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Abstract

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by CNS inflammation and axonal demyelination. In addition, axonal pathology has also been reported in MS and may be responsible for the functional deficits associated with this disease. Based on preliminary data from our laboratory, we propose that a specific domain of the neuron, known as the axon initial segment (AIS), is targeted in MS. Consistent with our work from the human tissue, we have also observed disruption of AIS integrity in a murine CNS inflammatory model and observations strongly implicate reactive microglia as mediators of AIS disruption. In contrast, a murine model of demyelination did not exhibit AIS pathology but reactive microglia were prevalent. Since we propose that reactive microglia drive AIS disruption in our inflammatory CNS model but observe no AIS pathology following demyelination even in the presence of reactive microglia, we propose that reactive microglia in these models exhibit different interactions and molecular profiles. To test this hypothesis, we employed immunofluorescence labeling combined with confocal microscopy to quantify microglia reactivity and microglia-AIS interaction. Additionally, we conducted a microarray using RNA isolated from microglia from both the inflammatory and demyelinating models. Our findings show that microglia are reactive prior to pathology in both models and that the extent of AIS-microglial contact is similar between the models but significantly increased as compared to naïve mice. Microarray data reveal a substantial difference in gene expression indicating functional differences between the reactive microglia in the inflammatory and demyelinating models. Finally, following functional enrichment analysis of microarray data, the complement pathway emerged as a potential contributor to the AIS pathology observed in EAE.
Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a neurodegenerative disorder characterized by demyelinating plaques and neurological symptoms such as physical disability and cognitive impairment (Files et al., 2015). Inflammation is also a key characteristic of MS, seen by infiltration of T-cells and macrophages into the central nervous system (CNS) and involvement of the resident CNS immune cells, microglia, and other immune cells (Hemmer et al., 2015). Multiple sclerosis is estimated to affect 2.3 million people worldwide and 400,000 people in the United States (Browne et al., 2014). The formation of demyelinating plaques is often considered the cause of axonal pathologies and neurological damage (Files et al., 2015; Rudick et al., 2006; Haines et al., 2011). However, more recent data indicates that axonal pathology may be a primary event in MS, independent of demyelination (Haines et al., 2011; Popescu et al., 2013). This distinction is important in order to understand the overall pathology of MS and develop future treatment options. The goal of this project was to examine this contrast between demyelination-driven pathology and demyelination independent pathology with specific attention to an axonal domain known as the axon initial segment (AIS).

The Neuron

Neurons are one of the specialized cell types of the peripheral and central nervous system, and interact with the glial cells of the CNS and PNS (Kandel et al., 2013). Neurons are polarized cells that communicate electrical and chemical signals to other cells within the nervous system and to other target organs. The neuron is composed of four distinct elements: the dendrites, cell body, axon, and synaptic terminals (Figure 1). The dendrites are short, branched processes responsible for receiving inputs from other cells. The cell body (soma) contains the nucleus, which stores the cell’s DNA. The axon is composed of distinct domains and serves to conduct electrical action potentials down its length, communicating signals to adjacent cells. In addition to the specialized regions of the axon that function...
Figure 1. Schematic representation of a neuron. The dendrites, cell body, axon, and axon terminal are shown. The nucleus, axon initial segment, nodes of Ranvier, and myelin sheath are also labeled. (Adapted from openstar 2012).
to ensure efficient and rapid communication along the axon, many axons are also covered by the membranous insulator known as myelin. Myelin wraps the axon of many neurons and facilitating the periodic regeneration of the action potential as it travels the long distance down the axon. The synaptic terminals transmit the action potential as a chemical signal to adjacent cells. The specific domains of the axon, such as the axon initial segment and nodes of Ranvier, have distinct functions that are important for the proper propagation of action potentials (Kandel et al., 2013).

**Myelin**

Myelin wraps around the axon and acts as an insulator to ensure propagation of action potentials. In the CNS myelin is produced by oligodendrocytes, and in the PNS it is produced by Schwann cells (Raine, 1984). An oligodendrocyte extends multiple processes which wrap around a segment of the axon to form a multilayered sheath (Aggarwal et al., 2011). The unmyelinated portions of the axon between the myelin segments are the nodes of Ranvier. The edges of the myelin sheath form paranodal loops that border the nodes of Ranvier and form the paranodal junction (Kandel et al., 2013). This junction contains structures called transverse bands, which anchor the myelin to the axon’s plasma membrane (axolemma) (Dupree et al., 1998) and establish protein clusters that form and maintain distinct axonal domains (Dupree et al., 1999). On the abnodal side of the paranode is the structurally ill-defined domain known as the juxtaparanode and between the juxtaparanodes are the internodes (Aggarwal et al., 2011).

Aiding in the myelin sheath’s function of insulation is its unique composition. Myelin is composed of about 30% proteins and 70% lipids, an unusually high lipid concentration, as most membranes are composed of a near equal amount of protein and lipids (Aggarwal et al., 2011; Quarles et al., 2006). Galactosyl ceramide and its derivative sulfatide are two of the major lipid components of myelin, but myelin also has a high concentration of cholesterol (Marcus and Popko, 2002). These lipids
are necessary for stabilizing myelin-axon interactions, as demonstrated by galactose-ceramide galactosyltransferase (CGT) deficient mice. CGT is an enzyme necessary for the formation of galactolipids (Morell and Radin, 1969). CGT deficient mice display myelin structure abnormalities and premature death (Marcus and Popko, 2002). Of the protein in myelin, 60-80% of all protein in myelin is composed of myelin basic protein (MBP) and proteolipid protein (PLP) (Han, 2013). PLP, and its immature isoform DM20, constitute ~50% of the myelin proteins and are known to play a role in the stabilization of the intraperiod line (Boison and Stoffel, 1994; Han et al., 2013). However, ultrastructural analysis of the PLP deficient mice revealed that neither PLP nor DM20 is essential for formation of compact myelin (Coetzee et al., 1999) Additionally, these mice did not show widespread demyelination (Klugmann et al., 1997). PLP has been shown to contribute to axonal stability, however, as aged PLP deficient mice show axonal swellings and degeneration (Griffiths et al., 1998). Although it is an isoform of PLP, DM20 is not capable of rescuing PLP deficient mice from axonal degeneration, demonstrating the requirement of PLP for axonal stability (Stecca et al., 2000). MBP is the second most abundant protein in CNS myelin. It has several different isoforms that are present in different concentrations during development (Han et al., 2013). MBP is considered the major protein important in stabilizing the major dense line, as shown by the shiverer mouse, a spontaneously occurring murine mutant caused by a deletion in the MBP gene, resulting in the formation of both reduced and uncompacted myelin.

There are also other myelin proteins of interest that are expressed in levels lower than PLP or MBP. One of these proteins is 2’,3’-cyclic nucleotide 3’-phosphohdiesterase (CNP). CNP is expressed mainly in oligodendrocytes and has two isoforms that are differentially expressed during development (Scherer et al., 1994). It is associated with oligodendrocyte processes and the cytoplasmic domains of myelin (i.e. uncompacted myelin) and is found underneath the oligodendrocyte surface membrane, consistent with its role in the cytoskeletal structure of myelin (Gravel et al., 1996). Myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG) is a component of myelin at the axo-glial interface and found to be important for
axon-glial interactions due to the presence of several Ig binding domains (Schachner and Bartsch, 2000). However, MAG is not necessary for myelin formation as MAG-deficient mice form relatively normal myelin (Schachner and Bartsch, 2000). Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) is another minor myelin protein, found on the surface of myelin membranes, but is noteworthy because MOG antibodies lead to myelin degeneration and are also found in MS patients (Menon et al., 1997). Its exact function is somewhat unclear, but it has been utilized to induce mouse models of MS, such as experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) (Johns et al., 1995), which our lab uses to study MS. Although antibodies to PLP, MBP, and MAG also exist in MS patients, MOG antibodies have shown specific T-cell reactivity, which suggests a role for a cell-mediated immune response to MOG in MS (Aslani et al., 2014; de Graaf et al., 2012).

Axonal Domains

There are several distinct domains of the myelinated axon that have specialized roles, ensuring proper neuronal function. These domains include the axon initial segment, nodes of Ranvier, paranode, juxtaparanode, and internode (Buttermore et al., 2013). The internode comprises most of the length of the axon and is wrapped by compacted myelin (Buttermore et al., 2013). Although it lacks many specialized structures, it is thought to play a part in axon-glia interactions which are mediated by MAG (Buttermore et al., 2013; Marcus and Popko, 2002). The juxtaparanode lies just under the myelin between the internode and paranode and is characterized by clustering of Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 delayed rectifying potassium channels (Wang et al., 1993; Rhodes et al., 1997). Contactin-associated protein 2 (caspr2) specifically localizes with the Kvβ2 subunit of these potassium channels at the juxtaparanode via a PDZ binding domain (Post synaptic density 95, Disc large, Zona occludens-1 domain), while caspr is specifically localized to the paranode (Poliak et al., 1999; Gordon et al., 2014). TAG-1 (transient axonal glycoprotein -1) also localizes to the juxtaparanode and forms a caspr2/TAG-1 complex. TAG-1 on the
glial cells binds to this TAG-1/caspr2 complex via homophilic interactions (Poliak et al., 2003; Traka et al., 2003). Mice deficient in either TAG-1 or caspr2 show a lack of protein clustering at the juxtaparanode, although these knockouts also appear phenotypically normal (Traka et al., 2003; Poliak et al., 2003). The juxtaparanode is also enriched in PSD (post synaptic density) 95 (Rasband et al., 2002). This protein clustering shows interactions similar to, but distinct from, those found at the paranode.

The paranode is the domain on either side of the node of Ranvier and consists of a caspr/contactin interaction, similar to that of the caspr2/TAG-1 complex at the juxtaparanode (Einheber et al., 1997). In caspr mutant mice, contactin is absent at the node, indicating the importance of caspr at the node (Rios et al., 2000). Without this caspr/contactin interaction, the paranode is defective, although myelin forms normally (Bhat et al., 2001; Boyle et al., 2001). Caspr is also thought to have a role in trafficking contactin to the paranode (Gollan, 2003). Once the caspr/contact complex is established, neurofascin 155, which is expressed by oligodendrocytes, binds contactin to form transverse bands (Rios et al., 2000; Charles et al., 2002). The nodes of Ranvier form the space between paranodes. The nodes of Ranvier and axon initial segment are two unmyelinated sections of the axon that are similar in protein composition and important for action potential propagation and regeneration (Buttermore et al., 2013). Because of this, they are of particular interest to our lab.

Nodes of Ranvier

The nodes of Ranvier are unmyelinated portions of the axon important for regenerating action potentials as they travel along the axon (Rasband and Peles, 2015). The nodes of Ranvier exhibit a protein composition conducive to this function, displaying several types of sodium and potassium channels clustered at the node. These ion channels include Na\textsubscript{v}1.1, Na\textsubscript{v}1.2, Na\textsubscript{v}1.6, Na\textsubscript{v}1.7, Na\textsubscript{v}1.8, and Na\textsubscript{v}1.9 sodium channels, which allow ion flux across the axon in order to regenerate the action potential at each node (Fjell et al., 2000; Boiko et al., 2001; Henry et al., 2005; Duflocq et al., 2008; Black et al.,
Potassium channels present at the node include \( K_v3.1b, \) KCNQ2, and KCNQ3 channels (Devaux et al., 2003; Devaux et al., 2004). The potassium channels present at the node serve to regulate action potential regeneration at the node and modulate neuronal excitability (Battefeld et al., 2014; King et al., 2014). Due to the diversity of sodium and potassium channels at the node, not all nodes exhibit identical ion channel composition (Rasband and Peles, 2015). Other cytoskeletal and scaffolding proteins anchor these ion channels at the node of Ranvier. Notably, the neuronal-specific scaffolding protein ankyrinG anchors ions channels to \( \beta IV \) spectrin, which links to the actin cytoskeleton (Berghs et al., 2000; Kordeli et al., 1995). Neurofascin 186 and neural related cell adhesion molecule (NrcAM) are two adhesion molecules that bind to ankyrinG and form interactions with glial cells to further stabilize the node of Ranvier (Davis et al., 1996). In the PNS, gliomedin (a protein belonging to the olfactomedin family of proteins) binds neurofascin 186 and NrcAM, further stabilizing the node (Eshed et al., 2005). In the CNS a similar interaction may take place where extracellular matrix proteins, such as brevican, bind to neurofascin 186 and/or NrcAM to further stabilize the node. Studies have been conducted to determine how these various proteins cluster at the Node of Ranvier.

The formation of protein clusters at the node of Ranvier has been shown to be dependent on three factors; myelin-axon interaction, the neuronal cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix (Susuki et al., 2013). I will first examine the myelin-axon interaction. Using a lysolecithin model of demyelination in the PNS, one study showed sodium channel clustering was lost, except at heminodes, which are composed of an unpaired paranode found at the transition of demyelinated and myelination brain regions and some isolated and dispersed regions thought to previously be nodes (Dugandzija-Novakovic et al., 1995). It has also been found that it is only after a Schwann cell begins producing MAG and is committed to myelination that there is a significant increase in sodium channel clustering (Martini and Schachner, 1986; Vabnick and Shrager, 1998). These studies indicate a role for interactions between the axons and the myelinating Schwann cells in the formation of the node in the PNS. Studies have also been
conducted to determine if the axo-glial interaction necessary for node formation in the PNS exists in the CNS. Using the shiverer mouse mutant, Rasband et al. (1999) reported that axo-glial interaction was necessary for node protein clustering, as the shiverer mutant mice (which show uncompacted myelin and hypomyelination) displayed fewer and displaced sodium channel clusters (Rasband et al., 1999). The localization of caspr was also disrupted in these mice. In contrast, other studies have shown that axo-glial contact itself is not necessary for node formation, but the protein clustering relies on a protein secreted by the oligodendrocytes (Kaplan et al., 1997). Using a CGT-deficient mouse model, Dupree et al. (1999) further examined the importance of the axo-glial interaction for node formation. They found in CGT-deficient mice (which lack the enzyme necessary for generating galactolipids) nodal proteins (Na channels, neurofascin, AnkyrinG) clustered normally, while the potassium channels and caspr protein of adjacent regions were diffused along the internode and no longer restricted to their specific domains. Thus, not only are galactolipids important for myelin, but they are also necessary for the axo-glial interaction that form protein clusters in certain axonal domains. Other studies have shown that disrupting neurofascin 155 disrupts the paranode, eventually leading to node disruption as well (Pillai et al., 2009). Thus, studies in the CNS implicate axon-oligodendrocyte interaction as a necessary feature of node formation.

The extracellular matrix and cytoskeleton are also important in formation and maintenance of the node of Ranvier. The formation of sodium channel clusters at the edge of a growing span of myelin relies on the extracellular matrix protein gliomedin interacting with neurofascin 186 and NrCAM (Eshed et al., 2005; Feinberg et al., 2010). The gliomedin-NrCAM interaction allows for accumulation of neurofascin 186 (Feinberg et al., 2010; Eshed et al., 2007). In the CNS, it is thought that brevican, an extracellular matrix protein, serves the same role in the CNS that gliomedin plays in the PNS (Rasband and Peles, 2015). Brevican is known to localize at the node (Bekku et al., 2009), although brevican deficient mice show no major disruption of node formation (Brakebusch et al., 2002). Tamoxifen-
induced knockout of neurofascin 186 in mice also results in loss of gliomedin at PNS nodes and brevican at CNS nodes (Desmazieres et al., 2014). Neurofascin 186 also recruits the scaffolding protein ankyrinG to the node, and ankyrinG recruits the cytoskeletal protein βIV spectrin and sodium channels (Eshed et al., 2005; Lambert et al., 1997; Dzhashiashvili et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007). Neurofascin 186 binds both ankyrinG and extracellular matrix proteins (Zonta et al., 2008; Eshed et al., 2005).

It has been reported that disruption of only one of the mechanisms of node formation, allows the other two to compensate, while disruption of two of these leads to node impairment (Susuki, 2013; Susuki et al., 2013). Creation of mutant mice with two of the following; knockout of extracellular matrix proteins (i.e. brevican knockout), caspr knockout, and mutant βIV spectrin, resulted in mice with fewer nodes, less density of proteins at the node, and severe neurological symptoms. As the node of Ranvier serves an important role in action potential conduction, maintenance of the nodes of Ranvier is important to maintain integrity of axonal function. Another important axonal domain, similar in composition to the node of Ranvier, is the axon initial segment.

**The Axon Initial Segment**

The axon initial segment (AIS) is an unmyelinated portion of the axon immediately distal to the soma. The AIS is similar in protein composition to the node of Ranvier (Yoshimura and Rasband, 2014). It contains multiple types of both sodium and potassium channels, the scaffolding protein ankyrinG, neurofascin 186, and βIV spectrin (Zhou et al., 1998; Kole et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2006) (Figure 2). However, unlike the node of Ranvier, ankyrinG is the master organizer of the AIS. AnkyrinG is required for sodium channel clustering at the AIS, and recruits βIV spectrin and adhesion molecules such as neurofascin and NrCAM (Zhou et al., 1998; Jenkins and Bennett, 2001; Yang et al., 2007). This has been
Figure 2. Schematic of proteins at the axon initial segment. AnkyrinG is a scaffolding protein that anchors sodium and potassium channels in place. AnkG also binds the adhesion molecules Neurofascin 186 and NrCAM and the cytoskeletal protein βIV spectrin.
demonstrated in ankyrinG knockout mice which do not show localization of these proteins to the initial segment and also display impaired action potential generation (Jenkins & Bennett, 2001; Zhou et al., 1998). Without ankyrinG, the AIS also cannot maintain proper neuronal polarity, causing the axon to default to a dendritic-like morphology (Hedstrom et al., 2008; Sobotzik et al., 2009). Although ankyrinG is important for the assembly and function of the AIS, other proteins contribute to maintenance of the AIS. Neurofascin 186 is not the initiator of assembly of the AIS, nor is it required for AIS assembly, however, studies have shown that it is important for the stability of the AIS (Hedstrom et al., 2008; Zonta et al., 2011). Knockout of neurofascin 186 in adult mice leads to altered action potentials and dispersion of protein clustering at the AIS (Zonta et al., 2011). Thus neurofascin is important for the stability of the AIS, but not the assembly, in contrast to its role at the node of Ranvier.

The differences between the AIS and the node of Ranvier also extend to the function of each domain. The AIS is important in to neuronal function because it is the site of action potential initiation in the neuron (Stuart and Sakmann, 1994; Mainen et al., 1995; Palmer and Stuart, 2006). Further research has found that different sodium channel compositions at the proximal and distal ends of the AIS contribute to its function (Hu et al., 2009). The distal end of the AIS, containing a dense concentration of Na\textsubscript{v}1.6 channels, initiates the action potential, while the proximal end, containing a dense concentration of Na\textsubscript{v}1.2 channels, back propagates the action potential to the cell body (Hu et al., 2009). In addition, the AIS maintains neuronal polarity (Hedstrom et al., 2008; Sobotzik et al., 2009). Neuronal polarity is maintained by establishing both a surface diffusion barrier and an intracellular traffic filter that allow axonal proteins through the AIS and keeps dendritic proteins out (Leterrier and Dargent, 2014). The diffusion barrier was first discovered by Winckler et al. (1999) who found that the dendritic protein GluR1 could be found in the axon hillock, but not in the AIS. Research into the selectivity filter has shown that motor protein-cargo complexes carrying dendritic proteins are restricted from entering the AIS.
(Song et al., 2009). Thus the AIS serves to initiate action potentials, but also has a two-component mechanism to maintain proper axo-dendritic polarity of the neuron.

The AIS also has homeostatic mechanisms in order to protect neuron functionality after insult or injury. Using an avian model, the AIS was studied in neurons that responded to low, medium, or high frequencies (Kuba et al., 2006). Results from this study found that the AIS length and the distance from the soma were different between these types of neurons in order to optimize the threshold at which an action potential was generated (Kuba et al., 2006). This variation in AISs length and location is used in order to accurately determine interaural time differences at various frequencies (Kuba et al., 2006). Research by the same group determined that following reduced input to the neuron, the AIS increased in length in order to increase the excitability of the cell (Kuba et al., 2010). In vitro, another study showed that the AIS increased its distance from the soma in response to chronic depolarization, reducing the overall excitability of the cell (Grubb and Burron, 2010). Similarly, in a mouse model of Angelman syndrome, a disorder characterized by cognitive impairment, motor abnormalities, and seizures, the AIS lengthened in response to a hyperpolarized resting membrane potential (Kaphzan et al., 2011). When the resting membrane potential was returned to normal using genetic modification, the AIS shortened (Kaphzan et al., 2013). In response to traumatic brain injury in a mouse model, the AIS shortened, suggesting altered neuronal excitability (Baalman et al., 2013). In a stroke model, the AIS shortened in response to injury, and surviving neurons were capable of sprouting a new initial segment (Hinman et al., 2013). These studies reveal that the AIS can change length and location in order to deal with hyper- or hypo-excitability. The AIS is then a plastic structure capable of adapting to its environment, making it an important domain to study in disease states affecting the axon, such as multiple sclerosis.
Multiple Sclerosis

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a neurodegenerative disorder and one of the most common autoimmune disorders of the CNS (Files, 2015). It is diagnosed when patients have had at least two attacks and display demyelinating plaques in the brain or spinal cord (Polman et al., 2011). The cause of MS is not known, but it is thought to be caused by a mixture of genetic and environmental factors, with risk factors associated with geographic region, vitamin D levels, a first degree relative diagnosed with MS, and certain variations in the genes for the human leukocyte antigen system (HLA) (including the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)) on chromosome 6 (Files et al., 2015; Ramagopalan and Sadovnick, 2011). Clinical presentation is highly variable with patients presenting with symptoms such as limb weakness, double vision, and ataxia, which often progress to include fatigue, heat sensitivity, bladder problems, muscle spasms, cognitive deficits, depression and eventually disability (Files et al., 2015; Hauser & Oskenberg, 2006). The majority of patients (about 85%) are first diagnosed with a form of MS called relapsing-remitting MS, which is characterized by episodes of neurological disability, followed by full or partial recovery. Most of these patients eventually progress to secondary progressive MS, which is characterized by a steady decline (Dutta and Trapp, 2011). Primary progressive MS is another form of MS, characterized by a steady decline from onset of symptoms. Progressive relapsing is the least common form of MS, and is characterized by progressive neurological decline in conjunction with distinct attacks, with or without recovery (Dutta and Trapp, 2011). MS is characterized by focal inflammatory demyelination, but breakdown of the blood brain barrier and gliosis are also relevant components of MS pathology (Dutta and Trapp, 2011). In MS, an autoimmune response targets the myelin and oligodendrocytes and destroys them, causing widespread demyelination. However, degeneration of the axon has been proposed to be the primary cause of irreversible neurological damage (Dutta and Trapp, 2011).
Inflammation in MS

Inflammation is one significant aspect of MS. Both the innate and adaptive immune system are involved in the pathology of MS (Hemmer et al., 2015). Microglia, the innate immune cells of the CNS, and macrophages, which infiltrate from the periphery, interact with the antigen-specific CD4+ T cells and CD8+ T cells, as well as the B cells, of the adaptive immune system (Jacobsen et al., 2002; Babbe et al., 2000; Wraith and Nicholson, 2012; Ransohoff and Engelhardt, 2012). In the early stages of MS, there are two mechanisms that have been proposed that lead to the development of inflammatory lesions: 1. inflammation drives pathology, or 2. pathology occurs and inflammatory cells are recruited (Hemmer et al., 2015; Kutzelnigg et al., 2005; Trapp and Nave, 2008). The first hypothesis suggests that autoreactive T-cells become activated in the periphery by cross-reactivity, molecular mimicry, or bystander activation (Hemmer et al., 2015). It is proposed that the immune response in MS may be caused by a virus or bacteria and thus the autoimmune attack on myelin components, such as MBP, may then be the result of molecular mimicry to a viral or bacterial component (Wucherpfennig and Strominger, 1995; Sospedra and Martin, 2005; Glass et al., 2010). According to this theory, the autoreactive T-cells migrate to the lymph nodes, and produce antigen-specific T cells and B cells, which then make their way into the CNS (Henderson et al., 2009). This entry into the CNS may be mediated by chemokines from endothelial cells of the BBB which bind to receptors found on T-cells (Alt et al., 2002; Hillyer et al., 2003; Columba-Cabezas et al., 2003; Hernandez-Pedro et al., 2013). The alternative hypothesis is that an event within the CNS triggers microglia reactivity, which amplifies the immune response by recruiting innate and adaptive immune cells (Hemmer et al., 2015; Kutzelnigg and Lassmann, 2014). An inherent defect, such as a genetic mutation in oligodendrocytes, would cause spontaneous cell death and recruitment of microglia. This would explain why lesions form in normal appearing white matter, without the presence of lymphocyte infiltration (Barnett and Prineas, 2004; Mistry et al., 2011). A secondary immune response would be initiated when antigens drain out of the CNS into deep lymph nodes (Hemmer et al.,
Dendritic cells would perform the role of processing the antigens and transfer them to the lymph nodes, or the antigens would drain through the cerebrospinal fluid, which would then prime T-cells (Hatterer et al., 2006; Xie et al., 2013). Regardless of the mechanism of entry, once in the CNS, T-cells release pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as TNFα and IL1β) and chemokines (such as MCP-1) that recruit other immune cells including microglia, peripheral macrophages, B cells, and astrocytes to the site of inflammation (Hemmer et al., 2015; Glass et al., 2010). The microglia and macrophages can then form an inflammatory loop by production of factors such TNFα, IL1β, and reactive oxygen species (Block et al., 2007; Haji et al., 2012; Guemez-Gamboa et al., 2011). This inflammatory loop can lead to the demyelination and axonal damage that is characteristic of MS.

**Demyelination in MS**

MS is characterized by areas of focal inflammatory demyelination, known as plaques, that show breakdown of the BBB, involvement of immune cells, and death of oligodendrocytes (Kutzelnigg and Lassmann, 2014). Different forms of MS show different forms of white matter plaques including acute, chronic, and remyelinated (Popescu et al., 2013). Although acute lesions can become remyelinated, chronic lesions may cause irreversible axonal damage (Kutzelnigg et al., 2005; Kutzelnigg and Lassmann, 2006). MS was initially thought to be primarily characterized by these various types of white matter lesions, but gray matter lesions are also apparent in MS and have been linked to many of the physical disabilities and cognitive impairment in MS (Pirko et al., 2007).

As previously mentioned, inflammation in MS is caused by reactive T-cells that release pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Glass et al., 2010; Hemmer, 2015). This inflammation could cause demyelination or T cells could bind MHC class I antigens on oligodendrocytes (Kutzelnigg and Lassmann, 2014; Lubetzki and Stankoff, 2014; Hoftberger et al., 2004). T cells recruit B cells, microglia, and macrophages to sites of inflammation. B cells are also adaptive immune cells, and act as antigen
presenting cells that display MHC class II molecules (Hemmer et al., 2015). B cells are thought to be responsible for production of autoantibodies. As mentioned previously, autoantibodies to myelin proteins such as MOG, MAG, PLP, MBP, and neurofascin have been found in MS patients (Menon et al., 1997; de Graaf et al., 2012; Mathey et al., 2007). Other autoantibodies against non-myelin proteins, such as Kir 4.1 (a type of potassium channel) have also been discovered (Wunsch et al., 2014; Mathey et al., 2007). B cells could attack myelin, potassium channels, or neurofascin, leading to demyelination and neurodegeneration. Several therapies targeting B cells for depletion have been successful in reducing lesions and relapses, solidifying the role of B cells in demyelinating lesions in MS (Hauser et al., 2008; Kappos et al., 2011). The idea that inflammation might results from an inherent abnormality in oligodendrocytes would implicate slightly different reasons for demyelination. In this case, oligodendrocyte cell death would recruit the inflammatory response and lead to demyelination (Barnett and Prineas, 2004). This response would rely on microglia as the resident immune cells of the CNS to initiate the response.

In addition to B cells, and T cells, microglia and macrophages are also important to consider in MS demyelination. Microglia and macrophages are phagocytic cells that could cause demyelination by phagocytosing myelin (Hendrickx et al., 2014). The reason microglia and macrophages target myelin for degradation is not entirely clear, but studies indicate there may be changes to myelin in MS patients that recruit the microglia and macrophages which in turn initiate demyelination (Hendrickx et al., 2014). The exact role of microglia in MS lesion is somewhat debated, as they may have both pro-inflammatory and beneficial effects, but this idea will be discussed further. Microglia and macrophages, along with B cells and T cells contribute to the demyelinating plaques that are the hallmark of MS. However, another important pathology to consider in MS is axonal damage.
Axonal Pathology in MS

In addition to inflammation and demyelination, axonal pathology is also present in MS patients (Haines et al., 2011). Axonal pathology has been studied in the context of demyelinating lesions. It has been shown in MS that axons are transected at the site of demyelinating lesions (Ferguson et al., 1997; Trapp et al., 1998). Additional studies correlated axonal injury or loss with acute demyelination and inflammation, but also found axonal injury in chronic lesions (Bitsch et al., 2000; DeLuca et al., 2004; Frischer et al., 2009). In some cases, axonal injury preceded demyelination (Marik et al., 2007).

However, there appeared to be little evidence of axonal injury in remyelinated lesions (Kornek et al., 2000). Quantification of axonal number in the brain and spinal cord revealed an average reduction of 68% in axonal number in the spinal cord (Bjartmar et al., 2000) and an overall reduction of 64% in demyelinated lesions in the brain and spinal cord (Mews et al., 1998). It should be noted that both studies observed variability in axon number depending on lesion location, type of lesion, and between patients.

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) serves as a marker for axonal injury because axonal injury disrupts APP transport down the axon, causing accumulation of APP within the axon (Hayashi et al., 2015). Many studies have found accumulation of APP in axons in MS lesions. Studies have also observed Wallerian degeneration in brain tissue directly adjacent to plaques or in normal appearing white matter (NAWM) (Evangelou et al., 2000; Bjartmar et al., 2003; Dziedzic et al., 2010). Together these studies indicate that axonal pathology correlates with demyelinating lesions, but also occurs independent of myelin loss. The possibility of axonal pathology that is independent of myelin loss is further support by both animal and human studies. Marik (2007) reported that axonal injury preceded demyelination, while in human samples axonal degeneration has been observed in NAWM (absent of plaque involvement) (Kutzelnigg et al., 2005; Ramio-Torrenta et al., 2006; Siffrin et al., 2010). Furthermore, axonal
degeneration in the spinal cord is thought to contribute to spinal cord atrophy with little involvement of demyelinating lesions (Evangelou et al., 2005). Although axonal pathology is a consistent finding in MS and is observed both as consequential and independent of myelin loss, the exact mechanisms of axonal degeneration in MS are still not well understood.

Studies in animal models have shed more light on possible mechanisms of axonal degeneration in MS, both dependent and independent of demyelination. As mentioned previously, myelin is necessary for the maintenance of the node of Ranvier (Dupree et al., 2004), as demyelination leads to diffusion of protein clusters associated with the domains of the nodal region. In addition, other studies from our lab have shown that myelin is required for maintenance of axonal domains (Dupree et al., 2004; Marcus et al., 2006; Pomicter et al., 2010). However, axonal pathology in the absence of demyelination has also been studied in mouse models. Studies using CNP deficient mice, which do not exhibit myelin loss, have shown that lack of CNP leads to axon swelling and degeneration, which eventually leads to premature death (Lappe-Siefke et al., 2003). Despite absence of CNP and the presence of axonal degeneration, myelin is maintained in these mice, suggesting axonal pathology can occur independent of demyelination. Further research has shown that axonal pathology may be a primary event in MS. Comparing demyelinating and non-demyelinating strains of the mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) viral model of MS, one study revealed axonal degeneration in both the myelinating and demyelinating models (Das Sarma et al., 2009). In the non-demyelinating model, early axonal changes occurred in the absence of demyelination. Using in vivo imaging another study revealed focal axonal degeneration in a MOG-induced EAE mouse model of MS (Nikic et al., 2011). They tracked individual axons, using thy1-YFP mice that possessed a subset of fluorescently labeled axons, and revealed pathology that began with focal swellings and lead to axon fragmentation. Axonal pathology was present even in axons with intact myelin sheaths. Using human MS tissue, they found axonal degeneration in axons with intact myelin sheaths that correlated with damage seen in EAE mice (Nikic et
In order to further elucidate the mechanisms of axon degeneration as a primary pathology, studies have looked at other potential contributors such as breakdown of the BBB and contribution of microglia. Breakdown of the BBB may contribute to inflammation and axonal pathology. In the EAE mouse model studies have shown the fibrinogen leakage into the CNS following BBB disruption can cause inflammation and axonal damage (East et al., 2005; Akassoglou et al., 2004; Davalos et al., 2012). In one study, fibrinogen leakage across the BBB correlated with axon degeneration and caused microglia clustering at the BBB (Davalos et al., 2012). The culmination of research in human MS tissue and in mouse models of MS reveals that the axon is a target of both primary and secondary pathology in MS caused by multiple factors including demyelination, inflammation, breakdown of the BBB, and immune cells such as microglia and macrophages.

**Microglia**

Microglia are the immune cells of the brain, however, their exact role in the CNS, especially in disease states like MS is undergoing constant revision. Microglia are the resident immune cells of the CNS and are from the myeloid progenitor cell lineage derived from the embryonic yolk sac (Ginhoux and Prinz, 2015). Non-reactive or ‘surveying’ microglia have long ramified processes and a small cell body, but once signaled to respond to disease or trauma they adopt a more amoeboid shape (Kettenmann et al., 2011). Microglia have a wide range of functions. They can phagocytose debris, recruit peripheral immune cells to sites of damage, produce pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory factors, and physically associate with damaged neurons (Kettenmann et al., 2011). Recent research has shown that microglia associate with the AIS in the developing brain (Baalman et al., 2015). Microglia possess cytokine receptors, chemokine receptors, scavenger receptors, complement receptors, and pattern recognition receptors that allow them to recognize foreign invaders (such as bacteria or viruses) or injury (Kierdorf and Prinz, 2013; Benarroch, 2013). Once reactive, microglia can recruit peripheral
immune cells to sites of damage or disease by producing chemokines, such as CCL2, which can contribute to breakdown of the BBB and recruitment of leukocytes into the CNS (Goldmann and Prinz, 2013). Microglia secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines (such as TNFα or Il1β) and reactive oxygen species that can contribute to pathology in disease states (Benarroch, 2013). However, they can also produce anti-inflammatory cytokines like Il-10 and TGFβ (Benarroch, 2013).

Corresponding to the variety of receptors microglia express and the variety of cytokines and chemokines they can produce, the exact role of microglia varies by disease state. Much discussion had been focused on the M1/M2 polarization of microglia. This terminology was originally investigated in macrophages, then adopted to microglia (Tang and Le, 2016). ‘M1’ or ‘classically activated’ microglia are generally identified by production of TNFα, Il-1β, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), and ROS. ‘M2’ microglia include both ‘alternatively activated’ and ‘acquired deactivation’ microglia. ‘Alternatively activated’ microglia are usually induced by exposure to IL-4 or Il-13 and associated with production of Arginase 1 (Arg1), anti-inflammation, and tissue and ECM repair. ‘Acquired deactivation’ is generally considered the result of exposure to Il-10 of TGFβ (transforming growth factor beta) and usually involved uptake of apoptotic cells (Cherry et al., 2014; Tang and Le, 2016). It is thought that ‘M1’ microglia initiate an acute inflammatory response in the CNS by responding to invading pathogens, injury, or disease. ‘M2’ microglia then resolve inflammation and promote repair (Cherry et al., 2014; Tang and Le, 2016). These categorizations may be helpful in distinguishing the different roles of microglia, but may not give a full picture of all possible microglia phenotypes (Cherry et al., 2014). However, examining expression profiles of microglia may shed light on functions of microglia.

Using human microglia, research revealed in vitro that microglia induced by IFNγ (pro-inflammatory state) highly upregulated Il-1β and TNFα expression compared to those induced by Il-4 (anti-inflammatory state) (Peferoen et al., 2015). The induction of microglia by pro-inflammatory or anti-
inflammatory factors polarized the microglia to produce pro-inflammatory or anti-inflammatory factors (respectively). Specifically, IFNγ polarized microglia expressed the pro-inflammatory factors TNFα, IL-1β, and CXCL10 (C-X-C motif chemokine 10), while the IL-4 polarized microglia expressed the anti-inflammatory chemokine CCL22 (C-C motif chemokine 22). However, this polarization was shown to be reversible when microglia were placed in new environments, indicating that they can adapt in response to a change in external cues (Peferoen et al., 2015). Translating this observation to MS tissue, researchers found that the microglia in NAWM resembled those found in remyelinating lesions, displaying a phenotype intermediate of the IFNγ and IL-4 induced microglia in vitro (Peferoen et al., 2015). In studies revealing axonal pathology as a primary event in MS, the axonal degeneration was found to be mediated by microglia/macrophage release of reactive oxygen species (Nikic et al., 2011). Additional research in animal models has expanded the understanding of the various roles of microglia.

Research has shown that inhibiting activation of microglia and macrophages in a MOG-induced EAE model of MS attenuates symptoms of the disease (Bhasin et al., 2007). This study found that treating EAE induced mice with microglia inhibitory factory (MIF) at the onset of EAE symptoms decreased the severity of the disease, exhibited by decreased clinical score, and lead to complete recovery from EAE. In this study, the timing of microglia activation and inhibition was important for modulating disease course. However, protective roles have also been identified for microglia in MS. Microglia activated by IL-4 injected into the cerebrospinal fluid of EAE rodents induced oligodendrogenesis and decreased expression of TNFα (Butovsky et al., 2006b; Butovsky et al., 2006a). In contrast, microglia activated by IFNγ (interferon gamma) impeded oligodendrogenesis from adult neural stem cells (Butovsky et al., 2006b; Butovsky et al., 2006a). In a relapsing rat model of EAE, one study examined activation patterns of microglia/macrophages and their association with disease state (Mikita et al., 2011). Using iNOS as a marker for M1 microglia/macrophages, and Arg1 as a marker for M2 microglia/macrophages, the authors found that iNOS production remained higher in severe
relapsing EAE rats compared to mild EAE rats. In addition, intravenous injection of monocytes induced by IL-10/II-13 resulted in a decrease in clinical score and a higher expression of Arg1, indicating a role for alternatively activated microglia/macrophages in resolving inflammation (Mikita et al., 2011). The complex role of microglia in MS and its mouse models highlight the need for further research in order to understand the various ways in which microglia may contribute to pathology in MS. One way they may mediate pathology in MS is via the complement system of immunity.

The Complement System of Immunity

The complement system is one aspect of immunity of particular interest in MS. Complement proteins have been found in demyelinating lesions in MS (Woyciechowska and Brzosko, 1977; Gay and Esiri, 1991; Ingram et al., 2014). As mentioned previously, microglia can also be activated by complement proteins (Benarroch, 2013). The complement system has three major pathways: the classical pathway, the alternative pathway, and the lectin pathway. Figure 3 shows the cascade of the three pathways (Dunkelberger and Song, 2010). The classical pathway is activated by antibody/antigen interaction when C1q binds the antibody/antigen complex and recruits C1s and C1r. The alternative pathway is activated by spontaneous hydrolysis of C3 to C3(H20), which is then cleaved by Factors B and D. The Lectin pathway is activated by Mannose Binding Lectin (MBL) recognizing specific carbohydrates found on the surface of pathogens. In the classical pathway, C1q activates C1r and C1s which cleave C2 and C4 into C2a, C2b, C4a, and C4b. C4b and C2a form a protease that cleaves C3 into C3a and C3b. In the alternative pathway, C3 spontaneously hydrolyzes to C3(H20) and joins with Factor B and Factor D to cleave C3 into C3a and C3b. This can create an amplification loop, continuing cleavage of C3. In both the classical and alternative pathways, cleavage of C3 leads to cleavage of C5, and a further cascade which can form the MAC-1 complex (C5b-C9) that lyses cells. A host of other complement molecules (i.e. Decay Accelerating Factor, Properdin, Factor I, Factor H, C4bp, etc.) modulate activity at various
Figure 3. Diagram of the complement system. The complement system consists of the classical, lectin, and alternative pathways. The classical pathway is activated by C1q binding an antigen/antibody complex which then recruits C1r and C1s. The C1qrs complex then cleaves C2 and C4. The lectin pathway is activated mannose binding lectin (MBL) binding specific carbohydrates and activating MBL-associated serine proteases (MASPs) which also cleave C2 and C4. C2a and C4b form the C3 convertase, which cleaves C3. The alternative pathway is activated by spontaneous hydrolysis of C3 to C3(H2O), which in conjunction with Cfb and Cfd cleaves C3. The C3 convertase cleaves C3, and C3b then joins C2a and C4b to form the C5 convertase. C5 is then cleaved into C5a and C5b. C5b, C6, C7, C8, and C9 form the MAC-1 complex, which causes cell lysis. C3a, C4a, and C5a, are released ad can recruit other inflammatory factors. C3b and C4b are also opsonins which can target cells for phagocytosis by microglia and macrophages. Adapted from Dunkleberger & Song, 2010.
steps of all three of the complement pathways, creating a complex, highly regulated system (Dunkelberger and Song, 2010; Merle et al., 2015a; Merle et al., 2015b).

Complement proteins play various roles in the cell. C4b, C3b, and its breakdown fragment iC3b, are molecules that can opsonize cells, and bind to complement receptors such as CR1 and CR3 to induce phagocytosis of opsonized cells by macrophages and microglia (Merle et al., 2015b; Mortensen et al., 2015). C3a and C5a are anaphylatoxins that can bind to receptors on immune cells in to recruit them and induce inflammation (Merle et al., 2015b; Klos et al., 2009). C4a is also generally considered an anaphylatoxin, but its role in recruiting inflammatory factors is debated. The role of complement has also been studied in multiple models of neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation, particularly Alzheimer’s disease. There are both detrimental and neuroprotective effects of complement proteins indicating that over activation or unrestrained production of complement proteins can contribute to disease (Bonifati and Kishore, 2007; Orsini et al., 2014; Stephan et al., 2012). In particular, binding of C3 to its receptor, CR3, has been shown to prime microglia in EAE, a necessary step for further activation of microglia (Ramaglia et al., 2012). Complement proteins, specifically C1q and C3, have been shown to have a role in synaptic plasticity, pruning synapses both during development and in disease (Stevens et al., 2007; Chu et al., 2010). Further research has determined that complement-mediated synaptic pruning involves microglia, which phagocytose synaptic proteins in response to complement signals (Schafer et al., 2012; Bahrini et al., 2015; Tremblay and Majewska, 2011). The complement-initiated pruning of synapses was studied in retinal ganglion cells (Stevens et al., 2007; Schafer et al., 2012). Initial studies found the complement proteins C1q and C3 were highly upregulated in a model of glaucoma, and were deposited at the synapse (Stevens et al., 2007). A subsequent study looked at complement in development during pruning of synapses. In this study, C3 binds to its high-affinity receptor, CR3, on microglia (Schafer et al., 2012). Where exactly the complement cascade, beginning with C1q, is activated is unclear, but both neuros and astrocytes can produce complement proteins, which were shown to be
at the synapse. The C3/CR3 interaction induces microglia to phagocytose the synapse, evidenced by synaptic proteins found inside the microglia (Schafer et al., 2012). These studies provide a new role for the microglia and the complement system in neural plasticity and disease.

**Background Data**

Based on previous research implicating axonal domains as targets of degeneration in MS and various models, our lab examined pathology of the AIS in MS. Preliminary data from our lab indicates a possible loss of axon initial segments in MS (Figure 4). Our research has shown that that stability of the node of Ranvier is dependent on myelin integrity (Dupree et al., 2004), and since the node of Ranvier and the AIS are very similar in protein composition, we hypothesized that the AIS might also be dependent on myelin integrity for stability. Analysis of AIS stability in the Cuprizone model of demyelination revealed that AIS stability is independent of myelin integrity (Clark et al., 2016). In the Cuprizone model, despite demyelination in the cortex, the AIS remained intact and maintained length and number similar to control mice (Figure 5). However, when we examined AIS stability in an inflammatory model of MS, we saw different results. Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), is a mouse model of MS that displays CNS inflammation, but no observable cortical demyelination. In the EAE induced mice, the AIS was shortened at the early stage of EAE and lost at the late stage of EAE (Clark et al., 2016) (Figure 6 & 7). When we examined microglia morphology in both of these models, we found that reactive microglia were present in both models (Clark et al., 2016). Reactive microglia appeared in both models at an early stage of the disease, prior to any pathology, and maintained reactivity throughout the course of the disease (Figure 8). Since our hypothesis was that reactive microglia were responsible for AIS disruption, we proposed that microglia in the demyelination model exhibited a differential effect on the AIS as compared to the AISs in the inflammation model. The goal of my project was to address this hypothesis.
Figure 4. Preliminary data indicated loss of axon initial segments in MS tissue. Immunolabeling with an antibody directed against the AIS marker AnkyrinG exhibited decreased AIS number in human MS tissue (B) compared to non-MS tissue (A). Quantitation of AIS number in non-MS and MS tissue (C) indicated a trend toward a decreased number of AISs in MS tissue.
Figure 5. AIS length and number were maintained in the presence of demyelination. Immunolabeling with an antibody directed against MBP showed cortical demyelination at the 3 week (C) and 5 week time (D) time points compared to no Cuprizone treatment (A) or 1 week Cuprizone treatment (B). At the 5+3 week time point (E), remyelination was observed. Immunolabeling using an antibody directed against AnkyrinG revealed no observable change in AIS number length at the 1, 3, 5, or 5+3 week time points (G-J) compared to naïve (F). Quantitation of AIS number (K) and length (L) revealed no significant change in AIS number or length compared to naïve. Therefore the AIS remains stable despite demyelination.
Figure 6. AIS length and number were altered in the absence of demyelination. Immunolabeling using an antibody directed against MBP revealed no observable cortical demyelination in early EAE (B) or late EAE (C) compared to naive (A). Immunolabeling using an antibody directed against AnkyrinG revealed shortening of AIS length at the early 1&2 time point (F) and loss of AIS number at the late 1&2 (G) and late 3&4 (H) time points. Quantitation of AIS number (I) revealed a statistically significant decrease in AIS number at the late 1&2 and late 3&4 time points compared to naive. Quantitation of AIS length (J) revealed a statistically significant decrease in AIS length at the early 3&4, late 1&2, and late 3&4 time points compared to naive. Therefore, AIS stability is altered in an inflammatory model of MS, but not in a model of demyelination.
Figure 7. AIS length increases after treatment with the anti-inflammatory Didox. Immunolabeling using an antibody directed against AnkyrinG revealed an increase in AIS number in Didox treated mice (C) compared to carboxymethylcellulose vehicle control (B). The number of AISs was similar to naïve (A). Quantitation of AIS number (D) revealed the number of AISs after Didox treatment was not significantly different from naïve, but it was significantly different from vehicle treated mice. Quantitation of AIS length (E) revealed that lengths in Didox treated mice were not significantly different from Naive, but they were significantly different between Didox treated and vehicle treated mice. Therefore, anti-inflammatory treatment may rescue AIS shortening and loss.
Figure 8. Microglia display reactive morphology in EAE and Cuprizone. Immunolabeling using an antibody against IBA-1 revealed reactive microglia in Cuprizone beginning at the 1 week time point (B) (before demyelination was observed) and continuing through the 3 week (C) and 5 week (D) time points. At the 5+3 week point (E) where remyelination was observed, microglia reactivity compared to that of no Cuprizone treated mice. In EAE mice, IBA-1 labeling revealed reactive microglia morphology beginning at the early 1&2 (G) time point (before AIS shortening or loss was observed) and continuing through the early 3&4 (H), late 1&2 (I), and late 3&4 (J) time points. After Didox treatment (M), microglia morphology displayed mixed reactivity, with some microglia displaying a surveying phenotype (M) and others displaying a somewhat reactive morphology (M’). Therefore, in both a model of demyelination and an inflammatory model of MS, microglia show reactivity preceding pathology and decreased reactivity with recovery.
Materials & Methods

Mice

C57bl/6 mice were purchased at five and 11 weeks of age from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and maintained in AAALAC credited facilities at the Virginia Commonwealth University Divisions of Animal Resources (VCU DAR) or the McGuire Veterans Affairs Medical Center (VAMC) vivariums, respectively. Mice were allowed to acclimate for one week before treatments began. Food and water were available ad libitum during all treatments. All procedures were carried out in accordance with approved IACUC protocols at VCU and VAMC.

The Cuprizone Model

Cortical demyelination was induced by mixing Cuprizone (N, N'-bis (cyclohexanone) oxalidihydrazone, 0.2% w/w) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) with ground rodent chow (5001 Rodent diet; PMI Nutrition International, LLC, Brentwood, MO) as previously described (Dupree et al., 2004). Six weeks old mice were maintained on a ground chow diet without Cuprizone (0%), or with Cuprizone (0.2% w/w) for 1, 3, or 5 weeks to allow for cortical demyelination. An additional group of mice were maintained on a ground chow diet with Cuprizone for 5 weeks followed by 3 weeks on non-Cuprizone chow to allow for cortical myelin repair (5+3 weeks).

The Chronic EAE Model

An inflammatory environment was created by inducing mice with the chronic model of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) as previously described (DeVries et al., 2012; Dupree et al., 2015; Secor McVoy et al., 2015; Clark et al., 2016). Twelve weeks old C57bl/6 mice were injected with 50 μL of a solution containing 3 mg/ml myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein peptide 35-55 (MOG35-55, MEVGWYRSPFSRVVHLGYRNG) (AnaSpec, Inc., Fremont, CA), mixed with complete Freud’s adjuvant.
with 2mg/ml heat killed *M. Tuberculosis* (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). On the same day, mice were injected intraperitoneal (IP) with 300ng Pertussis toxin (List Biological Labs, Campbell, CA) in 200 μL phosphate buffered saline (PBS). A booster IP injection of Pertussis toxin was given 48 hours later. Animals were weighed and monitored daily for dehydration. Animals were euthanized if they experienced loss of more than 15% body weight or an inability to eat/drink. Food/drink was supplied by oral gavage during peak clinical symptoms as necessary. Clinical motor symptoms were scored and recorded daily following injection. Clinical scores were assigned as follows: 0- no symptoms, 1- limp tail, 2- limp tail with loss of righting reflex, 3- paralysis of a single hind limb, 4- paralysis of both hind limbs, 5- death. Peak clinical symptoms were reached approximately 15 days post injection. Following peak clinical symptoms mice were taken 3 days (early) and 9 days (late) for further analysis (Figure 9). Only mice that maintained consistent clinical score for 3 or 9 days were used for analysis. Not all mice reached severe clinical symptoms. This variation in clinical score was used to group mice into two categories for each time point: EAE 1&2 and EAE 3&4. Thus 4 categories were created that represent mild and severe clinical symptoms at both early and late time points (early EAE 1&2, early EAE 3&4, late EAE 1&2, late EAE 3&4).

*Didox treatment*

Didox (N-3,4-tridhydroxy-benzamide) (Molecules for Health, Richmond, VA) is a multifunctional compound that inhibits DNA replication, inhibits T cell proliferation, reduces oxidative injury and inflammation, and inhibits microglia/macrophage production of inflammatory factors (Bhave et al., 2013; Inayat et al., 2010; Matsebatlela et al., 2015; Turchan et al., 2003). Following procedures previously established (DeVries et al., 2012), 550 mg/kg of Didox was administered in 200 μL carboxymethylcellulose via oral gavage to a separate cohort of mice at the early EAE time point. Vehicle solution (0.5% w/v carboxymethylcellulose, 0.9% w/v sodium chloride, 0.4% w/v polysorbate 30, and
Figure 9. Graph of EAE progression. Mice show symptoms approximately 12 days after injection (A). Three days after peak clinical symptoms mice are sacrificed to represent the ‘early’ time point. Mice at the ‘late’ time point are sacrificed nine days after the peak of clinical symptoms. Mice are grouped into category based on clinical score: EAE 1&2 or EAE 3&4. Didox treatment began at the early time point and continued for 6 days (B).
0.9% w/v benzyl alcohol in deionized water) was also administered to a separate cohort of mice at the early EAE time point as a vehicle control. Didox or vehicle control were administered daily for 6 days.

**Tissue Preparation**

For immunohistochemistry mice were anesthetized using 15 μL/g body weight of 2.5% Avertin (2,2,2 tribromoethanol) (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.9% sodium chloride (Sigma-Aldrich) and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde (Ted Pella, Redding, CA). After perfusion the cortex was removed, cryopreserved in 0.1M PBS containing 30% sucrose for 48 hours at 4°C, frozen in Optimal Cutting Temperature compound (Sajura Finetek, Torrance, CA) and sectioned at 40 μm in a coronal orientation using a Leica CM 1850 cryostat (Leica Biosystems, Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL). The cortex was serially sectioned spanning the region from 1.1 mm anterior to Bregma to 2.5 mm posterior to Bregma. Fifteen sets of 6 sections were collected and placed on Fisherbrand ProbeOn Plus slides (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) then stored at -80°C. One additional mouse brain was sectioned transversely to observe microglia/AIS interaction from a different orientation.

**Antibodies**

For immunohistochemistry, axon initial segments were visualized using a mouse monoclonal antibody directed against AnkyrinG (AnkG) (N106/36; mouse monoclonal) (Neuro Mab, Davis, CA) at a dilution of 1:200. Microglia were visualized using a rabbit polyclonal antibody directed against ionized calcium binding adaptor molecule 1 (IBA-1) (Wako Chemical USA, Richmond, VA) at a dilution of 1:1000. C3 was visualized using a rat IgG2a antibody directed against Complement Component 3 (C3), particularly the C3b and iC3b fragments (clone 3/26 HM1078; Hycult, Plymouth Meeting, PA) at a dilution of 1:20. Secondary antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen Life Technologies (Alexa™ Flour; Grand Island, NY) and used at a dilution of 1:500. For western blot, the C3 antibody was used at a
dilution of 1:1000. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (loading control) was visualized using a monoclonal mouse antibody (clone 6C5 MAD374; EMD Millipore Billerica, MA) at a dilution of 1:10,000. Appropriate secondary antibodies were conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and used at a concentration of 1:10,000 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., Dallas, TX). Precision Protein™ StrepTactin-HRP conjugate (1:50,000, Bio-Rad) was used along with secondary antibodies to visualize protein molecular weight markers.

**Immunohistochemistry**

To observe microglia-AIS contact, sections were double immunolabeled with AnkyrinG and IBA-1 using antigen retrieval. In order to visualize C3 in tissue, sections were double immunolabeled with C3 and AnkyrinG. To facilitate retrieval, slides were placed in 10mM sodium citrate (pH 8.5) for 5 minutes at room temperature. They were then placed in 10 mM sodium citrate (pH 8.5) already warmed to 80°C and incubated at 80°C for 30 minutes. Slides were removed from the oven, left at room temperature for 5 minutes, then rinsed in 0.1M PBS. Sections were blocked in 0.1M PBS containing 0.5% Triton X-100 (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and 2% cold water fish gelatin (Aurion, The Netherlands). Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and applied to slides, incubated overnight at 4°C, washed in 0.1M PBS, and blocked a second time. Sections were incubated with appropriate fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies for 90 minutes, incubated with BisBenzamide (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:1000 in 0.1M PBS for 3 minutes, and rinsed with 0.1M PBS. BisBenzamide is a nuclear label that was used to visualize cortical layers. Slides were mounted with VectaShield™ (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA), cover slipped, and imaged using confocal microscopy.
Confocal Microscopy & Quantitation

Confocal microscopy was performed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, LLC, Thornwood, NY). Images were collected as confocal z-stacks 25 μm in depth, using a pinhole of 1 Airy disc unit and Nyquist sampling. Images were taken in layer V of the cortex using a 40X oil immersion objective with numerical aperture 1.3. The gain and offset values were kept constant for all images. Two images were collected for each of the six sections on a slide, resulting in 12 images per mouse for quantitation of microglia/AIS contact. Microscopy was performed in the Virginia Commonwealth University Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology Microscopy Facility.

Quantitation of contact between AISs and IBA-1+ cells was performed using the Volocity™ 3D Image Analysis Software (v6.3, PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). The Volocity™ software allowed each confocal z-stack to be represented as a three dimensional image which could be rotated 360° in order to confirm that apparent contact in two dimensions was not the result of an AIS and an IBA-1+ cell on different planes in the z axis. Contact was quantified in naïve, Cuprizone treated (1, 3, 5, and 5+3 weeks), EAE induced (early 1&2, early 3&4, late 1&2, and late 3&4), EAE induced + Didox treated, and EAE induced + vehicle treated mice (n=3 mice for each). For each image the total number of IBA-1+ cells, AISs, and contact points per field of view (FOV) were counted manually. IBA-1+ cells were counted only if the cell body was visible in the FOV. AISs and contact points along any of the six edges of the z-stack were excluded from analysis so that the type of contact could be accurately categorized. If one IBA-1+ cell contacted multiple initial segments, each contact point was counted individually. If one AIS was contacted by multiple IBA-1+ cells, each contact point was counted individually. Contact was divided into three different categories labeled ‘touching’, ‘resting’, and ‘wrapping’. ‘Touching’ contact occurred when a process from an IBA-1+ cell made contact with any part of the AIS. This type of contact was characterized by an IBA-1+ cell that extended a process toward an AIS and the end of the process wrapped around a small portion of the AIS. This was the least extreme type of contact, with only a
portion of a process from an IBA-1+ cell making contact with a portion of the AIS. ‘Resting’ contact occurred when the cell body of an IBA-1+ cell made contact with any part of the AIS. Frequently, ‘resting’ contact was shown by the cell body of the IBA-1+ cell sitting on top of the initial segment with a process extending down the length of the AIS, although the cell body was also seen resting on the middle of the AIS with processes extended in either direction along the length of the AIS. ‘Wrapping’ contact occurred when the cell body or a process from an IBA-1+ cell completely engulfed an AIS. The ‘wrapping’ contact meant the cell body or a process of the IBA-1+ cell surrounded the entire AIS in 360 degrees. Representative images of each type of contact are shown in figure 10. The numbers of each type of contact were recorded for each image. Graphing and one way ANOVAs with Tukey’s HSD post-hoc tests were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.03 for Windows. Data are represented as number of contacts per FOV as a percent of naïve.

Isolation of Mouse Cortical Microglia & RNA

Isolation of cortical microglia was performed using the Miltenyi Neural Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA). Naïve, 3 weeks Cuprizone treated, and EAE mice (early 1&2 and early 3&4) were anesthetized with 15μL/g body weight 2.5% Avertin injected IP and perfused with 50 mL cold sterile 0.1M PBS. Brains were dissected out, meninges removed, and cortices placed in Hank’s Buffered Saline Solution without CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ (HBSS w/o) (Corning, Corning, NY). Cortices of three mice were pooled to generate one sample. Cortices were diced with a razor blade and centrifuged at 300xg for 2 minutes at room temperature. A single cell suspension was prepared following manufacturer’s instructions, washed with HBSS with CaCl₂ and MgCl₂ (HBSS w/) (Corning, Corning, NY) and centrifuged at 300xg for 10 minutes at 4°C. Myelin depletion was performed by suspending the pellet in 3mL of 30% Percoll™ (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Pittsburgh, PA) and centrifuging at 700xg for 10 minutes at 4°C. Cells were then washed with HBSS w/o and centrifuged at 300xg for 10 minutes at 4°C. Microglia isolation was
Figure 10. Representative images of the three types of contact. Touching is represented by a process from an IBA-1+ cell touching a small portion of the AIS (A). Resting is represented by the cell body resting on the AIS, often resting at the top of the AIS with a process extending down the AIS (B). Wrapping is shown by an AIS being completely engulfed within the IBA-1+ cell (C).
performed by labeling with Cd11b beads (Miltenyi), washing with MACS buffer (Miltenyi), and passing cells through a MACS LS column and magnetic separator (Miltenyi). RNA was isolated from the Cd11b+ cells using the Qiagen RNeasy Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). RNA was then DNase treated using the Ambion DNase I Kit (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) following manufacturer’s instructions. To ensure RNA purity, all samples had a 260/280 ratio greater than or equal to 1.8.

**Microarray Procedure & Data Analysis**

A microarray was used to determine RNA expression levels in multiple disease states. Twelve samples were used, three each for the following time points: Naïve, Early EAE 1&2, Early EAE 3&4, and 3 Weeks Cuprizone. RNA obtained from Cd11b+ cells was DNase treated and sent to the Gene Expression Analysis Laboratory at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center in Memphis, Tennessee for analysis. This laboratory uses the nCounter Gene Expression Analysis System (NanoString Technologies, Inc., Seattle, WA). RNA was analyzed using the nCounter mouse inflammation panel version 2 (NanoString Technologies) which contains 248 inflammation related genes in addition to six housekeeping genes, six positive controls, and eight negative controls. Table 1 contains a full list of the target and housekeeping genes included in the microarray. Data was normalized using the nSolver™ Analysis Software version 2.5 (NanoString Technologies). Background subtraction was performed using the mean of the negative controls plus two standard deviations and normalization was performed using the geometric mean of the positive controls and internal reference genes. The normalized data were used for functional enrichment analysis. Functional enrichment analysis was performed using the ToppFun (from the ToppGene Suite) ([http://toppgene.cchmc.org](http://toppgene.cchmc.org)) and EnrichR ([http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr/](http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr/)) programs, which are freely available online, and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Qiagen, Redwood City, CA). Both the ToppFun and EnrichR programs analyze a gene list provided by the user to determine functions, phenotypes, or pathways overrepresented in that list.
of genes (Chen et al., 2009b; Chen et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009a; Chen et al., 2013). A p-value is given to display functional enrichment. For ToppFun, the Bonferroni correction method is applied, while EnrichR uses the Fisher’s exact test. For both programs the list of genes imported was a list of genes upregulated or downregulated with a fold change of at least 2.0 (as determined by the nSolver software). For example, all genes upregulated in EAE early 1&2 as compared to 3 weeks Cuprizone, by at least 2.0 fold (as determined by the nSolver software) were uploaded into the functional enrichment program to determine the functions enriched in EAE early 1&2. The Ingenuity Pathway Analysis program analyzes an entire set of data uploaded into the software. Data was uploaded as a fold change compared to naïve, and the program was set to analyze only genes with at least a 2.0-fold change. The 2.0-fold cutoff for all programs was set based on the detection level of the nCounter instrument. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis also displays a p-value to show functional enrichment. Upregulation and downregulation are based on an activation z-score, with a z-score greater than 2.0 indicating increased activation and z-score less than negative 2.0 indicating decreased activation. The goal of functional enrichment was to find biological functions or pathways that varied by disease state. Pathways with several genes from the microarray that were all upregulated or downregulated would provide a basis to find candidate genes that contribute to pathology. Using functional enrichment tools and knowledge derived from current literature, further research can be conducted to examine the impact of specific genes or pathways, particularly in relation to AIS pathology in EAE.

**Western Blot Protocol**

Tissue for western blot analysis was obtained from mouse cortex and placed in RIPA buffer (1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% Sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 0.1 M phosphate buffered saline). Then 10µL/mL of Proteinase Inhibitor Cocktail (PIC) (Sigma- Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was added. The sample was homogenized and centrifuged at 600xg for 10 minutes at 4°C and the soluble fraction
was collected. A protein assay was performed to determine protein concentrations in each sample. Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) standards were prepared using the Micro BCA Kit (Thermo #23235, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). 25µL of each BSA standard and 25 µL of each sample (samples diluted 1:100 in 0.1M PBS) were added in duplicate to a 96 well plate. Reagents A, B, and C from the Micro BCA kit were mixed in a ratio of 25:24:1 and 200 µL of the reagent mix was added to the wells containing each sample and standard. The plate was incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes and cooled for 5 minutes before reading. The plate was then read in a spectrophotometer. The standard curve was determined ($R^2 \geq 0.99$) and used to calculate protein concentrations.

Samples were appropriately diluted in Laemmli Sample buffer (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) to ensure 20 µg of each sample was loaded in each lane on the gel. No β-mercaptoethanol was added to create non-reducing conditions per previous protocols for the C3 antibody (Mastellos et al., 2004). Samples were boiled for 5 minutes, placed on ice and vortexed and centrifuged briefly. The gel (Criterion™ TGX 10™ well precast gel, 4-15%; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) was loaded with 10 µL of each sample and 10µL of protein molecular weight ladder (Precision Plus Protein™ Kaleidoscope™, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The western blot apparatus (Miniprotean Tetracell, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) was filled with running buffer (10% Tris-Glycine, 1 g/L SDS in deionized water, pH 8.3) The gel was run at 70V for 30 minutes, then 180 V for an additional hour. The gel was removed, cut to appropriate size, placed in cold transfer buffer (10% Tris-Glycine and 20% methanol in deionized water, pH 8.3) and rocked for 15 minutes.

Nitrocellulose membrane was cut to the size of the gel and also rocked in cold transfer buffer for 15 minutes. The transfer cassette was assembled with the gel and nitrocellulose clamped between two pieces of bottling paper and two sponges. The gel was transferred in the western blot apparatus for 2 hours at 100V. After transfer the nitrocellulose was washed three times in PBS. The nitrocellulose was cut in two in order to probe for both the housekeeping protein and protein of interest, and blocked for 40 minutes in blocking solution (3% non-fat dry milk in PBST, 1.5g/50mL). Primary antibodies were
diluted in the same blocking solution and the nitrocellulose membranes were placed in primary solution overnight on a rocker at 4°C. The nitrocellulose membranes were then washed in PBS and blocked for 20 minutes. Secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking solution and the nitrocellulose membranes were incubated in secondary solution for 1.5 hours. Nitrocellulose membranes were washed twice in PBST (0.05% Tween in PBS), then washed three times in PBS. Chemiluminescent reagent was prepared using the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP substrate according to manufacturer’s instructions (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA). Nitrocellulose membranes were incubated with chemiluminescent substrate for 5 minutes. The membranes were then imaged using a ChemiDoc™ Touch imaging system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

Quantitative Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction

RNA samples previously isolated from Cd11b+ cells and DNase treated were reverse transcribed to form cDNA using the iScript Reverse Transcription Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) per manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with CFX96 RT-PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using 1 µL of cDNA, SsoFast Evagreen Supermix (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), and forward and reverse primers (500 nM) per manufacturer’s instructions. Primers were designed for C1qA (forward: 5’-AGGACTGAGGGCGTGAAA-3’; reverse: 5’-CAACGCAGTTGGGTCTGC-3’), C1qB (forward: 5’-GGATCCTCCGCTTTTCACTTTAC-3’; reverse: 5’-GGGCTTGTGTATGGAATC-3’), C2 (forward: 5’-TGCCGACACGCTTTTCACTTTAC-3’; reverse: 5’-AAGGTCTGGGATGGTGGGAT-3’), and C3 (forward: 5’-AGCAACGCAAGTCACG-3’; reverse: 5’-TGTAGCTGTTGTTGGGGT-3’). Cycle parameters were as follows: 95°C for 30 seconds; 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 sec, 56°C for 5 sec; melt curve measurement of 5 sec 0.5°C incremental increases from 65°C to 95°C. Fold changes in gene expression were calculated for C1qA, C1qB, C2, and C3 compared to Cyclophilin A (forward: 5’- CTAGAGGGCATGGATGTGGT-3’; reverse: 5’-TGACATCCTCTCAGTGCTTGGTGT-3’) as an endogenous reference gene using the formula \( RQ = 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct} \).
Gene expression levels were represented as fold changes in early EAE 1&2 (n=3) and early EAE 3&4 (n=3) compared to naïve (n=1). Graphing was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.03 for Windows.
### Table 1. List of Genes in Included in Microarray

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>complement component 1, s subcomponent</td>
<td>complement component 8, alpha polypeptide</td>
<td>colony stimulating factor 2 (granulocyte-macrophage)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 4A (Rodgers blood group)</td>
<td>complement component 8, beta polypeptide</td>
<td>colony stimulating factor 3 (granulocyte)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 7</td>
<td>complement component 9</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 19</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 11</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 21A (serine)</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 17</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 8</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 20</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chitinase 3-like 3</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 22</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>defensin, alpha, related sequence 1</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 24</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 3</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 3</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interferon alpha 1</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 4</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interleukin 1 alpha</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 5</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interleukin 22</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 7</td>
<td>cysteiny1 leukotriene receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ras homolog gene family, member A</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 1</td>
<td>cysteiny1 leukotriene receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advanced glycosylation end product-specific receptor</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2</td>
<td>Fas death domain-associated protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arachidonate 12-lipoxygenase</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 3</td>
<td>DNA-damage inducible transcript 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arachidonate 15-lipoxygenase</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 4</td>
<td>ELK1, member of ETS oncogene family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arachidonate 5-lipoxygenase</td>
<td>chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 7</td>
<td>Fas ligand (TNF superfamily, member 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amphiregulin</td>
<td>CD163 antigen</td>
<td>FMS-like tyrosine kinase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arginase, liver</td>
<td>CD4 antigen</td>
<td>FBI osteosarcoma oncogene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>activating transcription factor 2</td>
<td>CD40 antigen</td>
<td>FXYD domain-containing ion transport regulator 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCL2-like 1</td>
<td>CD55 antigen</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide binding protein, alpha q polypeptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B cell leukemia/lymphoma 6</td>
<td>CD86 antigen</td>
<td>GNAS (guanine nucleotide binding protein, alpha q polypeptide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 2</td>
<td>cell division cycle 42</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), beta 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 1, q subcomponent, alpha polypeptide</td>
<td>CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP), beta</td>
<td>guanine nucleotide binding protein (G protein), gamma transducing activity polypeptide 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 1, q subcomponent, beta polypeptide</td>
<td>complement factor B</td>
<td>G protein-coupled receptor 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 1, r subcomponent A</td>
<td>complement factor D (adipsin)</td>
<td>growth factor receptor bound protein 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 2 (within H-2S)</td>
<td>coflin 1, non-muscle</td>
<td>histocompatibility 2, class II antigen E alpha, pseudogene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 3</td>
<td>cAMP responsive element binding protein 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 3a receptor 1</td>
<td>C-reactive protein, pentraxin-related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>complement component 6</td>
<td>colony stimulating factor 1 (macrophage)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

44
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histocompatibility 2, class II antigen E beta</th>
<th>Interleukin 23, alpha subunit p19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemolytic complement</td>
<td>Interleukin 23 receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histone deacetylase 4</td>
<td>Interleukin 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoxia inducible factor 1, alpha subunit</td>
<td>Interleukin 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mobility group box 1</td>
<td>Interleukin 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mobility group box 2</td>
<td>Interleukin 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High mobility group nucleosomal binding domain 1</td>
<td>Interleukin 6 receptor, alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harvey rat sarcoma virus oncogene</td>
<td>Interleukin 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematopoietic SH2 domain containing</td>
<td>Interleukin 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat shock protein 1</td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat shock protein 2</td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon, alpha-inducible protein 27 like 2A</td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon-induced protein 44</td>
<td>Interferon regulatory factor 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon-induced protein with tetrameric peptide repeats 1</td>
<td>Integrin beta 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon-induced protein with tetrameric peptide repeats 2</td>
<td>Jun proto-oncogene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon beta 1, fibroblast</td>
<td>Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon gamma</td>
<td>Kininogen 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon inducible GTPase 1</td>
<td>LIM-domain containing, protein kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10</td>
<td>Lymphotoxin A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 10 receptor, beta</td>
<td>Lymphotoxin B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 11</td>
<td>Leukotriene B4 receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 12a</td>
<td>Leukotriene B4 receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 12b</td>
<td>Lymphocyte antigen 96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 13</td>
<td>V-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein F (avian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 15</td>
<td>V-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein G (avian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 17A</td>
<td>V-maf musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene family, protein K (avian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 18</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 18 receptor accessory protein</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 1 beta</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 1 receptor, type I</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 2</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 21</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 22 receptor, alpha 2</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 1</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 3</td>
<td>Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP kinase-activated protein kinase 2</td>
<td>MAP kinase-activated protein kinase 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP kinase-activated protein kinase 5</td>
<td>Mannan-binding lectin serine peptidase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannan-binding lectin serine peptidase 2</td>
<td>Mannan-binding lectin serine peptidase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max protein</td>
<td>Mannose-binding lectin (protein C) 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocyte enhancer factor 2A</td>
<td>Myocyte enhancer factor 2B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocyte enhancer factor 2C</td>
<td>Myocyte enhancer factor 2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP kinase-interacting serine/threonine kinase 1</td>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 9</td>
<td>Mannose receptor, C type 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1</td>
<td>Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 2</td>
<td>Myelocytomatosis oncogene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88</td>
<td>Myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myosin, light polypeptide 2, regulatory, cardiac, slow</td>
<td>Nuclear factor of activated T cells, cytoplasmic, calcineurin dependent 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear factor of activated T cells, cytoplasmic, calcineurin dependent 3</td>
<td>Nuclear factor, erythroid derived 2, like 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear factor, kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells 1, p105</td>
<td>Nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B cells 1, p105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLR family, pyrin domain containing 3</td>
<td>NLR family, pyrin domain containing 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gene</td>
<td>gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain containing 1</td>
<td>v-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene homolog A (avian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain containing 2</td>
<td>avian reticuloendotheliosis viral (v-rel) oncogene related B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nitric oxide synthase 2, inducible</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH oxidase 1</td>
<td>receptor (TNFRSF)-interacting serine-threonine kinase 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nuclear receptor subfamily 3, group C, member 1</td>
<td>receptor (TNFRSF)-interacting serine-threonine kinase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-5' oligoadenylate synthetase 1A</td>
<td>Rho-associated coiled-coil containing protein kinase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-5' oligoadenylate synthetase 2</td>
<td>ribosomal protein S6 kinase, polypeptide 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>platelet derived growth factor, alpha</td>
<td>src homology 2 domain-containing transforming protein C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, C2 domain containing, gamma polypeptide</td>
<td>SMAD family member 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phospholipase A2, group IVA (cytosolic, calcium-dependent)</td>
<td>signal transducer and activator of transcription 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phospholipase C, beta 1</td>
<td>signal transducer and activator of transcription 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein phosphatase 1, regulatory (inhibitor) subunit 12B</td>
<td>signal transducer and activator of transcription 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein kinase C, alpha</td>
<td>thromboxane A2 receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>protein kinase C, beta</td>
<td>transcription factor 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin E receptor 1 (subtype EP1)</td>
<td>transforming growth factor, beta 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin E receptor 2 (subtype EP2)</td>
<td>transforming growth factor, beta 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin E receptor 3 (subtype EP3)</td>
<td>transforming growth factor, beta 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin E receptor 4 (subtype EP4)</td>
<td>transforming growth factor, beta I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin F receptor</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin I receptor (IP)</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 1</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTK2 protein tyrosine kinase 2</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAS-related C3 botulinum substrate 1</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v-raf-leukemia viral oncogene 1</td>
<td>toll-like receptor 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rap guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) 2</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v-rel reticuloendotheliosis viral oncogene related B</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor, alpha-induced protein 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF1A-associated via death domain</td>
<td>tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNFRSF1B-associated via death domain</td>
<td>toll interacting protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>TYRO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>TyrO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>TyrO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>TyrO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>TyrO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>TyrO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>twist basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>TyrO protein tyrosine kinase binding protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>Internal Reference Genes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
<td>clathrin, heavy polypeptide (Hc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
<td>glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
<td>glucuronidase, beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase</td>
<td>resistin like alpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phosphoglycerate kinase 1</td>
<td>triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubulin, beta 5 class I</td>
<td>thymic stromal lymphopoietin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Our laboratory has previously shown that following EAE induction, the AISs are shortened and eventually lost with disease progression (Clark et al., 2016). Interestingly, in these EAE induced mice, reactive microglia accompany AIS disruption. Since these cells are known to modulate cellular morphology and shape neural circuitry in development and in neurodegeneration, we hypothesized that microglia are responsible for this AS disruption. In apparent contrast to our hypothesis, reactive microglia are prevalent in the demyelinated cortex of Cuprizone treated mice, indicating that just the presence of reactive microglia is not sufficient to drive AIS disruption. Therefore, we further proposed that reactive microglia have distinct expression profiles that coincide with their apparent functions.

Contact between IBA-1+ Cells and the AIS is similar in EAE and Cuprizone

Although microglia presented with reactive phenotypes in both the EAE and Cuprizone models, AISs were only disrupted in EAE. Therefore, we propose that EAE-induced reactive microglia mediated AIS disruption by establishing and maintaining contact with the AIS. To test this hypothesis, we quantified the amount of contact between the AISs and the IBA-1+ cells in the two models. Double immunolabeling with IBA-1 and AnkyrinG revealed that IBA-1+ cells contacted the AIS in both the EAE and Cuprizone models (Figure 11A-C). Quantitative analysis of contact revealed that contact increased with disease progression compared to naïve mice in both models (Figure 11D-F). In Cuprizone treated mice, contact significantly increased at the 3 week, 5 week, and 5+3 week time points compared to naïve, and decreased at the 5+3 week time point compared to the 5 week time point, correlating with
Figure 11. IBA-1+ cells contact the AIS in EAE and Cuprizone. Double immunolabeling with AnkG and IBA-1 in Naïve (A), Cuprizone (B) and EAE tissue (C) revealed contact between IBA-1+ cells and AIS in all three conditions (arrows signify points of contact). Quantification of contact in 3D using Volocity™ software revealed that the amount of contact increased in Cuprizone compared to naïve (D), and increased in EAE compared to naïve (E). In Cuprizone, contact significantly increased compared to naïve after 3, 5, and 5+3 weeks of treatment (*, p value < 0.05). Contact significantly decreased at the 5+3 weeks treatment compared to the 5 weeks treatment (**, p value < 0.05) correlating with myelin repair. In EAE, contact significantly increased at the early 3&4 and late 1&2 time points compared to naïve (* p value < 0.05) correlating with AIS shortening and loss. Contact increased compared to naïve at the late 3&4 time point, although the increase was not statistically significant, likely due to variability in EAE mice observed at this time point. Didox treatment results in a significant decrease in contact compared to vehicle control (F), correlating with recovery in AIS number (** p value <0.05). Therefore, morphological analysis of amount of contact between microglia and AISs revealed similarities between EAE and Cuprizone.
Figure 11.
demyelination and repair (Figure 11D) (Contact as a percent of naïve in Cuprizone: 1 week- 122.9 ± 4.3%
3 week- 145.3 ± 5.9%, 5 week- 216.4 ± 8.5%, 5+3 week- 179.7 ± 6.3%). In EAE, contact also increased
with disease progression and decreased following Didox treatment, correlating with AIS pathology
(Figure 11E-F) (Contact as a percent of naïve in EAE: Early 1&2- 153.8 ± 22.5%, Early 3&4- 185.0 ± 5.9%,
Late 1&2- 205.6 ± 33.9%, Late 3&4- 169.4 ±31.2%). Although contact increased in both models, the
increase in contact was similar between the two models.

Different types of contact were also observed and quantified. Contact was divided into three
categories termed ‘touching’, ‘resting’, and ‘wrapping’. IBA-1+ cells can contact multiple initial segments
at the same time and exhibit multiple types of contact (Figure 12A-D). The ‘touching’ contact was the
most prominent type of contact in both EAE and Cuprizone, but did not change with disease progression
(Figure 12E, H). ‘Resting’ and ‘wrapping’ contact constituted a smaller percent of total contact and also
did not vary significantly with disease state in either model (Figure 12F-G, I-J). Despite the fact that the
two models exhibit different axonal pathology, contact between IBA-1+ cells and the AIS was similar
between the two models. This led to the hypothesis that a functional component of the IBA-1+ cells
may be different between the two models.

**Reactive Microglia from EAE and Cuprizone mice present distinct RNA profiles**

Since no differential IBA-1/AIS contact was observed between the two models, we further
proposed that differential expression profiles of the microglia may help to explain the different
stabilities of AISs. To address this hypothesis, a microarray was performed using RNA isolated from
microglia in naïve, early EAE 1&2, early EAE 3&4, and 3 weeks Cuprizone treated mice. These time points
were chosen because they correlated with the beginning of pathology and microglial activation. Thus,
expression levels of genes at the onset of pathology were being examined, rather than expression levels
following pathology. A heat map generated using the NanoString nSolver software revealed clear
Figure 12. Type of contact does not change with disease state. Coronal sections of mouse cortices show examples of IBA-1+ cells making multiple contacts with AISs (A-C). A horizontal section revealed that the IBA-1+ cell completely engulfed the AIS (D). Quantification of the 3 types of contact, represented as a percent of total contact, revealed no significant changes across time points or between the two models. ‘Touching’ contact accounted for approximately 80% of total contact across all time points in both models (E, H). ‘Resting’ contact accounted for approximately 20% of total contact across all time points in both models (F, I). ‘Wrapping’ contact was the least frequent, constituting approximately 5% of total contact across all time points (G, J). Type of contact did not change with disease progression. Amount of contact also did not change with disease. Morphological analysis of microglia revealed similarities between microglia in EAE and Cuprizone. However, microglia may be performing different function in EAE and Cuprizone.
Figure 12.
differences in RNA expression between EAE and Cuprizone (Figure 13). Of the 248 genes in the
microarray, 90 were upregulated by at least 2 fold in early EAE 1&2 mice compared to naïve mice and 86
were downregulated. In early EAE 3&4 mice 76 genes were upregulated by at least 2 fold compared to
naïve mice and 83 were downregulated. In Cuprizone mice 26 genes were upregulated by at least 2 fold
compared to naïve mice and 163 were downregulated. Further categorization of the data using the
nSolver software revealed the ten genes with the most positive and most negative changes in
expression (compared to naïve) in each category (Figure 14). Maff (v-maf avian musculoaponeurotic
fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog F) was the most upregulated gene in Cuprizone mice, showing an
increase of 12.5 compared to naïve mice. Arg1 (Arginase 1) was the gene most upregulated in both EAE
1&2 and EAE 3&4 mice, showing an increase of 6424 fold in EAE 1&2 and an increase of 1103 fold in EAE
3&4 compared to naïve mice. Tlr5 (toll-like receptor 5) was the gene most downregulated in Cuprizone
mice, showing a decrease of 87 fold compared to naïve mice. In early EAE 1&2 mice, Ptger3
(prostaglandin E receptor 3) was the most downregulated gene, showing a decrease of 22 fold
compared to naïve mice. In early EAE 3&4 Retnla (resistin-like alpha) was the most downregulated
down, showing a decrease of 93 fold compared to naïve mice. Some genes, for example toll-like
receptor 5 (Tlr5), were downregulated compared to naïve in both EAE and Cuprizone. Other genes were
downregulated in Cuprizone and upregulated in EAE: for example, Chi3l3 was downregulated 23 fold in
Cuprizone, and upregulated 144 fold in EAE early 1&2. Although EAE early 1&2 and early 3&4 displayed
many similarities, there were some genes that are differentially regulated between the two conditions;
for example, Arginase 1 (Arg1) was the most upregulated gene compared to naive in both EAE early 1&2
and EAE early 3&4, but it was upregulated 6,424 fold in EAE 1&2 and 1,103 fold in EAE 3&4.

Analysis using functional enrichment tools was used to gain insight into the changes in gene
expression. The ToppFun and EnrichR programs provided data about pathways/functions enriched in
different disease states. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis also provided information about
Figure 13. A heat map of microarray results. The heat map displays genes whose expression was upregulated (red), downregulated (green), and unchanged (black) compared to naive. The heat map was generated using the Nanostring nSolver software (v. 2.5) from the previously normalized data. A brighter red signifies more upregulation, while a brighter green signifies more downregulation. Representing the expression results this way revealed clear differences between the expression profiles of microglia in EAE and Cuprizone treated mice. Compared to naïve, 185 genes were downregulated in the 3 weeks Cuprizone treatment group, 114 in early EAE 1&2, and 111 in early EAE 3&4. Alternatively, 33 were upregulated in the 3 week Cuprizone treatment group, 96 in early EAE 1&2, and 85 in early EAE 3&4 (compared to naïve). The results indicated that there are differences in RNA expression between microglia in EAE and Cuprizone, indicating possible functional differences in microglia between EAE and Cuprizone.
Figure 13.
Figure 14. Top 10 upregulated and downregulated genes in EAE and Cuprizone. The Top 10 genes upregulated (blue) and downregulated (red), compared to naïve, in 3 weeks Cuprizone (A), EAE Early 1&2 (B), and EAE Early 3&4 (C). All genes had a significant positive or negative fold change compared to naïve according to the nSolver software (p value <0.05). All genes demonstrated a greater than 2.0 fold change. Note the axis breaks in graphs B and C due the very large fold change in the top two genes displayed. In Cuprizone, the highest upregulated gene (Maff) was upregulated by 12.6 fold compared to naïve. In EAE, the highest upregulated gene (Arg1) was upregulated by 6424 fold compared to naïve in early 1&2 and 1104 fold compared to naïve in early 3&4. In Cuprizone, Tlr5 was the most downregulated gene, decreased by 87 fold compared to naïve. In early EAE 1&2 Ptger3 was the most downregulated gene (22 fold decrease compared to naïve) and in early EAE 3&4 Retnla was the most downregulated gene (93 fold decrease compared to naïve). This data further confirms differences in RNA expression between EAE and Cuprizone.
pathways/functions enriched in specific disease states, but was more specific to neuronal tissue than either ToppFun or EnrichR. According to Ingenuity Pathway analysis, functions relating to demyelination and lymphocyte movement showed the most increase in activation in Cuprizone, while the inflammatory response, cell proliferation/cell number, and growth and branching of neurites showed decreased activation (Figure 15). In EAE both EAE 1&2 and 3&4, leukocyte migration/movement, cell migration, and cell death of neuroglia showed increased activation. In EAE, similar to Cuprizone, growth of neurites, and cell number/viability showed decreased activation (Figure 16). Although each program gave results for pathways that were enriched in each category, there were three pathways that appeared specifically enriched in EAE in all three programs: the complement cascade, toll-like receptor signaling, and p38 MAP kinase signaling (Figure 16). Ingenuity Pathways Analysis also provided the ‘Top Analysis Ready Molecules’, which are molecules that were highly upregulated or downregulated, but also related to the enriched functions/pathways. Of note, in EAE Early 1&2 three of the top analysis ready molecules are C3, Cfb (complement factor B), and C6, and in EAE Early 3&4 Cfb and C3 both appear in the list. Cfb, C3, and C6 are all molecules part of the Complement pathway (part of innate immunity). Data from the microarray indicated an increase in expression of C1r, C1s, C2, C3, C4, C6, and Factor B indicating involvement of the classical and alternative complement pathways. Decrease of MASP1 (mannose-associate serine protease 1) indicated the lectin pathway was not activated in EAE. High levels of C3 and Complement Factor B indicated a possible amplification loop, resulting in cleavage of C3 into C3a and C3b. Although C1qa or C1qb were not upregulated, which is necessary to activate the classical complement pathway, high levels of C3 and Cfb indicated the alternative pathway initiated the activation of the cascade in the EAE samples. Although the gene data does not differentiate between the different protein subunits of C2, C3, and C4, inflammation in EAE would indicate that C3a and C4a may be recruiting other inflammatory factors.
Figure 15. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) results for functions upregulated and downregulated in EAE and Cuprizone. The top five functions designated as having increased and decreased activation are listed for each disease state. In EAE increased activation functions included leukocyte migration, leukocyte cell movement, and cell death of neuroglia. In Cuprizone increased activation functions included demyelination of the CNS and cell movement of lymphocytes. Decreased activation functions in both EAE and Cuprizone included growth of neurites. In EAE cell viability of neurons and quantity of neuronal cells were decreased. In Cuprizone, branching of neurites, quantity and proliferation of neuronal cells, and the inflammatory response revealed decreased activation. Rank was based on p-values provided by IPA, with the smallest p-value indicating the most enriched function. Determination of increased or decreased activation was based on z-score (z-score >2.0= increased activation, z-score <-2.0= decreased activation). Not all disease states had five functions classified as showing increased or decreased activation, in which case all of the functions with increased or decreased activation were listed. The data revealed alternatively activated functions in EAE and Cuprizone.
### EAE Early 3&4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased Activation</th>
<th>Decreased Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte migration</td>
<td>Growth of neurites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell movement of leukocytes</td>
<td>Outgrowth of neurites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration of cells</td>
<td>Cell viability of neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell death of neuroglia</td>
<td>Quantity of central nervous system cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis of neuroglia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### EAE Early 1&2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased Activation</th>
<th>Decreased Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte migration</td>
<td>Growth of neurites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell movement of leukocytes</td>
<td>Cell viability of neurons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration of cells</td>
<td>Quantity of central nervous system cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell death of neuroglia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular infiltration by leukocytes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3 Weeks Cuprizone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased Activation</th>
<th>Decreased Activation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demyelination</td>
<td>Inflammatory Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demyelination of spinal cord</td>
<td>Quantity of cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demyelination of central nervous system</td>
<td>Branching of neurites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell movement of lymphocytes</td>
<td>Growth of neurites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proliferation of neuronal cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 16. Top pathways shown to be enriched in EAE by ToppFun, EnrichR, and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis programs. All pathways were in the top 10 results for each program individually. All pathways were enriched in both EAE Early 1&2 and EAE Early 3&4. The Complement pathway, the toll-like receptor signaling pathway, and the p38 MAPK pathway may then contribute to AIS pathology in EAE.
Figure 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top Pathways from ToppFun, EnrichR, and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complement Cascade Activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toll-Like Receptor Signaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p38 MAPK Signaling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complement Genes are Upregulated in EAE

Due to the prevalence of evidence indicating that the complement pathway was active in the IBA-1+ cells in EAE, further studies were conducted to confirm the upregulation of complement components in EAE. Quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction was performed on naïve, early EAE 1&2, and early EAE 3&4 samples to validate gene expression levels. According to the microarray, the fold changes in C1qa compare to naïve were 0.52 in EAE 1&2 and 0.79 in EAE 3&4. For C1qb, the fold changes were 0.58 in EAE 1&2 and 0.81 in EAE 3&4. For C2, the fold changes were 4.9 in EAE 1&2 and 8.8 in EAE 3&4. The fold changes for C3 were 188 in EAE 1&2 and 159 in EAE 3&4. These values were confirmed by qPCR (Figure 17).

C3 Protein Expression is Upregulated in EAE

Although the qPCR results confirmed the expression changes presented by the NanoString array, neither approach assures a change in expression at the protein level, therefore, western blot analysis was performed in order to determine if protein expression levels of C3 increased in EAE mice similar to gene expression levels. Three distinct bands were observed in both naïve and EAE samples. Bands were observed at approximately 185 kD, 104 kD, and 67 kD corresponding to full-length C3, C3b, and iC3b (Cunnion et al., 2004, Mastellos et al. 2004, Pangburn et al., 1977). C3 increased in EAE samples relative to controls, correlating with the already described increased in C3 gene expression (Figure 18). The cleavage fragments of C3, C3b, and iC3b, both showed higher levels in control samples than in EAE samples.

C3 Localizes at the AIS

Using immunohistochemistry to visualize complement proteins in relation to the AIS, double immunolabeling was performed with AnkyrinG and C3. Colocalization of C3 and ankyrinG was observed
Figure 17. Gene expression results for Complement genes found by microarray were confirmed by qPCR. Graphs show gene expression values as a fold change compared to naïve. Naïve expression values were set equal to 1, with fold change values less than naïve represented as fractions between 0 and 1. According to microarray results C1qa (A) decreased in expression by 0.52 fold in early EAE 1&2 and 0.79 fold in early EAE 3&4. C1qb (B) decreased in expression by 0.58 fold in early EAE 1&2 and 0.81 fold in early EAE 3&4. C2 (C) increased in expression by 4.9 fold in early EAE 1&2 and 8.8 fold in early EAE 3&4. C3 (D) increased by 188 fold in early EAE 1&2 and 159 fold in early EAE 3&4. These relative expression values were confirmed by qPCR (A’-D’).
Figure 17.
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Figure 18. Protein expression of C3 increases in EAE. Western blot analysis was performed on whole brain lysate from naïve and EAE samples in order to determine if C3 levels increased throughout the brain and not just in microglia. Representative blots are shown with bands for C3, C3b, and iC3b. GAPDH was used a protein loading control. Densitometry results revealed that C3 (A) protein expression increased in EAE samples compared to naïve. C3b (B) and iC3b (C) protein expression levels decreased in EAE samples compared to naïve. The increase in gene expression of C3 found in microglia was confirmed at the protein level, further solidifying the possibility that C3 may be involved in AIS pathology.
Figure 18.
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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in EAE tissue, represented by puncta on the edge of the AIS (Figure 19). The localization at C3 at the AIS is significant because it demonstrates that not only is C3 upregulated at the gene and protein level, it also correlates with the AIS and may contribute to AIS shortening or loss.
Figure 19. C3 localizes at the AIS. Double immunolabeling with antibodies directed against C3 and AnkyrinG revealed that C3 (green) can be found at the AIS (red). In naïve tissue, C3 was not found localized at the AIS (A-C). However, in early EAE 3&4 tissue, C3 was found localized at the AIS (D-F). This indicates that upregulated C3 may play a role in mediating AIS breakdown.
Figure 19.
Discussion

Our laboratory has previously observed AIS disruption in cortical neurons of MS tissue. We have also observed a similar disruption in cortical layer V neurons that was coincidental with inflammation, but not demyelination. Indicative of the inflammation was the presence of reactive microglia. Therefore, we posited that reactive microglia may drive AIS disruption. Here, we have further explored that hypothesis by quantifying reactive microglia/AIS contact and microglia expression profiles in both our inflammatory and demyelinating models. Reactive microglia, as identified by a combination of morphologic changes and their immunoreactivity against the IBA-1 antibody, were present in both EAE, the inflammatory model, and in Cuprizone, the demyelinating model. The data show that microglia/AIS contact increased with disease progression with both inflammation and myelin loss. The increase was similar between the two models despite differing pathologies. Microarray data revealed different gene expression profiles in microglia between the two models, indicating possible functional differences between the microglia. Further analysis of microarray data identified the Complement pathway of innate immunity as an important pathway in EAE, suggesting a role for microglia driven complement in AIS disruption and perhaps disease progression. Consistent with our findings, IBA-1+ cells have been correlated to the progression and pathology of MS (Benveniste, 1997; Kutzelnigg and Lassmann, 2014). Modulating the microglia phenotype or inhibiting reactivity can also alter progression of disease in EAE (Heppner et al., 2005; Bhasin et al., 2007) and Cuprizone (Janssens et al., 2015). Thus, understanding the differences in microglial gene expression between EAE and Cuprizone can elucidate mechanisms of pathology distinct to each model.
Microglia Show Morphological Similarities in EAE and Cuprizone

I examined the potential role of IBA-1+ cells in the pathology of the axon initial segment in EAE and Cuprizone. Previous studies have shown that microglia target the axon in MS and mouse models of MS (Nikic et al., 2011; Rawji and Yong, 2013). Previous studies from the lab have shown that AISs are lost in EAE, but not in Cuprizone (Clark et al., 2016). Results show that IBA-1+ cells contact the AIS in naïve mice, and that contact between IBA-1+ cells and the AIS increases with disease progression in EAE and Cuprizone treated mice. Baalman et al. (2015) first published the presence of contact between cortical microglia and the AIS. These results are the first report of microglia/AIS contact in the EAE and Cuprizone models and the first to show contact between the AIS and reactive microglia. Baalman et al. found that microglia contact the AIS throughout development and in adulthood. However, using a traumatic brain injury model, they observed a loss of contact between microglia and the AIS following injury and reported that reactive microglia do not contact the AIS. Thus, the results show a contrast between models of demyelination and inflammation and traumatic brain injury, although they do confirm that IBA-1+ cells contact AISs in the naïve brain. It should also be noted that in the traumatic brain injury model, Baalman et al. saw no loss of AISs, while in EAE we observe a significant decrease in AIS number. Further studies may elucidate the role microglia/AIS contact play in various disease models.

Microglia Gene Expression Differs in EAE and Cuprizone

Despite similar contact with the AIS in EAE and Cuprizone, IBA-1+ cells showed different gene expression profiles in EAE and Cuprizone. The differences in gene expression in microglia indicate functional differences in microglia (Hanisch and Kettenmann, 2007). Genes such as TNF and NOS2, which encode TNFα and iNOS, respectively, were found to be upregulated in EAE and are associated with neurodegeneration (Block et al., 2007; Glass et al., 2010). Colony Stimulating Factor 1 (CSF1) was found to be upregulated in Cuprizone and is involved in the survival, proliferation, and differentiation of
microglia and macrophages and promotes remyelination in the lysolecithin model of demyelination (Doring et al., 2015; Stanley et al., 1997). Correlating with gene expression data, functional enrichment programs indicted the inflammatory response upregulated in EAE, but down regulated in Cuprizone. This information reveals that even though morphological studies showed similarities in IBA-1+ cells between EAE and Cuprizone, examining gene expression, which is indicative of cell function, reveals that the microglia are performing two different functions in the EAE and Cuprizone models. Although, it should be noted that some genes, such as TNF, were similarly expressed in EAE and Cuprizone, and functional analysis programs likewise indicate some overlaps between the two models, indicating the complex nature of IBA-1+ cells.

In EAE, Arginase 1 was the most highly upregulated molecule according to microarray results. Arginase 1 and iNOS compete for the same substrate (arginine) (Wu and Morris, 1998), yet both the Arginase 1 and NOS2 genes were upregulated in EAE. Others observed a similar significant increase in Arginase 1 in EAE, and found that inhibiting Arginase 1 lead to a later onset, less severe course of EAE, and quicker recovery, suggesting a negative effect of Arginase 1 in EAE (Xu et al., 2003). In contrast, Arginase 1 is also commonly used as a marker for ‘M2’ or ‘alternatively activated’ macrophages and microglia, a distinct subsets of macrophages/microglia characterized by a ‘resolving’ phenotype (Mills et al., 2000; Stein et al., 1992; Doyle et al., 1994; Tang and Le, 2016). However, recent data suggests that these distinctions are too narrow, and multiple activation states exist for microglia (Cherry et al., 2014; Martinez and Gordon, 2014). Our data are consistent with this interpretation because although Arginase 1 was highly upregulated, pro-inflammatory cytokines such as TNFα and IL1β were also upregulated in EAE. The high levels of Arginase 1 and pro-inflammatory cytokines may then be indicative of the presence of microglia with phenotypes that do not fall neatly into the ‘M1’ or ‘M2’ categories. In addition, the higher expression levels of Arginase 1 compared to NOS2 could indicate that Arginase 1 is outcompeting NOS2 for the same substrate and attempting to resolve inflammation in EAE.
The Complement Pathway May Mediate AIS Disruption

The Complement pathway emerged as a pathway of interest in EAE due to the high upregulation of multiple complement components, especially Complement Component 3 (C3). Three different functional enrichment programs suggested activation of the complement cascade based on the upregulation of complement genes C1r, C1s, C2, C3, C4a, and Cfb. The complement system has also been long-associated with demyelinating lesions in MS (Woyciechowska and Brzosko, 1977; Gay and Esiri, 1991; Ingram et al., 2014). Antibodies directed against neurofascin 186 have also been shown to activate complement and lead to axonal injury (Mathey et al., 2007). Recent research has shown that microglia are involved in pruning synapses in development and in a model of glaucoma via complement activation (Schafer et al., 2012). In this study, researchers propose that astrocytes produce a factor that triggers neurons to produce initiate the complement cascade via C1q. This leads to production of C3b/iC3b which bind to their receptors on microglia and induce them to phagocytose the synapse. Based on the data derived from the EAE model, it is possible that the loss of AISs in EAE occurs by a similar mechanism. A loss of synapses on the AIS could lead to AIS disruption, or the AIS could be a primary target of phagocytosis. What is causing the microglia production of complement is unclear. Complement proteins are upregulated in EAE, so the microglia may be initiating complement-mediated AIS disruption. Although the data examine the expression of complement proteins only in microglia, it is also possible that the neurons themselves are responding to distress by producing a complement-mediated signal that instructs microglia to begin phagocytosis of synapses on the axon initial segment (Figure 20). Further research examining expression of complement proteins in more than one cell type is necessary to determine if either process is occurring. In addition, examination of more molecules involved in the complement pathways would shed light on the exact role of complement in EAE and AIS pathology.
In conclusion, the loss of axon initial segments in the EAE model of MS correlates with increased microglia reactivity and microglia contact with the AIS. The expression profiles of microglia suggest that these cells are serving different roles in inflammatory and demyelinating models. The loss of axon initial segments in EAE may be mediated via the complement system of immunity.
Figure 20. Schematic of the possible role of complement in AIS breakdown. Based on published data regarding synaptic pruning, we hypothesize that microglia are pruning synapses at the AIS. Neurons produce C1q, which activates the complement cascade. Complement proteins C3b/iC3b are then released and bind to receptors on microglia. This induces microglia to prune synapses, specifically the synapses inhibitory interneurons make on the AIS. The pruning of synapses at the AIS then causes shortening of the AIS via plasticity mechanisms. A- published schematic of microglia synaptic pruning; B- Schematic of synaptic pruning at the AIS.
Figure 20.
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