

Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

VCU's Medical Journal Club: The Work of Future Health Professionals

2022

Association of CAG Repeats With Long-term Progression in Huntington Disease

Jefin Jose Virginia Commonwealth University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/mjc

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

© The Author(s)

Downloaded from

https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/mjc/20

This Article Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in VCU's Medical Journal Club: The Work of Future Health Professionals by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.

Association of CAG Repeats With Long-term Progression in Huntington Disease

Langbehn, D. R., Stout, J. C., Gregory, S., Mills, J.A., Durr, A., Leavitt, B. R., Roos, R. A. C., Long, J. D., Owen, G., Johnson, H. J., Borowsky, B., Craufurd, D., Reilmann, R., Landwehrmeyer, B., Scahill, R. I., & Tabrizi, S. J.

Published August 12, 2019

Jefin Jose

MJC Presentation

2/28/22

Abstract Abstracted

- Huntington's disease is neurodegenerative disease caused by a single mutation in the Huntingtin gene
- The number of CAG repeats can be used to predict the severity of degeneration in the brain as well the age of disease onset
- Disease progression can be defined in terms of a number of variables (including performance on tests and brain volume), but the most basic one is time
- Langbehn et al. found that putamen and caudate volumes best tracked disease progression at any given time

- Huntington's disease is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by expansion of the CAG trinucleotide sequence in the *huntingtin* gene of chromosome 4
- Therefore, Huntington's disease is inherently a genetic disease









Intracellular and extracellular inclusions develop (Jimenez-Sanchez et al., 2017) ↓ brain volume in the striatum (Alila Medical, 2021)

- The primary clinical markers of the disease are cognitive and motor deficits
 - Perform worse on a tapping task
 - Perform worse on a Stroop word reading task
- The expression of the disease symptomatically based on the genetic underpinning is known as *penetrance*
 - 10-26 repeats \rightarrow no penetrance
 - 26-25 repeats \rightarrow intermediate penetrance
 - 39-39 repeats \rightarrow reduced penetrance
 - 40+ repeats \rightarrow full penetrance

Red	Red	Blue	Green	Red	Red
Yellow	Green	Green	Green	Yellow	Green
Blue	Blue	Yellow	Yellow	Blue	Blue
Green	Red	Red	Blue	Green	Red
Green	Blue	Green	Yellow	Green	Green
Yellow	Green	Blue	Blue	Blue	Yellow
Blue	Yellow	Red	Green	Red	Blue

- Langbehn et al. claim that few disease characteristics can be determined using just the CAG repeat length and age
- The goal of the research was to determine the clinical and morphometric implications of CAG repeat length
- Hopefully, the results would help determine:
 - 1. Which variables are best associated with CAG repeat length and age (highest R²)
 - 2. How the progression of Huntington's disease looks like (Quadratic? Linear? Flat?)

Methods

- The researchers did not sample individuals themselves
- Rather, they obtained data through the TRACK-HD/TRACK-ON studies

TRACK-HD	TRACK-ON						
 four academic institutions first recruited 123 healthy controls, 120 pre-HD carriers, and 123 with early HD subjects 	 a follow up of TRACK still occurring maximum time of consideration: 6 years 						
Combined							
 2065 patient visits 443 participants Used UHDRS variables + morphometric data 							

Methods

- UHDRS Clinical
 - A clinical battery of tests used to assess disease severity
 - Involves motor, cognitive, and neuropsychiatric tasks/tests
 - 74 total items



- Morphometric data (MRI)
 - Brain volumes (caudate and putamen, total brain volume)
 - Given that people naturally have different-sized heads, the ratios of these volumes to the total intracranial volume

 $Volume \ Considered = \frac{Actual \ Volume}{Total \ Intracranial \ Volume}$

Methods

- Principal component analysis was used to derive summary scores for clinical and morphometric data
 - "the weighted sum of the original set of variables that has the highest possible mean correlation with those same variables"
 - That statistics themselves are described using linear algebra

$$\mathbf{w}_{(1)} = rg\max_{\|\mathbf{w}\|=1} \left\{ \sum_{i} (t_1)_{(i)}^2
ight\} = rg\max_{\|\mathbf{w}\|=1} \left\{ \sum_{i} \left(\mathbf{x}_{(i)} \cdot \mathbf{w}
ight)^2
ight\}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{(1)} = \arg \max_{\|\mathbf{w}\|=1} \left\{ \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}\|^2 \right\} = \arg \max_{\|\mathbf{w}\|=1} \left\{ \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{w}_{(1)} = \arg \max \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{w}} \right\}$$

Results

Variable	Motor-Cognitive Score	UHDRS Motor-Cognitive Score	White Matter-Ventricle Score	Caudate-Putamen Score
Symbol Digit Modalities Test, No. correct	-0.795	-0.903	NA	NA
Stroop word reading, No. correct	-0.770	-0.879	NA	NA
Spot the Change 5 s, corrected for guessing	-0.582	NA	NA	NA
UHDRS total motor score	0.872	0.891	NA	NA
Paced tapping 3-Hz SD of intertap intervals, log	0.808	NA	NA	NA
Q-Motor speeded tapping intertap interval, mean	0.829	NA	NA	NA
Q-Motor speeded tapping interonset interval, log SD	0.885	NA	NA	NA
Q-Motor speeded tapping tap duration, log SD	0.889	NA	NA	NA
Q-Motor speeded tapping interonset interval, log mean	0.918	NA	NA	NA
Putamen volume, ratio to ICV	NA	NA	NA	-0.947
Caudate volume, ratio to ICV	NA	NA	NA	-0.947
Total brain volume, ratio to ICV	NA	NA	-0.926	NA
Ventricle volume, ratio to ICV	NA	NA	0.818	NA
White matter volume, ratio to ICV	NA	NA	-0.870	NA
Gray matter volume, ratio to ICV ^b	NA	NA	NA	NA
Variance explained by the first PC, %	67.6	79.4	76.1	89.7



Results



- Previous graphs depicted motor-cognitive, caudate-putamen, and gray matter scores as a function of time
- These graphs indicate the relationship between the PCs themselves
- The inflection point at PC = 0 indicates shift from healthy to HD subjects

Conclusion

- Phenotype declines in a CAG-dependent manner
 - However, different acceleration rates between CAG repeat lengths indicate that a relationship is present
- Clinical predictors do not as strongly track disease progression as morphometric predictors do
- Variance can be decreased using a smaller subset of variables
- Neurodegeneration in the caudate and putamen is linear over time



Discussion Questions

- Why do you think brain matter volume tracked age in a quadratic manner?
- Why do you think neurodegenerative diseases have no cure? (Keep in mind genetics)
- What directions do think neuroscience/neurology should take in the future?

References

Alila Medical. (2018). *Huntington's Disease, Genetics, Pathology and Symptoms, Animation* [Video]. Youtube. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M6Z9bkd7zF8</u>

Jimenez-Sanchez, M., Licitra, F., Underwood, B. R., & Rubinsztein, D. C. (2017). Huntington's disease: Mechanisms of pathogenesis and therapeutic strategies. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*, 7(7), 1–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a024240</u>

Langbehn, D. R., Stout, J. C., Gregory, S., Mills, J. A., Durr, A., Leavitt, B. R., Roos, R. A. C., Long, J. D., Owen, G., Johnson, H. J., Borowsky, B., Craufurd, D., Reilmann, R., Bernhard Landwehrmeyer, G., Scahill, R. I., & Tabrizi, S. J. (2019). Association of CAG Repeats with Long-term Progression in Huntington Disease. JAMA Neurology, 76(11), 1375– 1385. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2368</u>