

### Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

MD Student Summer Research Fellowship Program Posters

School of Medicine

2016

## Impact of Morbid Obesity on Left Ventricular Assist Device Support and Heart Transplantation

Sophia Cohen Virginia Commonwealth University

Daniel Tang MD Virginia Commonwealth University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/mds\_posters Part of the <u>Medicine and Health Sciences Commons</u>

Downloaded from

https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/mds\_posters/6

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Medicine at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in MD Student Summer Research Fellowship Program Posters by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.



Impact of Morbid Obesity on Left Ventricular Assist Device Support and Heart Transplantation S. Cohen<sup>1</sup>, L. Wolfe<sup>1</sup>, D. Tang<sup>1</sup>, G. Katlaps<sup>1</sup>, V. Kasirajan<sup>1</sup>, K. Shah<sup>2</sup>, R. Cooke<sup>3</sup>, M. Smallfield<sup>3</sup>, I. Tchoukina<sup>3</sup>, K. Rao<sup>3</sup>, M. Quader<sup>1</sup>.<sup>1</sup>Cardio-Thoracic Surgery, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, <sup>2</sup>Cardiology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, <sup>3</sup>Cardiology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA

## Introduction

The current standard of treatment for patients with end stage heart failure is cardiac transplantation, however, the pool of viable donor hearts is not adequate to meet the needs of patients with end stage heart failure<sup>1</sup>. This discrepancy has lead to the increasing use of continuous flow (CF) left ventricular assist devices (LVADs) as a bridge to transplant (BTT)<sup>2</sup>. Patient selection is an important predictor of outcomes for both LVAD support and Heart Transplantation. Morbid obesity, which is a BMI  $\geq$  40, or a BMI  $\geq$  35 with comorbidities<sup>3</sup>, carries increased risk for LVAD placement<sup>4</sup> and is a relative contraindication for heart transplantation<sup>5</sup>. A higher BMI puts patients at increased risk for postoperative complications<sup>6</sup>. To better understand how to best treat heart failure in the morbidly obese, we analyzed data from patients receiving a CF LVAD and heart transplantation to asses the association between BMI, complications, wait time for transplantation and survival.

## Methods

The study was comprised of 157 patients with advanced heart failure who received a CF LVAD at Virginia Commonwealth University Hospital from December 2008 through January 2014. We retrospectively collected data on each patient from the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) and Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support (INTERMACS) databases. The data was analyzed by dividing patients into two groups, BMI  $\geq$  35 and BMI < 35.

## Results

- 157 LVADs implanted, 121 (77%) BMI <35 and 36 (23%) BMI ≥ 35.</li>
- BMI  $\geq$  35 group was of 7 years younger, had higher hemodynamics and had fewer prior myocardial infarctions, arrythmias, and cardiac surgeries.
- Both groups had comparable preop morbidities, INTERMACS class, LVAD type and implantation designation.
- Postop and device specific complications were higher in BMI ≥35 group, but only bleeding requiring reoperation and frequency of driveline infections were statistically significant.
- Operative mortality higher in BMI  $\geq$ 35 group but wasn't statistically significant (19.5% vs 11%).
- $(619 \pm 372 \text{ vs} 403 \pm 342 \text{ days})$  and fewer patients received a HTx (19% vs. 33% p = 0.15)
- After HTx, BMI ≥35 group had higher postop bleeding requiring reoperation (57% vs 15%).
- HTx survival and graft survival was comparable in both groups.

Table I. Pre-operativ	e Patient	Device Related Complications					
Agoing	BMI ≥ 35 k n=36 (23%	$g/m^2$ BMI <35 b) kg/m <sup>2</sup> n=121 (77%	b) P-value		$BMI \ge 35$ $kg/m^2$ $n=36$	BMI <35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> n=121	- P-value
Age in years	$47 \pm 12.7$	$35 \pm 13.4$		Bleeding	42%	22%	0.03
Divil Draanarativa Camarhiditiaa	$41.0 \pm 5.5$	27.8 ± 4.1		requiring			
Preoperative Comorbidities				reoperation			
Cerebrovascular Disease	5.6%	17%	0.11	Operative	19.5%	11%	0.25
Diabetes	44%	47%	0.85	mortality Device Deleted	650/	6.00/	0.04
Prior MI	22%	46%	0.01	Device Related	65%	62%	0.84
Cardiac Arrhythmia	36%	63%	0.01	Drivolino	2/1 + 1/7	$12 \pm 05$	0.02
Implantation Designation				Infection	Z.4 1.1		0.02
Bridge to Transplant	61%	61%		Frequency			
Bridge to Recovery	3%	7%		Driveline			
Destination	36%	31%		Infection	24%	26%	1
Hemodynamics (mmHg)				Device	26.5%	12%	0.056
Right atrial pressure	$18.3 \pm 8.8$	13.3 ± 5.7	0.004	Thrombosis	0.001		
PCWP	32.4 ± 9.0	27.8 ± 8.0	0.01	Hemolysis	26%	11%	0.05
Mean PAP	44.7 ± 7.9	38.7 ± 9.6	0.001	Stroke	24%	12%	0.10
BMI, body mass index; LVAD, pulmonary capillary wedge pre	left ventricula essure; PAP, F plantatior	r assist device; PCV Pulmonary artery pre	VP, essure	Wait time to transplant (days)	20% 619 ± 372	26.5% 404 ± 342	0.51
		BMI $\geq$ 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>	BMI <35 kg/	m <sup>2</sup> P-value			
		n=7	n=41				
Heart Transplant received in	n	19%	33%	0.15			
Bleeding requiring reoperat	ion	57%	15%	0.03			
Langth of Hognital Stav (dave)		15 + 13	27 + 21	0.42			

Table I. Pre-operative	Device Related Complications						
	BMI ≥ 35 kg/r n=36 (23%)	n <sup>2</sup> BMI <35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> n=121 (77%	6) P-value		$BMI \ge 35$ $kg/m^2$ $n-36$	BMI <35 kg/m <sup>2</sup> n=121	P-value
Age in years	47 ± 12.7	55 ± 13.4	0.001	Bleeding	42%	22%	0.03
BMI	41.6 ± 5.5	27.8 ± 4.1		requiring			
Preoperative Comorbidities				reoperation			
Cerebrovascular Disease	5.6%	17%	0.11	Operative	19.5%	11%	0.25
Diabetes	44%	47%	0.85	mortality			
Prior MI	22%	46%	0.01	Device Related	65%	62%	0.84
Cardiac Arrhythmia	36%	63%	0.01	Complications	$\bigcirc 1 + 1 = 7$	10105	
Implantation Designation				Infection	Z.4 ± 1.7	$ 1.2 \pm 0.3 $	0.02
Bridge to Transplant	61%	61%		Frequency			
Bridge to Recovery	3%	7%		Driveline			
Destination	36%	31%		Infection	24%	26%	1
Hemodynamics (mmHg)		Device	26.5%	12%	0.056		
Right atrial pressure	18.3 ± 8.8	13.3 ± 5.7	0.004	Thrombosis		440/	
PCWP	32.4 ± 9.0	27.8 ± 8.0	0.01	Hemolysis	26%	11%	0.05
Mean PAP	44.7 ± 7.9	38.7 ± 9.6	0.001	Stroke	24%	12%	0.10
BMI, body mass index; LVAD, pulmonary capillary wedge pre Table III. Heart Trans	left ventricular as essure; PAP, Pulr plantation	sist device; PC	NP, essure	Wait time to transplant (days)	619 ± 372	404 ± 342	0.10
	BN	/II ≥ 35 ka/m²	BMI <35 ka/m	<sup>2</sup> P-value			
	n=	7	n=41				
Heart Transplant received in		%	33%	0.15			
Bleeding requiring reoperation		%	15%	0.03			
Length of Hospital Stay (days)		± 43	27 ± 21	0.42			
HTx survival at 2.3 ± 1.6 yrs follow up		%	93%	0.49			

• Patients with a BMI  $\geq$  35 spent an average of 216 more days on the wait list, with an average of

# Table II Post-operative Outcomes and





# Discussion

Morbidly obese patients requiring LVAD support encounter higher postoperative complications and wait longer for heart transplantation, however heart transplant and graft survival is comparable.

Our study suggests that carefully selected morbidly obese patients should undergo LVAD placement and heart transplantation. However, our study was limited by a very small sample size and by the biases inherent to a retrospective data analysis.

## References

1. Taylor DO, Edwards LB, Aurora P, et al. Registry of the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation: twenty-fifth official adult heart transplant report—2008. J Heart Lung Transplant 2008;27: 943-56. 2. Miller LW, Pagani FD, Russell SD, et al. Use of a continuous-flow device in patients awaiting heart transplantation. N Engl J Med 2007;357:885-96. 3. NIH conference. Gastrointestinal surgery for severe obesity. Consensus Development Conference Panel. Ann Intern Med 1991;115:956-61. 4. Feldman D, Pamboukian SV, Teuteberg JJ, et al. The 2013 International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation Guidelines for mechanical circulatory support: executive summary. J Heart Lung Transplant 2013;32:157-87.

5. Mancini D, Lietz K. Selection of cardiac transplantation candidates in 2010. Circulation 2010;122:173-83.

6. Pasulka PS, Bistrian BR, Benotti PN, Blackburn GL. The risks of surgery in obese patients. Ann Int Med 1986;104:540–6.