Industrial schools had been advocated by John Philbrick as early as 1861, for “a class of children, more or less numerous, which is too low down in the depths of vice, crime, and poverty, to be reached by the benefits of a system of public education” (Tyack, D.B. [1974]. The One Best System… Cambridge: Harvard U. Press. pp. 69-70). But by 1917, adopting more positive language, the U.S. Congress passed the Smith Hughes Act to help fund vocational education (Smith, Aker, and Kidd. [1970]. Handbook of Adult Education. NY: Macmillan, p. 474). The Smith Hughes Act resulted from the tenacious efforts of a coalition which included philanthropist/ industrialists, the National Association of Manufacturers, and chambers of commerce. Tyack wrote,

By 1910 the [vocational education] movement had won broad support, with endorsements from the NEA [National Education Association] and the American Federation of Labor (which had long been suspicious of the trade schools as sources of scab labor, but which apparently joined the movement in the hope of sharing in its control and improving the earnings of skilled labor) (Tyack, p. 189).

And in his 1931 book, The Education of Adult Prisoners, prison reformer Austin MacCormick announced the importance of this law in the process of developing institutional education services.
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