



2015

“Rip It!”: A Juxtapositional and Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Violence in 3 Tyler Perry Films

Avina Ross

Virginia Commonwealth University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/gradposters>

 Part of the [Domestic and Intimate Partner Violence Commons](#), [Gender and Sexuality Commons](#), [Other Film and Media Studies Commons](#), [Quantitative, Qualitative, Comparative, and Historical Methodologies Commons](#), [Race and Ethnicity Commons](#), [Social Work Commons](#), and the [Women's Studies Commons](#)

Downloaded from

Ross, Avina, "“Rip It!”: A Juxtapositional and Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Violence in 3 Tyler Perry Films" (2015). *Graduate Research Posters*. Poster 3.

<https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/gradposters/3>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Graduate School at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Graduate Research Posters by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.



VCU School of Social Work

“Rip It!”: A Juxtapositional and Critical Discourse Analysis of Gender Violence in 3 Tyler Perry Films

Avina I. Ross, MS

Background

- Gender violence in media-discourse is generally **minimized, normalized, underrepresented and misdirected**.¹
- Sexist, offensive and prejudiced humor, jokes about victims, and language, frames gender violence as **acceptable**.²
- **Controlling images** in media make gender violence appear natural and inexorable.³
- Racist and sexist stereotypes of Black women regularly used in media: *mammy, matriarch, welfare queen and jezebel*.³
- **Minimal social work attention is given to the study of gender violence in media.**
- **Social work literature on media-produced discourse of violence against Black women is absent.**

Objective

- **To interrogate media-produced discourse of gender violence against Black women by examining the contrast of Black female victimness and Black matriarchy in Black contemporary film.**

Design

- This qualitative study, using **juxtapositional, critical discourse and intersectional analysis**, explored discourse of Black female victimness and matriarchy in: *Diary of a Mad Black Woman, Madea’s Family Reunion* and *Madea Goes to Jail*.

Methods

- Main characters were observed for victim and matriarch attributes.
- Recorded discourses: character demographics, personality and backgrounds; noted language/dialogue; interactions; scenes and character juxtapositions.

Results

- Victimness and matriarchy operate in a (juxtaposing) **transitional archetype model**:
 - **Victim**: Primary female characters are victims and transition into other archetypes
 - **Bitterfruit**: Victims lose stereotypical victim traits, such as passivity and crying, and take on hardened personalities and resentment
 - **Matriarch**: Next, characters evoke dominating, aggressive, tough and violent dispositions
 - **Forgiver**: Character forgives abuser; if not she stays in matriarch-limbo (i.e. Madea)
 - **Princess**: If transitioning character forgives, she obtains her happily ever after fairytale
- Some noteworthy juxtapositions include contrasts between:
 - **Hard matriarchy and soft victimness**
 - **Protecting matriarch and protected victim**
 - **Violent matriarch and forgiving victim**
 - **Tragic matriarch and fairytale-given victim**
 - **Child abuse and corporal punishment**
 - **Black matriarch as problem and White patriarchy as authority**
 - **Healthy and unhealthy relationships**

Conclusion

- **Stark contrasts** exist between discourses of Black female victimness and Black matriarchy and such contrasts are shaped by using racist and sexist stereotypes.
- Juxtapositions stage a fallacy: **Black matriarchy and Black female victimness as mutually exclusive**.
- The films **fail to present an option that opens up agency** for Black female victims and survivors to be strong (via matriarchy) and in need of help (via victimhood).
- **It is essential for progressive social work to examine the impacts, agency and underlying meanings derived from viewing of media discourse.**