



2018

Kinetics and Inhibition Studies of the L205R Mutant of cAMP-Dependent Protein Kinase Involved in Cushing's Syndrome

Nicole M. Luzi
Virginia Commonwealth University

Charles E. Lyons
Virginia Commonwealth University

Darrell L. Peterson
Virginia Commonwealth University

Keith C. Ellis
Virginia Commonwealth University, kcellis@vcu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/medc_pubs

 Part of the [Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons](#)

© 2018 The Authors. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Downloaded from

https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/medc_pubs/28

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dept. of Medicinal Chemistry at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Medicinal Chemistry Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.

Kinetics and inhibition studies of the L205R mutant of cAMP-dependent protein kinase involved in Cushing's syndrome

Nicole M. Luzi¹, Charles E. Lyons², Darrell L. Peterson^{2,3,4} and Keith C. Ellis^{1,2,4} 

¹ Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

² Massey Cancer Center, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

⁴ Institute for Structural Biology, Drug Discovery, and Development, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA

Keywords

ACTH-independent Cushing's syndrome; cAMP-dependent protein kinase; enzyme inhibition; kinetics; L205R-PKA

Correspondence

K. C. Ellis, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Virginia Commonwealth University, PO Box 980540, 800 East Leigh Street, Richmond, VA 23298-0540, USA
Fax: +1 804 828 7625
Tel: +1 804 828 4490
E-mail: kcellis@vcu.edu

(Received 5 June 2017, revised 18 January 2018, accepted 20 January 2018)

doi:10.1002/2211-5463.12396

Overproduction of cortisol by the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal hormone system results in the clinical disorder known as Cushing's syndrome. Genomics studies have identified a key mutation (L205R) in the α -isoform of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKAC α) in adrenal adenomas of patients with adrenocorticotrophic hormone-independent Cushing's syndrome. Here, we conducted kinetics and inhibition studies on the L205R-PKAC α mutant. We have found that the L205R mutation affects the kinetics of both Kemptide and ATP as substrates, decreasing the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) for each substrate by 12-fold and 4.5-fold, respectively. We have also determined the IC₅₀ and K_i for the peptide substrate-competitive inhibitor PKI(5–24) and the ATP-competitive inhibitor H89. The L205R mutation had no effect on the potency of H89, but causes a > 250-fold loss in potency for PKI(5–24). Collectively, these data provide insights for the development of L205R-PKAC α inhibitors as potential therapeutics.

Cushing's syndrome is a clinical disorder caused by the overproduction of cortisol by the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal hormone system [1]. Symptoms of Cushing's syndrome include central obesity, buffalo hump, moon face, and striae, which together form a metabolic syndrome that can cause or worsen the effects of hypertension, heart disease, and diabetes, and lead to morbidity and mortality [1]. Pathophysiologically, Cushing's syndrome can be caused by pituitary adenomas that release unregulated amounts of ACTH hormone (ACTH-dependent Cushing's syndrome), adrenal adenomas that release unregulated

amounts of cortisol directly (ACTH-independent Cushing's syndrome), and ectopic tumors outside the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal axis that produce ACTH [1].

Recent genomics studies of patients with ACTH-independent Cushing's syndrome revealed that ~ 40% of the adrenal adenomas from these patients carried a T617G mutation in the *PRKACA* gene, which encodes for the α -isoform of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKAC α) [2–5]. Under normal physiological conditions, the ACTH peptide hormone binds to and activates the melanocortin 2

Abbreviations

ACTH, adrenocorticotrophic hormone; H89, *N*-[2-(*p*-bromocinnamylamino)ethyl]-5-isoquinolinesulfonamide; Kemptide, the PKAC α peptide substrate with the sequence H₂N-Leu-Arg-Arg-Ala-Ser-Leu-Gly-OH; MAB, methyl aminobutyric acid; MC₂R, melanocortin 2 G-protein-coupled receptor; PKAC α , α -isoform of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase; PKI(5–24), cAMP-dependent protein kinase inhibitor, residues 5–24; *PRKACA*, gene encoding cAMP-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit alpha; Rh, rhodamine B.

receptor (MC₂R) in the adrenal cortex; MC₂R is a G_s-coupled receptor that, when activated, elevates cAMP levels and activates PKAC α [6]. The somatic mutation in the *PRKACA* gene results in the expression of a mutant form of PKAC α , where Leu205 has been mutated to Arg. The L205R-PKAC α mutation leads to loss of binding of the PKA regulatory subunits (PKARI α/β and PKARII α/β) [2,3,5,7,8], loss of sensitivity to cAMP signaling [2,5,7], constitutive activation of the mutant PKAC α protein [2,4,5], and unregulated phosphorylation of PKAC α substrates [3–5], presumably including those involved in downstream cortisol biosynthesis.

Structural biology has given some insights as to how the single-point mutation causes the disruption in PKAC α regulation. In the wt-PKAC α cocrystal structure with the PKI(5–24) inhibitor peptide and ATP [9,10], Leu205 is part of a group of hydrophobic residues (Leu198, Gly200, Pro202, and Leu205) that form the P + 1-binding pocket (Fig. 1A), an important site for substrate, regulatory subunit, and inhibitor recognition. The hydrophobic side chain of Ile22 of PKI(5–24) binds in the P + 1-binding site, creating a positive binding interaction and contributing to overall inhibitor binding. In the cocrystal structure of the L205R-PKAC α mutant with PKI(5–24) and ATP (Fig. 1B) [10], the mutation of Leu205 to Arg results in the larger Arg205 residue occupying the P + 1 pocket (now defined as Leu198, Gly200, Pro202, and Arg205), the binding pocket normally occupied by Ile22 of PKI. The L205R mutation prevents Ile22 of PKI from binding in the P + 1 pocket and causes a change in binding conformation of the C-terminal end of PKI(5–24). The mutant structure offers an explanation for the lack of binding by the regulatory subunits and predicts a lack of inhibition of L205R-PKAC α by PKI, as both of these require a hydrophobic binding interaction in the P + 1 pocket of wt-PKAC α for regulation or inhibition.

While the structure of the L205R-PKAC α mutant [10] and the overall effects of the mutation in a cellular environment [2–5,7,8] have been reported, detailed studies of the enzymology of the mutant have not. Measurement of the specific activity of the L205R-PKAC α mutant from cell lysates [2,4,5,7] and purified recombinant protein production [10] have been reported, but a full analysis of the kinetics parameters has not been performed. In addition, the sensitivity of the L205R-PKAC α mutant to known wt-PKAC α inhibitors has been measured in cell lysates at fixed inhibitor concentrations [4,5], but a study to determine how the L205R mutation affects the IC₅₀ and K_i of these inhibitors has not been carried out. Here, we report a kinetics and inhibition study to fully characterize the enzymology of




Fig. 1. Crystal structures of wt-PKAC α (A) and L205R-PKAC α (B). (A) Crystal structure of wt-PKAC α (Cyan) and PKI (Yellow) with P + 1 pocket residues shown as space-filling models (PDBID: 4WB5). (B) Crystal structure of L205R-PKAC α (Green) and PKI (Magenta) with P + 1 pocket residues shown as space-filling models (PDBID: 4WB6). For each structure, P + 1 pocket residues are colored the same as PKI.

the L205R-PKAC α mutant and determine the effect of the mutation on enzyme function. These studies are an important first step toward investigating L205R-PKAC α as a therapeutic molecular target for the treatment of ACTH-independent Cushing's syndrome.

Materials and methods

Reagents

All assay reagents, including buffer salts, ATP, and MgCl₂, were of molecular biology grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). H89 was purchased from Selleck Chem (Houston, TX, USA). PKI(5–24) was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Tewksbury, MA, USA). HPLC-grade solvents were purchased from VWR (Radnor, PA, USA).

Production of recombinant PKAC α kinases

The plasmid for the expression of recombinant human L205R-PKAC α was prepared by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA) using a combination of gene synthesis and molecular biology (see Fig. S1 for gene insert sequence, full plasmid sequence, and resulting protein sequence). First, a protein sequence for full-length recombinant L205R-PKAC α (Gly1 to Phe350) was designed to include an N-terminal (His)₆ tag followed immediately by a TEV cleavage site (ENLYFQ/G), where the remaining glycine residue left after TEV cleavage became the first glycine in the processed protein sequence. To the codons for this sequence (based on human *PRKACA* gene with T617G mutation) were added a 5'-NdeI restriction site (which includes a start codon), two stop codons, and a 3'-HindIII restriction site. This entire gene insert was synthesized, cloned into the pET-17b vector, and the vector sequenced to confirm the correct incorporation of the insert. To express L205R-PKAC α , BL21(DE3)-competent *Escherichia coli* cells were transformed with the plasmid described above, selecting with ampicillin (50 mg·L⁻¹). Bacterial cells were grown in autoinduction media at 37 °C for 16 h. Following growth, cells were spun down to a pellet at 9000 *g* for 10 min, lysed by a single pass through an Avestin Emulsiflex (Avestin, Ottawa, ON, Canada) homogenizer operating at 25 000 psi into buffer (25 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8), 300 mM NaCl, and 10 mM imidazole), allowed to equilibrate for 30 min at ambient temperature, and debris removed by centrifugation at 48 000 *g* for 30 min. The soluble fraction was then loaded onto a Ni-NTA column and washed with 5 column volumes of the loading buffer, and pure (His)₆-L205R-PKAC α was eluted with 100 mM imidazole. The purified protein was then treated with TEV protease (1 wt%; 1 mg TEV protease per 100 mg L205R-PKAC α) overnight at room temperature to remove the (His)₆ tag. Following TEV cleavage, the kinase was dialyzed into storage buffer (25 mM sodium phosphate buffer with 10% glycerol and 1 mM DTT), aliquoted, and stored at -80 °C until use. To verify that the recombinant kinase protein contains the L205R point mutation, a trypsin digest was performed and the fragments analyzed LC/MS/MS experiment using direct injection on a LCQ DecaXP Max mass spectrometer (ThermoScientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The fragment containing R205 was identified (¹⁹⁵TWTLCGTPEYR²⁰⁵, calculated: 1327.49 Da; found: 1327.16 Da), confirming installation of the mutation. wt-PKAC α was produced and purified as previously reported [11] in parallel with the L205R-PKAC α mutant.

Kinetics assay

Kinetics assays were performed using an HPLC-Vis assay with a rhodamine-labeled Kemptide analogue (Rh-MAB-Kemptide), which we have reported elsewhere [11]. Assays

were performed in 96-well plates at 25 °C with a total reaction volume of 200 μ L. For determination of kinetics parameters for Kemptide peptide substrate, kinase reactions contained L205R-PKAC α (2 nM), ATP (1 mM), MgCl₂ (10 mM), and Rh-MAB-Kemptide (200–12.5 μ M, twofold dilutions) in 50 mM MOPS (pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH). Reactions were initiated by the addition of the Rh-MAB-Kemptide analogue, run for 15 min, and then quenched with 5% phosphoric acid (100 μ L). For determination of kinetics parameters for ATP, kinase reactions contained L205R-PKAC α (4 nM), Rh-MAB-Kemptide (25 μ M), MgCl₂ (10 mM), and ATP (400–6.25 μ M, twofold dilutions) in 50 mM MOPS (pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH). Reactions were initiated by the addition of ATP, run for 15 min, and then quenched with 5% phosphoric acid (100 μ L). Negative controls that consisted of kinase reactions where buffer was added in place of active kinase enzyme were included in all assay runs. All kinase reactions were carried out in triplicate for each concentration of Rh-MAB-Kemptide or ATP. The 96-well plate was then loaded into the HPLC autosampler (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA), and each kinase reaction analyzed by the HPLC method described below. The volume of the injection was varied from 5 to 100 μ L such that 0.5 nmoles of chromophore-labeled peptide was loaded and analyzed for each kinase reaction. Following HPLC analysis, the % phosphorylation was determined for each Rh-MAB-Kemptide or ATP concentration by integrating the area of the peaks for the substrate and product at 560 nm. % Phosphorylation data were converted to velocities and plotted against the concentrations of the substrate. Under the kinase reaction conditions above, product conversions were \leq 11% at substrate concentrations equal to K_M , ensuring that the experiments were run under initial velocity conditions. Curve fitting and the determination of kinetics parameters were performed in PRISM 7 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA) using nonlinear regression to the Michaelis–Menten equation. Three experimental replicates were carried out for each curve, and the mean and SEM for each kinetic parameter were determined. For comparison, wt-PKAC α replicates were performed in parallel on the same 96-well plates with technical and experimental replicates for the L205R mutant as reported elsewhere [11].

Inhibition assay

Inhibition assays were performed in 96-well plates at 25 °C with a total reaction volume of 200 μ L. Kinase reactions contained L205R-PKAC α (4 nM), ATP (25 μ M), MgCl₂ (10 mM), Rh-MAB-Kemptide (30 μ M), inhibitor (H89, 16 μ M–0.015 nM or PKI(5–24), 62.5 μ M–0.24 nM; fourfold dilutions) in 50 mM MOPS (pH 7.4, adjusted with NaOH). For H89, reactions were initiated by the addition of ATP after a 10-min preincubation of inhibitor with L205R-PKAC α and the other reaction components. For PKI(5–24),

reactions were initiated by the addition of Rh-MAB-Kemptide after a 10-min preincubation of inhibitor with L205R-PKAC α and the other reaction components. Reactions were run for 40 min and then quenched with 5% phosphoric acid (100 μ L). Kinase reactions were carried out in duplicate for each concentration of each inhibitor. Negative controls that consisted of kinase reactions where buffer was added in place of inhibitor were included in all assay runs. The 96-well plate was then loaded into the HPLC autosampler and each kinase reaction analyzed by the HPLC method described below (80 μ L injection volume, 0.5 nmoles of chromophore-labeled peptide). Following HPLC analysis, the % phosphorylation was determined for each inhibitor concentration by integrating the area of the peaks for the substrate and product at 560 nm. % Phosphorylation data were normalized to the control well without inhibitor, converted to % inhibition, and plotted against the log of the concentrations of the inhibitor. Curve fitting and the determination of the IC₅₀ were performed in PRISM 7 (GraphPad) using nonlinear regression to the four-parameter log(inhibitor) versus response equation. K_i 's were calculated using the Cheng-Prusoff equation and the K_M for Rh-MAB-Kemptide or ATP with L205R-PKAC α (Table 1). Three experimental replicates were carried out for each curve, and the mean and SEM for the IC₅₀ and K_i for each inhibitor were determined. For comparison, wt-PKAC α replicates were performed in parallel on the same 96-well plates with technical and experimental replicates for the L205R mutant as reported elsewhere [11].

HPLC assay method

All HPLC experiments were carried out on an Agilent LC 1200 system with a degasser, quaternary pump, vial and well plate autosampler, column heater, and diode array

detector. All data were collected and processed with Agilent CHEMSTATION software. In all HPLC runs, absorbance was monitored at 560, 550, 280, and 210 nm. For kinetics and inhibition assays, the system was operated in analytical mode using a Restek Ultra C18 3 μ m, 2.1 \times 100 mm column and a flow rate of 0.3 mL \cdot min⁻¹. Assays were analyzed using a gradient of water with 0.1% formic acid (Solvent A) and acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (Solvent B); gradient: 0–0.5 min, 5% B; 0.5–9.0 min, 5–77% B; 9.0–9.5 min, 77% B; 9.5–10 min, 77–5% B; 10–13 min, 5% B. % Phosphorylation was determined by integrating both the substrate and product peaks measured at 560 nm.

Results

Expression and purification of the L205R-PKAC α mutant

We began our work by designing and synthesizing plasmids for the expression of L205R-PKAC α using a combination of gene synthesis and molecular biology. Our expression plasmids each included an N-terminal (His)₆ tag for purification followed by a TEV cleavage site blunt against the first residue of the kinase. In our initial plasmid, we optimized the codons for the *PRKACA* gene, including the T617G mutation, for expression in *E. coli* (data not shown). However, upon growing cultures for protein production with this codon-optimized plasmid, we observed that bacterial growth was significantly impaired compared to bacterial growth in previous protein production of wt-PKAC α using a non-codon-optimized plasmid [12]. We hypothesized that expression of large amounts of L205R-PKAC α from use of the codon-optimized plasmid might be resulting in toxicity to the bacteria. To address this issue, we prepared a second plasmid of the same design, but with endogenous human codons for *PRKACA* and the observed T617G mutation (see Materials and methods and Fig. S1). With this plasmid, bacterial growth in protein production was restored to expected levels. Following lysis, purification, and cleavage with TEV protease, we were able to produce the L205R-PKAC α mutant in quantities of \sim 5 mg \cdot mL⁻¹ of culture.

Kinetics studies

With L205R-PKAC α protein in hand, we next turned to studying the kinetics of the mutant kinase with Kemptide peptide substrate and ATP. For the kinetics work, we utilized an HPLC-Vis endpoint assay with a rhodamine B-labeled Kemptide analogue (Rh-MAB-Kemptide), which we have reported elsewhere [11].

Table 1. Kinetics parameters for the L205R-PKAC α mutant.

	L205R-PKAC α	wt-PKAC α ^a	Fold change ^b
Rh-MAB-Kemptide			
K_M (μ M)	60.9 \pm 8.4	9.74 \pm 0.88	+6.3
V_{max} (μ mol \cdot min ⁻¹ \cdot mg ⁻¹)	11.2 \pm 1.7	21.7 \pm 3.4	-1.9
k_{cat} (min ⁻¹)	450 \pm 70	870 \pm 138	-1.9
k_{cat}/K_M (μ M ⁻¹ \cdot min ⁻¹)	7.38 \pm 0.52	90.7 \pm 22.7	-12.3
ATP			
K_M (μ M)	27.6 \pm 4.5	16.9 \pm 1.3	+1.6
V_{max} (μ mol \cdot min ⁻¹ \cdot mg ⁻¹)	3.23 \pm 0.52	9.04 \pm 0.65	-2.8
k_{cat} (min ⁻¹)	129 \pm 21	362 \pm 26	-2.8
k_{cat}/K_M (μ M ⁻¹ \cdot min ⁻¹)	4.76 \pm 1.09	21.4 \pm 1.2	-4.5

^a Kinetics data from Reference [11]. ^b Positive (+) fold changes represent an increase in the value of the kinetics parameter for the L205R-PKAC α mutant relative to wt-PKAC α ; negative (-) fold changes represent a decrease in the value of the kinetics parameter for the L205R-PKAC α mutant relative to wt-PKAC α .




Fig. 2. Kinetic analysis of the phosphorylation of Rh-MAB-Kemptide by the L205R-PKAC α mutant at a fixed concentration of ATP for determination of $K_{M,Kemptide}$ and other kinetic parameters. Similar data for wt-PKAC α can be found in reference [11]. The range of values on the graph axes for the kinetics analysis of Rh-MAB-Kemptide (this figure) and ATP (Fig. 3) are different.

This assay separates and directly quantifies both substrate and phosphorylated product.

To determine the effect of the mutation on kinetics with Kemptide, we first ran a series of kinase reactions with varying concentrations of Rh-MAB-Kemptide at a fixed concentration of ATP, in order to determine the kinetic parameters for Kemptide (see Materials and methods). Following kinase reactions, percent phosphorylation data were transformed to velocities, and the kinetics parameters were determined by fitting the data to the Michaelis–Menten equation (Fig. 2, Table 1). The $K_{M,Kemptide}$ for the L205R-PKAC α mutant ($60.9 \pm 8.4 \mu\text{M}$) was found to be ~ 6 -fold higher than the $K_{M,Kemptide}$ for wt-PKAC α ($9.74 \pm 0.88 \mu\text{M}$), likely due to impaired binding of the hydrophobic Leu6 residue in Kemptide to the mutated P + 1 binding site in L205R-PKAC α , resulting in a lower binding affinity of Kemptide for the mutant versus wt-PKAC α . Both the $V_{max,Kemptide}$ ($11.2 \pm 1.7 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$) and the $k_{cat,Kemptide}$ ($450 \pm 70 \text{min}^{-1}$) for the mutant were found to be ~ 2 -fold lower than $V_{max,Kemptide}$ ($21.7 \pm 3.4 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$) and the $k_{cat,Kemptide}$ ($870 \pm 138 \text{min}^{-1}$) for wt-PKAC α , demonstrating that the mutation slows the overall phosphotransfer chemical reaction. Determination of k_{cat}/K_M for Kemptide with the L205R-mutant ($7.38 \pm 0.52 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) shows that the catalytic efficiency of the mutant is ~ 12 -fold lower than the k_{cat}/K_M for Kemptide with wt-PKAC α ($90.7 \pm 22.7 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$), demonstrating that the L205R mutant has a significantly lower specificity for Kemptide than the wild-type kinase.

We next turned to determining whether the L205R mutation, which occurs in the peptide substrate-binding site, has any effect on ATP kinetics (Fig. 3, Table 1). With ATP as a substrate, we observed the same




Fig. 3. Kinetic analysis of the phosphorylation of Rh-MAB-Kemptide by the L205R-PKAC α mutant at varying ATP concentrations for determination of $K_{M,ATP}$ and other kinetic parameters. Similar data for wt-PKAC α can be found in reference [11]. The range of values on the graph axes for the kinetics analysis of Rh-MAB-Kemptide (Fig. 2) and ATP (this figure) are different.

trends that are seen in the Kemptide kinetic parameters, but with a lower magnitude of the effect. The $K_{M,ATP}$ for the L205R-PKAC α mutant ($27.6 \pm 4.5 \mu\text{M}$) was found to be higher than the $K_{M,ATP}$ for wt-PKAC α ($16.9 \pm 1.3 \mu\text{M}$), but only by a factor of ~ 1.6 -fold. Both the $V_{max,ATP}$ ($3.23 \pm 0.52 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$) and the $k_{cat,ATP}$ ($129 \pm 21 \text{min}^{-1}$) for the mutant were found to be lower than $V_{max,ATP}$ ($9.04 \pm 0.33 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}\cdot\text{mg}^{-1}$) and the $k_{cat,ATP}$ ($362 \pm 26 \text{min}^{-1}$) for wt-PKAC α by 2.8-fold. Finally, the k_{cat}/K_M for ATP with the L205R-mutant ($4.76 \pm 1.09 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) was found to be lower than the k_{cat}/K_M for ATP with wt-PKAC α ($21.4 \pm 1.2 \mu\text{M}^{-1}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$) by a factor of 4.5-fold.

Collectively, these data demonstrate that the L205R mutation has effects on all of the kinetics parameters, especially the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M), for both Kemptide and ATP despite the fact that the point mutation only occurs in the peptide substrate-binding site.

Inhibition studies

Having characterized the kinetics of the L205R mutant with both peptide substrate and ATP, we turned to determining the sensitivity of the L205R mutant to known wt-PKAC α inhibitors. We first determined the ability of the peptide substrate-competitive inhibitor PKI(5–24) to inhibit the phosphotransferase function of the L205R mutant (Fig. 4) in our HPLC-Vis inhibition assay. As seen in Table 2, the single L205R point mutation has a significant effect on inhibition by PKI(5–24). The IC_{50} ($1870 \pm 206 \text{nM}$) and K_i ($1250 \pm 138 \text{nM}$) of PKI(5–24) with the L205R mutant were both found to be > 250 -fold higher than the IC_{50} ($7.4 \pm 1.2 \text{nM}$) and K_i ($3.7 \pm 0.6 \text{nM}$) for wt-PKAC α .




Fig. 4. Inhibition of wt-PKAC α (black circles) and the L205R-PKAC α mutant (red triangles) by PKI(5-24). The inhibitor concentration ranges for PKI(5-24) (this figure) and H89 (Fig. 5) are different.




Fig. 5. Inhibition of wt-PKAC α (black circles) and the L205R-PKAC α mutant (red triangles) by H89. The inhibitor concentration ranges for PKI(5-24) (Fig. 4) and H89 (this figure) are different.

Table 2. Data for inhibition of the L205R-PKAC α mutant by wt-PKAC α inhibitors.

	L205R-PKAC α	wt-PKAC α ^a	Fold change ^b
PKI(5-24) ^c			
IC ₅₀ (nM)	1870 ± 206	7.4 ± 1.2	253
K _i (nM) ^d	1250 ± 138	3.7 ± 0.6	338
H89			
IC ₅₀ (nM)	60.6 ± 8.4	63.3 ± 4.5	0.96
K _i (nM) ^d	27.8 ± 5.7	25.5 ± 1.8	1.1

^aInhibition data from Reference [11]. ^bFold changes represent the change in the value of the inhibition parameter for the L205R-PKAC α mutant relative to wt-PKAC α . ^cSequence for PKI(5-24): H₂N-TTYADFIASGRTGRRNAIHD-COOH. ^dK_i's were calculated using Cheng-Prusoff equation and the K_M's from Table 1.

This is a remarkable decrease in inhibition of the mutant by the simple disruption of binding of one of the twenty amino acids of the inhibitor and underscores the importance of binding to the P+1-binding pocket for potent inhibition. We then determined the ability of the ATP-competitive inhibitor H89 to inhibit the mutant (Fig. 5). Unlike PKI(5-24), the L205R mutation seems to have no effect on inhibition of phosphotransferase function by H89. The IC₅₀ (60.6 ± 8.4 nM) and K_i (27.8 ± 5.7 nM) for H89 with the L205R mutant were effectively identical to the IC₅₀ (63.3 ± 4.5 nM) and K_i (25.5 ± 1.8 nM) with wt-PKAC α (Table 2).

Discussion

This work reports the first study that determines the fundamental kinetics parameters for the L205R-PKAC α mutant kinase with both Kemptide and ATP substrates. We have found that the K_{M,Kemptide} for the L205R-PKAC α mutant is ~ 6-fold higher than for wt-PKAC α . K_M is generally regarded as a measure of the affinity (K_d) of a substrate for the enzyme; this is an assumption, however, as the expression for K_M

$[(k_{-1} + k_2)/k_1]$ contains both the K_d and the first-order rate constant for conversion of the [E]·[S] complex to product. In this case, the increase in K_M indicates that the L205R mutation in the P+1-binding pocket of the mutant leads to an ~ 6-fold loss in affinity, due to the loss of the productive binding interaction of residue Leu6 of Kemptide with the P+1 pocket. The turnover number for the Kemptide substrate ($k_{cat,Kemptide}$) was found to be lower for the L205R mutant than for wt-PKAC α by ~ 2-fold, showing that the rate of catalysis for the mutant is impaired. Previous work with the L205R-PKAC α mutant by Lee and coworkers using live-cell FRET also showed a reduced catalytic activity with an AKAR4 reporter protein [8], presumably due to loss of the key binding interaction between Leu476 of AKAR4 with the P+1 pocket of the L205R mutant. Finally, an examination of k_{cat}/K_M for Kemptide with the mutant and wt-PKAC α shows a > 12-fold decrease in the catalytic efficiency. In this case, the decrease in the affinity (K_{M,Kemptide}) and the turnover number ($k_{cat,Kemptide}$) appear to reinforce each other, leading to the large decrease in k_{cat}/K_M for Kemptide. The catalytic efficiency reflects the specificity of each enzyme for Kemptide and shows that the L205R mutation leads to the > 12-fold decrease in specificity versus wt-PKAC α . This is in agreement with recent work by Schwartz and coworkers, demonstrating that the L205R mutation changes the substrate specificity of the kinase and that the L205R mutant more readily phosphorylates substrates with acidic residues in the P+1 position [13]. The catalytic efficiency can also be viewed as the second-order rate constant and the probability that the [E]·[S] complex will be converted to product. These data support a model for the L205R-PKACA mutant where the [E]·[S] complex is either dissociating faster than it is being converted to product or that if it is converted to product, catalysis is slower. Our work, taken together

with that of Lee [8] and Schwartz [13], also suggests that the L205R-PKAC α mutation causes ACTH-independent Cushing's syndrome through a change of substrate specificity and not simply constitutive activation of the L205R gain-of-function mutant kinase. This change in substrate specificity for the L205R mutant is the result of both a decrease in phosphorylation of wt-PKA α substrates and an increase in the phosphorylation of new, alternative substrates for the L205R mutant.

Surprisingly, the kinetics analysis also shows that the L205R mutation affects the kinetics of the ATP substrate, even though the structural biology data [10] show no conformational change in this binding pocket. Our kinetics data clearly indicate that there is communication between the ATP-binding site and the peptide substrate-binding site, as the L205R mutation does affect ATP kinetics. We found that the $K_{M,ATP}$ for the L205R-PKAC α mutant was ~ 1.6 -fold higher than for wt-PKAC α . Again, while K_M is generally viewed as a measure of affinity (K_d), this is an assumption as the expression for $K_M [(k_{-1} + k_2)/k_1]$ contains both the K_d and the first-order rate constant for conversion of the [E]·[S] complex to product. As it is unlikely that the affinity of ATP for the binding site of the L205R mutant has changed (based on the structural biology data and our H89 inhibition data), the increase in $K_{M,ATP}$ is likely the result of decreased catalysis by the mutant due to a decrease in the binding of the Kempptide substrate. The turnover number data support this, as the $k_{cat,ATP}$ for the L205R mutant was ~ 2.8 -fold lower than for wt-PKAC α . However, without additional data on the microscopic rate constants k_1 , k_{-1} , and k_2 , we cannot determine whether $k_{cat,ATP} = k_2$ and the exact cause of the decrease in $K_{M,ATP}$. For ATP, we again see that the increase in the $K_{M,ATP}$ and decrease in $k_{cat,ATP}$ appear to reinforce each other, leading to a 4.5-fold decrease in k_{cat}/K_M for ATP. Similar to the results with Kempptide, this decrease in k_{cat}/K_M for ATP supports a model for the L205R-PKACA mutant where the [E]·[S] complex is either dissociating faster than it is being converted to product or that if it is converted to product, catalysis is slower. We cannot, however, rule out that the L205R mutation slows catalysis by affecting the known rate-limiting step of ADP dissociation [14]; additional experimental data would be needed to examine that hypothesis.

Here, we also report the first studies on the susceptibility of the L205R-PKAC α mutant to known inhibitors of wt-PKAC α that determine the fundamental inhibition parameters of IC_{50} and K_i . The L205R mutation has a profound effect on inhibition of the mutant by PKI(5–24), a peptide substrate-competitive inhibitor (see Table 2 for sequence), with the IC_{50}

increasing by > 250 -fold and the K_i increasing by > 330 -fold. While it is not surprising that the L205R mutation disrupts the binding of PKI(5–24), the loss of potency was larger than we anticipated. We had expected inhibition of L205R-PKAC α with PKI(5–24) to have a K_i similar to the inhibition of wt-PKAC α with the I22G-PKI(5–22) inhibitor ($K_i = 470$ nM) reported by Walsh and coworkers [15]. In the I22G-PKI(5–22) inhibitor, Ile22 (which binds in the P + 1-binding site) is replaced by Gly and this inhibitor loses the binding affinity from the interaction of the hydrophobic Ile22 side chain with the P + 1 pocket. However, our data for the L205R-PKAC α mutant with PKI(5–24) suggest that the loss of potency may come from more than just loss of the hydrophobic binding interaction in the P + 1 pocket and that there may be some repulsive interactions between the positively charged R205 and the positively charged H23 residue of the C terminus of the PKI(5–24) inhibitor. The ATP-competitive inhibitor H89, however, displayed nearly identical inhibition of both L205R-PKAC α and wt-PKAC α , as expected from the structural similarity of the ATP-binding pockets in the crystal structures [10].

The inhibition data that we report here for the L205R mutant have profound implications for the design and development of selective inhibitors of the mutant as potential therapeutics. The standard approach for developing pharmacological inhibitors for kinases in both industry and academia is to use ATP-competitive small molecules [16]. Our finding that the ATP-competitive inhibitor H89 has equal potency against both the mutant and wt-PKAC α will likely prevent the use of this approach. Any inhibitor for therapeutic use against the mutant will have to be selective for the mutant over wt-PKAC α , in order to not suffer from off-target toxicity associated with wt-PKAC α inhibition. wt-PKAC α is the primary intracellular signaling kinase for over 75 different G-protein-coupled receptors [6] and any potential inhibitor of the L205R mutant that also inhibits wt-PKAC α will likely cause off-target effects in multiple organ systems and signaling pathways. The previously reported crystallography data for the L205R-PKAC α mutant [10] also support that there will be poor selectivity between wt- and L205R-PKAC α , as the ATP-binding pockets of both enzymes have an identical sequence and, at least in the static crystal form, no conformational differences are seen between wt- and L205R-PKAC α . It is possible that a type II kinase inhibitor, which flips the DFG motif into the inactive conformation, could be developed to target the L205R mutant. However, it is unclear whether a type II inhibitor could selectively bind to the mutant over wt-PKAC α . The L205R

mutation occurs in the peptide substrate-binding site, and it is likely that a successful mutant-selective inhibitor will need to be targeted to that binding site.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the VCU School of Pharmacy (to KCE). Protein production and purification were performed using facilities of the VCU Massey Cancer Center Biological Macromolecule Shared Resource (MCC-BMSR). LC/MS/MS was performed using the Massey Cancer Center Proteomics Resource (MCC-PR). The MCC-BMSR and MCC-PR are both supported with funding from NIH-NCI Cancer Center Support Grant 5P30CA16059-35.

Author contributions

KCE conceived and designed the study. KCE designed the expression plasmid for the recombinant L205R protein. NML and DLP expressed and purified the recombinant L205R protein. CEL performed the trypsin digest and mass spectrometry experiment. NML performed the kinetics and inhibition assays. NML and KCE conducted the data analysis. KCE and NML wrote and edited the manuscript. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- van der Pas R, de Herder WW, Hofland LJ and Feelders RA (2012) New developments in the medical treatment of Cushing's syndrome. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **19**, R205–R223.
- Beuschlein F, Fassnacht M, Assié G, Calebiro D, Stratakis CA, Osswald A, Ronchi CL, Wieland T, Sbierra S, Faucz FR *et al.* (2014) Constitutive activation of PKA catalytic subunit in adrenal Cushing's syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **370**, 1019–1028.
- Goh G, Scholl UI, Healy JM, Choi M, Prasad ML, Nelson-Williams C, Kunstman JW, Korah R, Suttorp A-C, Dietrich D *et al.* (2014) Recurrent activating mutation in PRKACA in cortisol-producing adrenal tumors. *Nat Genet* **46**, 613–617.
- Cao Y, He M, Gao Z, Peng Y, Li Y, Li L, Zhou W, Li X, Zhong X, Lei Y *et al.* (2014) Activating hotspot L205R mutation in PRKACA and adrenal Cushing's syndrome. *Science* **344**, 913–917.
- Sato Y, Maekawa S, Ishii R, Sanada M, Morikawa T, Shiraishi Y, Yoshida K, Nagata Y, Sato-Otsubo A, Yoshizato T *et al.* (2014) Recurrent somatic mutations underlie corticotropin-independent Cushing's syndrome. *Science* **344**, 917–920.
- Wettschureck N and Offermanns S (2005) Mammalian G proteins and their cell type specific functions. *Physiol Rev* **85**, 1159–1204.
- Calebiro D, Hannawacker A, Lyga S, Bathon K, Zabel U, Ronchi C, Beuschlein F, Reincke M, Lorenz K, Allolio B *et al.* (2014) PKA catalytic subunit mutations in adrenocortical Cushing's adenoma impair association with the regulatory subunit. *Nat Commun* **5**, 5680.
- Lee S-R, Sang L and Yue DT (2016) Uncovering aberrant Mutant PKA function with flow cytometric FRET. *Cell Rep* **14**, 3019–3029.
- Knighton DR, Zheng J, Eyck LFT, Xuong N-H, Taylor SS and Sowadski JM (1991) Structure of a peptide inhibitor bound to the catalytic subunit of cyclic adenosine monophosphate-dependent protein kinase. *Science* **253**, 414–420.
- Cheung J, Ginter C, Cassidy M, Franklin MC, Rudolph MJ, Robine N, Darnell RB and Hendrickson WA (2015) Structural insights into mis-regulation of protein kinase A in human tumors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **112**, 1374–1379.
- Luzi NM, Lyons CE, Peterson DL and Ellis KC (2017) Characterization of PKAC α enzyme kinetics and inhibition in an HPLC assay with a chromophoric substrate. *Anal Biochem* **532**, 45–52.
- Coover RA, Luzi NM, Korwar S, Casile ME, Lyons CE, Peterson DL and Ellis KC (2016) Design, synthesis, and in vitro evaluation of a fluorescently labeled irreversible inhibitor of the catalytic subunit of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKAC α). *Org Biomol Chem* **14**, 4576–4581.
- Lubner JM, Dodge-Kafka KL, Carlson CR, Church GM, Chou MF and Schwartz D (2017) Cushing's syndrome mutant PKAL205R exhibits altered substrate specificity. *FEBS Lett* **591**, 459–467.
- Zhou J and Adams JA (1997) Participation of ADP dissociation in the rate-determining step in cAMP-dependent protein kinase. *Biochemistry* **36**, 15733–15738.
- Glass DB, Cheng HC, Mende-Mueller L, Reed J and Walsh DA (1989) Primary structural determinants essential for potent inhibition of cAMP-dependent protein kinase by inhibitory peptides corresponding to the active portion of the heat-stable inhibitor protein. *J Biol Chem* **264**, 8802–8810.
- Wu P, Nielsen TE and Clausen MH (2016) Small-molecule kinase inhibitors: an analysis of FDA-approved drugs. *Drug Discovery Today* **21**, 5–10.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article: **Fig. S1**. Recombinant plasmid constructed for L205R-PKAC α .