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Abstract

PARITY DOMINATION IN PRODUCT GRAPHS

By Christopher Alan Whisenant, Master of Science.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science at Virginia Commonwealth University.

Virginia Commonwealth University, 2011.

Director: Dewey T. Taylor, Associate Professor, Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics.

An odd open dominating set of a graph is a subset of the graph’s vertices with the property that the open neighborhood of each vertex in the graph contains an odd number of vertices in the subset. An odd closed $r$-dominating set is a subset of the graph’s vertices with the property that the closed $r$-ball centered at each vertex in the graph contains an odd number of vertices in the subset. We first prove that the $n$-fold direct product of simple graphs has an odd open dominating set if and only if each factor has an odd open dominating set. Secondly, we prove that the $n$-fold strong product of simple graphs has an odd closed $r$-dominating set if and only if each factor has an odd closed $r$-dominating set.
Preliminaries

A graph $G$ is a finite nonempty set $V(G)$ of objects called vertices, together with a set $E(G)$ of unordered pairs of distinct vertices of $G$ called edges. The set $V(G)$ is called the vertex set of $G$ while the set $E(G)$ is called the edge set of $G$. We will conveniently denote an edge $\{u,v\}$ by either $uv$ or $vu$. A simple graph $G$ is a graph with no loops (i.e., no edges of the form $vv$) and no multiple edges. Throughout this paper, the term graph will always mean simple graph. Figure 1 illustrates a graph $G$ where $V(G) = \{s,t,u,v,w,x,y,z\}$ and $E(G) = \{st,tu,uv,wx,xy,yz,sw,tx,uy,vz\}$.

![Figure 1](image)

An edge $e = uv$ is said to join the vertices $u$ and $v$. Two vertices $u$ and $v$ are considered to be adjacent if they are joined by the edge $e = uv$. The edge $e$ and vertex $u$ are incident, as are $e$ and $v$. If $e_1$ and $e_2$ are distinct edges of $G$ incident with a common vertex, then $e_1$ and $e_2$ are adjacent edges.

The open neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, denoted $N(v)$, is the set of all vertices $u$ in $V(G)$ that are adjacent to $v$. The closed neighborhood of a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$, denoted $N[v]$, is the set of all vertices $u$ in $V(G)$ that are adjacent to $v$ together with the vertex $v$. Thus $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. A comparison of the two types of neighborhoods is given
in Figures 2a and 2b, where the dark vertices are elements of the $N(v)$ and $N[v]$ respectively. Notice in Figure 2a that the vertex $v$ is not included in the open neighborhood of $v$ whereas in Figure 2b the vertex $v$ is included in the closed neighborhood of $v$.

![Figure 2a](image1) ![Figure 2b](image2)

The distance between vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$, denoted by $d_G(u, v)$, is the number of edges in a shortest path from $u$ to $v$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $B(v, r) = \{ u \in V(G) \mid d_G(v, u) \leq r \}$ denote the $r$-ball centered at $v$. In Figure 3 each vertex is labeled with its distance from $u$ and the dark vertices represent $B(u, 2)$, the 2-ball centered at $u$. Notice that in this graph $d_G(u, v) = 2$, and in general $d_G(u, v) = 0$ if and only if $u = v$.

![Figure 3](image3)

A dominating set in a graph $G$ is a subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ with the property that every vertex $v \in V(G)$ is either in $D$ or is adjacent to an element of $D$. Figure 4 gives an example of a dominating set $D = \{t, u, x, y\}$, as indicated by the dark vertices.

![Figure 4](image4)
There are many different types of dominating sets that can exist within a graph. The study of these various types of dominating sets is a popular area of graph theory called *domination*. Parity domination is a specific type of domination in which one requires that each vertex in the graph be adjacent to an odd (or even) number of vertices in the dominating set. The two most notable references for domination in graphs are [12] and [13].
Odd Open Dominating Sets in the Direct Product of Graphs

2.1 Odd Open Dominating Sets

An odd open dominating set in a simple graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ is a subset $D \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|N(v) \cap D|$ is odd for all $v \in V(G)$. That is, the neighborhood $N(v) = \{u \mid uv \in E(G)\}$ contains an odd number of vertices in $D$. If $v$ is adjacent to $u \in D$, we say $v$ is dominated by $u$. This is illustrated in Figures 5a, 5b and 5c where the dark vertices form an odd open dominating set. A graph may admit several different odd open dominating sets. In the case where $|N(v) \cap D| = 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$, the set $D$ is called a total perfect code. Notice that the odd open dominating sets in Figures 5a and 5b are total perfect codes. Total perfect codes have been studied in [2], [6], [7], [8], [9] and [15] and odd open dominating sets have been studied in [3], [5] and [11].

2.2 The Direct Product

The direct product of $G$ and $H$ is the graph, denoted by $G \times H$, whose vertex set is $V(G) \times V(H)$, and for which vertices $(g, h)$ and $(g', h')$ are adjacent precisely if $gg' \in E(G)$ and
hh' ∈ E(H). Thus

\[ V(G \times H) = \{(g, h) \mid g \in V(G) \text{ and } h \in V(H) \}, \]

\[ E(G \times H) = \{(g, h)(g', h') \mid gg' \in E(G) \text{ and } hh' \in E(H) \}. \]

Figures 6a and 6b show the direct product \( P_3 \times P_4 \), where \( P_n \) denotes a path on \( n \) vertices, and the direct product \( P_3 \times C_4 \), where \( C_n \) denotes a cycle on \( n \) vertices. The direct product may also be referred to in literature as tensor product, cross product, categorical product, Kronecker product, cardinal product and relational product.

The definition above of the direct product can easily be extended to finitely many graphs. If \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n \) are graphs, the \( n \)-fold direct product is the graph \( G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n \) with vertex set \( V(G_1) \times V(G_2) \times \cdots \times V(G_n) \), and for which the vertices \( (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \) and \( (g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_n) \) are adjacent precisely if \( gg'_i \in E(G_i) \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). The graphs \( G_i \) are called factors of the product. For example, in Figure 6a the factors of \( P_3 \times P_4 \) are \( P_3 \) and \( P_4 \). The direct product is commutative and associative. A complete treatment of the direct product can be found in [14].

One important observation for the direct product that will be used throughout this chapter is the following: the open neighborhood of a vertex \( (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in V(G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n) \) is the Cartesian product of the neighborhoods of each \( g_i \in V(G_i) \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). In other
words,

\[ N(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = N(g_1) \times N(g_2) \times \cdots \times N(g_n). \]  \hfill (2.1)

For \( g \in V(G_i) \), the fiber of \( g \) is the set \( F_g = \{(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_i-1, g_i, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n) \in G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n : g_i = g \} \). This is simply the set of vertices in the product that lie directly "above" the vertex \( g \). This is illustrated in Figures 7a and 7b, where the dark vertices form the fiber of \( g \).

2.3 Results

The first goal of this paper is to examine the relationship between odd open dominating sets in an \( n \)-fold direct product of graphs and odd open dominating sets in each of the factors. We show that an \( n \)-fold direct product of graphs has an odd open dominating set if and only if each factor has an odd open dominating set. First we will prove the converse.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let \( G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n \) be graphs with odd open dominating sets \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \), respectively. Then \( D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n \) is an odd open dominating set in \( G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n \).

**Proof.** Set \( G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n \). Suppose that \( D_i \subseteq V(G_i) \) is an odd open dominating set in \( G_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Form the Cartesian product \( D = D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n \). We claim that
$D$ is an odd open dominating set in $G$.

Let $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in V(G)$. Then for every $g_i \in V(G_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, it follows that $|N(g_i) \cap D_i|$ is odd since the $D_i$ are odd open dominating sets in $G_i$. By (2.1) we have

$$N(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) = N(g_1) \times N(g_2) \times \cdots \times N(g_n).$$

Thus

$$N(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \cap (D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n) = (N(g_1) \cap D_1) \times (N(g_2) \cap D_2) \times \cdots \times (N(g_n) \cap D_n).$$

Since the cardinalities of each set on the right is odd, it follows that

$$|N(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \cap (D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n)|$$

is odd. Hence $D$ is an odd open dominating set in $G$. 

Figures 8a and 8b illustrate Theorem 2.1.

![Figures 8a and 8b](image.png)

We now move on to prove the forward direction of our statement. That is, we will show that if an $n$-fold direct product has an odd open dominating set, then each of its factors has an odd open dominating set. Notice in Figures 9a and 9b that the odd open dominating sets in
the product are not Cartesian products of odd open dominating sets in the factors. Thus, it is not possible to simply project the odd open dominating set in the product to an odd open dominating set in each factor of the direct product. A simple projection would lead to the darkened vertices in $P_3$. Clearly these vertices do not form an odd open dominating set since the central vertex is adjacent to two dark vertices. Further thought indicates that projections of appropriate subsets of an odd open dominating set produce an odd open dominating set in each of its factors.

$P_3 \times P_4$

Figure 9a

$P_4$

$Y 	imes P_3$

Figure 9b

THEOREM 2.2. Suppose $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ are graphs and let $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_n$. Suppose $D$ is an odd open dominating set in $G$. Fix a vertex $(g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_n)$ in $G$. Then $D_i = \{g \in V(G_i) : |D \cap [N(g'_1) \times N(g'_2) \times \cdots \times N(g'_{i-1}) \times V(G_i) \times N(g'_{i+1}) \times \cdots \times N(g'_n)] \cap F_g| \text{ is odd } \}$ is an odd open dominating set in $G_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Suppose $D$ is an odd open dominating set in $G$. Let $x \in V(G_i)$. Then the vertex $(g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_{i-1}, x, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g'_n)$ is adjacent to an odd number of vertices in $D$. Let $S$ be that set of vertices. Necessarily, there is an edge in $G_i$ between $x$ and the $i^{th}$ component of each vertex in $S$. It is easy to see that each of these vertices lie in $D \cap [N(g'_1) \times N(g'_2) \times \cdots \times N(g'_{i-1}) \times N(x) \times N(g'_{i+1}) \times \cdots \times N(g'_n)] \subseteq D \cap [N(g'_1) \times N(g'_2) \times \cdots \times N(g'_{i-1}) \times V(G_i) \times N(g'_{i+1}) \times \cdots \times N(g'_n)]$. Denote the set $D \cap [N(g'_1) \times N(g'_2) \times \cdots \times N(g'_{i-1}) \times V(G_i) \times N(g'_{i+1}) \times \cdots \times N(g'_n)]$ by $X$. 
If each of the vertices in $S$ lie in separate fibers then the intersection of each fiber with the set $X$ is a single vertex. Hence the cardinality of each intersection is 1 and $x$ is adjacent to an odd number of vertices in $D_i$. Thus $D_i$ would be a total perfect code and hence an odd open dominating set in $G_i$.

Suppose each of the vertices in $S$ do not lie in separate fibers. Since there is an odd number of vertices in $S$ it must be that an odd number of fibers contains an odd number of vertices in $S$. Thus the cardinality of $X$ intersect each of these fibers is odd. Hence $x$ is adjacent to an odd number of vertices in $D_i$ and $D_i$ is an odd open dominating set in $G_i$. □

Figures 10a, 10b, 10c and 10d illustrate odd open dominating sets of the type given in Theorem 2.2. As before, each odd open dominating set is indicated by the dark vertices. The dotted lines in Figures 10a and 10b represent the open neighborhoods of $g'_2$ and $g'_1$, respectively. The vertices that form $D_1$ and $D_2$ are the vertices of $G_1$ and $G_2$ respectively whose fibers contain vertices enclosed by dotted circles. Notice that the vertices $g''_1$ and $g''_1''$ in Figure 10c are not in the odd open dominating set of their respective factor since the fibers above each of these vertices contains an even number of vertices when intersected with the set $D \cap [V(G_1) \times N(g'_2)]$. These vertices are enclosed by the solid circles. Similarly, $g''_2$ in Figure 10d is not in the odd open dominating set for $G_2$. 
Figure 10a

Figure 10b

Figure 10c

Figure 10d
Odd Closed \( r \)-Dominating Sets in Strong Products of Graphs

3.1 Odd Closed \( r \)-Dominating Sets

Recall that in the direct product we use the open neighborhood, \( N(v) \), of each vertex \( v \) in \( G \) to find odd open dominating sets within \( G \). For the strong product, we will turn our attention to the closed neighborhood, \( N[v] \), of each vertex \( v \) in \( G \).

For a positive integer \( r \), an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set in a simple graph \( G = (V(G), E(G)) \) is a subset \( D \subseteq V(G) \) such that for each \( v \in V(G) \), \( B(v, r) \) contains an odd number of vertices in \( D \). That is, the balls of radius \( r \) centered at each vertex \( v \in V(G) \) each contain an odd number of vertices in \( D \). If \( u \in B(v, r) \), where \( u \in D \), we say \( v \) is \( r \)-dominated by \( u \). Hence an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set in a simple graph \( G \) is a subset \( D \) of \( V(G) \) such that each vertex of \( G \) is \( r \)-dominated by an odd number of vertices in \( D \). This is illustrated in Figures 11a, 11b, and 11c where the dark vertices form odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets with \( r = 1, 1 \) and 3, respectively. Similar to odd open dominating sets, a graph may admit several different odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets. In the case for which the balls of radius \( r \) centered at the vertices of \( D \) form a partition of \( V(G) \), the set \( D \) is called a perfect \( r \)-code. That is, each vertex in the graph is \( r \)-dominated by exactly one vertex in \( D \). Notice that the odd closed 1-dominating set in Figure 11a is a perfect 1-code. Perfect 1-codes are simply referred to as perfect codes. Perfect \( r \)-codes have been studied in [3], [4], [10] and [12]. A complete characterization of perfect \( r \)-codes in the strong product appears in [1]. Our goal is to extend these results in the strong product to the more generalized odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets.
3.2 The Strong Product

The strong product of $G$ and $H$ is the graph, denoted by $G \boxtimes H$, whose vertex set is $V(G) \times V(H)$, and for which distinct vertices $(g, h)$ and $(g', h')$ are adjacent precisely if one of the following holds:

1. $g = g'$ and $hh' \in E(H)$
2. $gg' \in E(G)$ and $h = h'$
3. $gg' \in E(G)$ and $hh' \in E(H)$.

Figures 12a and 12b show the strong products $P_3 \boxtimes P_4$ and $P_3 \boxtimes C_4$. Notice that the strong product is a combination of the direct product and the Cartesian product of graphs, it contains both types of edges. The strong product may also be referred to in literature as strong direct product or symmetric composition.

We can also extend the above definition of strong product to finitely many graphs. If $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ are graphs, the $n$-fold strong product is the graph $G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes G_n$ with the vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2) \times \cdots \times V(G_n)$, and for which distinct vertices $(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)$
and \((g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_n)\) are adjacent precisely if \(g_i = g'_i\) or \(g_i g'_i \in E(G_i)\) for each \(1 \leq i \leq n\).

Similar to the direct product, the graphs \(G_i\) are called factors of the product. For example, in Figure 11a the factors of \(P_3 \boxtimes P_4\) are \(P_3\) and \(P_4\). The strong product also has the two properties of commutativity and associativity. In addition to these properties, the strong product holds an interesting distance property. By [14, Lemma 5.1], the distance between two vertices \(g = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n)\) and \(g' = (g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_n)\) in the graph \(G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes G_n\) is

\[
d_G(g, g') = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{G_i}(g_i, g'_i).
\]

See [14] for more details on the strong product.

The strong product has an analogous property regarding closed neighborhoods to that of the direct product and open neighborhoods from (2.1). That is, the closed neighborhood of a vertex in the strong product is the Cartesian product of the respective closed neighborhoods in each of the factors, i.e. for \((g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in V(G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes G_n)\),

\[
N[g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n] = N[g_1] \times N[g_2] \times \cdots \times N[g_n]. \tag{3.1}
\]

More generally,

\[
B((g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n), r) = B(g_1, r) \times B(g_2, r) \times \cdots \times B(g_n, r). \tag{3.2}
\]

For \(g \in V(G_i)\), we use the same notation and definition for \(F_g\) as we did in the previous chapter with the exception of using the strong product in place of the direct product. This is illustrated for the strong product in Figures 13a and 13b where the dark vertices form the fiber of \(g\).
3.3 Results

In this section we examine the relationship between odd closed $r$-dominating sets in $n$-fold strong products and odd closed $r$-dominating sets of their factors. We show that an $n$-fold strong product of graphs has an odd closed $r$-dominating set if and only if each factor has an odd closed $r$-dominating set. First we will prove the converse.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ are graphs and $G_i$ has an odd closed $r$-dominating set $D_i \subseteq V(G_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n$ is an odd closed $r$-dominating set for $G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes G_n$.

**Proof.** Set $G = G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes G_n$. Suppose that $D_i \subseteq V(G_i)$ is an odd closed $r$-dominating set in $G_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Form the Cartesian product $D = D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n$. We claim that $D$ is an odd closed $r$-dominating set in $G$.

Let $g = (g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n) \in V(G)$. Then every $g_i \in V(G_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ is within a distance $r$ of an odd number of $d_i \in D_i$ since the $D_i$ are odd closed $r$-dominating sets in $G_i$. In the strong product, by (3.2) we have

$$B((g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n), r) = B(g_1, r) \times B(g_2, r) \times \cdots \times B(g_n, r).$$
Thus

\[ B((g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n), r) \cap (D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n) \]

\[ = (B(g_1, r) \cap D_1) \times (B(g_2, r) \cap D_2) \times \cdots \times (B(g_n, r) \cap D_n). \]

Since the cardinalities of each set on the right is odd, it follows that

\[ |B((g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n), r) \cap (D_1 \times D_2 \times \cdots \times D_n)| \]

is odd. Hence \( D \) is an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set in \( G \). \( \square \)

Figures 14a and 14b illustrate Theorem 4.1 where \( r = 1 \) and \( r = 3 \), respectively.

Now we will prove the forward direction of our statement. We will show that if an \( n \)-fold strong product has an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set then each of its factors has an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set. Since the strong product contains the edges of the direct product, it is not surprising that we encounter a similar problem when attempting to simply project an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set onto each factor. Just as with the direct product, a strong product may admit odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets that do not arise from Cartesian products of odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets in the factors. Figures 15a and 15b where \( r = 1 \) and \( r = 3 \),
respectively, illustrate this. In Figure 15a, the central vertex in both of the factors are both within distance 1 of two dark vertices. In Figure 15b there are two dark vertices within distance 3 of each end vertex in $P_8$ and there are four dark vertices within distance 3 of each end vertex in $P_{10}$. However, just as in the direct product, projections of appropriate subsets of an odd closed $r$-dominating set produce odd closed $r$-dominating sets in each of its factors.

**Figure 15a**

**Figure 15b**

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ are graphs and let $G = G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes G_n$. Suppose $D$ is an odd closed $r$-dominating set in $G$. Fix a vertex $(g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g'_n)$ in $G$. Then $D_i = \{ g \in V(G_i) : |D \cap [B(g'_1, r) \times B(g'_2, r) \times \cdots \times B(g'_{i-1}, r) \times V(G_i) \times B(g'_{i+1}, r) \times \cdots \times B(g'_n, r)] \cap F_g | is \ odd \} is an odd closed r-dominating set in $G_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

**Proof.** Suppose $D$ is an odd closed $r$-dominating set in $G$. Let $x \in V(G_i)$. Then the vertex $(g'_1, g'_2, \ldots, g_{i-1}, x, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g'_n)$ is within distance $r$ of an odd number of vertices in $D$. Let $S$ be that set of vertices. It is easy to see that each of these vertices lie in $D \cap [B(g'_1, r) \times B(g'_2, r) \times \cdots \times B(g'_{i-1}, r) \times B(x, r) \times B(g'_{i+1}, r) \times \cdots \times B(g'_n, r)] \subseteq D \cap [B(g'_1, r) \times B(g'_2, r) \times \cdots \times B(g'_{i-1}, r) \times V(G_i) \times B(g'_{i+1}, r) \times \cdots \times B(g'_n, r)]$. Denote the set
\[ D \cap \left[ B(g_1', r) \times B(g_2', r) \times \cdots \times B(g_{i-1}', r) \times V(G_i) \times B(g_{i+1}', r) \times \cdots \times B(g_n', r) \right] \text{ by } X. \]

If each of the vertices in \( S \) lie in separate fibers then the intersection of each fiber with the set \( X \) is a single vertex. Hence the cardinality of each intersection is 1 and \( x \) is within distance \( r \) to an odd number of vertices in \( D_i \). Thus \( D_i \) would be a perfect \( r \)-code and hence an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set in \( G_i \).

Suppose now that the vertices in \( S \) do not lie in separate fibers. Since the cardinality of \( S \) is odd, it must be the case that there is an odd number of fibers that contain an odd number of vertices in \( D \). Thus the intersection of \( X \) with each of the fibers containing vertices of \( S \) is odd. Hence \( x \) is within distance \( r \) to an odd number of vertices in \( D_i \) and \( D_i \) is an odd closed \( r \)-dominating set in \( D_i \).

Figures 16a and 16b where \( r = 1 \) and Figures 16c and 16d where \( r = 3 \) illustrate odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets of the type given in Theorem 4.2. Again, each odd closed \( r \)-dominating set is indicated by the dark vertices. The dotted rectangles enclose the sets \( V(G_1) \times B(g_2', r) \) and \( B(g_1', r) \times V(G_2) \), respectively. The vertices in \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are again the vertices in \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) respectively whose fibers contain vertices enclosed by dotted circles. Notice that the vertex \( g''_1 \) in Figure 16a is not in the odd closed \( r \)-dominating set of its respective factor since the fiber of this vertex contains an even amount of vertices when intersected with the set \( D \cap [V(G_1) \times B(g_2', r)] \). These vertices are again enclosed by the solid circles. Similarly, \( g''_2 \) and \( g''_2 \) in Figure 16b and \( g''_1 \) and \( g''_1 \) in Figure 16c are not in the odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets for their respective graphs.
Figure 16d
The Problem of Enumeration

Naturally, we now examine the relationship between the number of odd open dominating sets in the factors and the number of odd open dominating sets in the direct product as well as the relationship between the number of odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets in the factors and the number of odd closed \( r \)-dominating sets in the strong product. It is important to note that these four theorems are generalizations of \([1]\) and \([2]\). Thus, the same problems arise when one attempts to examine these two relationships.

Since total perfect codes are special types of odd open dominating sets, Figures 17a and 17b provide an example in which it is not possible in general to determine the number of odd open dominating sets in a direct product from the number of odd open dominating sets in its factors. Notice that each product contains two components. For clarity, one component in each product is drawn in bold.

In each case, the factor \( H \) admits exactly two total perfect codes. Factors \( G \) and \( K \) each admit four total perfect codes, as follows. Any code in \( G \) consists of two adjacent vertices incident with one of the two edges on the far left, together with two adjacent vertices incident
with one of the two edges on the far right, for a total of four distinct codes. Any code in $K$ consists of any two adjacent vertices. We see that the bold component of $G \times H$ admits 16 codes formed by the choice of two vertices incident with any one of the four edges on the far right, together with two vertices incident with any one of the four edges on the far right. Similarly, the other component of $G \times H$ also has 16 codes, giving $G \times H$ a total of 256 distinct codes. However, we see that the bold component of $K \times H$ has a total of 8 codes formed by any two adjacent vertices and the other component also admits 8 codes formed by any two adjacent vertices, giving $K \times H$ a total of only 64 distinct codes. Thus, we can not determine any correlation between the number of odd open dominating sets in the factors and the number of odd open dominating sets in the direct product.

Similarly, since perfect $r$-codes are special types of odd closed $r$-dominating sets, Figures 18a and 18b provide an example in which it is not possible in general to determine the number of odd closed $r$-dominating sets in a strong product from the number of odd closed $r$-dominating sets in its factors.

In each case, the graph $H$ admits three perfect 2-codes and the graphs $G$ and $K$ both admit six perfect 2-codes, as follows. Any code in $G$ consists of any one vertex on the far left, together with any one vertex on the far right and any code in $K$ simply consists of any one vertex. However, $G \boxtimes H$ has 54 distinct perfect 2-codes consisting of any one vertex on the far left together with any one vertex on the far right whereas $K \boxtimes H$ has only
18 distinct perfect 2-codes consisting of any one vertex. Thus, we also cannot determine any correlation between the number of odd closed $r$-dominating sets in the factors and the number of odd closed $r$-dominating sets in the direct product.
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