

[Physics Publications](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys_pubs?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F106&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) [Dept. of Physics](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F106&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

2011

# A systematic study of neutral and charged 3d-metal trioxides and tetraoxides

Kalpataru Pradhan *Virginia Commonwealth University*

Gennady L. Gutsev *Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University*

Charles A. Weatherford *Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University*

Puru Jena *Virginia Commonwealth University*, pjena@vcu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys\\_pubs](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys_pubs?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F106&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) Part of the [Physics Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/193?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F106&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Pradhan, K., Gutsev, G.L., Weatherford, C.A., et al. A systematic study of neutral and charged 3d-metal trioxides and tetraoxides. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 134, 144305 (2011). Copyright © 2011 AIP Publishing LLC.

Downloaded from

[http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys\\_pubs/106](http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/phys_pubs/106?utm_source=scholarscompass.vcu.edu%2Fphys_pubs%2F106&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dept. of Physics at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact [libcompass@vcu.edu](mailto:libcompass@vcu.edu).

# **[A systematic study of neutral and charged 3d-metal trioxides](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3570578) [and tetraoxides](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3570578)**

Kalpataru Pradhan,<sup>1</sup> Gennady L. Gutsev,<sup>2</sup> Charles A. Weatherford,<sup>2</sup> and Purusottam Jena<sup>1[,a\)](#page-1-0)</sup> <sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Virginia Commonwealth University, 701 West Grace Street, Richmond, Virginia 23284-2000, USA* <sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Florida A&M University, Tallahassee, Florida 32307, USA*

(Received 29 November 2010; accepted 4 March 2011; published online 11 April 2011)

Using density functional theory with generalized gradient approximation, we have performed a systematic study of the structure and properties of neutral and charged trioxides  $(MO<sub>3</sub>)$  and tetraoxides (MO4) of the 3d-metal atoms. The results of our calculations revealed a number of interesting features when moving along the 3d-metal series. (1) Geometrical configurations of the lowest total energy states of neutral and charged trioxides and tetraoxides are composed of oxo and/or peroxo groups, except for CuO<sub>3</sub><sup>–</sup> and ZnO<sub>3</sub><sup>–</sup> which possess a superoxo group, CuO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and ZnO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> which possess two superoxo groups, and  $CuO_3^+$ , Zn $O_3^+$ , and Zn $O_4^-$  which possess an ozonide group. While peroxo groups are found in the early and late transition metals, all oxygen atoms bind chemically to the metal atom in the middle of the series. (2) Attachment or detachment of an electron to/from an oxide often leads to a change in the geometry. In some cases, two dissociatively attached oxygen atoms combine and form a peroxo group or a peroxo group transforms into a superoxo group and vice versa. (3) The adiabatic electron affinity of as many as two trioxides ( $VO_3$  and  $CoO_3$ ) and four tetraoxides (TiO<sub>4</sub>, CrO<sub>4</sub>, MnO<sub>4</sub>, and FeO<sub>4</sub>) are larger than the electron affinity of halogen atoms. All these oxides are hence superhalogens although only  $VO_3$  and  $MnO_4$  satisfy the general superhalogen formula. *© 2011 American Institute of Physics*. [doi[:10.1063/1.3570578\]](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3570578)

#### **I. INTRODUCTION**

Different oxidation states of 3d-metals give rise to a variety of metal clusters containing oxygen. $1-3$  $1-3$  Transition metal oxides are widely used as catalysts<sup>4</sup> and are of the paramount importance in organometallic, biocatalysis, and surface sciences. From a practical point of view, it is important to identify the reactive sites in heterogeneous catalysis, $\frac{5}{9}$  which stimulated the studies of neutral and anionic clusters in the gas phase.<sup>[6](#page-9-4)</sup> In addition, transition metal oxide ions play an important role in atmospheric chemistry where they act as nu-cleation centers and oxidizing agents.<sup>[7,](#page-9-5)[8](#page-9-6)</sup>

A systematic study of MO and  $MO<sub>2</sub>$  (M = 3dmetal atoms) and their negative ions has been carried out previously.<sup>[9,](#page-9-7) [10](#page-9-8)</sup> The electron affinities of the monoxides are relatively small and do not exceed 2 eV. The ground-state geometries of  $MO<sub>2</sub>$  are found to possess the oxo form except for  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $ZnO<sub>2</sub>$ . Copper dioxide has the lowest total energy state with a superoxo geometrical configuration; whereas  $O_2$  dissociates from Zn atom during geometry optimizations of  $ZnO<sub>2</sub>$ . The ground-state electronic configuration of Cu is  $3d^{10}4s^1$  and, correspondingly, the Cu atom has a single valence electron. Consequently, a Cu atom interacts with only one oxygen atom and forms a superoxo configuration. A Zn atom has a  $3d^{10}4s^2$  electronic configuration and does not form thermodynamically stable states when interacting with  $O_2$ . The geometry of  $ZnO_2$  reported<sup>10</sup> is linear OZnO and the corresponding state is metastable. The ground-state geometry

of both  $CuO_2^-$  and  $ZnO_2^-$  has the oxo form similar to that in the rest of the  $MO_2^-$  anions. The electron affinities of the 3d-metal dioxides are larger than those of the monoxides and exceed 3 eV for the late transition metal dioxides.

The shape of the  $MO<sub>2</sub>$  ground-state geometries shows that all 3d-metal atoms from Sc to Ni are capable of cleaving  $O_2$ . The ground-state  $NiO_2$  neutral is thermodynamically less stable than all other neutral dioxides with the oxo geometries. This is reflected in the high electron affinity of  $NiO<sub>2</sub>$ , which exceeds 3 eV. The formal valence of Ti is 4 and matches the sum of valencies of two oxygen atoms. This can explain why  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  is the most thermodynamically stable dioxide in the 3d-metal dioxide series. Correspondingly, the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  electron affinity is smaller than the electron affinity of all other metal dioxides. The adiabatic electron affinities of  $NiO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ , and  $ZnO<sub>2</sub>$  are larger than 3 eV being somewhat smaller than the electron affinity of the Cl atom (3.62 eV).

It has previously been demonstrated that molecules composed of a metal atom (M) surrounded by electronegative ligand atoms (X) can possess electron affinities which are higher than the electron affinity of halogen atoms. $11, 12$  $11, 12$  $11, 12$  Such species were named "superhalogens." A superhalogen has a general formula  $MX_{(n+1)/m}$ , where n is the maximal formal valence of the central atom and m is the normal valence of the electronegative atom  $X^{13,14}$  $X^{13,14}$  $X^{13,14}$  $X^{13,14}$  Li $X_2$ ,  $^{15}$  $^{15}$  $^{15}$  Mg $X_3$ ,  $^{16}$  $^{16}$  $^{16}$  and Sc $X_4$  (Refs. [17](#page-9-15) and [18\)](#page-9-16)  $(X = Cl, F)$  satisfy the above formula and present examples of superhalogens.

Our previous density functional calculations were performed for the neutral and negatively charged  $CrO_n$ ,<sup>[19](#page-9-17)</sup>  $MnO_n$ , <sup>[20,](#page-9-18) [21](#page-9-19)</sup> and FeO<sub>n</sub> (Ref. [22\)](#page-9-20) for n = 3 and 4. All three

<span id="page-1-0"></span>a)Electronic mail: [pjena@vcu.edu.](mailto: pjena@vcu.edu)

MO4 neutrals were found to be superhalogens, whereas only MnO4 satisfies the above superhalogen formula and possesses the largest electron affinity in the  $MO<sub>4</sub>$  series. Among the 3dmetal trioxides, only  $VO<sub>3</sub>$  satisfies the superhalogen formula and it was experimentally confirmed to be a superhalogen. $^{23}$ However, many other oxides may possess electron affinities, which exceed the electron affinity of F or Cl atoms. In our recent study,<sup>[24](#page-9-22)</sup> we found FeO<sub>12</sub> cluster to be geometrically stable with the adiabatic electron affinity of 3.69 eV, which is close to the adiabatic electron affinity of  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$ . It is interesting to find out how the electron affinity depends on the number of oxygen atoms and the central atom in other 3d-metal oxides.

This work presents a systematic study of the structure, electron affinities, ionization energies, and thermodynamic stability of the  $MO_n$  clusters  $(M = Sc$  to  $Zn$ ;  $n = 3, 4)$ aimed at establishing the trends in the structures and properties when moving along the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MO<sub>4</sub>$  series. In the 3d-metal atoms, the magnetic moment increases from Sc to Mn and then drops to 0 at Zn; therefore, it is interesting to reveal the ability of oxygen to quench these moments when three or four oxygen atoms are added. Another interesting question is how the attachment or detachment of an electron influences the geometry and the total magnetic moment in the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MO<sub>4</sub>$  series. In Sec. [II,](#page-2-0) we outline our theoretical procedure; Sec. [III](#page-2-1) describes the changes in the structures and binding energies when moving along the neutral and singly charged  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  series. We discuss the properties of the neutral and charged tetraoxides in Sec. [IV,](#page-6-0) and compare our results obtained for the  $MO_3$  and  $MO_4$  series in Sec. [V.](#page-8-0)

# <span id="page-2-0"></span>**II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS**

Our calculations are performed using density functional theory with generalized gradient approximation (DFT-GGA). The exchange-correlation functional is composed from the Becke's exchange<sup>25</sup> and Perdew–Wangs correlation<sup>26</sup>, known as the BPW91 functional. The choice of this functional, among many others available nowadays, $27-29$  is based on our previous assessments of this functional for 3d-metal oxides,  $10, 24$  $10, 24$  $10, 24$  and the BPW91 stability in harmonic frequency calculations of closely spaced states of iron clusters.<sup>30</sup> The BPW91 functional is found to produce the results which are quite close to those obtained using the coupled-cluster method with singles and doubles and noniterative inclu-sion of triples [CCSD(T)] (Ref. [31\)](#page-9-28) for  $(TiO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$  clusters,  $32$  $(CrO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>$  clusters,<sup>33,[34](#page-9-31)</sup> and FeO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[35](#page-9-32)</sup> Good agreement between the BPW91 and experimental data was obtained for  $Cr_3O_8^{\text{--}}$ .<sup>[36](#page-9-33)</sup>

The atomic orbitals are represented by the Gaussian basis sets  $6-311+G(3df)$  [metal atoms:  $(15s11p6d3f1g/$ 10s7p4d3f1g) and O:  $(12s6p3d1f/5s4p3d1f)$ <sup>[37,](#page-9-34)[38](#page-9-35)</sup> The geometries were first optimized without any symmetry constraint using the GAUSSIAN  $03 \text{ code.}^{39}$  Different trial geometries, where oxygen atoms are bound to the metal atom molecularly (peroxo or superoxo), dissociatively (oxo), and as an ozonide, were probed in order to arrive at the groundstate geometries. All possible spin multiplicities were tried in order to determine the total spin of the ground state. The lowest energy states found were reoptimized with symmetry constraints corresponding to the actual nuclear symmetry derived from the results of our symmetry unconstrained optimizations. The convergence threshold for total energy was set to 0.000001 eV and the force threshold was set to 0.001 eV/Å. Harmonic vibrational frequencies of all states are positive, which means that these states correspond to the potential energy surface minima.

The adiabatic electron affinity  $(EA_{ad})$  is calculated as the difference between total energies, comprised of the total electronic energy and zero point vibrational energy (ZPVE), of a neutral and the corresponding anion at their respective ground-state geometries. Similarly, the adiabatic ionization energy (IE) is computed as the difference between total energies of a cation and the corresponding neutral at their respective ground-state geometries. The vertical detachment energy (VDE) of an extra electron from an anion is computed as the difference in total electronic energies between an anion and its neutral parent at the anion geometry. Similarly, the vertical ionization energy (VIE) is computed as the difference in total electronic energies between a cation and its neutral parent computed at the neutral geometry.

#### <span id="page-2-1"></span>**III. TRIOXIDES**

# A. Neutral MO<sub>3</sub>

The ground-state geometries of  $MO_3$  displayed in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) do change when moving from  $\text{ScO}_3$  to  $\text{ZnO}_3$ . In order to understand these changes, we resort to the ground-state geometries of neutral  $MO_2$ .<sup>[10](#page-9-8)</sup> All dioxide geometries have an oxo form except for  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$ , which has a superoxo form. Formally, each oxygen atom is divalent since it requires two electrons to fill up its 2p shell. The Sc atom has three valence electrons; nonetheless, its interaction with two oxygen atoms leads to an oxo geometrical configuration of the lowest energy state in  $ScO<sub>2</sub>$ . The Cu atom in CuO<sub>2</sub> has the electronic configuration close to  $3d^{10}4s^1$  with a single valence electron; therefore, it is natural for oxygen in  $CuO<sub>2</sub>$  to form a peroxo group. Formally, a Zn atom with a  $3d^{10}4s^2$  electronic configuration can be considered as divalent. However, the Zn atom prefers to conserve its closed shell and not to attach to  $O_2$  either in peroxo or superoxo form.

In the trioxide series, the formal valence of Sc (3) and Ti (4) is insufficient for the dissociative attachment of three oxygen atoms. Consequently, there are peroxo and oxo groups in the lowest total energy states of  $\text{ScO}_3$  and  $\text{TiO}_3$ . Beginning with V, all  $MO_3$  up to  $M = Co$  have a trioxo form. Due to a decrease in the valence at the end of the 3d-metal series, the geometries of  $NiO<sub>3</sub>$ , CuO<sub>3</sub>, and ZnO<sub>3</sub> are similar to the ScO<sub>3</sub> geometry and possess one peroxo and one oxo group.

The existence of thermodynamically stable  $CuO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $ZnO<sub>3</sub>$  is very surprising since CuOO is barely stable while ZnOO is dissociative. Apparently, the addition of an oxygen atom to CuOO or metastable OZnO increases the formal valence of the central atom. This behavior can be related to the strong ionic character of bonding in the 3d-metal trioxides, as follows from the results of the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis, $40$  which uses the basis of natural atomic orbitals. The effective electronic configuration of Cu in the quartet ground state of  $CuO<sub>3</sub>$  is  $3d<sup>9.52</sup>4s<sup>0.49</sup>$ , which corresponds to a

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

FIG. 1. Optimized geometrical configurations of the anionic, neutral, and cationic  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  ground states. Bond lengths are in angstroms ( $\AA$ ), excess spin density on atoms are in electrons.

promotion of 1/2 of an electron into the oxo and peroxo group each. The effective electronic configuration of Zn in the triplet ground state of  $ZnO_3$  is  $3d^{9.91}4s^{0.62}$ . One electron is promoted to the oxo oxygen and about 1/2 of an electron is promoted to the superoxo group.

The magnetic moment of the central atom is quenched in the ground-state trioxides up to  $CoO<sub>3</sub>$ . The total magnetic moment of trioxides from ScO<sub>3</sub> to CoO<sub>3</sub> is either 0 or 1  $\mu_B$ , depending on the parity of the  $4s + 3d$  electron number (see Table [I\)](#page-3-1), which corresponds to the spin multiplicities of 1 or 2, respectively. This may be related to the number of valence electrons in Sc, Ti, V, and Cr which is less than or equal to the sum of three oxygen valencies. The number of Mn valence electrons is 7 and the total magnetic moment of  $MnO<sub>3</sub>$ is 1  $\mu$ **B**. Ground-state iron and cobalt atoms have the formal

<span id="page-3-1"></span>TABLE I. The EA<sub>ad</sub>, VDE, IE, VIE values and the total magnetic moments,  $M$ , of the neutral, anionic, and cationic trioxides. $a$ 

	Neutral Anion Cation							
Cluster	M	М	М		$EA_{ad}$ VDE	Exp <sup>b</sup>	IE	VIE
ScO <sub>3</sub>	1	$\theta$	2	1.92	2.61		8.67	9.43
TiO3	$\overline{0}$	1	1	3.38	4.24	$4.2 \pm 0.1$	8.63	9.39
VO <sub>3</sub>	1	$\theta$	$\Omega$	3.95	4.16	$4.36 \pm 0.05$	10.34	11.40
CrO <sub>3</sub>	$\overline{0}$	1	1	3.32	3.53	$3.66 \pm 0.02$	11.14	11.34
MnO <sub>3</sub>	1	$\overline{0}$	$\Omega$	3.13	3.18	$3.21 \pm 0.01$	11.13	11.41
FeO3	$\theta$	1	1	3.25	3.37	$3.26 \pm 0.04$	11.24	11.42
CoO <sub>3</sub>	1	2	2	3.89	4.04		10.32	11.61
NiO3	$\overline{2}$	3	1	2.80	3.66		9.83	10.08
CuO <sub>3</sub>	3	$\overline{c}$	$\overline{c}$	2.68	3.06	$3.19 \pm 0.04$	9.75	10.31
ZnO3	$\overline{c}$	1	1	2.88	3.11		9.16	9.96

<span id="page-3-2"></span><sup>a</sup>All values are in eV except for the total magnetic moment values, which are in Bohr magnetons  $\mu_B$ 

<span id="page-3-3"></span><sup>b</sup>See the experimental references in the text.

valence of 8 and 9 with the magnetic moments of 4  $\mu_B$  and  $3 \mu_{\rm B}$ , respectively. These magnetic moments are quenched in the corresponding trioxides. Surprisingly, the total magnetic moments of trioxides CuO<sub>3</sub> (3  $\mu$ <sub>B</sub>) and ZnO<sub>3</sub> (2  $\mu$ <sub>B)</sub> are larger than the magnetic moments of Cu ( $1\mu_B$ ) and Zn ( $0\mu_B$ ) atoms, respectively, i.e., the oxidation increases the total magnetic moment of these trioxides. The excess spin densities, which correspond to the local magnetic moments, at the metal site exceed 0.2e in  $MnO_3$  (1.1e),  $CoO_3$  (0.4e),  $NiO_3$  (0.6e),  $CuO_3$ (0.4e), and  $ZnO_3$  (0.4e) [see Fig. [1\]](#page-3-0).

Our results obtained for the neutral  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  species are in good agreement with the results of previous theoretical work performed in order to assign experimental IR frequencies of species trapped in inert matrices.<sup>[2](#page-9-38)</sup> The previous work used mainly the hybrid Hartree–Fock-densityfunctional-theory (HFDFT) approach B3LYP and smaller basis sets than the basis set we used in the present work. The ground-state structures of  $\text{ScO}_3$ ,<sup>[41](#page-9-39)</sup>  $\text{TiO}_3$ ,<sup>[42,](#page-9-40)[43](#page-9-41)</sup>  $\text{CrO}_3$ ,<sup>[44,](#page-9-42)[45](#page-9-43)</sup>  $MnO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>[46](#page-9-44)</sup> FeO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[47](#page-9-45)</sup> NiO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[48](#page-9-46)</sup> and CuO<sub>3</sub> (Ref. [49](#page-10-0) and [50\)](#page-10-1) along with their computed total magnetic moments are similar to those obtained from our calculations. Our results are; however, different for  $VO_3$  and  $CoO_3$ . The former neutral was previously found to have a trigonal planar geometry of  $C_{2v}$ symmetry<sup>51</sup> while we obtained a slightly distorted  $D_{3h}$  nonplanar geometry of  $C_{3v}$  geometry, in agreement with the results of previous DFT-GGA (Ref. [52\)](#page-10-3) and quantum Monte-Carlo<sup>53</sup> calculations. CoO<sub>3</sub> was previously found to possess the  ${}^{6}A_1$  oxo-peroxo ground state at the HFDFT B1LYP/6–  $311+G^*$  level,<sup>[54](#page-10-5)</sup> whereas our calculations predict all oxygen to be bound dissociatively in the  ${}^2A_2$  ground state (see Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). No data were reported for  $ZnO<sub>3</sub>$  to the best of our knowledge.

Thermodynamic stability of the neutral  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  clusters is estimated by comparing the  $O$  and  $O_2$  loss energies, which are computed according to

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
\Delta E_{tot} = E_{tot}(MO_n) - E_{tot}(MO_{n-m}) - E_{tot}(O_m),
$$
  
n = 3, 4; m = 1, 2, (1)

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

FIG. 2. Fragmentation energies (in eV) of the  $MO$ ,  $MO_2$ ,  $MO_3$ , and  $MO_4$  series. The filled symbols indicate the atomic oxygen release and open symbols are for the molecular oxygen release. Different colors serve as the indicators of changes in the geometrical shape when moving along the series.

where  $E_{\text{tot}}$  includes the ZPVE correction. The smallest dissociation energies in the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MO<sub>4</sub>$  series computed according to Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-4) are plotted in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) together with the dissociation energies of the monoxides and dioxides from our previous work.<sup>[9,](#page-9-7) [10](#page-9-8)</sup> In the monoxide series, ScO, TiO, and VO are significantly more stable compared to the rest of the series, which is related to the formation of triple bonds through a dative mechanism in these monoxides. $\frac{5}{5}$  The smallest energy decay channel in the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  series corresponds to the molecular oxygen release, except for  $TiO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $VO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $CrO<sub>3</sub>$  where the yield of atomic oxygen is preferred.

In the  $MO<sub>2</sub>$  series, the most thermodynamically stable species is  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  that correlates with 4 valence electrons of Ti. In the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  series,  $C<sub>1</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  is the most stable species that correlates with the maximum formal valence of 6 of the Cr atom. By analogy,  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$  should be the most stable member of the MO4 series. However, it is not so and the most thermodynamically stable is VO4. This "anomaly" can be related with the geometrical shape of  $VO<sub>4</sub>$ , which is found to be of the dioxoperoxo type. If one accepts the formal valence of a peroxo group to be 1, then the sum of oxygen valencies matches the formal valency of V atom.

# **B.** MO<sub>3</sub><sup>−</sup> anions

In the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  series,  $VO<sub>3</sub>$  should possess the largest adiabatic electron affinity according to the superhalogen formula, and indeed its computed  $EA_{ad}$  of 3.95 eV is the largest  $EA_{ad}$  in the 3d-metal trioxide series. The computed vertical ionization energy of 4.16 eV of the  $VO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  anion is in good agreement with the experimental value of  $4.36 \pm 0.05$  eV.<sup>[23](#page-9-21)</sup> The ground state of the  $VO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  anion is a singlet with an equilateral triangle geometrical configuration of  $D_{3h}$  symmetry (see Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). As it is seen from Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) the attachment of an extra electron changes the geometrical shape of the trioxide anions of Ti, Cu, Ni, and Zn. In these species, the extra electron breaks either the bond between two oxygen atoms or the bond between the metal atom and an oxygen atom. Similar to the geometries

<span id="page-4-3"></span>

FIG. 3. Fragmentation energies (in eV) of the  $MO_3^-$  and  $MO_4^-$  anions corresponding to the atomic (solid symbols) or molecular oxygen release. Different colors in (a) indicate different geometries shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0)

of the neutral parents, the anionic geometries are changing in the beginning and in the end of the series when moving from  $\text{ScO}_3$ <sup>-</sup> to ZnO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The anion tri-oxo group is preferred from  $TiO_3^-$  to  $NiO_3^-$ .

Estimations of the anion thermodynamic stability require energy evaluations for four dissociation channels:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\Delta E_{tot, anion} = E_{tot} (MO_n^-) - E_{tot} (MO_{n-m}^-) - E_{tot} (O_m),
$$
  
n = 3, 4; m = 1, 2, (2)

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\Delta E_{tot, anion} = E_{tot} (MO_n^-) - E_{tot} (MO_{n-m}) - E_{tot} (O_m^-),
$$
  
n = 3, 4; m = 1, 2. (3)

The smallest dissociation energies obtained from Eqs.  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  are displayed in Fig. [3\(a\).](#page-4-3) These energies correspond to the release of atomic or molecular oxygen except for  $TiO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$ , where the smallest energy channel corresponds to the release of an O<sup>−</sup> ion. This peculiarity can be related to the high thermodynamic stability of neutral  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ . The superhalogen anion  $VO_3^-$  is the most thermodynamically stable species among the 3d-metal trioxide anions. All the anions marked in the figure by square symbols have the oxo form and are expected to eject atomic oxygen rather than molecular oxygen except for  $NiO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$ , for which the molecular oxygen yield is preferred.

The calculated vertical detachment energies (VDEs) of an extra electron from the  $MO_3^-$  anions and the  $EA_{ad}s$  of the neutral 3d-metal trioxides are compared with experiment in Table [I](#page-3-1) and visualized in Fig.  $4(a)$ . The VDE and  $EA_{ad}$  values are rather close to each other for  $VO_3$ ,  $CrO_3$ ,  $MnO_3$ ,  $FeO_3$ , and  $CoO<sub>3</sub>$ . This is consistent with small geometry changes due to an extra electron attachment (see Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0). The VDE and  $EA_{ad}$  values of TiO<sub>3</sub> and NiO<sub>3</sub> are quite different, which can be related to the different shape of the corresponding neutral and anionic geometries. Both  $ScO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $ScO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$  possess the same geometrical topology; however, there is a significant difference between the VDE and  $EA_{ad}$  values. The noticeable elongation of interatomic distances in the  $ScO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> anion can explain this difference. On the contrary, the differences between the VDE and  $EA_{ad}$  values of in case of Cu and Zn trioxides are quite small despite they have the geometrical topologies, which are different from those in the corresponding anions.

As it is seen from Table [I,](#page-3-1) the  $EA_{ad}$ s of VO<sub>3</sub> and CoO<sub>3</sub> are larger than the EA of the Cl atom.  $VO_3$ , with a  $3d<sup>3</sup>4s<sup>2</sup>$  valence

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

FIG. 4. The MO<sub>3</sub> series: (a) adiabatic electron affinities; (b) differences in the charges of the metal atoms in the  $MO_3^- - MO_3$  pairs; (c) ionization energies; (d) the metal atom charge differences in the  $MO_3 - MO_3^+$  pairs.

electronic configuration of the ground-state metal atom, satisfies the superhalogen formula, while Co possesses a  $3d<sup>7</sup>4s<sup>2</sup>$ electronic configuration and its superhalogen has to be  $CoO<sub>5</sub>$ . According to the NBO analysis, Co possesses the effective electronic configurations of  $3d^{7.48}4s^{0.36}$  and  $3d^{7.49}4s^{0.37}$  in  $CoO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $CoO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$ , respectively. This means that the extra electron is entirely delocalized over the oxygen atoms, similar to that in  $VO_3^-$ . Therefore, the  $CoO_3$  EA<sub>ad</sub> increase with respect to the EAads of the neighbor trioxides may be related to a stronger Coulomb attraction exerted by the central atom in the  $CoO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> anion. An extra electron attachment to TiO<sub>3</sub> breaks the peroxo bond and the negative ion has  $D_{3h}$  symmetry. This can explain why the  $EA_{ad}$  of TiO<sub>3</sub> exceeds the  $EA_{ad}$ of ScO<sub>3</sub> by 1.41 eV. Experimental data for TiO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[56](#page-10-7)</sup> VO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[23](#page-9-21)</sup>  $CrO<sub>3</sub>$ ,<sup>[19](#page-9-17)</sup> MnO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[20](#page-9-18)</sup> FeO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[57](#page-10-8)</sup> and CuO<sub>3</sub> (Ref. [49\)](#page-10-0) are also given in Table [I.](#page-3-1)

The charges on metal atoms are always positive according to our NBO analysis, which is due to the charge transfer from the central atom to oxygen atoms in all  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  clusters. The charges on the metal atoms in the  $MO_3^-$  anions are given in Fig.  $4(b)$  relative to the charges on the metal atoms in the neutral  $MO_3$  series. As it is seen, there is no significant difference in the metal atom charge in most cases. The metal atom charge in  $MnO_3^-$  and  $NiO_3^-$  has the largest deviation which; however, does not exceed 0.4 e.

The total ground-state magnetic moments of the  $MO_3^$ anions are quenched to either 0 or 1  $\mu_B$  depending on the electron number parity except for the trioxide anions of Co, Ni, and Cu. The total magnetic moment of  $CoO_3^-$  and  $NiO_3^$ increases by one as compared to the total magnetic moments of the corresponding neutral parents and decreases by one in the  $CuO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> anion. Our anion ground-state assignment is similar to that obtained in the previous  $CCSD(T)$  computations<sup>[58](#page-10-9)</sup> of  $TiO<sub>3</sub>^-$ , complete active space with second-order pertur-bation theory computations<sup>[59](#page-10-10)</sup> of  $FeO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>$ , and previous DFT-GGA computations of  $CuO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,<sup>60,61</sup>$  $CuO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,<sup>60,61</sup>$  $CuO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,<sup>60,61</sup>$  $CuO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,<sup>60,61</sup>$  but is different from the B3LYP assignment<sup>[62](#page-10-13)</sup> of a <sup>2</sup>B<sub>2</sub> (C<sub>2v</sub>) ground state for TiO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>.

# **C. MO3 <sup>+</sup> cations**

The electronic structure of the 3d-metal mono and dioxide cations was the subject of a number of experimental and theoretical studies. $63-66$  $63-66$  This section extends these studies to the 3d-metal trioxide cations. The ground states of  $MO_3^+$  are presented in Fig. [1](#page-3-0) along with those of the corresponding neutrals and anions. As it is seen, the electron detachment results into a conversion of the peroxo groups of  $ScO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $NiO<sub>3</sub>$ as well as two oxo atoms of  $CoO<sub>3</sub>$  into the corresponding superoxo groups, whereas two oxo atoms of  $VO_3$  and  $FeO_3$ transform into the peroxo groups. The most dramatic changes are found for  $CuO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>$  and  $ZnO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>$ , where the peroxo and oxo groups recombine into the ozonide group. Thus, the electron detachment from a neutral trioxide either breaks the bond between metal and oxygen atoms or brings two oxygen atoms closer. Similar to the neutral and anionic series, the cation geometries do change when moving from  $ScO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>$  to  $ZnO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>$ . It is worth to note that the bond length between oxygen atoms in the peroxo group of  $\text{ScO}_3^+$ ,  $\text{CoO}_3^+$ , and  $\text{NiO}_3^-$  matches the bond length of 1.22 Å in the gas phase dioxygen.

The calculated adiabatic (IE) and vertical (VIE) ionization energies of the neutral trioxides are presented in Table [I.](#page-3-1) The IE and VIE values of  $CrO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MnO<sub>3</sub>$  are close to each other, which is consistent with the small geometry changes due to the electron detachment [see Figs. [1](#page-3-0) and  $4(c)$ ]. The differences between the IE and VIE values of other trioxides are considerably larger because of significant differences between the neutral and cationic geometries, except for  $FeO<sub>3</sub>$ and  $NiO<sub>3</sub>$ , whose IE and VIE values are close to each other despite significant structural differences between the corresponding neutral and cationic geometrical configurations.

The charges on metal atoms in the  $MO_3^+$  cations obtained from the NBO analysis are given in Fig.  $4(d)$  relative to the charges on the metal atom in the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  neutrals. As it is seen, there is no significant difference in the charges when moving along the cation series. The largest deviation does not exceed 0.3e, which implies that the detached electron originates from the oxygen atoms. The total magnetic moments of the ground-state  $MO_3^+$  cations are either 0 or 1  $\mu_B$ , except for  $\text{ScO}_3^+$ ,  $\text{CoO}_3^+$ , and  $\text{CuO}_3^+$ , which have the triplet ground states. The local magnetic moments at the metal sites of FeO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (1.7  $\mu_B$ ), CoO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (2.4  $\mu_B$ ), and NiO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (1.4  $\mu_B$ ) are the largest local magnetic moments among all the neutral and charged trioxides.

Experimental vibrational frequencies were obtained $67,68$  $67,68$ for  $VO_3^+$ , whose structure was optimized in the accompanying B3LYP/TZVP calculations. The assigned ground state  ${}^{3}A''$  (C<sub>s</sub>) is different from the previous assignment of  ${}^{1}A'$  (C<sub>s</sub>) obtained from the B3LYP/31G\* and B3LYP/TZV optimizations. $69, 70$  $69, 70$  $69, 70$  The experimental vibrational frequencies of 1037 and 1069 cm−<sup>1</sup> are in rather poor agreement with the B3LYP frequencies of the both states (1161 and 1228 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the  ${}^{3}A''$  state; 1040 and 1178 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the <sup>1</sup>A' state). The  $VO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>$  ground-state assignment is quite complicated because there are at least three closely spaced states: a singlet state without symmetry shown in Fig. [1,](#page-3-0) where the excess spin density of 0.9  $\mu$ **B** at V is antiferromagnetically coupled to the excess spin densities of the oxygens; a nonmagnetic  ${}^{1}A'$  (C<sub>s</sub>)

state whose total energy is practically the same as the previous state total energy; and a nonmagnetic  ${}^3A''$  (C<sub>s</sub>) state which is above the  ${}^{1}A'$  state by 0.03 eV at the BPW91/6– 311+G(3df) level. Harmonic vibrational frequencies of 1084 and 1112 cm−<sup>1</sup> obtained for the nonsymmetric singlet state of  $VO<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>$  are in relatively good agreement with the experiment (note that the spectra were obtained in  $He<sub>2</sub>$  matrices); however, the intensity ratio of 1:4.6 is far off the experimental ratio of 1:1.6.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**IV. TETRAOXIDES**

# **A. Neutral MO4**

The optimized ground-state geometries of the neutral 3dmetal tetraoxides are displayed in Fig. [5.](#page-6-1) The ground-state geometry of the first member of the series,  $ScO<sub>4</sub>$ , contains two peroxo groups. A peroxo group transforms into two oxo atoms in  $TiO<sub>4</sub>$ , VO<sub>4</sub>, and CrO<sub>4</sub>, and the second peroxo group transforms into two oxo atoms in  $MnO<sub>4</sub>$ . The structures of  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$  and Mn $O<sub>4</sub>$  are similar and correspond to all four oxygen atoms bound dissociatively; however, these states are nearly degenerate in total energy with the states possessing dioxoperoxo geometries. Beginning with  $CoO<sub>4</sub>$ , all tetraoxides have diperoxo geometrical ground-state configurations, where one peroxo-group rotates with respect to another one that results in  $D_2$ ,  $D_{2h}$ , or  $D_{2d}$  symmetry. A geometrically stable isomer of  $ZnO_4$  shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-1) is thermodynamically metastable because the sum of total energies of Zn and two separated oxygen molecules is lower by  $\sim$ 0.1 eV than the ZnO<sub>4</sub> total energy. The total magnetic moments of the neutral tetraoxides  $MO_4$  where  $M = Sc$ , V, Cr, Mn, Fe, and Cu are either 0 or 1  $\mu_{\rm B}$ . The largest magnetic moment of 3  $\mu_{\rm B}$  is found for CoO<sub>4</sub>, and the ground states of the neutral Ti, Ni, and Zn tetraoxides are triplets. Local magnetic moments of the 3d-metal atoms in the neutral tetraoxides do not exceed 0.3  $\mu$ **B** except for Ni, whose magnetic moment is 1.5  $\mu_{\rm B}$ .

Figure  $2(d)$  presents the smallest fragmentation energies of the neutral tetraoxides computed according to Eq. [\(1\).](#page-3-4) The number of valence electrons of Fe is 8 and matches the sum of formal valencies of dissociatively bound oxygen atoms. One could anticipate that  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$  is the most thermodynamically stable cluster in the neutral tetraoxide series, but it is not so. We found  $VO<sub>4</sub>$  with five valence electrons of V to be the most thermodynamically stable cluster in the series.  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$  is also less thermodynamically stable than  $MnO<sub>4</sub>$ , which is consis-tent with an assignment of a smaller oxidation number<sup>[71](#page-10-20)</sup> to Fe than to Mn (6 and 7, respectively). A sudden decrease in the fragmentation energy of  $TiO<sub>4</sub>$  as compared to the fragmentation energies of its neighbors  $ScO<sub>4</sub>$  or  $VO<sub>4</sub>$  can be attributed to the high thermodynamic stability of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  dioxide.

The  $CrO_4$ ,  $^{19}$  $^{19}$  $^{19}$  Ni $O_4$ ,  $^{48}$  $^{48}$  $^{48}$  and Cu $O_4$  (Ref. [72\)](#page-10-21) ground-state geometrical structures identified on the basis of laser photodetachment data match the corresponding structures obtained in the present work. The lowest total energy  ${}^2A_2$  state of ScO4 found previously at the B3LYP level has the geometry of  $C_{2v}$  symmetry<sup>73</sup> where one peroxo group is perpendicular to the second peroxo group. According to our optimizations, the  ${}^{2}A''$  ground-state geometry of ScO<sub>4</sub> also has two peroxo

<span id="page-6-1"></span>

FIG. 5. Optimized geometrical configurations of the anionic, neutral, and cationic MO4 ground states. Bond lengths are in angstroms (Å), excess spin density on atoms are in electrons.

groups but the geometrical configuration has  $C_s$  symmetry with slightly different bond lengths in the peroxo groups (see Fig. [5\)](#page-6-1). The <sup>2</sup>A<sub>2</sub> (C<sub>2v</sub>) state is above this <sup>2</sup>A<sup>"</sup> state by only 0.03 eV in total electronic energy and has an imaginary frequency of  $-108i$ .

The ground-state structure of  $VO<sub>4</sub>$  found in our calcula-tions is the same as reported previously.<sup>74,[75](#page-10-24)</sup> According to the results of B3LYP calculations,<sup>76</sup> MnO<sub>4</sub> has a dioxo-peroxo geometrical structure similar to that of VO4, whereas we found MnO<sub>4</sub> to possess a tetragonal geometry of  $C_{2v}$  symmetry, which is in accord with the photoelectron spectroscopic

(PES) study.<sup>[21](#page-9-19)</sup> According to the tentative PES assignment,<sup>77</sup> FeO4 has a dioxo-peroxo geometrical structure similar to that of VO4 while we found that the lowest total energy states of both  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$  and  $FeO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>$  possess tetragonal geometrical configurations. The contradiction was attributed<sup>78</sup> to the presence of two isomers in the experimental anion flow, which produce two major peaks at  $\sim$ 3.2 and  $\sim$ 3.7 eV. CoO<sub>4</sub> was previously found to have a dioxo-peroxo geometrical configuration of  $C_{2v}$  symmetry,<sup>79</sup> while our calculations predict a diperoxo configuration of  $D_2$  symmetry. This is very surprising, since both calculations are performed at the same BPW91/6–311+G(3df) level. Our optimizations show the  ${}^{2}A_{2}$  state to be above the  ${}^{4}B_{1}$  (D<sub>2</sub>) state displayed in Fig. [5](#page-6-1) by 0.2 eV. In agreement with the previous studies,  $50,80$  $50,80$  CuO<sub>4</sub> has a diperoxo ground-state structure of  $D<sub>2h</sub>$  symmetry. No data are found for  $ZnO<sub>4</sub>$ .

# **B. MO4 <sup>−</sup> anions**

The ground states of 3d-metal tetraoxide anions are presented in Fig. [5.](#page-6-1) With respect to the neutral parent geometries, the peroxo groups of  $\text{ScO}_4^-$  and  $\text{CrO}_4^-$  are split into two oxo groups and both peroxo groups of  $CoO<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> are split into four oxo atoms. The  $ZnO<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> anion is geometrically stable at the diperoxo geometry shown in Fig. [5;](#page-6-1) however, this anion is unstable thermodynamically since its total energy is higher by 0.18 eV than the sum of total energies of  $ZnO_2^-$  and  $O_2$ . Similar to the neutral tetraoxides, the anion geometries do change when moving from  $\text{ScO}_4^-$  to  $\text{ZnO}_4^-$ . The  $\text{ScO}_4^-$ ,  $\text{TiO}_4^-$ , and VO4 <sup>−</sup> anions possess one peroxo group, whereas all oxygen atoms are attached dissociatively in  $CrO_4^-$ ,  $MnO_4^-$ ,  $FeO_4^-$ , and  $CoO_4^-$ . The Ni $O_4^-$  and  $CuO_4^-$  ground-state geometries are similar and have a diperoxo shape.

The smallest fragmentation energies of  $MO_4^-$  computed according to Eqs.  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  are displayed in Fig.  $3(b)$ . As expected,  $MnO_4$ <sup>-</sup> is the most thermodynamically stable anion in the series. We found the atomic oxygen yield to be preferred in  $VO_4^-$ ,  $CrO_4^-$ , and  $MnO_4^-$ . For  $ScO_4^-$ ,  $TiO_4^-$ , and the anions in the end of the series, the smallest energy fragmentation channel corresponds to the dioxygen release.

The calculated VDEs of the  $MO_4^-$  anions and the  $EA_{ad}s$ of their neutral parents are collected in Table [II.](#page-7-0) The  $EA_{ad}$ and VDE values are quite close to each other for tetraoxides of Ti, Mn, Fe, Ni, and Cu, which is consistent with the small geometry changes resulting from an extra electron attachment (see Fig. [5\)](#page-6-1). The geometries of neutral  $\text{ScO}_4$ ,  $\text{CrO}_4$ , and  $\text{CoO}_4$ are different from those of the corresponding anions. Consequently, the differences between the corresponding VDE and EAad values are larger. An indirect confirmation of a large change in the geometries of the  $ScO_4$  and  $ScO_4$ <sup>-</sup> pair follows from the laser photodetachment spectra<sup>81</sup> of  $ScO_4^-$ , where a wide featureless peak was observed in the area above 3 eV. The  $VO_4$  and  $VO_4$ <sup>-</sup> pair presents an exception since the difference between the  $EA_{ad}$  and VDE is quite large whereas both species have the same topology. However, one can notice rather drastic changes in the bonds of the peroxo group; namely,  $R(V – O)$  and  $R(O – O)$  are larger in the anion than in the neutral parent by 0.13 and 0.16 Å, respectively. The

<span id="page-7-0"></span>TABLE II. The EA<sub>ad</sub>, VDE, IE, VIE values and the total magnetic moments,  $M$ , of the neutr[a](#page-7-1)l, anionic, and cationic tetraoxides. $a^2$ 

	Neutral Anion Cation							
Cluster	M	М	М	$EA_{ad}$	<b>VDE</b>	Exp <sup>b</sup>	IE	VIE
$\rm ScO_4$	1	2	2	2.73	4.01		8.15	8.58
TiO <sub>4</sub>	$\overline{c}$	1	1	3.72	3.79		9.84	10.83
VO <sub>4</sub>	1	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\overline{c}$	3.43	4.04	$4.0 \pm 0.1$	10.41	11.08
CrO <sub>4</sub>	$\theta$	1	1	4.37	4.89	$4.98 \pm 0.09$	10.14	10.66
MnO <sub>4</sub>	1	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{c}$	4.89	5.00	$4.96 \pm 0.1$	10.35	11.99
FeO <sub>4</sub>	0	1	1	3.69	3.76	$3.84 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$	10.54	12.11
CoO <sub>4</sub>	3	2	2	3.23	4.54		9.68	9.96
NiO4	2	1	3	2.58	2.83		9.67	10.00
CuO <sub>4</sub>	1	$\overline{0}$	4	2.63	2.83	$3.09 \pm 0.04$	8.77	9.28
ZnO <sub>4</sub>	$\overline{2}$	1	3	2.94	3.09		8.44	9.63
$\sim$ $\sim$ $\sim$		$\mathbf{v}$	$\mathcal{L}$ . $\mathcal{L}$ . $\mathcal{L}$ . $\mathcal{L}$ . $\mathcal{L}$ . $\mathcal{L}$		$\sim$	$\sim$	$\cdots$	.

<span id="page-7-1"></span><sup>a</sup>All values are in eV except for the total magnetic moment values, which are in Bohr magnetons  $\mu_B$ 

<span id="page-7-2"></span><sup>b</sup>See the experimental references in the text.

<span id="page-7-3"></span><sup>c</sup>The PES peak corresponding to the electron detachment from a FeO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> dioxo-peroxo isomer is located (Ref. [57\)](#page-10-8) at  $3.3 \pm 0.04$  eV.

EA<sub>ad</sub> of TiO<sub>4</sub>, CrO<sub>4</sub>, MnO<sub>4</sub>, and FeO<sub>4</sub> are 3.72 4.37, 4.89, and 3.69 eV, respectively, and are larger than the EA of Cl. The experimental values obtained from photoelectron detachment spectra of  $VO_4$ ,<sup>[23](#page-9-21)</sup>  $CrO_4$ ,<sup>[19](#page-9-17)</sup>  $MnO_4$ ,<sup>[21](#page-9-19)</sup>  $FeO_4$ ,<sup>[57](#page-10-8)</sup> and  $CuO_4$ <sup>[49](#page-10-0)</sup> are given in Table [II.](#page-7-0) As it is seen, the experimental values are close to our VDEs.

As mentioned above, the PES spectrum of  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> is likely to originate from two isomers with close total energies. Therefore, one could assume such a possibility for other tetraoxide anions. According to the results of our optimizations of the lowest total energy excited states, the differences  $E_{tot}$ (first excited state) –  $E_{tot}$ (ground state) in the tetraoxide anion series are 0.10 eV (Sc), 0.77 eV (Ti), 0.51 eV (V), 0.94 eV (Cr), 1.59 eV (Mn), 0.001 eV (Fe, three degenerate in total energy  ${}^2A_1$ ,  ${}^2B_1$ , and  ${}^2B_2$  states of  $D_{2d}$  symmetry), 0.08 eV (Co),  $0.23$  eV (Ni),  $0.43$  eV (Cu), and  $0.49$  eV (Zn). Therefore, one could likely expect the presence of isomers of Sc, Fe, Co, and Ni tetraoxide anions under certain experimental conditions. However, all the  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> isomers possess practically the same VDEs (3.74, 3.76, and 3.78 eV, respectively), and the PES peak at  $3.3 \pm 0.04$  eV can be due to a dioxoperoxo anion state  ${}^4B_2$  (C<sub>2v</sub>) which is above the triply generate doublet states by 0.72 eV. The presence of such an anion isomer, which is well separated from the ground state, could be related to the experimental conditions of the anion formation by attaching an extra electron to neutral species. The difference between a tetrahedral ground state of  $FeO<sub>4</sub>$  shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-1) and a dioxo-peroxo  ${}^2A_2$  (C<sub>2v</sub>) state is only 0.04 eV, and both isomers may present in the neutral flow giving rise to two anion isomers. The  $E_{tot}(1th E.S.) - E_{tot}(G.S.)$  differences in the neutral tetraoxide series are 0.47 eV (Sc), 0.51 eV (Ti), 2.09 eV (V), 0.13 eV (V), 0.04 eV (Mn,  ${}^{2}B_1$  and  ${}^{2}A_1$ states of  $C_{2v}$  symmetry), 0.05 eV (Fe, a  $C_{2v}$  isomer), 0.21 eV, 0. 22 eV, and 0.30 eV (Co), 0.28 eV (Ni), 0.05 eV and 0.12 eV (Cu), and 0.09 eV (Zn). As it is seen, all late 3d-metal tetraoxides possess states that are close in total energy to the

<span id="page-8-1"></span>

FIG. 6. The MO4 series: (a) electron affinities; (b) differences in the metal atom charges of the  $MO_4$  and  $MO_4$ <sup>-</sup> pairs; (c) ionization energies; (d) differences in the metal atom charges of the  $MO_4^+ - MO_4$  pairs.

corresponding ground states. The excited state data may be found in the supplementary material.<sup>82</sup>

According to the NBO analysis, the 3d-metal charge is positive in all  $MO_4$  clusters. Figure  $6(b)$  presents the metal charge variation in the anion series with respect to the metal atom charge in the ground-state  $MO_4$  neutrals. As it is seen, there is no significant difference in the charge on the metal atoms. This implies that the extra electron is distributed over oxygen atoms in all  $MO_4^-$  anions. A noticeable exception presents  $CoO<sub>4</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> where the charge on the Co atom increases by ∼0.3 e. The local magnetic moments at the metal sites of  $MO_4^-$  are small and do not exceed 0.6  $\mu_B$  (ScO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>).

# **C. MO4 <sup>+</sup> cations**

The detachment of an electron from the neutral groundstate 3d-metal tetraoxides is accompanied by geometry changes in several cases. Thus, the peroxo groups of  $TiO<sub>4</sub>$ and VO4 transform into the superoxo groups, while both per- $\alpha$  groups of  $CuO<sub>4</sub>$  become the superoxo groups. Two  $\alpha$ atoms of  $MnO_4$  and  $FeO_4$  combine into the peroxo groups. Our ground state of  $VO<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>$  shown in Fig. [5](#page-6-1) is similar to the state found previously<sup>69</sup> at B3LYP/6–3G<sup>\*</sup> level. The electron detachment from a tetraoxide leads to either rupture of the bond between the metal and an oxygen atom or to a recombination of two oxo-atoms into a peroxo group.

The calculated IE and VIE values of all neutral MO4 are given in Table [II](#page-7-0) and plotted in Fig.  $6(c)$ . The values are rather close to each other when  $M = Sc$ , Cr, Co, or Ni, which is consistent with the small geometry changes due to the electron detachment (see Fig. [5\)](#page-6-1). The differences between the metal atom charges in the neutral-cation pairs [q(neutral) – q(cation)] obtained from the NBO population analysis are presented in Fig.  $6(d)$ . As it is seen, there is no essential dependence of the metal atom charge on the 3d-metal tetraoxide charge. This implies that the detached electron originates from oxygen ligands. The largest differences are observed for the Cu and Zn pairs. This can be attributed to a decreasing interaction between the metal and oxygen atoms as it follows from the smaller fragmentation energies in Fig.  $2(d)$ . The total magnetic moments of the  $MO_4^+$  cations are larger than the total magnetic moments of their neutral parents in a number of cases.

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>**V. COMPARISON OF THE MO3 AND MO4 PROPERTIES**

Our calculated fragmentation energies of the neutral and charged  $MO_3$  and  $MO_4$  species are compared in Fig. [7\(a\).](#page-8-2) As it is seen, the neutral trioxides are thermodynamically more stable than the neutral tetraoxides except for the Ni and Cu trioxides. That is, the metal oxide thermodynamic stability decreases when the oxygen content increases. The same conclusion is true for the negative ions as well since the anion fragmentation energies decrease when the oxygen content increases [see Fig.  $7(b)$ ].

The computed adiabatic electron affinities of the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$ and  $MO_4$  clusters are compared in Fig. [7\(d\).](#page-8-2) The EA<sub>ad</sub> of  $MnO<sub>4</sub>$  is substantially larger than the  $EA<sub>ad</sub>$  of  $MnO<sub>3</sub>$  despite the  $MnO_4$ <sup>-</sup> anion is thermodynamically less stable than the  $MnO<sub>3</sub>$ <sup>-</sup> anion.  $MnO<sub>4</sub>$  satisfies the formula for oxygen superhalogens and has the  $EA_{ad}$  that substantially exceeds the  $EA$ of the Cl atom. The  $EA_{ad}s$  of TiO<sub>4</sub>, CrO<sub>4</sub>, and FeO<sub>4</sub> are also larger than the EA of the Cl atom and they are superhalogens as well. To qualitatively analyze the superhalogen nature, we calculated the binding energy difference in the neutral–anion pairs plotted in Fig.  $7(c)$ . Comparing Figs.  $7(c)$  and  $7(d)$ , one can find a strong correlation between the  $EA_{ad}$  value and the difference between the anion and neutral thermodynamic stability. The only exception presents the  $MnO_3-MnO_3$ <sup>-</sup> pair. It is worth to mention that the largest difference in the binding energies found for the  $VO_3$ ,  $MnO_3$ ,  $CoO_3$ ,  $CrO_4$ , MnO4, and FeO4 pairs correlates with the availability of the experimental photoelectron spectra for the corresponding anions.

<span id="page-8-2"></span>

FIG. 7. Comparison of the binding energies (B.E.) for (a)  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MO<sub>4</sub>$ series and (b)  $MO_3^-$  and  $MO_4^-$  series; (c) differences in the binding energies of the  $MO_n^-$  and  $MO_n$  series,  $n = 3$  and 4; (d) comparison of the adiabatic electron affinities in the neutral  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MO<sub>4</sub>$  series.

#### **VI. CONCLUSION**

We performed a systematic study of the neutral and singly negatively and positively charged trioxides and tetraoxides of 3d-metal atoms using density functional theory with generalized gradient approximation. The main results obtained can be summarized as follows:

- (1) The ground-state geometries of neutral  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  change when moving from Sc to Zn trioxides. The preferred geometry in the beginning and the end of the series has an oxo-peroxo form, while all oxygen atoms are bound dissociatively in the middle of the series from V to Co. In the MO4 series, the ground-state geometries have diperoxo (Sc, Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn), dioxo-peroxo (Ti, V, and Cr), and tetraoxo (Mn and Fe) topologies.
- (2) The change in geometries due to the attachment or detachment of an electron is characteristic for the early and late 3d-metal atoms. An extra electron attachment transforms a peroxo group into two oxo-atoms or a superoxo group. On the contrary, an electron detachment can lead to a recombination of two oxo-atoms into a peroxo or superoxo group.
- (3) The  $MO_4$  binding energies defined as the smallest energies required for yielding atomic or molecular oxygen are smaller than the  $MO<sub>3</sub>$  binding energies. This can serve as an indicator that molecular oxygen will be less bound to the metal atom when the oxygen content increases.
- (4) The adiabatic electron affinities of  $VO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $CoO<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $TiO<sub>4</sub>$ ,  $CrO<sub>4</sub>$ , Mn $O<sub>4</sub>$ , and Fe $O<sub>4</sub>$  are larger than the electron affinity of the Cl atom and these species are superhalogens.  $VO<sub>3</sub>$  and  $MnO<sub>4</sub>$  satisfy the superhalogen formula and possess the largest electron affinities in the trioxide and tetraoxide series, respectively.
- (5) The difference between binding energies in the  $MO_n$   $MO_n$ <sup>-</sup> pairs is the largest for those pairs where the neutral oxide is a superhalogen.
- (6) In the  $ZnO_n$  series (n = 1–4), only ZnO and  $ZnO_3$  are thermodynamically stable, while both  $ZnO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $ZnO<sub>4</sub>$ form geometrically stable states, which are unstable with respect to release of molecular oxygen.
- (7) The differences between our vertical electron detachment energies of an extra electron from the  $MO_3^-$  and  $MO_4$ <sup>-</sup> anions obtained at the BPW91/6–311+G(3df) level and the corresponding experimental values obtained from the photoelectron spectra do not exceed 0.2 eV within the experimental uncertainty bars.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was partially supported by a grant from the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (Grant No. HDTRA1–09- 1–0025) and by a contract from the US Army Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate. The research was also supported in part by the National Science Foundation through TeraGrid resources provided by NCSA. Portions of this research were conducted with high performance computational resources provided by the Louisiana Optical Network Initiative [\(http://www.loni.org\)](http://www.loni.org).

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>1C. N. R. Rao and B. Raveau, *Transition Metal Oxides* (CVCH, New York, 1995).
- <span id="page-9-38"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span>2Y. Gong, M. F. Zhou, and L. Andrews, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr900185x) **109**, 6765 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>3S. Riedel and M. Kaupp, [Coord. Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.07.014) **253**, 606 (2009).
- <sup>4</sup>L. M. Ioffe, T. Lopez, Y. Borod'ko, and R. Gomez, [J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1381-1169(94)00079-4) **98**, 25 (1995).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>5P. Jena and A. W. Castleman, Jr., [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601782103) **103**, 10560 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span><span id="page-9-4"></span>6X. Lai and D. W. Goodman, [J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1169(00)00320-4) **162**, 1647 (2000).
- <sup>7</sup>D. F. Hunt, G. C. Stafford, Jr., F. W. Crow, and J. W. Russell, [Anal. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ac50008a014) **48**, 2098 (1976).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>8F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, *Advanced Inorganic Chemistry* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span><span id="page-9-7"></span>9G. L. Gutsev, B. K. Rao, and P. Jena, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp000384f) **104**, 5374 (2000).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>10G. L. Gutsev, B. K. Rao, and P. Jena, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp002252s) **104**, 11961 (2000).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>11G. L. Gutsev and A. I. Boldyrev, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(84)87059-1) **108**, 250 (1984).
- 12M. K. Scheller, R. N. Compton, and L. S. Cederbaum, [Science](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5239.1160) **270**, 1160 (1995).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span><span id="page-9-11"></span>13G. L. Gutsev and A. I. Boldyrev, [Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(81)80150-4) **56**, 277 (1981).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>14G. L. Gutsev and A. I. Boldyrev, [Adv. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/SERIES2007) **61**, 169 (1985).
- 15X.-B Wang, C.-F. Ding, L.-S. Wang, A. I. Boldyrev, and J. Simons, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.478386) **110**, 4763 (1999).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span><span id="page-9-14"></span>16I. Anusiewicz and P. Skurski, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(02)00666-8) **358**, 426 (2002).
- 17K. Pradhan, G. L. Gutsev, and P. Jena, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3489117) **133**, 144301 (2010).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>18X. Yang, X.-B. Wang, L.-S. Wang, S. Q. Niu, and T. Ichiye, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1610431) **119**, 8311 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>19G. L. Gutsev, P. Jena, H.-J. Zhai, and L.-S. Wang, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1405438) **115**, 7935 (2001).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span> $^{20}$ G. L. Gutsev, B. K. Rao, P. Jena, X. Li, and L.-S. Wang, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.481964) **113**, 1473 (2000).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>21G. L. Gutsev, B. K. Rao, P. Jena, X.-B Wang, and L.-S. Wang, [Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(99)00976-8) [Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(99)00976-8) **312**, 598 (1999).
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>22G. L. Gutsev, S. N. Khanna, B. K. Rao, and P. Jena, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp9909006) **103**, 5812 (1999).
- <span id="page-9-22"></span><span id="page-9-21"></span>23H. B. Wu and L.-S. Wang, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.475966) **108**, 5310 (1998).
- 24G. L. Gutsev, C. A. Weatherford, K. Pradhan, and P. Jena, [J. Phys. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp1050645) [A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp1050645) **114**, 9014 (2010).
- <span id="page-9-24"></span><span id="page-9-23"></span>25A. D. Becke, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.38.3098) **38**, 3098 (1988).
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>26J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, [Phys. Rev. B](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.13244) **45**, 13244 (1991).
- 27Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp050536c) **109**, 5656 (2005).
- 28S. F. Sousa, P. A. Fernandes, and M. J. Ramos,[J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0734474) **111**, 10439 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>29K. E. Riley, B. T. Op't Holt, and K. M. Merz, Jr., [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ct600185a) **3**, 407 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>30G. L. Gutsev and C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp030288p) **107**, 7013 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-29"></span><span id="page-9-28"></span>31R. J. Bartlett and M. Musial, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.291) **79**, 291 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>32S. Li and D. A. Dixon, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp800170q) **112**, 6646 (2008).
- 33H.-J. Zhai, S. Li, D. A. Dixon, and L.-S. Wang, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja077984d) **130**, 5167 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>34S. Li, J. M. Hennigan, D. A. Dixon, and K. A. Peterson, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp810182a) **113**, 7861 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-33"></span><span id="page-9-32"></span>35F. Grein, [Int. J. Quantum Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/qua.21855) **109**, 549 (2009).
- 36S. Li, H.-J. Zhai, L.-S. Wang, and D. A. Dixon, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp9082008) **113**, 11273 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-34"></span>37R. Krishnan, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger, and J. A. Pople, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.438955) **72**, 650 (1980).
- <span id="page-9-36"></span><span id="page-9-35"></span>38A. D. Mclean and G. S. Chandler, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.438980) **72**, 5639 (1980).
- 39M. J. Frisch, G. N. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel *et al.*, GAUSSIAN 03, *Revision B.04*, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.
- <span id="page-9-39"></span><span id="page-9-37"></span>40A. E. Reed, L. A. Curtiss, and F. Weinhold, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr00088a005) **88**, 899 (1988).
- 41C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., M. F. Zhou, L. Andrews, J. R. T. Johnson, I. Panas, A. Snis, and B. O. Roos, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp9908808) **103**, 5463 (1999).
- <span id="page-9-40"></span>42Y. Gong and M. F. Zhou, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp805495d) **112**, 9758 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-42"></span><span id="page-9-41"></span>43E. Uzunova, [J. Chem. Phys. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp1097266) **115**, 1320 (2011).
- <span id="page-9-43"></span>44M. F. Zhou and L. Andrews, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.479721) **111**, 4230 (1999).
- 45G. V. Chertihin, W. D. Bare, and L. Andrews, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.474637) **107**, 2798 (1997).
- <span id="page-9-44"></span>46R. F. Ferrante, J. L. Wilkerson, W. R. M. Graham, and W. Weltner, Jr., [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.434797) **67**, 5904 (1977).
- <span id="page-9-45"></span>47Y. Gong and M. F. Zhou, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp806442y) **112**, 10838 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-46"></span>48A. Citra, G. V. Chertihin, L. Andrews, and M. J. Neurock, [J. Phys. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp970019x) [A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp970019x) **101**, 3109 (1997).
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>49H. B. Wu, S. R. Desai, and L.-S. Wang, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp9631442) **101**, 2103 (1997).
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>50G. V. Chertihin, L. Andrews, and C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp9701653) **101**, 4026 (1997).
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>51L. B. Knight, Jr., R. Babb, M. Ray, T. J. Banisaukas III, L. Russon, R. S. Dailey, and E. R. Davidson, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.472953) **105**, 10237 (1996).
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>52E. Jakubikova, A. K. Rappe, and E. R. Bernstein, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0745844) **111**, 12938 (2007).
- <span id="page-10-5"></span><span id="page-10-4"></span>53A. Bande and A. Lüchow, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b803571g) **10**, 3371 (2008).
- 54E. L. Uzunova, G. St. Nikolov, and H. Mikosch, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0143692) **106**, 4104 (2002).
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>55G. L. Gutsev, L. Andrews, and C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., [Theor. Chem. Acc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00214-003-0428-4) **109**(6), 298 (2003).
- <span id="page-10-8"></span><span id="page-10-7"></span>56H. B. Wu and L.-S. Wang, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.475026) **107**, 8221 (1997).
- 57H. B. Wu, S. R. Desai, and L.-S. Wang, [J. Am. Chem. Soc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja9654140) **118**, 7434 (1996).
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>58M. B. Walsh, R. A. King, and H. F. Schaefer III, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.478418) **110**, 5224 (1999).
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>59V. T. Tran and M. F. A. Hendrickx, [J. Chem. Theory Comput.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ct100466k) **7**, 291(2011).
- <span id="page-10-12"></span><span id="page-10-11"></span>60K. Deng, J. Yang, and Q. Zhu, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1316043) **113**, 7867 (2000).
- 61T. Baruah, R. R. Zope, and M. R. Pederson, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.69.023201) **69**, 023201 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>62Y.-X. Zhao, X.-L. Ding, Y.-P. Ma, Z.-C. Wang, and S.-G. He, [Theor. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00214-010-0732-8) [Acc.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00214-010-0732-8) **127**, 449 (2010).
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>63J. F. Harrison, [Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/cr980411m) **100**, 679 (2000).
- 64Y. Nakao, K. Hirao, T. Taketsugu, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1362323) **114**, 7935 (2001).
- 65E. Miliordos and A. J. Mavridis, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp067451b) **111**, 1953 (2007).
- <span id="page-10-16"></span><span id="page-10-15"></span>66M. Pykavy and C. Van Wullen, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp027264n) **107**, 5566 (2003).
- 67M. Brümmer, C. Kaposta, G. Santambrogio, and K. R. Asmis, [J. Chem.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1634254) [Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1634254) **119**, 12700 (2003).
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>68K. R. Asmis, G. Meijer, M. Brümmer, C. Kaposta, G. Santambrogio, L. Wöste, and J. Sauer, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1650833) **120**, 6461 (2004).
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>69M. Calatayud, B. Silvi, J. Andrés, and A. Beltrán, [Chem. Phys. Lett.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2614(00)01287-2) **333**, 493 (2001).
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>70G. K. Koyanagi, D. K. Bohme, I. Kretzschmar, D. Schröder, and H. Schwarz, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp004197t) **105**, 4259 (2001).
- <span id="page-10-21"></span><span id="page-10-20"></span>71S. Riedel and M. Kaupp, [Coord. Chem. Rev.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.07.014) **253**, 606 (2009).
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>72Y. Gong and M. F. Zhou, [Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/b909999a) **11**, 8714 (2009).
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>73Y. Gong, C. F. Ding, and M. F. Zhou, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp075470v) **111**, 11572 (2007).
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>74M. F. Zhou and L. Andrews, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp982212c) **102**, 8251 (1998).
- 75Y. Zhao, Y. Gong, M. H. Chen, and M. F. Zhou, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp056476s) **110**, 1845 (2006).
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>76Y. Gong, G. J. Wang, and M. F. Zhou, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp800955e) **112**, 4936 (2008).
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>77Y. Gong, M. F. Zhou, and L. Andrews, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0765805) **111**, 12001 (2007).
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>78G. L. Gutsev, S. N. Khanna, B. K. Rao, and P. Jena, [Phys. Rev. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.59.3681) **59**, 3681 (1999).
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>79D. Danset, M. E. Alikhani, and L. Manceron, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp0473265) **109**, 105 (2005).
- <span id="page-10-30"></span><span id="page-10-29"></span>80C. Massobrio and Y. Pouillon, [J. Chem. Phys.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.1610433) **119**, 8305 (2003).
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>81H. Wu and L.-S. Wang, [J. Phys. Chem. A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jp982588q) **102**, 9129 (1998).
- 82See supplementary material at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3570578> for the concise data on all ground and lowest total energy excited states optimized in the present work.