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Introduction

Neutrophils, or polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN), are indispensable in mammalian host defense during infection. They are part of the innate immune system, which is very much involved in the first few hours of injury or infection before the adaptive immune system is activated. Although, the role of neutrophils is vital at the site of inflammation, their activities have also been implicated in the parthenogenesis of certain clinical conditions following their activation. An exaggerated neutrophil activation due to extensive tissue injury or shock causes systemic inflammatory response syndrome, which could lead to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and subsequently, multiple organ failure (MOF) [1].

Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS)

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a debilitating inflammatory condition resulting from an acute, severe lung injury to most or all of both lungs. Patients with ARDS experience severe shortness of breath and often require mechanical ventilation due to respiratory failure. The condition is characterized by fluid-filled alveoli and decreased lung compliance following extensive lung inflammation and small vessel injury[2]. ARDS is a syndrome not a specific disease, with predisposing factors like sepsis, trauma, and/or pneumonia. In ARDS, there is diffuse alveolar damage, resulting from structural changes in the alveolocapillary unit. Injury to the alveolocapillary membrane inevitably disrupts the endothelial barrier leading to the development of noncardiogenic pulmonary edema through increased vascular permeability. As the air spaces fill with fluid, the gas-exchange and mechanical properties of the lung deteriorate[3].
ARDS was first described in 1967 by Ashbaugh and collaborators, who observed 12 of 272 patients treated for respiratory failure, with the following unique features: cyanosis refractory to oxygen therapy, decreased lung compliance, and diffused infiltrates evident on chest radiograph that distinguished them from the others[4]. Initially, the condition was called adult respiratory distress syndrome but, in 1994, the American-European Consensus Committee on ARDS standardized the definition and renamed it “acute” rather than “adult” because it occurs in all ages[5].

The incidence rate of ARDS ranges from 1.5 to 71 per 100,000 persons and affects about 150,000 each year in the United States. This syndrome is a major cause of morbidity, death, and cost in intensive care units [6]. The mortality rate of ARDS has been estimated to be approximately 30 – 40 %,[7-10] with the deaths usually resulting from multi-system organ failure rather than lung failure alone[2]. The majority of ARDS patients who survive will make a full recovery; however, some will have lasting damage to their lungs. According Herridge and colleagues, survivors of ARDS may experience persistent functional disability even one year after discharge from the intensive care unit, mostly muscle wasting and weakness [11]. Thus, ARDS affects not only the patient, but also family members by way of stress and cost.

Patients who experience severe trauma or sepsis are at increased risk for the development of ARDS with an onset between 24 and 72 hours of injury. In an event of severe infection or trauma, neutrophils are activated and recruited to the site of injury. This is the body’s initial immune response to foreign invasion. The immune response to trauma is often exaggerated and the activated neutrophils extravasate not only to the site of infection, but also to distant tissues. Thus, the immunoactivation, though of benefit at
the site of injury, has the potential to cause cell-mediated damage at remote organs[1]. In normal circulation, neutrophils constitute about 60% of leukocytes, but in ARDS total percentage can be as high as 90% in the lungs alone. The principal players involved in ARDS are cytokines, neutrophils, endothelium, and reactive oxygen species [12].

**Neutrophils**

Neutrophils are described as the “first line of defense” in the body’s immune response because they are the first to be recruited to the sites of inflammation. At the site, their targets include bacteria, fungi, protozoa, viruses, viral-infected cells and tumor cells. Like macrophages, neutrophils are called professional phagocytes, because, they hunt and kill microbes by ingesting them.

**Description**

Neutrophils are small cells, measuring about 9-10 μm in diameter. They are the most abundant leukocyte (50% -60% of leukocytes) in blood, with mean concentration of 4.4 x 10^6 cells/ml. They differ from the other leukocytes by their characteristic segmented nuclei (2-5 lobes), however, 5% of them have “banded” nuclei. They also possess a large quantity of storage granules in the cytoplasm and glycogen in the cytosol. Functionally, the granules are divided into three types: azurophils (primary granules), specific (secondary) granules, and storage granules.

**Maturation and Fate of Neutrophils**

Neutrophils begin their two-week lifespan in the bone marrow, where they differentiate and proliferate. During this period, they undergo six morphological stages: myeloblast, promyeloblast, myelocyte, metamyelocyte, non-segmented (band) neutrophil,
and segmented neutrophil. The segmented neutrophil is terminally differentiated and a fully functionally active cell. It is during the mitotic phase of the promyelocytic stage that neutrophils begin to produce azurophil granules, which contain enzymes such as myeloperoxidase and elastase. In addition, in human neutrophils, the azurophil granules contain cationic antibacterial protein 37 and azurocidin[13, 14]. The azurophil granules are called “primary granules” because they are the first to appear in differentiation. Specific granules appear next and are called “secondary granules”. They are made in the “myelocyte” stage (near the end of the mitotic stage). Specific granules contain lactoferin and lysozme and they outnumber (120-140 granules/cell) the azurophils (60-70 granules/cell).

Most of the neutrophils formed in the bone marrow never enter circulation; instead they are phagocytosed by bone macrophages. The few that are released from the bone marrow after maturation circulate in the bloodstream in a non-activated state, having a half-life of approximately 4-10 hours. Thereafter, the neutrophils enter the tissue pools, where they survive for another 1 to 2 days after which time they are cleared from circulation. Their viability is however greatly shortened in patients suffering from infections or acute inflammatory diseases, in whom tissue requirement for newly recruited neutrophils becomes overwhelmingly higher.

Activities of Neutrophils

Under normal physiologic conditions, non-activated neutrophils stay in constant circulation and occasionally probe the vascular endothelium for the opportunity to transmigrate. Leukocyte recruitment has an important endothelial cell-dependent
component and involves a series of adhesion steps: margination, rolling, activation and firm adhesion, and diapedesis (Fig. 1).

**Figure 1: Neutrophil recruitment.** A schematic showing the four steps in neutrophil migration into the tissues and the chemokines that aide the process.

During inflammation, the endothelial cells become activated by cytokines such as interleukin-1 (IL-1), Tumor Necrosis Factor α (TNF-α), and bacterial products such as endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide, LPS) leading to the up-regulation of cell surface adhesion molecules[15-17] (Fig. 2).
The first group of adhesion molecules expressed is the selectins, specifically, E, L and P-selectins. They are vital to our body’s immune response by recruiting and directing leukocytes and lymphocytes to sites of infection. In an event of an infection or injury, macrophages release TNF-α which stimulates the endothelial cells lining blood vessels to express P-selectin first, then, E-selectin (Janeway et al. 2005). P-selectins are pre-formed in vesicles called Weibel-Palade bodies near the cell surface of endothelial cells (Janeway, et al 2005). The activation of TNF-α or LPS receptors on endothelial cells causes these vesicles to fuse with cell membrane, resulting in P-selectin expression within minutes of cytokine recognition. The story, however, is different for E-selectins, which have to be transcribed, translated and transported to the cell surface to be expressed. Thus, it takes about two hours after endothelial cell activation for E-selectins to be expressed, with peak expression between 6-12 hours after cytokine recognition [18].
L-selectin, on the other hand, is constitutively expressed on the surface of neutrophils. With endothelial cell activation, the neutrophils flowing in the center stream of the blood vessel begin to move towards the periphery, where they tether to the endothelial cells via the selectins. This initial attachment is called *margination*, and is a very important first step in the inflammatory cascade resulting in neutrophil rolling on the vessel wall.

*Rolling* is mediated by low-affinity interactions between the selectins family (E/L/P-selectins) and sialyl LewisX glycans (sLewX) [19-21]. Both E and P-selectins are known to interact with sLewX glycans, which are constitutively expressed on the surface of neutrophils, but the actual role of L-selectin in rolling is yet to be fully elucidated. In trying to understand the roles of the three selectins in leukocyte rolling, Collins et al[22] studied double-null selectin (E/P) and triple-null selectin (E/L/P) mice in infections. They noted that while the E/P-mutant mice developed both skin and pulmonary infections, the triple (E/L/P-selectins)-mutants did not. They therefore concluded that L-selectin deficiency alters the inflammatory response in E/P mutants. In another study, King and colleagues [23] also showed that the L-selectin receptors on neutrophils become clustered into a cap during rolling fostering a lower velocity movement than in cells with uniformly distributed L-selectin.

The slow rate acquired by neutrophils is integral in the transition to *firm adhesion* step by a second group of molecules called integrins. Prior to firm adhesion, chemokines such as interleukin-8 (IL-8) and platelet activation function (PAF) stimulate neutrophils to upregulate β2-integrins, which are Lymphocyte-associated Function Antigen-1, LFA-1, (CD11a/CD18), Macrophage antigen-1, Mac-1 (CD11b/CD18), and p150/95 (CD11c/CD18) as well as the vascular endothelium to express inter-cellular adhesion
molecules (ICAM-1 and 2), which belong to the immunoglobulin superfamily. On
neutrophils, the distribution of LFA-1 is greater than the rest and has a higher affinity for
ICAM-1 (CD54), but less affinity for ICAM-2[17, 24-26]. The binding of β2-integrins to
the ICAMs arrests the rolling motion of neutrophils and ensures a firm adhesion, a
phenomenon vital to leukocyte transmigration. According to one report [27] following
IL-8 exposure, neutrophils shed their L-selectins to allow firm adhesion to take place.

Firm adhesion of neutrophils to activated endothelium is an important step
preceding diapedesis (transmigration) and also enables neutrophils to probe the vascular
endothelium for an intercellular barrier. Platelet-Endothelial Cell Adhesion Molecule-1
(PECAM-1 or CD31), another immunoglobulin superfamily localized at the intercellular
borders of endothelial cells mediates the process. PECAM-1 acts as glue that holds the
adjoining cells together. Recent reports [28, 29] indicate that leukocyte-derived
azurocidin induces alteration of vascular permeability to permit diapedesis. The first
assertion that azurocidin might play a role in endothelial permeability regulation was
made by Ostergaard and Flodgaard [30] when they observed that fibroblast and
endothelial monolayers treated with purified azurocidin exhibited reversible disruption of
barrier function by cell contraction and the formation of large gaps between cells.
However, for transmigration to proceed, the firmly adhered neutrophil must first flatten
before squeezing between the adjoining cells to enter the tissues. In the tissues,
chemotactic factors like complement protein (C5a), PAF, leukotriene B4 (LTB4),
bacterial peptides, f-Met-Leu-Phe (fMLP), or IL-8 [31] guide the transmigrated
neutrophil to the site of inflammation for degranulation or phagocytosis to occur.
Neutrophil’s Armory

Neutrophils have an incredible potent antimicrobial arsenal both within their granules and by their ability to produce reactive oxygen species. This array of weaponry is grouped as oxidative and non-oxidative “weapons” and they include oxidants, proteinases, and cationic peptides.

Oxidative Microbicidal Mechanisms

Free Radical Production

There are two forms of free radicals produced by neutrophils (and other cells): reactive oxygen species and reactive nitrogen intermediates. The reactive oxygen intermediates are produced by the phagocyte NADPH oxidase, and are microbicidal [32]. Upon activation, neutrophils and mononuclear phagocytes increase their oxygen consumption, a process called respiratory burst. During this respiratory burst, the NADPH oxidase, an electron transport chain, reduces oxygen to superoxide anion or its protonated form, perhydroxyl radical, according to the equation below to form hydrogen peroxide.

\[
O_2 + \dot{e} + H^+ \rightarrow HO_2^- \rightarrow O^-_2 + H^+
\]

\[
O^-_2 + O^-_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O_2
\]

The hydrogen peroxide so formed interacts with myeloperoxidase to produce hypochlorous acid, which is antibacterial and cytotoxic. The hydroxyl radical (\(\cdotOH\)) can be formed in several ways, but the decomposition of \(H_2O_2\) catalyzed by \(Fe^{2+}\) (Fenton reaction) is the most important.

Fenton Reaction:

\[
Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH^- + OH^-
\]
Reactive nitrogen intermediates (RNI) are the second group of free radicals formed by neutrophils. They originate from an oxidative pathway in which an unstable nitric oxide (NO•) is derived from the guanido nitrogen in the conversion of L-arginine to L-citrulline catalyzed by NO• synthase. It appears the antimicrobial activity is ROI dependent in normal neutrophils, but RNI may play a role in cells with deficiencies in NADPH oxidase/MPO pathways. Nitric oxide may also contribute to the microbicidal activity of neutrophils by reacting with ROI to form secondary cytotoxic species such as peroxynitrite.

Myeloperoxidase (MPO) the most abundant protein in neutrophils is a green lysosomal enzyme localized in the azurophil granules [33]. It catalyzes the reaction of hydrogen peroxide and chloride to hypochlorous acid [33-36], which is a potent antibactericidal [37]. This enzyme is considered a very important component of the neutrophil’s antimicrobial armory.

MPO plays a central role in infection and inflammation [36] and neutrophils require it to kill a variety of microorganisms [34]. It is released in phagocytosis during neutrophils’ attack. Myeloperoxidase has also been implicated in promoting tissue damage in numerous inflammatory diseases including rheumatoid arthritis[38], ARDS [39], multiple sclerosis [35], cystic fibrosis[40], and neonatal lung injury [41]. Recent reports further indicate that MPO triggers arterosclerosis by oxidizing low-density lipoproteins[42] and is implicated in acute myeloid leukemia[43], and lung cancer [44].
Non- Oxidative Microbicidal Mechanisms

This category includes many components found in the granules, which are described as follows:

**Defensins** are small, cysteine-rich cationic polypeptides, which exhibit powerful antimicrobial activities against a broad spectrum of microorganisms [45]. Their toxicity may involve the disruption of cell membrane of target cell leading to an increase in permeability and leakage of small molecules [46]. A Recent study has also linked defensins with tissue damage [47].

**Bacterial Permeability-Increasing (BPI) protein** is a 59 kDal cationic protein localized in azurophil granules of neutrophils. It does not require enzymatic activity to function and belongs to a class of proteins, which transport or bind cholesterol esters and lipopolysaccharides [48, 49]. It exerts its antimicrobial activity by permeabilizing bacterial membrane [50].

**Elastase, Cathepsin G, Proteinase 3, and Azurocidin** are serine proteases (described as Neutral Serine Protease, NSP, family). They are highly cationic glycoprotein and are potent antibactericidal [51-53]. Both elastase and cathepsin G appear to kill bacteria by two distinct mechanisms – enzyme-dependent and an enzyme – independent manner [54]. Elastase has also been known to specifically digest bacteria by degrading the outer membrane proteins, OmpA [55]. Azurocidin, however, has been found to be synergistic with elastase in killing bacteria [54]. Of these proteases, Proteinase 3 is the only one whose mechanism of killing still remains unclear. However, studies have also shown that these proteases when released can cause irreparable damage
to tissues [56, 57]. Proteinase 3 is the only other NPS that has been implicated in the immunopathogenesis of emphysema.

*Lactoferrin,* which localizes in the specific granules, is an iron-binding glycoprotein belonging to the transferrin family. Lactoferrin sequesters free iron with high affinity thereby preventing the growth of ingested microorganisms that survive the killing process and increase permeability to lysozyme[58]. This is one more strategy of neutrophil’s host defense mechanism. Another report also suggests Lactoferrin’s bactericidal activities, which are independent of iron binding [59].

*Matrix Metalloproteinases (MMPs)* are a family of enzymes that is responsible for degradation of extracellular matrix components. Of these, MMP-8, a neutrophil collagenase, is of special interest to this research. By its remodeling action, MMP-8 facilitates leukocyte trafficking through endothelial barriers and solid organs. MMP-8 like other metalloproteinases is stored in the specific granules and is rapidly liberated in response to inflammatory mediators[60].

Each of these antimicrobial agents of neutrophil has, besides its microbicidal function, the capability also to damage the surrounding tissues. Many of previous studies have centered on the treatment of ARDS consequent upon neutrophil’s damaging activity. However, this study seeks to use protein synthesis inhibitors as a strategy to prevent transmigration of neutrophils by inhibiting margination and/or diapedesis. This is an in vitro study, which uses Puromycin and Anisomycin combined to inhibit protein biosynthesis.
Protein Synthesis Inhibitors

*Puromycin* is an aminonucleoside antibiotic produced by *Streptomyces alboniger*. It inhibits protein biosynthesis by incorporating itself into a growing polypeptide chain at the A site and causes its premature termination. Because Puromycin resembles the aminoacyl terminus of an aminoacyl-tRNA (Fig. 3), its amino group joins the carbonyl group of the growing polypeptide chain to form an adduct, which dissociates from the ribosome and becomes stable due to the amide group rather than an ester linkage (Berg et al, 2002).

![Diagram adapted from Figure 29.34. Biochemistry © 2002 by W. H. Freeman and Company](image)

**Figure 3:** An illustration of the structural similarity between puromycin and the aminoacyl terminus of an aminoacyl-tRNA that makes it possible to incorporate itself into the growing polypeptide.

*Anisomycin* is an antibiotic isolated from *Streptomyces griseolus* with a structure established as 2-p-methoxyphenylmethyl-3-acetoxy-4-hydropyrrolidine (Fig. 4). It is known to inhibit protein synthesis by binding to 80S ribosomal subunits and blocking peptide bond elongation causing polysome stabilization[61]. Its action is described as potent, structurally specific, and reversibly inhibits protein biosynthesis in certain yeast and mammalian cells. In his study on the Mode of Action of Anisomycin, Grollman[62],

13
reported a partial inhibition of DNA synthesis in Hela cells at anisomycin concentration which also produced 95% inhibition of protein synthesis. He also noted that the onset was rapid and reversible. Another effect of anisomycin on cells classified as stress, has been reported to strongly activate p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) MAPK pathway[63] (Fig. 5). However, studies show that activation of MAPK pathway, which occurs at sub-translational inhibitory concentration, is dissociable from the translational arrest-related components[64, 65].

*Figure 4: The structure of Anisomycin*
Figure 5: MAP Kinase signaling. A schematic showing stress-activated c-Jun and p38 pathways.

The Hypothesis

Protein synthesis inhibitors can be used as a novel strategy to inhibit neutrophil transmigration and could form a basis for a therapy to prevent ARDS.
Figure 6: Mechanism of Protein Synthesis Inhibitors. An illustration of some protein synthesis inhibitors including anisomycin and puromycin [Picture adapted from an unknown location of the Internet]
Materials and Methods

Cell Culture

The cell line, Human Microvascular Endothelial Cells (HMEC-1), used was a gift from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CID-R053413-00)[Atlanta, GA]. The cells were initially cultured in MDCB 131 medium [Gibco, Grand Island, NY] supplemented with 20% Fetal Bovine Serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY), 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Penicillin, Streptomycin, and Amphotericin B) [Gibco: Grand Island, NY], 1% L-Glutamine (Gibco: Grand Island, NY), 40ng/ml rh Epidermal Growth Factor (Invitrogen: Carlsbad, CA), and 1ug/ml Hydrocortisone (Sigma: St. Louis, MO). Later on, culture media with reduced serum were used and were prepared from 1% or 2% Fetal Bovine Serum, 1% Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium (see Appendix 2 for formulations) [Gibco, Grand Island, NY] 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Penicillin, Streptomycin, and Amphotericin B), and 1% L-Glutamine.

Setting up an in vitro model

A test model, which mimics the in vivo system of endothelium of the blood vessel and the tissue milieu, was adapted (Fig. 7). The model consisted of a 6.5 diameter transwell insert (Costar: Corning, NY) with polycarbonate membrane pore size of 3μm placed in a 24-multiwell plate (Cellstar #662160) with medium. The transwell inserts were first coated with 6.6ug/ml of fibronectin (Fisher Scientific: Newark, DE) and allowed to incubate at room temperature under the laminar hood for one hour. After the incubation period, excess fibronectin liquid was discarded and the coated inserts were washed one
time in sterile 1X Dubecco's PBS (Gibco: Grand Island, NY). 100μl of growth medium containing 20,000 human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) were seeded onto the fibronectin coated inserts. Then 500μl of growth medium was placed in the well and the seeded transwell insert was placed in it. The cells were allowed to grow to confluence for up to 7 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂ and fresh growth medium was replaced every other day. The monolayer formed represented the lining of the blood vessel.

![Diagram of transwell insert](image)

**Figure 7: An *in vitro* model of the blood vessel- tissue system *in vivo*.** The upper compartment depicts the blood vessel with a monolayer of endothelial cells and the lower compartment represents the surrounding tissue into which the neutrophil migrate.[Diagram adapted from the internet. Location: unknown]

**Determining the Integrity of HMEC-1 Monolayer**

Each day starting from the third day of growth, the integrity of monolayer was tested using the Bradford Protein assay. The inserts were removed from the incubator and washed twice in DPBS to remove traces of serum from the monolayer. 100μl of 10μg/ml of BSA (Bio-Rad: Hercules, CA) in MCDB 131 was placed in the transwell inserts and 500μl of basic MCDB 131 in the lower chamber. A control was included in each day’s experiment. The control consisted of a transwell insert without a monolayer and was
placed in a well containing 500μl of medium; 100μl of 10μg/ml BSA was then placed in
the upper chamber. Triplicates of each set up were done and were incubated at 37°C and
5% CO₂ for 45 minutes. After the incubation, the bottoms of the inserts were rinsed with
the contents of the lower chamber and 200μl of the contents was transferred into a
labeled 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes. 200μl of 1X Bradford Dye Reagent (Bio-Rad:
Hercules CA) was added, vortexed, and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature.
100μl of the suspension was transferred to a 96- well microplate and read at 595nm on a
microplate reader. Standards were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instruction
[Bio-Rad: Hercules, CA] with a linear range of 1.25 –10μg/ml of BSA. The standard
curve developed was used to determine the amount of albumin that had gone through the
monolayer. By measuring each day’s total protein leakage up to 7 days, it was possible to
determine when the monolayer was ready for future transmigration experiments.

**Testing Lypopolyssachrides’ Reaction with Myeloperoxidase ELISA Assay**

Standard myeloperoxidase solutions ranging from 0ng/ml – 100ng/ml were
prepared according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) [Appendix 5]. Later on, three concentrations of MPO, 0ng/ml, 5ng/ml and 100ng/ml, were prepared
in buffer (MCDB 131) with or without 1ng/ml of lypopolysacchride, LPS (Sigma: St.
Louis, MO). A blank, made up solely of the buffer was included. Both the standard
solutions and the samples were tested with the ELISA assay [Appendix 5]. MPO activity
was measured at 450nm on a microplate reader. The standard curve was developed and
used to calculate the amount of MPO activity seen with both the buffer and LPS.
Investigating LPS Direct Effect on Neutrophils

Approximately 500,000 purified PMNs (obtained from healthy volunteers) were added to 1ng/ml LPS in a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and incubated for 0, 15 and 30 minutes at 37°C and 5% CO₂. At the end of the incubation, the PMN suspensions were vortexed and 100ul transferred into a required number of strips of a 96-well polystyrene plate coated with antibody for MPO ELISA assessment. The presence of myeloperoxidase was measured at 450nm using a microplate reader.

Investigating LPS’s and PSI’s Individual and Combined Effect on PMNs

To determine if PSI could prevent neutrophil degranulation with LPS activation, 500,000 neutrophils were placed in four separate 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes and either LPS (1ng/ml), PSI (0.5uM puromycin and 0.12uM anisomycin), both, or nothing (control) was added. The neutrophils that received both reagents were first pre-treated with PSI for one hour followed by LPS for an additional hour. All samples were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Immediately after incubation, the suspensions were centrifuged at 18,000 x g at 4°C for 15 minutes to pellet the PMNs. After centrifugation, 450μl of the supernatant was discarded and 500μl of cytobuster extraction protein (Novagen: Canada) was added and incubated on ice for another 15 minutes to lyse the PMNs. Following PMN lysis, MPO ELISA assay was performed to assess the amount of myeloperoxidase released.
Determining Cell Viability With LPS or PSI Exposure

Cell viability was tested after LPS and PSI separate exposures. Viable cell numbers were measured using 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5 diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) [Sigma: St. Louis, MO] assay. Approximately 50,000 HMEC-1 were cultured to confluence for 3 days in a 96-well plate. The medium was aspirated and the cells were washed two times with 1X DPBS to remove all traces of serum. A dose response on cell viability was tested with LPS. To do this, 100μl of different LPS concentrations, 0ng/ml, 0.25ng/ml, 0.5ng/ml and 1ng/ml, were added to designate wells with cells and incubated for four hours. While cultures were incubating, MTT working solution was prepared by adding 3ml of MCDB 131 medium to a vial of MTT lyophilized reagent. After LPS treatment, the cultures were removed and 100μl of the MTT reconstituted solution was added to all wells and re-incubated for 2 hours. A purple precipitate (formazan) resulted from a reaction between mitochondria and MTT reagent. 100μl of MTT Solubilization solution was added to dissolve the precipitate. Dissolution of crystals was expedited by carefully triturating the mixture with a micropipette. Two absorbance readings at 570nm and 690nm were taken. The latter reading at 690nm, which is the background absorbance, was deducted from the absorbance at 570nm to obtain a measure of cell viability.

In the case of PSI, a time-course of HMEC-1 exposure to a single dose of PSI (0.5μM Puromycin + 0.12μM Anisomycin) was tested. The cells were exposed to PSI for different time periods at one hour intervals up to five hours and then analyzed by MTT assay for viability or cytotoxicity.
Determination of TNFα’s Optimal Concentration for PMN Transmigration

In subsequent experiments, it became necessary to replace LPS with TNFα as a stimulant for PMN transmigration. And to determine the appropriate concentration for the assay, various TNFα concentrations, were tested on PMN transmigration. HMEC-1 monolayer preparations were exposed to TNFα concentrations of 2ng/ml, 5ng/ml, and 10ng/ml for 4 hours. The length of time (4 hours) for TNFα to exert maximal effect was selected according to the method by Chen et. al[66].

Meanwhile, PMNs were isolated from whole blood of healthy volunteers by density gradient separation (Appendix 3) using polymorphprep solution (Polymorphprep: Oslo, Norway). The purified PMNs were re-suspended in MCDB medium and the density was determined using a Coulter cell counter [Coulter Electronics Ltd., Luton, England]. The purified PMNs were kept on ice until needed. At the end of the monolayer treatment period, all inserts were washed two times in DPBS and placed in a 24-well plate containing 600µl of 0.1ng/ml of interleukin – 8 (Sigma: St. Louis, MO). 100µl of 10⁶ PMNs was also placed in all inserts. The sets were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 90 minutes. After that, cultures were removed from the incubator and the bottoms of the inserts were rinsed with the lower chamber contents to ensure no transmigrated PMN was sticking to the bottom. 475µl of the lower chamber contents from each well was transferred into labeled micro-centrifuge tubes to which 25µl of 20X Sample Buffer (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) was added and vortexed to ensure careful mixing. Following this, the MPO ELISA assay [Appendix 5] was performed to quantify transmigrated PMNs by measuring the presence of myeloperoxidase. The results obtained were recorded.
Transmigration of PMNs after TNF-α and PSI treatment of Monolayer

Triplicates of four categories of inserts with intact HMEC-1 monolayers were prepared. The experiment was designed and grouped as follows: Negative Control (#1), TNF-α-treated only (#2), PSI –treated only (#3), and PSI pre-treatment plus TNF-α co-treatment (#4). The Protein Synthesis Inhibitors (PSI) used was a combination of 0.5μM Puromycin (Sigma # P8833, St. Louis, MO) and 0.12μM Anisomycin (Sigma # A9789, St. Louis, MO). The combined concentration is also referred to in this study as 0.5X. This dosage of PSI was selected based on preliminary in vitro studies done in the lab by Quincy Tran on the reversibility effects of different concentrations of PSI on HMEC-1 viability [Appendix 6]. From his experiments, 0.5X PSI was the optimum dose that showed an inhibitory effect as well as a 95% cell recovery (in 48 hours).

To begin the experiment, all sets were washed two times in 1X DPBS by carefully submerging to remove traces of serum. The experimental wells, #4, were first pre-treated with 0.5X PSI for one hour by placing 100μl of PSI in the upper chamber and 500μl in the lower chamber. Then TNFα (10ng/ml) was added during the second hour for a total treatment time of 5 hours. Other monolayers were treated as appropriate.

After the incubation, the treated monolayers were washed two times in 1X DPBS and the inserts were placed in wells containing 600μl of 0.1ng/ml IL-8. 100μl of 10^6 PMN suspensions was placed in all inserts and incubated for 90 minutes at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After incubation, the bottoms of the transwell inserts were rinsed with the lower chamber contents and set aside. The contents of the lower chamber were carefully mixed and 475μl was transferred into 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes to which 25 μl of 20X Sample buffer was added and vortexed for 30 seconds. 100μl of the suspension was transferred to 96-wells (Calbiochem: La Jolla, CA) for MPO ELISA analysis of
myeloperoxidase (Appendix 5). The presence of myeloperoxidase was measured at 450nm on a microplate reader and the results were analyzed.

**FACS Analysis**

**Measuring E-selectin**

Approximately $10^6$ HMEC-1 cells were cultured in 75cm$^2$ tissue culture flasks for 4 days to confluence. Cells were exposed to various treatments as follows: Negative Control (no treatment), TNF-α treatment only, PSI treatment only, and PSI-TNF-α co-treatment. PSI treatment was for a total incubation time of 5 hours and TNF-α treatment, where applicable, was for a total time of 4 hours. After treatment, cells were washed one time with DPBS and harvested by scraping with cell lifters (Costar: Corning, NY). When the cells had detached from the bottom of the flasks, the suspension was transferred into a 15ml Falcon tube and triturated with pipette to loosen any clumped cells. The cell suspensions were centrifuged at 250 x g for 5 minutes to pellet and then washed one time in PSB + 0.05% Tween (PBST). The washing was followed by a blocking step for which the cell pellet was incubated for 15 minutes with 1% FBS in PBS to reduce non-specific binding.

Later on, the cells were stained with monoclonal anti-human CD62E, FITC conjugate (Sigma: F0674, St. Louis, MO) for E-selectin analysis. In addition to that, a negative control for staining was set up to ensure a successful blocking step. For that purpose, approximately 200,000 cells treated with TNFα were stained with mouse IgG1, κ- FITC conjugate isotype control (Sigma, # F6397). All cells were then washed in PBS + 0.05% Tween 20(PBST) and re-suspended in medium for immediate flow cytometer
analysis. Cells (control, treated and/or antibody incorporated) were analyzed using fluorescence-activated cytometer (Beckman Coulter Excel). Cells were gated using forward light scatter by side scatter absorbance. The analysis produced was based on 10,000 events within the gated region. The percentages of cells with or without E-selectin were displayed on both dot-plots and histograms for analysis.

**Measuring Apoptosis after HMEC-1 Exposure to TNFα and LPS**

Cells were exposed to the various treatment conditions: no treatment, TNFα (10ng/ml), PSI, and PSI-TNFα. After treatment, the cells were immediately harvested with 3ml of Trypsin-EDTA for 2-5 minutes at 37°C. Cell harvesting with Trypsin-EDTA for this study was preferred to scraping since the latter appeared to permeabilize cells leading to increased cell death in HMEC-1. When cells had lifted off the flask, 5ml of growth medium was added to neutralize trypsin’s enzymatic reaction. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 250 x g for 5 minutes to isolate the cells. The cell -pellets were washed twice in ice cold DPBS. Meanwhile, 1X annexin- binding buffer and 100ug/ml Propidium Iodide (PI) working solutions were prepared from stock solutions provided in Vybrant Apoptosis Assay Kit (Molecular Probes: Eugene, Oregon) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After the last washing, the cells were re-suspended in 1X annexin-binding buffer and cell density was determined with the aide of a Coulter Cell Counter. For annexin positive control analysis, 5ul of Alexa Fluor 488(Molecular Probes: Eugene, Oregon) was added to every 100,000 cells in solution. Similarly, for PI positive control analysis, 1µl of 100ug/ml propidium iodide was added per 100,000 cells. For each category of cells (control and treated) a combination of annexin and PI was added to
determine total apoptosis induced. Staining was accomplished by incubating the cells with the stains in the dark for 15 minutes after which 400μl of annexin-binding buffer was added to every 100μl of 100,000- cell suspension. The stained cells were maintained on ice and immediately analyzed by flow cytometry as before.

**Analysis of the Effect of Lack of Serum on HMEC-1**

The effect of three types of growth media: 20% FBS, Serum – free, and Insulin-Tranferrin-Selenium-A (ITS) media, on HMEC-1 cell- proliferation was investigated. The serum-free medium was made from 1% L-Glutamine [Gibco: Grand Island, NY], 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Penicillin, Streptomycin, and Amphotericin B) [Gibco: Grand Island, NY]. The ITS medium was also prepared from 1%( 100X) Insulin-Tranferrin-Selenium-A (Gibco: Grand Island, NY) 1%( 200mM), L-Glutamine (Gibco Grand Island, NY), 1%( 100X) Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco: Grand Island, NY) and MCDB 131. First, 100,000 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and were grouped as control and experimental. A triplicate of each category was set up. The control set was cultured in 20% FBS medium, and the experimental category had either serum-free or ITS medium. Growth pattern was monitored every 24 hours up to 5 days. After 4 days of growth when visual observation indicated severely impaired cell growths, 0.2% serum was added to cells receiving ITS only to see if serum would produce any change in growth pattern. All changes observed within 24 hours thereon were noted and carefully documented.

The statistical method used in analyzing the data was student t-test with a 5% level of significance.
Results

Determining HMEC Monolayer Readiness

The experiments begun by estimating if a well-formed monolayer was intact for subsequent transmigration experiments. Approximately, 20,000 HMEC-1 cells were seeded in transwell inserts and one extra well with no insert for monitoring cell growth. The cultures were allowed to grow to confluence for seven days. Each day following the day of seeding, cell growth was visually monitored in the well with no insert to determine when a monolayer may have formed. It was observed that, on the average, from the third day of growth cells formed a cobblestone pattern indicative of an intact monolayer formation (Fig. 8).

Figure 8: HMEC-1 Monolayer. A cobblestone- pattern of cells typical of HMEC-1 cell line after three days of growth. Magnification: 200X.
The integrity of the monolayer was measured using the Bradford Protein Assay. A standard curve was first created and later used to calculate the amount of protein (albumin) that leaked through the monolayer on each day starting from day 3 of cell growth. The data in Figure 9 show the growth trend. Also, the data show that 20,000 cells could form an intact monolayer between 5-7 days of growth.

**Figure 9: Time (in days) for HMEC-1 Monolayer Development.** A plot showing an intact monolayer formation between 5-7 days of cell proliferation when 20,000 cells were seeded in a transwell insert. The inhibition of albumin leakage into the bottom chamber was used as an indication of a functional and intact monolayer. The data represent means of triplicates of three independent experiments.
Does LPS Affect the Myeloperoxidase (MPO) Assay?

To ensure that LPS does not negatively impact the experimental results its effect on the MPO ELISA assay was tested. To do this, an experiment was done to compare the background buffer (control) and LPS in buffer (experiment) on different concentrations of myeloperoxidase. A standard curve was developed using standard myeloperoxidase solution provided in the commercial kit. The results listed in Table 1 demonstrate that at the various concentrations of myeloperoxidase listed, LPS is comparable to the control (buffer). Hence, LPS has no negative effect on MPO ELISA assay. The data were means of replicated experiment of three in two independent experiments (N=6 +/- S.D.).

Table 1: Testing the Effect of LPS on MPO Assay to Rule out Possible Interference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Conditions</th>
<th>Mean Protein Measured (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buffer only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml MPO</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>+/- 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5ng/ml MPO</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>+/- 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0ng/ml MPO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS (1ng/ml) in Buffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ng/ml MPO</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>+/- 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5ng/ml MPO</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>+/- 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0ng/ml MPO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>+/-0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is LPS’s Effect on HMEC-1 Viability?

In an effort to determine LPS’s effect on HMEC-1, a viability test was performed using the MTT-based assay, which was developed by Mosmann (1983) and quantifies the mitochondrial activity of cells as a measure of cell viability[67]. Cells were treated with
different concentrations of LPS ranging from 0ng/ml to 1ng/ml for one hour (Fig. 10). All three LPS concentrations had a stimulatory effect on HMEC-1 as compared with the control (P< 0.05). In another experiment by Dr. Tran (data unavailable), cells exposed up to 100ng/ml LPS for 4 hours were still viable. Thus, LPS enhances HMEC-1 cell line's activity and sustains their viability.

**Figure 10: Effect of LPS on HMEC-1 viability.** HMEC-1 cells exposed to different LPS concentrations, 0.25ng/ml, 0.5ng/ml, and 1ng/ml for one hour show a continuous increase in viability (P< 0.05).
PMN Transmigration in the presence of LPS and Protein Synthesis Inhibitors (PSI)

An investigation of inhibition of PMNs transmigration across the HMEC-1 monolayer was performed. LPS (1ng/ml) was used to stimulate transmigration while PSI (0.5μM Puromycin + 0.12 μM Anisomycin) was used to inhibit transmigration. The preliminary data (Figure 11) showed approximately 60% less transmigration in both the positive control (LPS only) and the experimental model compared to the control.

Figure 11: The inhibitory effect of LPS on PMN transmigration. The positive control (LPS only) shows an inhibition of PMN transmigration compared with the control (P<0.05). The data represent the means +/- 1 standard deviation of duplicates of two independent experiments (N= 4).
LPS Causes PMN to degranulate on Contact

Following these unexpected observations with LPS’s effect on transmigration experiment, LPS’s direct effect on PMNs was tested to determine the effect should the two ever come into contact anytime during the experiment. 1ng/ml LPS was added directly to PMNs and then the effect on myeloperoxidase release was determined starting from 0 to 30 minutes. The findings in Figure 12 show degranulation of PMNs occurred within seconds of “zero time” when exposed to LPS. In another experiment, PMNs were exposed to LPS, PSI, and LPS-PSI for 30 minutes. The suspension was centrifuged at 18000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C to pellet the PMN. The PMNs were lysed with cytobuster and then the MPO ELISA assay was performed on the suspension. There was a 20% loss of myeloperoxidase with LPS compared with the control (Figure 13) Interestingly, it appeared the presence of PSI prevented the release of MPO by LPS-mediated degranulation. These data (Figures 12 and 13) gave a possible explanation for our observations in Fig. 11, which indicates a possible residual LPS in the transwell inserts could interfere with the transmigration assay. The findings led us to switch from using LPS to activate PMN transmigration through endothelial cells to TNFα.
Figure 12: LPS induces neutrophil degranulation on contact when added directly to PMNs. Myeloperoxidase activity was measured even at zero minutes and steadily increases at 30 minutes.
Figure 13: Comparing the direct effect of LPS, PSI, LPS-PSI on PMNs. There was loss of myeloperoxidase in LPS treatment compared with the control (P<0.05). PSI prevents PMN degranulation when added alone or with LPS. Experiment was in duplicate of two independent experiments.

Determination of the TNFα Concentration Required for Optimal Transmigration

Initial efforts were made to determine the appropriate TNFα concentration for the PMN transmigration assay by exposing separate endothelial cell preparations to 0ng/ml, 2ng/ml, 5ng/ml, and 10ng/ml TNFα respectively for 4 hours. Then a transmigration test was performed on the treated PMN to determine which TNFα concentration would produce the maximum transmigration. Comparing the three concentrations used with the baseline, 10ng/ml of TNFα treatment for four hours (Figure 14) enhanced maximum PMN transmigration with P< 0.05. The data were means values of triplicate of two separate experiments +/- standard deviation of 9%.
Comparing TNF-alpha Concentrations

**Figure 14:** The effect different TNFα concentrations on PMN transmigration. TNFα (10ng/ml) show significant transmigration compared to the control (0ng/ml), 2ng/ml, and 5ng/ml (P< 0.05).

**Determination of PSI's Effect on PMN Transmigration in the Presence of TNFα**

Having successfully established a workable TNFα concentration for our transmigration assay it was necessary to determine if PMN transmigration would be inhibited by the PSI cocktail at that TNFα concentration. Controls, TNFα, PSI and PSI-TNFα (experimental) were set up. For the experimental models, the monolayers were pre-treated with PSI for one hour and then TNFα was added for an additional four hours giving a total time of 5 hours for PSI and 4 hours for TNFα. The results indicate PSI
wells, with or without TNFα, show about 80% increase in transmigration compared to the negative control (Fig. 15). To rule out a possible effect of a “high” TNFα concentration (10ng/ml) counteracting PSI’s ability to inhibit PMN transmigration, the experiment was therefore repeated with a lower TNFα concentration of 5ng/ml. Once again, the data (Figure 15b) gave similar results with an even higher (120% more than control) PMN transmigration in the experimental wells. Figures 15 represents the mean values of PMN transmigration +/- standard deviations.

Figure 15: Inhibition of PMN transmigration by PSI in the presence of 10ng/ml TNFα. PMNs were inhibited with PSI-TNFα compared with TNFα alone (P > 0.05). PSI showed a significant increase in PMN transmigration compared with the control (P < 0.05). The experiment was in triplicate (n=3) +/- S.D.
Is PSI treatment for 5 hours too long?

To answer this question, a time course experiment was performed on the effect of PSI’s on HMEC-1 for up to 5 hours. Cell viability after exposure to PSI was measured using the MTT-based assay, which uses mitochondrial activity as an index of cell viability. The result of the experiment illustrated in Figure 16 shows that within the first hour of PSI exposure, HMEC-1 increases in metabolic activity up to 40% above the control. However, with two hours of PSI exposure HMEC-1 loses 25% metabolic activity and then gradually bounces back with 110% viability at 4 hours. At 5 hours of PSI treatment, the cells lost 20% viability.

![Figure 16: A time course of PSI’s effect on cell viability. Mitochondrial activity was the measure of cell viability. The first hour shows a significant increase in mitochondrial metabolic activity (P< 0.05), while the fifth hour show a loss in viability (P< 0.05). The data represent means of triplicate experiments +/- 1 S.D.](image-url)
Determination of the Effect of TNFα and PSI on E-selectin expression in HMEC-1

The ability of TNFα to stimulate E-selectin and PSI's ability to repress their expression in our assay was investigated. Four groups of HMEC-1 cells were treated with TNFα and/or PSI plus a negative control in the absence of serum. After treatment, cells were stained with anti-E-selectin antibody and the samples analyzed by flow cytometry. E-selectin was expressed in all groups. Below are illustrations of the results of the control cells (34%) and TNFα-treated cells (72%) showing E-selectin expression (Fig. 17 a & b). The complete results obtained are summarized in Figure 17c with 37% of PSI-treated cells and 60% of PSI-TNFα treated cells showing E-selectin expression.

Although, E-selectin is endogenously expressed in all groups, TNFα activation doubles the E-selectin expression compared to the control (P< 0.05). Also, PSI-TNFα treated cells show 12% decrease in E-selectin expression compared with the expression with TNFα activation. The data represent the means +/- 1 S.D. of triplicate samples per treatment of three experiments.
Figure 17: Analysis of endogenous E-selectin expression in HMEC-1. (a) An illustration of Control cells with E-selectin shown in the X-region (b) An illustration of TNFα- treated cells with E-selectin shown in the K-region. (c) A graphical presentation of the percent levels of E-selectin in the HMEC-1 exposed to different experimental conditions.
Does Serum Induce E-selectin Expression in HMEC-1?

Of all the conditions exposed to HMEC-1 in the study, serum was the condition common to all cells. The next question that needed to be addressed was does serum then induce E-selectin in HMEC-1? In order to investigate serum’s ability to induce E-selectin in HMEC-1, it was necessary to determine the effect of lack of serum on cell growth. Therefore, an investigation to determine the importance of serum to HMEC-1 growth was performed. One group of cells was cultured in medium with 20% FBS (control), a second group of cells was grown in a serum-free medium, and a third group of cells was grown in a medium with Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium-A (ITS). The experiment was inspired by a similar study with bovine calf chondrocytes (Kisiday et al 2005). Control cells had a robust growth forming a cobblestone-shaped pattern by 96 hours (Figure 18). For cell groups cultured in both serum-free and ITS media, cells established growth within 24 hours but showed a decline till by 96 hours, growth was sparse with increase in dead cells in the serum-free category and stunted growth with ITS medium (Figure 18). When 0.2% serum was added to the dying cells in ITS medium on day five of growth, cell began to show characteristics of healthy growth in as little as 24 hours (Figure 19a & b).
First 24 hours of Cell Growth

(a) Control- 20%FBS    (b) Serum-free growth medium    (c) ITS growth medium

96 hours of Growth

(d) Control    (e) Serum-free    (f) ITS

Figure 18: Growth pattern of HMEC-1 cultured in different growth media.
(a) Control cells at 24 hours    (b) Serum-free cells at 24 hours    (c) ITS cells at 24 hours    (d) Control cells show compact, cobblestone- shaped cells at 96 hours    (e) Serum -free cells show a lot of floating (dead) cells at 96 hours    (f) ITS cells show sparse and malnourished at 96 hours
Figure 19: HMEC-1 growth response to 0.2% serum after serum starvation. (a) Stunted growth after 5 days with serum–free ITS-supplemented medium. (b) HMEC-1 return to healthy growth within 24 hours of 0.2% serum addition.

Analyzing Endogenous E-selectin Expression level with Reduced Serum in Growth Medium

After establishing the importance of serum to HMEC-1 growth, the effect of low serum concentrations on E-selectin expression in control cells (no treatment) investigated. Since ITS + 0.2% serum showed a positive growth response, HMEC-1 were exposed to different media combinations of ITS plus 0.2%, 1%, and 2% serum concentrations and the corresponding level of E-selectin expressed were investigated. A FACS analysis of the various serum concentrations indicated the following: cells cultured in growth medium with 0.2% serum had 4% of cells expressing E-selectin. Also cells grown in medium with 1% and 2% serum had 7% and 9% respectively of cells expressing E-selectin.
1. Cells grown in 0.2% serum

(a) negative control

(b) 4% control cells has E-selectin

2. Cells grown in 1% serum

(c) negative control only

(d) 7% control cells has E-selectin
3. Cells grown in 2% serum

a. negative control cells

b. control cells have 9% E-selectin

Figure 20: Low concentration of Serum induces lower levels of E-selectin in HMEC-1. (a) cells in 0.2% serum (b) cells in 1% serum (c) cells in 2% serum. The E-selectins are expressed in the fourth quadrant (J4).

Does Apoptosis Occur in HMEC-1 during Treatment With or Without Serum?

Two groups of HMEC-1 cells were exposed to TNFa, PSI, PSI-TNFa, and no treatment (control). One was provided with serum during treatment and the other received treatment in the absence of serum. They were both analyzed by flow cytometry for apoptosis. The data show in the presence of serum apoptosis was prevented in 50% or more cells. Cells that did not receive serum during treatment showed total apoptosis of 80% or more.
Figure 21: Lack of serum induces apoptosis in HMEC-1. Measurement of apoptosis in HMEC-1 during TNFα and PSI treatments in the presence of or lack of serum. The addition of serum during treatments improved the number of healthy cells available for transmigration.

**Determination of PMN Transmigration through HMEC-1 Exposed to Low Serum Concentration**

Inhibition of PMN transmigration by PSI was performed with cells exposed to ITS +1%. Other modifications considered were: the one-hour PSI pre-treatment was eliminated to prevent cell over-exposure to PSI and to allow enough time for TNFα to stimulate adhesion molecules expression necessary for transmigration. In addition, IL-8 was replaced with 10% serum not only to serve as chemoattractant, but also to restore serum back to HMEC-1 cells after serum starvation during the treatment period. With 1% serum supplement in the growth medium the data show that PMN transmigration was inhibited by 16% with PSI compared to the transmigration with TNFα activation (Figure 22). Due to the low number of replicates (N=2) used in this experiment, the statistics
could not be adequately performed. The results, however, indicated a trend, which was honed by a slight experimental modification. Cells were exposed to 2% serum in growth medium instead of 1%. With this additional modification, PMN transmigration was inhibited by 66% in PSI-TNFα cotreated monolayers with P< 0.05(Figure 23).

Figure 22: Inhibition of PMN transmigration by PSI 1. PSI inhibits PMN transmigration compared with activity in TNFα treated monolayer. The data represent mean values of N=2 +/- S.D. The statistics could not be done due to the small sample size, but data show an interesting trend.
Inhibition of PMN Transmigration by PSI

![Bar graph showing inhibition of PMN transmigration by PSI](image)

**Figure 23: Inhibition of PMN transmigration by PSI.** PSI inhibits PMN transmigration in PSI-TNFα compared with TNFα control. PSI alone also significantly inhibits PMN transmigration compared with the control (P< 0.05, N= 3 +/- S.D.).

**HMEC-1 Exposed to 2% Serum Reflects Low E-selectin Expression**

Flow cytometry analysis of the E-selectin associated with 2% serum – cultured HMEC-1 cells exposed to the various treatment conditions, show expressed percent E-selectin as follows: Control (22%), TNFα (29%), PSI (14%), and TNFα-PSI (10%). The data represents means of two readings from two experiments (Figure 24 and Appendix 7). Figure 25 also compares E-selectin induced by 20% serum with that by 2%.
Figure 24: Analysis of E-selectin induced by 2% serum exposure. Data represents means +/- 1 S.D. of analyses from two independent experiments.

Comparing E-selectin Induced with Serum Concentration

Figure 25: A comparison of E-selectin levels induced by Serum: 20% versus 2% serum. A FACS analysis of cells exposed to 20% serum yields higher E-selectin levels than cells exposed to 2% serum (P<0.05).
Discussion

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), an imminent sequel of severe trauma has a mortality rate of up to 40%. However, there is no known study regarding prevention of this syndrome. Our current study on the inhibition of neutrophil transmigration sets the stage for a possible future intervention of ARDS. The purpose of the project, therefore, was to inhibit neutrophil transmigration by repressing the biosynthesis of adhesion molecules, which are indispensable for transmigration, on endothelial cells using Protein Synthesis Inhibitors (PSI). The expectation is that in the presence of PSI stimulating cytokines such as TNFα or a bacterial peptide like LPS will fail to enhance neutrophil transmigration.

In order to ascertain the efficacy of the assay, an in vitro model, which mimics the natural in vivo system of blood vessel and tissue compartment, was developed (Figure 7). Specifically, the upper chamber of the model with a coat of monolayer of cells represented the lumen and the endothelium of the microvascular capillary. The lower chamber, which was made up of a well from a 24-multiwell plate containing a chemoattractant, represented the tissue milieu. Human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) produced from human lung tissues were used for the experiments. It was established that the model system requires 20,000 HMEC-1 seeded in 6.5 mm diameter transwell inserts for 5-7 days to develop sufficiently intact monolayer for the assay (Figure 9). Throughout the experiment, no leakage problems were observed with this monolayer density.
Originally the endotoxin, lypopolysaccharide (LPS) was selected as an inflammatory stimulus. However, previous reports [68, 69] had indicated that LPS affects pulmonary endothelium in diverse and complicated manner. Furthermore, LPS’ effect varies both in vivo and in culture and between species and cellular origin. It was, therefore, necessary to rule out any interference that LPS might have in the experiment. Thus, LPS’ effect on the MPO ELISA assay was investigated by testing various concentrations of myeloperoxidase standard solution against LPS. The data showed no negative effect of LPS on the MPO assay (Table I), an indication that LPS would not interfere with the MPO assay. Next, LPS’ effect on HMEC-1 was tested and the results showed that HMEC-1 was viable with the LPS concentrations of 0.25ng/ml, 0.5ng/ml and 1ng/ml (Figure 10). Furthermore, in a previous study, Dr. Quincy Tran (personal communication) recorded HMEC-1’s viability with LPS concentrations of up to 100ng/ml. From these data, it was concluded that LPS does not cause HMEC-1 damage or death. An assertion, which Hu et al support[70].

Equipped with this information, the transmigration of neutrophils through LPS-activated monolayer was preformed. In another set up of the same experiment, protein synthesis inhibitors (0.5 μM Puromycin and 0.12μM Anisomycin) were used to prevent the transmigration. Unexpectedly, the results showed 60% inhibition of PMN transmigration even in the LPS (positive) control. This observation was very interesting and it supported the fact that LPS might be inhibiting rather than enhancing PMN transmigration. To examine this possibility, an investigation into the nature of LPS’ effect on PMNs was conducted. The myeloperoxidase activity data in Figure 12 suggested that within seconds of zero time LPS caused an instant degranulation of PMN following
contact. In another experiment, the direct effects of LPS and LPS-PSI on PMNs were tested. The experiment recorded that as much as 20% loss of the total myeloperoxidase content with associated with LPS treatment (Figure 13) while PSI-LPS treated PMNs had myeloperoxidase content comparable with the control. Based on the findings of the experiment, a reason attributable to the loss of myeloperoxidase in the LPS-treated neutrophil is early degranulation of PMN following contact with LPS. Some of the myeloperoxidase released may have been lost to the supernatant after a centrifugation. Hence, the significantly low PMN transmigration observed in LPS-activated endothelial cells (P<0.05) may have resulted from residual LPS in the monolayer. Khan et. al suggested LPS impaired neutrophil emigration and chemotaxis is due to a p38 MAPK-mediated inhibition of neutrophil responses to endogenous chemokines [71].

Following this finding, TNFα was substituted for LPS as an endothelial cell stimulant. It was established in the experiment that a 4-hour treatment time of 10ng/ml TNFα was necessary to stimulate HMEC-1 to up-regulate the necessary adhesion molecules to allow significant PMN transmigration (Figure 14). However, when PSI was tested for its ability to block PMN transmigration, an unexpected finding was observed. In PSI- and PSI-TNFα-treated monolayers, PMN transmigration was enhanced to 80% compared to the control [P<0.05] in the presence of 10ng/ml TNF-α (Figure 15). However, a look at PSI control suggested that PSI rather than TNFα might be inducing transmigration.

A viability test (MTT assay) on HMEC-1 with PSI was done to determine the time dependent effect of PSI on HMEC-1. With one hour PSI exposure, cell viability was up by 40% over the control (P<0.05), but the numbers dropped by the second hour 75%
and gradually increased to about 105% (comparable with the control) and plummeted again to 80% in the fifth hour. While there is no immediate explanation for the fluctuation in cell viability with PSI exposure over the five-hour period, 20% loss in cells number after the five hours of PSI treatment was significant to consider reducing the total HMEC-1 exposure time to PSI. Thus, in subsequent transmigration tests PSI exposure time was reduced to four hours.

Since the goal of the project was to target protein biosynthesis, E-selectin, an adhesion molecule synthesized _de novo_ [18] became the focus of the research. So an investigation was conducted to determine E-selectin expression with TNFα activation of endothelial cells and a possible blockade with PSI. Flow cytometry analysis of all categories of cells (treated and non-treated) revealed the biggest surprise yet. The data revealed E-selectin expression in all cell groups regardless of their treatment status (Figure 17 and Appendix 7). Control (untreated) cells alone showed 34% E-selectin expression, which was doubled (72%) with 10ng/ml TNFα activation. Also, PSI- and TNFα-PSI- treated cells indicated 37% and 60% of cells respectively with E-selectin (Figure 17c). The two-fold increase in E-selectin expression with TNFα activation however, confirms TNFα’s ability to up-regulate adhesion molecules on the surface of the endothelial cells.

These unexpected findings of endogenous E-selectin expression initiated an investigation of what may have caused it. A quick look at the various experimental conditions exposed to HMEC-1 indicated serum as the common denominator to all groups of cells. Thus, selecting serum as the focus of investigation to determine the cause of the endogenous expression of E-selectin production and it is consistent with other
investigators reports of serum's ability to induce various proteins in eukaryotic cells [72, 73].

The effect of lack of serum on HMEC-1's growth was then studied to determine if HMEC-1 could still function well in the absence of serum. Three categories of HMEC-1 cells were cultured in three separate growth media: 20% FBS- supplemented medium (control), Serum-free medium, and Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium-A (ITS) medium. The data indicated that after four days of growth, while the control cells were well formed and looked healthy, growth was severely impaired in cells supplied with the two other media (Figure 18). However, when shrunken cells resulting from ITS diet were supplied with as little as 0.2% serum, normal growth resumed and cells began to look healthier within 24 hours (Figure 19). From these observations, it was obvious that eliminating serum from the growth medium interfered with HMEC-1’s ability to function adequately.

In another experiment to determine how vital serum is to HMEC-1’s optimal performance, apoptosis was investigated in cells that continue to receive serum during the various treatment conditions versus cells that did not receive any serum in the treatment period. Figure 21 show that regardless of the type of treatment received, apoptosis was induced at higher percentages in cells that had no serum during treatment period than in cells that continued to receive serum during treatment. Additionally, cells that received serum had 50% or more healthy cells for subsequent transmigration. Another interesting finding was that cells receiving TNFα without serum registered 93% apoptosis. This rather strange observation could imply TNFα may induce apoptosis in HMEC-1 in the absence of serum. In general, although, the number of healthy cells was
improved with serum addition during treatment, 50% of cells lost to both apoptosis quiet disturbing and could hurt our goal of greatly inhibiting PMN transmigration.

Since serum exposure seemed to play a big role in the transmigration assay, it became necessary that the appropriate serum concentration required to support HMEC-1 growth without interfering with the expected results, be determined. To do this, cells grown in 11S medium with different serum concentrations of 0.2%, 1%, or 2%, were analyzed for E-selectin expression by flow cytometry technique. The data revealed a dose-dependent serum tied to the percentage of E-selectin expressed. Thus, non-treated (control) cells exposed to serum concentrations of 0.2%, 1%, and 2% had 4%, 7%, and 9% respectively of E-selectin expression (Figure 20). These findings proved to a large extent that, serum actually induces E-selectin in HMEC-1. Although, 0.2% serum exposure induced the least E-selectin in the cells, cells cultured in medium with 1% or 2% serum were used in the transmigration assay. The choice made was based on a visual observation of cell growth, which indicated a longer time (9-10 days) for cells grown in 0.2% serum to develop a monolayer as opposed to cells grown in either 1% or 2% serum, which required between 5-7 days for the desired monolayer to form. Following this observation, the subsequent transmigration assay was tested on HMEC-1 grown in 1% serum. In addition, for the next transmigration assay a few modifications were made. The modifications included the elimination of one-hour PSI pre-treatment reducing the treatment period to a total time of 4 hours; IL-8 was also replaced with 10% serum in the bottom well and served as both chemoattractant and restored serum to the monolayer after the short period of starvation. With these adjustments, a 16% inhibition of PMNs transmigration was observed in TNFα –PSI co-treated monolayer. In addition, PSI-
treated cells recorded 20% less PMN transmigration compared to the baseline (Figure 22).

The current results continue to make serum concentration an important factor to closely monitor. A further increase of serum was adapted and HMEC-1 for the subsequent transmigration assay was cultured in 2% serum (a 1% increase). The increase in serum supply to HMEC-1 surely made a difference: a 66% inhibition of PMN transmigration was observed in TNFα-PSI monolayer compared to TNFα activated transmigration \( [P<0.05] \) Also PSI treated cells show a 24% inhibition compared with control \( [P<0.05] \) (Figure 23). This latter data is very exciting and indicates that with proper serum adjustment greater PMN inhibition in transmigration with protein synthesis inhibitors can be achieved. The results at this point definitely show an inhibitory trend and are significant \( (P<0.05) \). However, repeated experiments with larger the sample sizes will be helpful. It is also recommended that future experiments include serum adjustments to obtain the optimal concentration for HMEC-1 at which higher inhibition could be achieved with reduced endogenous E-selectin expression, which interferes with the data. When HMEC-1 exposed to 2% serum was analyzed for E-selectin, the data (Figure 24) show E-selectin proportions, which compares favorably with the trend of PMN transmigration observed in Figure 23. For example, TNFα-PSI treated cells with the least proportion of E-selectin (10.6%) also has the least PMN transmigration or the most inhibition (\( P<0.05 \)). In comparing E-selectin expressed with concentration of serum made available to the growing cells, it was obvious that 20% serum induces much higher E-selectin in HMEC-1 than did 2% serum (Figure 25). This data clearly show that E-selectin endogenously expressed by serum in HMEC-1 is dose-dependent.
Conclusion

From our current experiments the following observations can be concluded:

1. It takes 5-7 days for 20,000 HMEC-1 seeded in inserts of 6.5 mm diameter, and 3 μm pore-sized polycarbonate membrane to develop a good monolayer intact enough for the transmigration experiment.

2. The Serum conundrum: while the presence of high concentrated serum induces endogenous E-selectin, total serum removal induces apoptosis in HMEC-1. The data support that at least 2% serum is optimal to satisfy the maintenance requirement of HMEC-1, but yet not over induce E-selectin.

3. In this experiment it will require 10 ng/ml TNFα treatment for four hours to allow significant PMN transmigration.

4. A four-hour co-treatment of PSI and TNFα resulted in a greater inhibition of PMN transmigration than a one-hour PSI pre-treatment followed by TNFα treatment.

5. Finally, these studies support our hypothesis that Protein Synthesis Inhibitors can be used to block neutrophil transmigration during inflammation.

Recommendation for Future Studies

1. Additional work is recommended to further define the optimal serum concentration needed to ensure HMEC-1 stability and good health for the period of the experiment.

2. The separate dose-response effects of puromycin and anisomycin on PMN transmigration will be necessary.
3. Studies on the reversible effect of these protein synthesis inhibitors is recommended to ensure cell functions are not permanently impaired.

4. We also recommend that since using PMNs from a daily blood source is a point of variability, a transfected or stable PMN cell line should be considered in future studies.
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Appendix 1

A. Growth Media Preparation

1. 20% Fetal Bovine Serum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>100 ml</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutamine</td>
<td>200 mM</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>2 mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic-Antimycotic</td>
<td>100X</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>1 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGF</td>
<td>100 µg/ml</td>
<td>200 µl</td>
<td>40 ng/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td>25 mg/ml</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
<td>1 µg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDB 131</td>
<td>1 X</td>
<td>390 ml</td>
<td>1 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Volume</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500 ml</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mix all ingredients under the lamina flow hood and filter with a filter system.

2. Preparing an Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium-A (ITS) medium, a serum-free medium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS-100X (Gibco #51300-044)</td>
<td>100 X</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>1%(1X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco#15240-096)</td>
<td>100 X</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>1%(1X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutamine-200mM (Gibco #25030-081)</td>
<td>200 mM</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>2mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td>25 mg/ml</td>
<td>20 µl</td>
<td>1 µg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDB 131(Gibco # 10372)</td>
<td>1 X</td>
<td>485 ml</td>
<td>1 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Volume</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500 ml</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mix all ingredients under the hood and filter with a filtering system.
3. Preparing 1% Serum plus Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium –A (ITS) medium,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITS-100X (Gibco #51300-044)</td>
<td>100 X</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>1%(1X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco#15240-096)</td>
<td>100 X</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>1%(1X)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutamine-200mM (Gibco #25030-081)</td>
<td>200mM</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>2mM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal Bovine Serum</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocortisone</td>
<td>25 mg/ml</td>
<td>20 μl</td>
<td>1 μg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDB 131(Gibco # 10372)</td>
<td>1 X</td>
<td>480 ml</td>
<td>1 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Volume</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500 ml</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mix all ingredients under the hood and filter with a filtering system.
Appendix 2

Media Formulations

a. MCDB 131 (Table was adapted from http://catalog.invitrogen.com)

**MCDB 131 Medium liquid (1X)**
contains no L-glutamine.

MCDB 131 was originally developed by Knedler and Ham as a reduced serum-supplemented medium for the culture of human microvascular endothelial cells. This formulation has been reported to support clonal growth of human microvascular cells when supplemented with 0.7% dialyzed FBS, 10 ng/ml EGF, and 1 µg/ml hydrocortisone.

**Catalog Number:** 10372019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENTS</th>
<th>Molecular Weight</th>
<th>Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Molarity (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amino Acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycine</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.0307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Alanine</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.0303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Arginine hydrochloride</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>0.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Asparagine-H2O</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Aspartic acid</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Cysteine 2HCl. H2O</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Glutamic Acid</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.0299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Histidine hydrochloride-H2O</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Isoleucine</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Leucine</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Lysine hydrochloride</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Methionine</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Phenylalanine</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Proline</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Serine</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Threonine</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Tryptophan</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.0201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Tyrosine</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-Valine</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.0000299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline chloride</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Calcium pantothenate</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folinic Acid Calcium salt</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.00117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Inositol</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacinamide</td>
<td>122</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridoxine hydrochloride</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin</td>
<td>376</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine hydrochloride</td>
<td>337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin B12</td>
<td>1355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic Salts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Metavanadate</td>
<td>121.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium Molybdate</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium Chloride</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupric sulfate</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric sulfate</td>
<td>278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Sulfate</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickelous Chloride</td>
<td>238</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium Chloride</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selenious Acid</td>
<td>129</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Bicarbonate</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Chloride</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Meta Silicate</td>
<td>284</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Phosphate</td>
<td>268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc Sulfate</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenine</td>
<td>135</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Glucose (Dextrose)</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipic Acid</td>
<td>206</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol Red</td>
<td>3764</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putrescine 2HCl</td>
<td>161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Pyruvate</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymidine</td>
<td>242</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Insulin-Transferrin-Selenium-A (100X) Supplement Formulation
(Gibco # 15240-096, Grand Island, NY)
Appendix 3
Flow Cytometer

A. A schematic view of a flow cytometer and sorter with a jet-in-air configuration showing one forward light scatter and two fluorescence detectors.

A close up of the Flow Chamber

Adapted from: www.ueb.cas.cz/.../Basic_principles.htm
Appendix 4

Isolation of PMNs Schematic

**Analytical Density Gradient Separation**

1. Remove Polymorphprep Solution from 4°C prior to blood separation to equilibrate to room temperature.
2. Obtain whole blood from healthy donors drawn and placed in sterile 3 ml vacutainers with EDTA as anticoagulant.
3. Place 5ml of polymorphprep first in a 15 ml Falcon tube and 5 ml of anticoagulated whole blood carefully layered over it. Much care was taken not to mix the blood with the separation fluid.
4. Centrifuge the layered samples at 450- 500 x g for 30-35 minutes in a swing-out rotor between 18- 22°C. (NB: blood from some individuals may require a higher...
centrifugal force to separate). For the first time with any individual’s blood one may have to test spin to know the specific g-force required.

5. At the end of centrifugation, two distinct leukocyte bands will be visible. The top band at the medium interface is mononuclear cells and the lower band of polymorphonuclear cells. The bottom pellet is composed of erythrocytes.
Appendix 5
Myeloperoxidase ELISA Assay

**Materials** (Innozyme™ Myeloperoxidase Activity Kit)[Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA]

1. 1X Sample Buffer → 1ml of 20 X Sample Buffer + 19 ml of dH2O
2. 0.3% H2O2 → 5µl of 30% H2O2 + 495µl cold dH2O
3. TMB Detection Reagent
4. ELISA Stop Solution
5. Assay Buffer
6. 96-well polystyrene plate coated with antibody
7. 20 X Sample Buffer

**Procedure**

1. Transfer 475µl of PMN suspension after transmigration and 25µl of 20 X Sample Buffer into a sterile eppendorf tube. Vortex and dispense 100µl to designated wells of a 96-well strips placed in a well holder (NB: these come in individual strips so the experiments can be tailored to the number of strips needed).
2. Cover with a plastic plate sealer provided in the kit
3. Incubate for 60 minutes by gentle shaking
4. Wash the plate 4 times with 400 µl of 1X Sample Buffer. Following each wash discard the contents of the well by inverting with plate over the sink; tap the plate on a paper towel to remove residual liquid.
5. Add freshly prepared TMB working solution (see Table below for the number of strips used and the corresponding Working Solution) to each well and incubate at 37°C for 20-30 minutes.
6. Add 100 µl ELISA Solution to each well to stop the color development.
7. Read the absorbance at 450nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of 8-well Strips</th>
<th>dH2O</th>
<th>Assay Buffer</th>
<th>TMB</th>
<th>0.3% H2O2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>715 µl</td>
<td>200 µl</td>
<td>80 ul</td>
<td>4.0 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.43 ml</td>
<td>400 ul</td>
<td>160 ul</td>
<td>8.0 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.148 ml</td>
<td>600 ul</td>
<td>240 ul</td>
<td>12 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.86 ml</td>
<td>800 ul</td>
<td>320 ul</td>
<td>16 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.575 ml</td>
<td>1.00 ml</td>
<td>400 ul</td>
<td>20 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.31 ml</td>
<td>1.21 ml</td>
<td>480 ul</td>
<td>24 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.00 ml</td>
<td>1.41 ml</td>
<td>560 ul</td>
<td>28 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.73 ml</td>
<td>1.60 ml</td>
<td>640 ul</td>
<td>32 ul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.16 ml</td>
<td>2.00 ml</td>
<td>800 ul</td>
<td>40 ul</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 6

Reversibility of Protein Synthesis Inhibition.

1X PSI = 1μM Puromycin + 0.25μM Anisomycin

Appendix 6: A time course of HMEC-1 recovery after exposure to 0.1X, 0.5X, and 1X concentrations of PSI (Puromycin + Anisomycin). [by Quincy Tran].
Appendix 7

FACS Analysis of E-Selectin Expression (J4 quadrant) in HMEC-1 cultured in 2% of Serum

a. Negative Control – 6.5%

b. TNF (Positive Control) – 29%

c. Control (no treatment) – 26%

d. PSI – 14.4%
Appendix 7: Illustrations of FACS data on the proportions of E-selectin induced in cells cultured in 2% serum: (a) Negative control for non-specific binding, 6.5%, forms the background (b) TNFα, positive control- 29% (c) Control cells with no treatment- 26% (d) 14.4% (e) TNFα-PSI – 10.6%