

2017

Development of a Calculator For Medical Student Residency Applications

Steven H. Crossman
VCU

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/med_edu

 Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

© The Author(s)

Downloaded from

http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/med_edu/21

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Medicine at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Medical Education Symposium by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.



Development of a calculator for medical student residency applications

Steven Crossman, MD; Sharon Kaufer Flores, MS; Judy Gary, MEd

Background

- ❖ Number of medical students applying for residency increasing at a much faster pace than the number of residency slots
- ❖ Increased competition for residency positions
- ❖ Advisors suggesting students apply to a larger number of programs
- ❖ Residency programs receiving excessive numbers of applications


Process

Step 1: Completed a national survey asking respondents to agree or disagree with statements regarding how they rank applicants (Table 1)

Table 1: Survey Results. Frequency and percentage of Family Medicine Residency Programs (N=146) that responded "Agree" or "Strongly Agree" to Survey Questions.

Survey Question	Frequency of "Agree"	Percentage "Agree"	95% CI
8: "still rank if failed Step 1 ONCE"	134	91.8%	87.3%, 96.2%
6: "honest about applying to >1 specialty imp"	126	86.3%	80.7%, 91.9%
3: "rotation at specific prog inc. rank"	124	84.9%	79.1%, 90.7%
5: "applying to >1 specialty dec. rank"	122	83.6%	77.6%, 90.0%
10: "still rank if failed Step 2 CK ONCE"	117	80.1%	73.7%, 86.6%
16: "more than one FM letter inc. ranking"	113	77.4%	70.6%, 84.2%
24: "post interview communication inc. rank"	108	74.0%	66.9%, 81.1%
17: "4 th year letter important"	102	69.9%	62.4%, 77.3%
14: "repeat M1 or M2 year - still rankable"	94	64.4%	56.6%, 72.2%
18: "personal tie to geographic area imp"	66	45.2%	37.1%, 53.3%
23: "FM research exp. does not inc. rank"	65	44.5%	36.5%, 52.6%
9: "still rank if failed Step 1 TWICE"	49	33.6%	25.9%, 41.2%

Step 2: Researched data on student rank list length and match rate into family medicine from the National Residency Match Program (Figure 1)



Source: NRMP Data Warehouse. Note: Probabilities calculated based on 2014-2016 applicants. Copyright ©2016 NRMP. Reproduction prohibited without the written permission of the NRMP. 66 Charting Outcomes in the Match for U.S. Allopathic Seniors, 2016

Step 3: Created a calculator using an Excel spreadsheet which generates a student specific number of programs for application (Figure 2)

STUDENT	Std.	Repeat M1/M2	1 Step 1 Fail	2 Step 1 Fails	1 Step 2 CK Fail	2 Step 2 CK Fails	1 Step 2 CS Fail	2 Step 2 CS Fails	West Coast	TOTAL CALC.	TOTAL ACTUAL	INT. OFF.
1	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	19	19
2	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	30	13
3	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	30	29
4	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	24	20
5	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	26	24
6	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	21	16
7	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	33	32	18
8	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	30	21
9	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	22	22
12	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	22	9
13	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	18	14
14	18	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	50	17
15	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	32	18
17	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	24	17
18	18	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	26	12
19	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	30	22
22	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	34	17
23	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	24	22
24	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	40	20
25	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	26	11
27	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	26	18

Results

Match Year	# Students	Rec apps	Actual apps	% actual/rec
2015	21	671	841	125.3%
2016	20	824	836	101.5%
2017	24	590	726	123.1%
App range per student:		Rec	Actual	
		18-169	5-191	

Discussion

- ❖ Data refers to US citizen, civilian seniors at LCME accredited medical schools
- ❖ No specific data for couples unless both matching in fam med
- ❖ Very helpful: discourage over-applying by students with no red flags
- ❖ Very helpful: encourage students with multiple red flags to apply broadly enough
- ❖ May repeat survey in 2020 when the Single Accreditation System merger complete
- ❖ Crucial to have all data points entered as close to September 15 as possible
- ❖ Only one student in past three years has failed to match two years in a row