



2015

Radio Controlled Aircraft

Michael Johnson

Virginia Commonwealth University

Dakota MacGill

Virginia Commonwealth University

Robert Peake

Virginia Commonwealth University

Ryan Wydler

Virginia Commonwealth University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/capstone>


 Part of the [Mechanical Engineering Commons](#), and the [Nuclear Engineering Commons](#)

© The Author(s)

Downloaded from


<https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/capstone/64>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Engineering at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Capstone Design Expo Posters by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.



Radio Controlled Aircraft

Team: Air Benders



Design Process

Engineering Process

Fabrication Process

Problem Statement & Criteria for Success

Develop a remote controlled aircraft to take off, fly, and land in a **controlled** and **repeatable** manner. The aircraft design should be easily extended to surveillance or cargo transport applications.

Flight Theory

The Bernoulli Equation is commonly used in preliminary aircraft design calculations. Daniel Bernoulli's equation captures the effect of fluid flowing over a surface. Assuming the fluid is incompressible and frictional forces are negligible the following equation may apply:

$$P_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho v_1^2 + \rho gh_1 = P_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho v_2^2 + \rho gh_2$$

As an aircraft begins to move a relative air speed is generated and air flows over the airfoil. A pressure gradient is developed between the top and bottom of the wing, the lower velocity below the airfoil creates a high pressure and the high velocity above the airfoil generates a low pressure. This creates lift and is the basis of heavier than air flight.





Figure 3-57. Wing terminology.

Estimating R/C Model Aerodynamics & Performance

Dr. Leland M. Nicolai


According to Dr. Nicolai's paper, "the only differences between the R/C model and the full scale airplane are the wing loading, Reynolds number and the moments of inertia".

An R/C model wing loading is one to two orders of magnitude less than a full scale airplane due to the square-cube law and will have lower stall speeds and lower take-off distances than full scale aircraft due to the reduced wing loading.

R/C aircrafts typically operate with Reynolds Numbers less than 500,000 (Laminar flow) as opposed to a full scale aircraft which operates with a turbulent boundary layer. The turbulent boundary layer is preferred because it delays flow separation over the wing and allows for a higher coefficient of lift.

The moment of inertia on an R/C aircraft is also much smaller than that of a standard sized aircraft and creates inherent instabilities, for this reason *stability and control* is one of the main concerns in the design of the aircraft.

| Drag force on plane | Values (lbf) |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Fuselage | 0.002048 |
| Wings | 0.008286 |
| Horizontal tail | 0.001643 |
| Vertical tail | 0.001452 |
| Landing gear | 0.001647 |
| Total drag | 0.013489 |
| Take-off drag | 3.390 |



Design Considerations

Safety Issues

An RC aircraft is relatively small compared to modern day vehicles; however, it does have the potential to cause harm to the public if operated incorrectly. The stability and control of the aircraft is a top priority in the design process to prevent any malfunctions during flight.

The team is evaluating a design that allows the aircraft to maneuver by shifting along the pitch and roll axis. The larger wing selection will make it harder for the aircraft to take-off; however, this trade off creates more stability during flight, which is a major concern regarding safety.

User error is also a main concern for safety. Each team member will study the controls throughout the design process and care will be taken in selecting a testing location to ensure minimal safety issues. Most of the time, a crash is due to the lack of skill of the pilot and the inability to correct the position of the plane during flight. Wind is also another major factor causing the crash of an RC plane. To avoid injury to the plane and bystanders, the plane will not be cleared for takeoff in gusty situation or a constant wind speed of over 8 mph. Safety is among the primary concerns of the team and other constraints such as time and money will be sacrificed to ensure safety is optimized.

Manufacturability

Design is inherently an iterative process and the RC aircraft will undoubtedly have some "rocky" landings during the testing phase. Knowing this, the complexity of the parts being fabricated should be kept at a minimum because there is a good possibility of them being damaged during the testing phase and having to be completely rebuilt.


Various materials were considered for the design of the aircraft parts. Different sections of the aircraft need to be considered separately due to the maximum stresses and bending of the plane. Although balsa wood is rigid, it easily succumbs to fracture when put under a moderate amount of stress, thus to increase structural integrity, the fuselage and mounting junctions will use stronger types of wood to brace the balsa wood so that it will not bend when under operation. The fuselage will be constructed of thicker wood and metal bracings to uphold with the engine vibrations protect the motor in the incident of a crash.

The motor determines the maximum power and lift generated and; therefore, the weight of the machine is a primary concern in designing a functional aircraft. A balsa wood frame built around Styrofoam was successfully employed for the fabrication of the wings for last year's design. The same method of combining lightweight plywood, balsa wood and Styrofoam is the team's primary option to minimize weight this year.


Aircraft Properties

| Geometric Dimensions | | Performance Dimensions | | Motor Properties | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Overall length | 78 in | Airfoil | NACA 2412 | Motor | OS 120 AX |
| Fuselage width | 66 in | Coefficient of Lift | 1.02 | Weight | 22.8 oz. |
| Wing span | 84 in | Coefficient of Drag | 0.02 | Horse Power | 3.1 at 9000 rpm |
| Height | 6 in | Estimated Weight | 25 lb. | Practical rpm | 1800 - 9500 |
| Cord width | 13 in | Angle of Attack | 8.0 degrees | | |
| Wing area | 1091.52 in ² | Lift Needed | 25.00 lb-f | | |
| | | Estimated Lift | 28.65 lb-f | | |
| | | Estimated Drag | | | |
| | | Take off velocity | 54.30 ft/s | | |
| | | Take off distance | 75.5 ft | | |
| | | Reynolds number | 3.40 x 10 ⁵ | | |



http://www.mpoweruk.com/flight_theory.htm
<http://www.airbus.com/aircraftfamilies/passengeraircraft/a320family/a320/specifications/>
http://tims.meil.pw.edu.pl/zsis/pomoc/BIPOL/BIPOL_1_handout_8A.pdf
<http://www.allstar.fiu.edu/aero/wing31.htm>
http://avstop.com/ac/Aviation_Maintenance_Technician_Handbook_General/3-41.html



The NACA 2412 airfoil was selected with a deep camber for high lift and low speeds



3D printing was employed using the coordinates from the NACA generator to fabricate the aircrafts wings



An OS 120 AX gas powered motor with an 18" propeller was used to power the aircraft



Cost Analysis

| Material | Cost | Description | Source |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Balsa Wood | \$28.42 | 36"x1/16"x3" 20 per pack | Amazon.com |
| Carbon Fiber/Epoxy (61%) | \$16.00 | Per pound | Rmi.org |
| Plastic - polypropylene | \$0.70 | Per kg | Alibaba.com |
| Aluminum 2045-T4 | \$7.39 | 12"x0.375"x0.75" | Onlinemetals.com |
| Styrofoam - polystyrene | \$7.97 | 18"x12"x2" | Walmart.com |
| OS 120 AX Motor | \$217.98 | OS 120 AX Motor | TowerHobbies.com |

