studies showing activity of lenalidomide in prostate cancer [16,21–23]. Our hypothesis was that combining the immunomodulatory effects of lenalidomide with the epigenetic modification of 5-AzaC in the treatment of prostate cancer might yield better results than the separate combination of these agents with chemotherapeutic agents [24], which in most cases act as immunosuppressants and might actually counteract the actions of immunostimulatory drugs.

In our experiments, 5-AzaC resulted in a significant modulation of DC cytokine secretion, namely IL-12p70 and IL-15. We did not investigate the mechanisms of these changes. Epigenetic regulation of cytokine genes is a key factor in the initiation of immune response and, accordingly, 5-AzaC might influence DC gene expression. 5-AzaC as well as lenalidomide are compounds with anti-tumor activity. One of the mechanisms by which these drugs could enhance anti-cancer immunity may be through clinic.

### TABLE III. Change in the Expression of CTA in RM-1 Cells After 5-Azacitidine Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene description</th>
<th>RM-1</th>
<th>RM-1 + 5AzaC 0.5 μM</th>
<th>RM-1 + 5AzaC 1.0 μM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acrbp</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>7.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adam2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akap4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>7.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boris</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CcnA1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cep55</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>10.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dkk1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necdin</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odf4</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>9.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1a</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spa17</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tex15</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tdrd1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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enhanced dendritic cell function. One possible mode of action by which our study compounds may be immunomodulatory is by the induction of DC maturation by the upregulation of key molecules. We assessed the phenotypic markers of maturation and there were significant increases in CD86, CD80, CD40, CD205, MHC Class1, MHC Class II expression in DC exposed to the combination of 5-AzaC and lenalidomide (Fig. 2). There was also significant increase of the DC ability to stimulate T cells in the mixed leukocyte reaction with addition of 5-AzaC and lenalidomide to DC culture (Fig. 4). The effect was dose-dependent and statistically significant. These results suggest that the combination of 5-AzaC and lenalidomide might increase proinflammatory functions of DC, and thus should improve antitumor activity of DC-based vaccines.

We also examined the effect of 5-AzaC and lenalidomide on prostate cancer cells. First, we evaluated the expression of multiple CTA by RM-1 cells. We have been successful in identifying 35 CTA that are downregulated in prostate tumor. This was achieved using an Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Genome 430 2.0 microarrays to assess differential gene expression in prostate tumor and normal samples. To confirm the relative fold change values obtained by microarray analyses, we performed qRT-PCR assays for all 35 genes. All genes tested showed similar expression patterns for both qRT-PCR and microarray assays.
Epigenetic events, particularly DNA methylation, appear to be the primary mechanism regulating CTA expression. The first evidence that CTA expression was regulated by DNA methylation was provided by Weber et al. [3] Promoter methylation is the molecular mechanism directly responsible for the highly heterogeneous intratumor expression of CTA. We speculated that 5-AzaC would induce increased expression of downregulated CTA in prostate cancer tissue and should make cells more accessible to host immune system. In fact, no major up-regulation of CTA expression should be expected in tissues expressing constitutively high levels of CTA because of their heavily hypomethylated promoter, whereas a strong up-regulation should be anticipated in tissues expressing low baseline levels of CTA as the result of an extensively hypermethylated CTA promoter.

CTA include genes involved in cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, protein synthesis and degradation as well as transcription factors, and oncogenes [25]. Not surprisingly, CTA are oftentimes upregulated in cancer. For example, CAGE is frequently found to be hypomethylated in prostate cancer which results in high expression. Several studies have demonstrated aberrant expression of AKAP-4 in prostate cancer making it a potential biomarker candidate. However, CTA expression is not always upregulated in cancer cells. Necdin expression, for example, is repressed in several tumor cell types including melanoma, prostate and breast cancer cell lines. In our study, it was interesting to see downregulation of multiple CTA in our prostate cancer model.

The CTA found to be most downregulated in prostate cancer cells on gene microarray were used as markers for further investigation with quantitative PCR and Western blots. RM-1 cells were exposed to 5-AzaC at concentrations of 0.5 and 1.0 μm and qPCR was performed to compare the expression of various CTA before and after treatment. Results indicate that expression of nearly all CTA was increased by exposure of cells to 5-AzaC in a statistically significant dose-related response. To ensure that this finding would translate into actual protein expression, Western blots were used to confirm the trend of CTA upregulation with 5-AzaC exposure. CEP55, one of the genes investigated, is a known CTA found in breast, gastric, and bladder cancer [26–28] as well as in prostate cancer [29]. Western blot results for CEP55 and Cyclin 1A confirmed increased expression after exposure to 5-AzaC. Addition of lenalidomide alone or in combination with 5-AzaC does not seem to affect the expression of CTA by prostate cancer cells.

The current use of agents targeting epigenetic changes has become a topic of intense interest in cancer research. In this regard, 5-AzaC represents a promising epigenetic modulator [25], which has been studied in the prostate cancer environment. Our results suggest that 5-AzaC might increase antitumor immune response through upregulation of CTA and effect on DC. Lenalidomide is an immunomodulatory compound with anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and anticancer activity [16]. Combination of these agents showed promise in modifying immune response which could prove relevant in design of immune vaccines. Our future goal is to test this hypothesis in a mouse model in vivo and to work toward delineating the exact mechanism for the synergistic effects seen in the combination of these compounds. If clinical benefit can be proven in solid organ malignancies, it is possible that this combination could be added to current adoptive immunotherapeutic techniques to achieve greater efficacy. Though in our work lenalidomide did not appear to have direct effects on prostate cancer cells, it did show immunomodulatory effects on DC and may contribute to antitumor response.

There is growing evidence suggesting that the combination of drugs with different mechanisms of action might offer a potential benefit especially when positive effects of compounds are synergistic while sparing potential side effects and toxicities. Combined therapy with 5-AzaC and lenalidomide appears to be a potentially promising option for immunotherapy for prostate cancer.
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