

Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

Computer Science Publications

Dept. of Computer Science

2017

An integrative in-silico approach for therapeutic target identification in the human pathogen Corynebacterium diphtheriae

Syed Babar Jamal Federal University of Minas Gerais

Syed Shah Hassan Federal University of Minas Gerais, Islamia College University Peshawar

Sandeep Tiwari Federal University of Minas Gerais

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/cmsc_pubs Part of the <u>Computer Engineering Commons</u>

This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the Creative Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Downloaded from

https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/cmsc_pubs/42

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Dept. of Computer Science at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in Computer Science Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.

Authors

Syed Babar Jamal, Syed Shah Hassan, Sandeep Tiwari, Marcus V. Viana, Leandro de Jesus Benevides, Asad Ullah, Adrián G. Turjanski, Debmalya Barh, Preetam Ghosh, Daniela Arruda Costa, Artur Silva, Richard Röttger, Jan Baumbach, and Vasco A.C. Azevedo



Citation: Jamal SB, Hassan SS, Tiwari S, Viana MV, Benevides LdJ, Ullah A, et al. (2017) An integrative *in-silico approach* for therapeutic target identification in the human pathogen *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*. PLoS ONE 12(10): e0186401. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0186401

Editor: Alexandre G. de Brevern, UMR-S1134, INSERM, Université Paris Diderot, INTS, FRANCE

Received: December 6, 2016

Accepted: September 29, 2017

Published: October 19, 2017

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative</u> Commons CC0 public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The study was supported by grant from the TWAS-CNPq Postgraduate Fellowship Programme (https://twas.org/opportunity/twascnpq-postgraduate-fellowship-programme) for granting a fellowship for doctoral studies and CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, Brasil: http://www. **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

An integrative *in-silico approach* for therapeutic target identification in the human pathogen *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*

Syed Babar Jamal¹[®], Syed Shah Hassan^{1,2}[®], Sandeep Tiwari¹, Marcus V. Viana¹, Leandro de Jesus Benevides¹, Asad Ullah², Adrián G. Turjanski³, Debmalya Barh⁴, Preetam Ghosh⁵, Daniela Arruda Costa¹, Artur Silva⁶, Richard Röttger⁷, Jan Baumbach⁷, Vasco A. C. Azevedo^{1,8}*

1 PG program in Bioinformatics (LGCM), Institute of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil, 2 Department of Chemistry, Islamia College University Peshawar, KPK, Pakistan, 3 Departamento de Química Biológica, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Pabellón II, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 4 Centre for Genomics and Applied Gene Technology, Institute of Integrative Omics and Applied Biotechnology, Nonakuri, Purba Medinipur, West Bengal, India, 5 Department of Computer Science, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, United States of America, 6 Institute of Biologic Sciences, Federal University of Para, Belém, PA, Brazil, 7 Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark, 8 Department of General Biology (LGCM), Institute of Biologic Sciences, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

These authors contributed equally to this work.
* vascoariston@gmail.com

Abstract

Corynebacterium diphtheriae (Cd) is a Gram-positive human pathogen responsible for diphtheria infection and once regarded for high mortalities worldwide. The fatality gradually decreased with improved living standards and further alleviated when many immunization programs were introduced. However, numerous drug-resistant strains emerged recently that consequently decreased the efficacy of current therapeutics and vaccines, thereby obliging the scientific community to start investigating new therapeutic targets in pathogenic microorganisms. In this study, our contributions include the prediction of modelome of 13 C. diphtheriae strains, using the MHOLline workflow. A set of 463 conserved proteins were identified by combining the results of pangenomics based core-genome and core-modelome analyses. Further, using subtractive proteomics and modelomics approaches for target identification, a set of 23 proteins was selected as essential for the bacteria. Considering human as a host, eight of these proteins (glpX, nusB, rpsH, hisE, smpB, bioB, DIP1084, and DIP0983) were considered as essential and non-host homologs, and have been subjected to virtual screening using four different compound libraries (extracted from the ZINC database, plant-derived natural compounds and Di-terpenoid Iso-steviol derivatives). The proposed ligand molecules showed favorable interactions, lowered energy values and high complementarity with the predicted targets. Our proposed approach expedites the selection of C. diphtheriae putative proteins for broad-spectrum development of novel drugs and vaccines, owing to the fact that some of these targets have already been identified and validated in other organisms.



capes.gov.br/). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abbreviations: BLAST, Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; Cd, *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*; DNA, Deoxyribonucleic acid; LDC, Lysine decarboxylase; MVD, Molegro Virtual Docker; PDB, Protein Data Bank; RNA, Ribonucleic acid; NP, Natural Product.

Introduction

Corynebacterium diphtheriae is responsible for causing diphtheria which remains a major global cause of death (http://www.who.int/immunization_monitoring/diseases/diphteria/), and has conventionally been divided into four subgroups of biovars *i.e.*, gravis, intermedius, mitis and belfanti based on biochemical characteristics according to Funke et al., 1997 [1] and Whitman et al., 2012 [2]. It was once a major cause of infant mortality, which spread as an epidemic and resulted in thousands of deaths [3]. The death rates dropped over time specifically in countries where living standards have improved, and the death rates rapidly declined after the introduction of immunization programs [3]. Despite these measures, it remains a significant pathogen around the globe, even today. A variety of mechanisms were responsible for causing such death rates; for example the 'strangling angel' effect on children that ascended from the wing shaped pseudo-membranes formed in the oropharynx. Disarticulation and impaction of these pseudo-membranes triggers acute airway obstruction and can result in sudden death [3, 4]. Since there has been a plethora of reported cases on both non-lethal and lethal diphtheria across various countries in the past few years, and that significant population displacements in the form of immigration are happening, more such cases are bound to follow. A passable handling requires quick inroads in discovering diphtheria antitoxin and antibiotic treatment [5].

Computational methods and other approaches, like reverse vaccinology, have been established for the rapid identification of novel targets in the post-genomic era [6, 7]. Approaches like subtractive and comparative microbial genomics as well as differential genome analysis [8] are being used for the identification of targets in a number of human pathogens like *M. tuberculosis* [9], *Burkholderia pseudomalleii* [10], *Helicobacter pylori* [11] *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [12], *Neisseria gonorrhea* [13] and *Salmonella typhi* [14].

The main principle is to find targeted genes/proteins that are essential for the pathogen and possess no homology counterpart in the host [15], such that drugs targeting these "pathogen-essential non-host homologs" can be applied with little (or no) off targets in the host. Some pathogen-essential proteins, though, may possess a certain degree of homology to host proteins. However, they might still be selected as potential molecular targets for structure-based selective inhibitor development. Significant differences in the active sites or in other druggable pockets might exist, such that the pathogenic protein could still be targeted [16, 17].

Here, we exploit an integrative *in silico* approach for the predictive proteome of *C*. *diphtheriae* species to associate the genomic information with the identification of putative therapeutic targets based on their three dimensional structure. It can be utilized for the identification of potent inhibitors, which might possibly lead to the discovery of compounds that inhibit pathogenic growth. The predicted proteomes from the 13 genomes of *C. diphtheriae* were modeled (pan-modelome) using the MHOLline workflow as proposed by Hassan *et al.*, 2014 [18]. Furthermore, intra-species conserved proteins with adequate 3D models (core-modelome) were filtered on the basis of predicted essentiality for the bacteria, which leads to the identification of eight essential bacterial proteins. They were found non homologous to all host proteins and have been subjected to virtual screening using multiple compound libraries.

We provided a list of putative targets in *C. diphteriae*, and possible mechanisms to design peptide vaccines, and suggest novel lead, natural and drug-like compounds that could bind to the proposed target proteins.

Materials and methods

Genomes selection

The thirteen *C. diphtheriae* strains, including three of the four biovars: gravis, mitis and belfanti (Table 1) were included in this study. The gene and protein sequences of these thirteen *C. diphtheriae* strains were retrieved from NCBI (<u>ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/Bacteria</u>). The different steps involved in this computational approach for genome-scale modelome prediction and for the prioritization of putative drug and vaccine targets are given in (**Figs 1 & 2**).

Prediction of core-modelome and identification of core genome

To construct the core-modelome of *C. diphtheriae*, we followed a slightly modified protocol described by Hassan *et al.*, 2014 [18]. High throughput structural modeling, MHOLline (http://www.mholline.lncc.br), was used to predict the modelome (whole-proteome set of protein 3D models) for each strain. MHOLline uses comparative modeling approach for protein 3D structure prediction through MODELLER [19]. Our workflow also includes BLASTp (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool for Protein) [20], HMMTOP (Prediction of transmembrane helices and topology of proteins), [21] BATS (Blast Automatic Targeting for Structures), FILTERS, ECNGet (Get Enzyme Commission Number), MODELLER, and PROCHECK [22].

MHOLline work on the basis of available template. It is probable that MHOLline cannot detect all the common conserved proteins due to the unavailability of the template. To overcome this probability, we used EDGAR (an Efficient Database framework for comparative Genome Analyses using BLAST score Ratios for pan-genomics analysis) to collect common conserved genome as well of all Cd strains [23]. Later, the results from MHOLine and EDGAR were compared and crosschecked to obtain the final dataset of common conserved proteins.

Identification of intra-species conserved proteins

Primarily, for the identification of highly conserved proteins with available 3D models in all Cd strains (\geq 95% sequence identity), the standalone release of NCBI BLASTp+ (v2.2.26) was adapted from the NCBI ftp. Site (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/LATEST/) and installed on a local machine. Furthermore, a search was performed using NCTC13129 as a random reference genome for all strains. Comparative genomics/proteomics approach was

Table 1. Strains of C. diphtheriae employed in the pan-modelome study with information on genomes statistics, disease prevalence and locatio	n
of isolation.	

Strain	GPID	NCBI Accession	Genome Size (Mb)	Proteins	GC%	Location	Biovar
31A	PRJNA42399	NC_016799.1	2.53535	2258	53.60	Brazil	_
241	PRJNA42407	NC_016782.1	2.42655	2245	53.40	Brazil	_
BH8	PRJNA42423	NC_016800.1	2.48552	2223	53.60	Brazil	_
C7	PRJNA42401	NC_016801.1	2.49919	2230	53.50	USA	_
CDCE8392	PRJNA42405	NC_016785.1	2.43333	2135	53.60	USA	Mitis
HC01	PRJNA42409	NC_016786.1	2.42715	2162	53.40	Brazil	Mitis
HC02	PRJNA42411	NC_016802.1	2.46861	2179	53.70	Brazil	Mitis
HC03	PRJNA42415	NC_016787.1	2.47836	2186	53.50	Brazil	Mitis
HC04	PRJNA42417	NC_016788.1	2.48433	2190	53.50	Brazil	Gravis
INCA402	PRJNA42419	NC_016783.1	2.44907	2163	53.70	Brazil	Belfanti
PW8	PRJNA42403	NC_016789.1	2.53068	2200	53.70	USA	_
VA01	PRJNA42421	NC_016790.1	2.39544	2100	53.40	Brazil	Gravis
NCTC13129	PRJNA87	NC_002935.2	2.48863	2185	53.50	UK	Gravis

next adopted for selecting the highly conserved proteins using an all-against-all BLASTp analysis with a cut-off value of E = 0.0001, as in many other essentiality studies before [6, 13, 15, 18, 24].

Essential and non-host homologous (ENH) protein targets

A subtractive genomics approach was next followed for the selection of conserved targets, which were essential to the bacteria [15]. Concisely, the set of proteins derived from the coremodelome of *C. diphtheriae* was subjected to the Database of Essential Genes (DEG) for homology analyses. The DEG encompasses experimentally validated data of currently available essential genomic elements like protein-coding genes and non-coding RNAs, from bacteria, archaea and eukaryotes. For a bacterium, essential genes form a minimal genome, i.e., a set of functional modules that has key roles in the emerging field of synthetic biology [25]. The cutoff values used for BLASTp were: *E-value* = 0.0001, bit score ≥ 100 and *identity* $\geq 25\%$ [15, 18].

The pool of essential genes was then subjected to NCBI-BLASTp (*E-value* = 0.0001, bit score \geq 100 and identity \geq 25%) against the human genome for filtering pathogen-essential hosthomologs [6]. The remaining set of pathogen-essential non-host homologs were additionally crosschecked with NCBI-BLASTp PDB database using the default values to find any remote structural similarity with the existing host homolog protein structures, keeping the cutoff level to \leq 15% for query coverage. The biochemical pathways of these proteins have been checked using KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) [26], functionality using UniProt (Universal Protein Resource) [27], virulence using PAIDB (Pathogenicity island database) [28], and cellular localization using CELLO (subCELlular LOcalization predictor) [29]. The final list of targets was based on criteria described by Barh *et al.*, 2011 & Hassan *et al.*, 2014 [15, 18].

Essential and host homologous (EH) protein targets

We further extended our analyses to the set of protein targets that were essential to *C. diphtheriae* but homologous to host proteins. The essential protein targets deviating from the cutoff



Fig 1. Overview of different computational steps employed for the identification of putative essential targets (non-host homologous and host homologous) from the core-proteome of 13 *C. diphtheriae* strains.





Fig 2. Intra-species subtractive modelomics workflow for conserved target identification in *C. diphtheriae* species. The table represents the total number of protein sequences as an input data fed to the MHOLline workflow (upper red arrow). The blue arrow represents the core genes of thirteen Cd strains. The rectangular boxes show how this workflow processes and filters a large quantity of genomic data for putative drug and vaccine target identification of a pathogen.

values for essential non-host homologous proteins were treated as host homologous proteins. This set of targets was also checked for pathway involvement, functional annotation virulence, and cellular localization as mentioned above.

Computational identification of druggable pockets

The information obtained from 3D structures and druggability analyses are important features for prioritizing and authenticating putative pathogen targets [30, 31]. As mentioned above, for druggability analyses, the final list of essential non-host and host homologous protein targets were subjected to DoGSiteScorer in PDB format [32]. The DoGSiteScorer is an automated pocket detection and analysis tool for calculating the druggability of protein cavities. For each detected cavity the tool returns the pocket residues and a druggability score ranging from 0 to 1. Values closer to 1 indicate highly druggable protein cavity, i.e. the predicted cavities are likely to bind ligands with high affinity [32]. The DoGSiteScorer also calculates volume, depth, surface area, lipophilic surface, and further parameters for each predicted cavity.

Ligand libraries preparation, virtual screening and docking analyses

The ligand libraries were prepared from four different sources, compounds from ZINC database (ZINC drug-like molecules, ZINC Natural Product), natural compounds from literature survey [33] and the Di-terpenoid Iso-steviol derivatives (S1 Table). ZINC (drug-like molecules) contains 11,193 drug-like molecules, with Tanimoto cutoff level of 60% [34] and ZINC (Natural Product) contain 11,203 molecules. Whereas, the small library of natural compounds contained 28 molecules and the library of Di-terpenoid Iso-steviol derivatives contained 31 molecules respectively. The structures of these molecules were constructed using MOE-Builder tool. The 3D structures were modeled and partial charges were calculated using MOE (Molecular Operating Environment). The energies of the modeled molecules were minimized using the energy minimization algorithm of MOE tool (gradient: 0.05, Force Field: MMFF94X, Chiral Constraint) [35]. The modeled molecules were saved in the.mol2 file format and subjected to docking analysis.

The 3D structures of proteins were examined for structural errors such as missing atoms, wrong bonds and protonation states in the MVD (Molegro Virtual Docker) [36]. The consensus set of protein cavities and those predicted with DogSiteScorer (druggability \geq 0.80) were compared with the MVD detected cavities, for all Cd targets. The maximum numbers of residues from DoGSiteScorer falling in the cavities detected by MVD were merged and final grid was generated based on the consensus between the highest scoring pocket from DoGSiteScorer and cavities detected by MVD for docking. The most druggable cavity was subjected to virtual screening using MVD. The program comprises of three search algorithms for molecular docking analyses namely MolDock Simplex Evolution (SE), MolDock Optimizer [36] and Iterated Simplex (IS). We employed the MolDock Optimizer search algorithm, which is based on a differential evolutionary algorithm, using the default parameters that are **a**) population size = 50, **b**) scaling factor = 0.5 and **c**) crossover rate = 0.9. The orientations of docked molecules from the library of natural compounds and from the derivatives of Di-terpenoid Iso-steviol were analyzed in Chimera [37]. The 200 top ranked compounds (ZINC drug-like molecules, ZINC Natural Product) for each target protein were evaluated for shape complementarity and hydrogen bond interactions. This led to the selection of a final set of compounds with polypharmacology and polypharmacy characteristics for target proteins in C. diphtheriae.

Results and discussion

Modelome prediction and conserved targets identification in *C*. *diphtheriae*

Among 13 strains of *C. diphtheriae* species, our employed methodology produced high-confidence 3D structural models from orthologous proteins in *C. diphtheriae* species through the efficient MHOLline workflow (Fig 3). A comparative structural genomics approach was



Fig 3. Efficiency of the MHOLline biological workflow for genome-scale modelome (3D models) prediction. Predicted proteomes from the genomes of 13 *C. diphtheriae* strains were fed to the MHOLline workflow in FASTA format. The grey bars represent the number of input data. The remaining bars (MHOLline output data) show the number of not aligned sequences (G0, green bars), sequences for which there is a template structure available at RCSB PDB (blue bars), and sequences with acceptable template structures that were modeled in the MHOLline workflow (G2, red bars).

followed where all the G2 sequences classified as "Very High", "High", "Good" and "Medium to Good quality" by MHOLline, from the 12 Cd strains, were aligned to the Cd NCTC13129 strain as a reference genome. First, we identified a set of common conserved proteins with a pre-defined sequence similarity of 95–100%. This resulted in a set of 463 protein sequences, being conserved in all Cd strains (S3 Table).

Protein targets as putative drug and vaccine candidates

The identification of essential proteins in *C. diphtheriae* was carried out where the core-modelome was compared to DEG (Database of Essential Genes). This filter drastically reduced the number of selected targets to 23 final targets. Further comparison of the corresponding protein sequences to the human host proteome resulted in a set of 8 targets as essential non-host homologous (ENH, Table 2) and a set of 15 targets as essential host homologous proteins (EH, Table 3).

Prioritization parameters for drug targets and vaccine candidates

There are several factors that can aid in determining potential therapeutic targets [30]. For vaccine candidates, the information about subcellular localization is important: Proteins that contain transmembrane motifs are favored [24, 30, 38, 39]. The 23 essential proteins have a low molecular weight and all are localized in the cytoplasmic compartment of *C. diphtheriae* (**Tables 2 & 3**). After the druggability evaluation using DoGSiteScorer [32] for both essential non-host and host homologous conserved targets from *C. diphtheriae*, we could predict at least one druggable cavity for each Cd target. The host homologous proteins as therapeutic targets could adversely affect the host. Therefore, the first step in numerous *in silico* drug target identification approaches are filtering proteins homologos for the docking studies [13, 15, 40]. For the eight pathogen-essential non host homologs (S2 Table) glpX, nusB, rpsH, hisE, DIP1084, DIP0983, smpB, and bioB 3, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1 and 3 cavities with score > 0.80 were predicted. The cavity of each protein exhibiting the highest druggability score was subjected to docking analyses. The numbers of predicted cavities with their respective druggability scores are given in **Tables 2 & 3**.

The identified eight non-host homologous and essential Cd proteins could be novel therapeutic targets for *Corynebacterium diphtheriae*.

As per our knowledge, glpX, hisE and bioB proteins have been reported as potential drug target in *Mtb*. Protein nusB is a member of Nus-transcription Factor family that help bacteria in the process of elongation, transcription: translation coupling and termination. Some members of this family (nusG) has already been reported as drug target. Furthermore, rpsH and smpB are also reported as potential drug target by Folador et al., 2016 in their *in silico* study [41]. Protein DIP1084 is Putative iron transport membrane protein (FecCD-family) and DIP0983 is uncharacterized Hypothetical Protein that need to be characterized experimentally. Hence, these protein could be a good therapeutic target against Cd.

Virtual screening and molecular docking

For each target protein (glpX, nusB, rpsH, hisE, DIP1084, DIP0983, smpB, and bioB) four different libraries were separately screened. A total of 28 molecules from natural compounds library and 31 compounds from the derivatives of Di-terpenoid Iso-steviol library were docked. Furthermore, top 200 drug-like molecules from virtual screening analyses of two large libraries (ZINC drug-like molecules, ZINC Natural Product) were examined one-by-one for the selection of the final set of promising molecules that showed favorable interactions with

	ONE
--	-----

Gene and protein codes	Official full name	Cavities with DS ^a <u>></u> 0.80	Cavities with DS ^{a,g} <u>></u> 0.60 and < 0.80	Mol. Wt ^b (KDa)	Functions ^c	Cellular component ^d	Pathways ^e	Virulence ^f
NP_939692.1, nusB	Transcription antitermination protein NusB/ N utilization substance protein B homolog	0	2	20.382	MF: RNA binding. BP: DNA-templated transcription, termination, regulation of transcription, DNA- templated.	Cytoplasm	unknown	No
NP_939612.1, hisE	Phosphoribosyl-ATP pyrophosphatase	0	1	9.877	MF: RNA binding, phosphoribosyl-ATP diphosphatase activity BP: histidine biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm	Biosynthesis of amino acids	Yes
NP_939445.1, DIP1084	Iron ABC transporter membrane protein/ Putative iron transport membrane protein, FecCD-family	2	3	35.470	MF: Transporter activity BP: Transport	Membrane	The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters form one of the largest known protein families	Yes
NP_939345.1, DIP0983	Hypothetical protein DIP0983/ Uncharacterized protein	0	4	28.193	MF: possible lysine decarboxylases (Pfam)/52% sequence identity with PDB Template 1WEK. BP: A pyridoxal- phosphate protein. Also acts on 5-hydroxy-L- lysine (IUBMB Comments)	Cytoplasm	unknown	Yes
NP_939302.1, glpX	Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase II	3	2	35.589	MF: fructose 1,6-bisphosphate 1-phosphatase activity, metal ion binding. BP: gluconeogenesis, glycerol metabolic process		Carbohydrate Metabolism	No
NP_939123.1, smpB	SsrA-binding protein	1	2	18.784	MF: RNA binding	Cytoplasm	unknown	Yes
NP_938900.1, rpsH	30S ribosomal protein S8	1	1	14.292	MF: rRNA binding, structural constituent of ribosome BP: Translation	Extracellula/ Cytoplasm	unknown	No
NP_938502.1, bioB	Biotin synthase	3	1	38.224	MF: 2 iron, 2 sulfur cluster binding, 4 iron 4 sulfur cluster binding, biotin synthase activity, iron ion binding BP: biotin biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm	Biotin metabolism	Yes

Table 2. Drug and/or vaccine target prioritization parameters and functional annotation of the eight essential non-host homologous putative targets.

^aDruggability predicted with DoGSiteScorer software. A druggability score above 0.60 is considered to be good, but a score above 0.80 is favored [32]. ^bMolecular weight was determined using ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

^cMolecular function (MF) and biological process (BP) for each target protein was determined using UniProt.

^dCellular localization of pathogen targets was performed using CELLO.

^eKEGG was used to find the role of these targets in different cellular pathways.

^fPAIDB was used to check if the putative targets are involved in the pathogen's virulence.

^gDS = Drug Score

	ONE
--	-----

Gene and protein codes	Official full name	Cavities with DS ^a ≥ 0.80	Cavities with DS ^{a,g} ≥ 0.60 and < 0.80	Mol. Wt ^b . (KDa)	Functions ^c	Cellular ^d component	Pathways ^e	Virulence ^f
NP_938651.1 RecR	Recombination protein RecR	0	2	23.901	MF: DNA binding, metal ion binding BP: DNA recombination, DNA repair	Cytoplasm	Homologous recombination	Yes
NP_938792.1 DIP0411	Putative electron transport related protein	0	2	19.950	MF: Antioxidant activity, oxidoreductase activity	Cytoplasm/ Membrane	_	Yes
NP_938922.1 rpsM	30S ribosomal protein S13	0	1	13.777	MF: rRNA binding, structural constituent of ribosome, RNA binding BP: Translation		Ribosome	No
NP_939046.1 DIP0672	Putative uptake hydrogenase small subunit	2	0	43.949	MF: 3 iron, 4 sulfur cluster binding, 4 iron, 4 sulfur cluster binding, ferredoxin hydrogenase activity, metal ion binding	Cytoplasm	Microbial metabolism in diverse environments	Yes
NP_939341.1 dapD , DIP0979	Tetrahydropicolinate succinylase EC 2.3.1.117	1	1	33.780	MF: 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine- 2,6-dicarboxylate N- succinyltransferase activity, magnesium ion binding BP: diaminopimelate biosynthetic process, lysine biosynthetic process via diaminopimelate	Cytoplasm	Biosynthesis of amino acids	Yes
NP_939343.1 DIP0981	Putative succinyltransferase EC 2.3.1.117	1	1	33.039	MF: 2,3,4,5-tetrahydropyridine- 2,6-dicarboxylate N- succinyltransferase activity	Cytoplasm	Biosynthesis of amino acids	Yes
NP_939460.1 ilvH, DIP1099	Acetolactate synthase small subunit EC 2.2.1.6	1	3	19.063	MF: acetolactate synthase activity, amino acid binding BP: branched-chain amino acid biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm/ Membrane	2-Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism	Yes
NP_939590.1 cobM	Precorrin-4 C11-methyltransferase EC 2.1.1.133	1	2	27.181	MF: precorrin-2 dehydrogenase activity, precorrin-4 C11-methyltransferase activity BP: cobalamin biosynthetic process, porphyrin-containing compound biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm	Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism	Yes
NP_939786.1 DIP1438	Putative transport membrane protein	4	3	44.215	MF: Transporter activity BP: transmembrane transport	Membrane	The ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters	Yes
NP_939832.1 DIP1484	Putative uroporphyrinogen III methyltransferase	3	2	28.296	MF: Methyltransferase activity BP: oxidation-reduction process	Cytoplasm	Porphyrin and chlorophyll metabolism	Yes
NP_939958.1 aroH, DIP1616	Phospho-2-dehydro- 3-deoxyheptonate aldolase EC 2.5.1.54	2	3	50.805	MF: 3-deoxy- 7-phosphoheptulonate synthase activity BP: aromatic amino acid family biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm	Biosynthesis of amino acids	Yes

Table 3. Drug and/or vaccine target prioritization parameters and functional annotation of the fifteen essential host homologous putative targets.

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

Gene and protein codes	Official full name	Cavities with DS ^a <u>></u> 0.80	Cavities with DS ^{a,g} <u>></u> 0.60 and < 0.80	Mol. Wt ^b . (KDa)	Functions ^c	Cellular ^d component	Pathways ^e	Virulence ^f
NP_940018.1 DIP1680	Putative GTP cyclohydrolase 1 type 2 EC 3.5.4.16	2	1	40.657	MF: GTP binding, GTP cyclohydrolase I activity, metal ion binding BP: 7,8-dihydroneopterin 3'- triphosphate biosynthetic process	Cytoplasm	_	Yes
NP_940228.1 cysE, DIP1891	Serine acetyltransferase EC 2.3.1.30	1	0	20.208	MF: serine O- acetyltransferase activity BP: cysteine biosynthetic process from serine	Cytoplasm	Carbon metabolism	Yes
NP_940284.1 DIP1952	Putative pyruvate dehydrogenase	3	1	62.497	MF: Catalytic activity, magnesium ion binding, thiamine pyrophosphate binding	Cytoplasm	(PYRUVATE METABOLISM) Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism	Yes
NP_940605.1 DIP2303	Putative DNA protection during starvation protein	0	1	18.223	MF: Ferric iron binding, oxidoreductase activity, oxidizing metal ions BP: cellular iron ion homeostasis, response to stress	Cytoplasm	_	Yes

^aDruggability predicted with DoGSiteScorer software. A druggability score above 0.60 is usually considered, but a score above 0.80 is favored [32].

^b Molecular weight was determined using ProtParam tool (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

^c Molecular function (MF) and biological process (BP) for each target protein was determined using UniProt.

^dCellular localization of pathogen targets was performed using CELLO.

^eKEGG was used to find the role of these targets in different cellular pathways.

^fPAIDB was used to check if the putative targets are involved in the pathogen's virulence.

^gDS = Drug Score.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186401.t003

the ENH targets. The biological importance and an analysis of the predicted protein-ligand interaction/s for each target are described here. The molecule names, ZINC codes and Mol-Dock scores for the selected ligands, as well as the number of predicted hydrogen bonds with the protein cavity residues involved in these interactions, are shown below (**Tables 4–11**) for each target protein. The predicted binding modes of selected ligands are also shown for each pathogen target in **Figs 5–12**.

Validation of docking protocol

To validate the accuracy of MolDock program (MVD), the co-crystallized ligand of Biotin synthase, bioB (PDB ID; 1R30) was extracted and then re-docked into the binding pocket of receptor protein. The RMSD between docked and co-crystallized ligand was found to be 1.81 A°, which shows that the adopted docking protocol is valid and can be used to correctly predict the binding pose of the ligands [35, 42]. The superposition of co-crystallized ligands and docked is shown in Fig 4.

NP_939302.1 (**glpX**, Fructose 1, 6-bisphosphatase II) is a key enzyme of gluconeogenesis and catalyzes the hydrolysis of fructose 1, 6-bisphosphate to form fructose 6-phosphate and orthophosphate. A reverse reaction catalyzed by phosphofructokinase in glycolysis, and the



Compounds		MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues
Plant derived natural	Rhein	-64.1265	3/ Val95, Asp197
compounds	Jacarandic Acid	-62.0658	4/ Asp96, Thr98, Asp197, Pro221
Derivative of diterpenoid	16-hydrazonisosteviol	-64.2107	5/ Asp93, Val95, Asp96, Val198
isosteviol	16-oxime, 17-hydroxyisosteviol	-69.6824	4/ Asp93, Asp96, Thr98
	Benzyl ester isosteviol lactone	-69.8464	3/ Asp93, Asp197, Glu222
ZINC Compounds	ZINC00042420	-106.97	3/ Arg175, Arg197, Val198
	ZINC13142972	-109.648	3/ Asp93, Asp197, Glu222
ZINC <u>*</u> NP Compounds	ZINC67912153	-135.111	13/Gly64, Glu65, Asp93, Val95, Gly97, Thr98, Phe127, Arg175, Glu222
	ZINC67902753	-121.762	8/Glu65, Val95, Thr98, Glu222
	ZINC38143633	-123.150	11/Lys37, Asp93, Val95, Asp96, Gly97, Thr98

Table 4. Compounds/Libraries name, MoIDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected best-ranked molecules against NP_939302.1 (glpX, Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase II).

*NP = Natural Product (http://zinc.docking.org/catalogs/acdiscnp)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186401.t004

product, fructose 6-phosphate, is an important precursor in various biosynthetic pathways [43]. In all organisms, gluconeogenesis is an important metabolic pathway that allows the cells to synthesize glucose from non-carbohydrate precursors, such as organic acids, amino acids and glycerol. FBPases are members of the large superfamily of lithium sensitive phosphatases, which includes three families of inositol phosphatases and FBPases (the phosphoesterase clan CL0171, 3167 sequences, Pfam data base). The FBPases are already reported as targets for the development of drugs for the treatment of noninsulin dependent diabetes [44, 45]. Based on a comparison with a crystallographic structure of the glpX template (PDB ID: 1NI9, GlpX from *Escherichia coli*), none of the active site residues were identified. The docking analysis was performed utilizing the highest scoring pocket obtained from DoGSiteScorer. Table 4 shows a set of 10 promising ligands according to their minimum energy values and the maximum number of hydrogen bond interactions from the four aforementioned libraries. Compounds ZINC67912153, ZINC13142972, Jacarandic Acid and 16-hydrazonisosteviol are shown in Fig 5.

NP_939692.1 (**nusB**, Transcription antitermination protein NusB) is a prokaryotic transcription factor involved in antitermination processes, during which it interacts with the mRNA nut site at boxA portion. The crystal structure of *M. tuberculosis* and *E. coli* NusB proteins suggest that the basic N-terminal region of the molecule associates with the rRNA BoxA. Hypothetically, this is indicative of the so-called arginine rich RNA binding motif (ARM) in

Table 5. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_939692.1 (nusB, Transcription antitermination protein NusB).

Compounds		MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues
Plant derived natural compounds	Rhein	-78.0652	1/ Asp36
	Jacarandic Acid	-68.1913	3/ Asp36, Glu91, Arg98
Derivative of diterpenoid isosteviol	16-hydrazonisosteviol	-92.7911	2/ Glu31, Leu73
ZINC Compounds	ZINC00053531	-99.4716	3/ Asp34, Asp36, Ala69
	ZINC19899354	-114.966	3/ Asp36, Ala69, Asp77
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC67911826	-131.288	7/Ala30, Glu31, Asp34, lle35, Arg102
	ZINC15043210	-124.580	7/ Glu31, Ile35, Leu73 Glu91, Arg102
	ZINC31168395	-131.442	6/ Glu31, Asp34, Ala69, Leu73, Asp77, Arg102



Table 6. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_938900.1 (rpsH, 30S ribosomal protein S8).

Compou	nds	MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues
Plant derived natural compounds	Rhein	-48.8803	3/ Asp5, Arg15
	Jacarandic Acid	-49.3506	4/ Ser12, Val77
Derivative of diterpenoid isosteviol	16-hydrazonisosteviol	-68.2446	3/ Asp5, Arg13, Arg79
	17-hydroxyisosteviol	-64.5855	5/ Asp5, Arg79
	16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol	-56.3868	4/ Asp5, Arg79
	16-oxime, 17-hydroxyisosteviol	-65.1995	4/ Ser26, Ser29, Ser30
ZINC Compounds	ZINC15221730	-103.636	5/ Ala8, Arg15, Arg79
	ZINC71913776	-87.1474	5/ Arg15, Arg79
	ZINC72333100	-104.807	3/ Arg15, Arg79, Val80
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC35457686	-107.091	10/Ala8, Ser12, Arg15, Val77, Arg78, Arg79, Lys82
	ZINC67903079	-131.210	10/ Asp5, Ser12, Arg15, Arg78, Arg79, Lys82
	ZINC31163223	-100.684	7/ Ala8, Asp9, Arg78, Arg79, Lys82

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186401.t006

Table 7. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_938502.1 (bioB, Biotin synthase).

Compounds		MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues
Plant derived natural	Rhein	-72.2918	3/ Tyr183, Asn184, His185
compounds	Jacarandic Acid	-98.0169	2/ Ala132, Glu188
Derivative of diterpenoid	16-hydrazonisosteviol	-107.55	4/ Gly165, Tyr183, Asn184, Glu188
isosteviol	17-hydroxyisosteviol	-92.2141	4/ Cys93, Ala132, Val134, Tyr183
	16-oxime, 17-hydroxyisosteviol	-98.9592	5/ Glu95, Val134, Glu188, Arg206, Thr323
	Benzyl ester isosteviol lactone	-89.8881	5/ Ala132, Val134, Gly165, Asn184
ZINC Compounds	ZINC16952914	-119.354	5/ Val134, lle164, Gly165, Asn184, Asn186
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC77269615	-164.853	17/Cys86, Phe92, Cys93, Ser94, Gln95, Ala132, Val134, Ser163, Asn184, Asn186, Arg206
	ZINC04098512	-162.050	14/ Cys86, Cys90, Cys93, Ala132, Val134, Asn184, Asn186, His201, Arg206, Asp256, Thr323
	ZINC15112225	-142.080	10/ Phe92, Cys93, Ser94, Gln95, Asn186, Arg206, Asn253

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186401.t007

Table 8. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_939612.1 (hisE, Phosphoribosyl-ATP pyrophosphatase).

Compound	S	MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues
Plant derived natural compounds	Rhein	-54.9556	1/ Tyr84
	Jacarandic Acid	-61.0241	3/ Leu87
Derivative of diterpenoid isosteviol	16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol	-70.8496	3/ Leu65, Tyr84
ZINC Compounds	ZINC05809437	-89.6781	1/ Asp5
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC38143703	-99.499	4/ Thr79, Ile83, Leu87
	ZINC67913372	-97.997	5/ Gly36, lle62, lle83, Tyr84



Table 9. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_939123.1 (smpB, SsrA-binding protein).

Compounds		MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues	
Plant derived natural compounds	Rhein	-67.698	3/ Ser16, Ser114, Leu115	
	Jacarandic Acid	-52.3689	1/ Asn69	
Derivative of diterpenoid isosteviol	16-hydroxyisosteviol	-53.2141	4/ Ser50, Thr52, Asn69	
	16-hydrazonisosteviol	-64.6203	3/ Thr52, Asn67	
	16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol	-59.7364	3/ Ser16, Lys19, Val49	
ZINC Compounds	ZINC01414475	-86.7944	3/ Thr52, Asn67, Asn69	
	ZINC17128469	-74.5349	3/ Ser16, Leu51, Thr52	
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC31168211	-158.056	12/ Asn9, Ser16, Val49, Ser50, Thr52, Asp53, Ser54, Thr109	
	ZINC33832449	-134.974	10/ Asn9, Ser16, Asn17, Val49, Ser50, Thr52, Asp53	
	ZINC04096316	-137.613	9/ Asn9, Ser10, Ser16, Asn17, Lys19, Val49, Ser50, Thr52	

Table 10. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_939445.1 (DIP1084, Putative iron transport membrane protein, FecCD-family).

Compounds		MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues	
Plant derived natural compounds	Rhein	-66.4406	1/ Ser164	
	Jacarandic Acid	-77.5981	1/ Gly265	
Derivative of diterpenoid isosteviol	16-hydrazonisosteviol	-96.3945	4/ Tyr97, Ser164, lle266	
	17-hydroxyisosteviol	-90.1488	4/ Tyr97, Ser164, Ile266	
	Benzyl ester isosteviol lactone	-71.4733	4/ Tyr97, Ser164	
ZINC Compounds	ZINC01645563	-95.7116	5/ Tyr97, Ser102, Ser164, lle266	
	ZINC13142972	-111.185	5/ Ser103, Ser106, Thr110, Ser164, Ser168	
	ZINC62023045	-103.542	4/ Tyr97, Ser102, Ser106, Ser164	
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC70454922	-155.667	10/ Tyr97, Ser102, Ser106, Ser168, Ser172, Met191, Gly319	
	ZINC31167925	-135.535	10/ Tyr97, Ser164, Ser168, Met191, Gly265, lle266, Thr322	
	ZINC04963990	-127.671	8/ Asp95, Tyr97, Ser106, Met191, Ile266, Phe268	

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186401.t010

Table 11. Compounds/Libraries name, MolDock scores and predicted hydrogen bonds for the selected molecules against NP_939345.1 (DIP0983, hypothetical protein DIP0983).

Compounds		MolDock Score	H-Bond/Residues
Plant derived natural compounds	Rhein	-55.7819	3/ Cys13, Leu17, Asp177
	Jacarandic Acid	-80.8294	3/ Cys13, Gly14
Derivative of diterpenoid isosteviol	17-hydroxyisosteviol	-95.9025	2/ His11, Cys13
	16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol	-83.7226	2/ His11, Cys13
ZINC Compounds	ZINC00114311	-125.423	6/ Arg10, Arg155, Gly172
	ZINC00211173	-98.6064	7/ Arg10, Gly106, Arg155, Gly174, Asp177
	ZINC01427915	-112.22	6/ Arg10, Ala77, Arg78, Gly172, Gly174
	ZINC04836994	-136.847	5/ Arg10, Gly106, lle131, Glu132
	ZINC32004947	-146.72	5/ Arg10, Gly106, lle131, Glu132
ZINC NP Compounds	ZINC67911471	-176.091	13/ Asn8, Cys13, Ser76, Ala77, Arg78 Arg150, Arg155, Gly174, Thr175
	ZINC31163223	-162.908	12/ Asn8, Arg10, His11, Arg150, Arg155, Gly172, Thr175
	ZINC04096393	-148.423	10/ Glu9, Arg10, Ala77, Arg78, Arg155, Lys156, Thr175



Fig 4. Superposition of co-crystallized and Docked ligand; Dark Khaki represents the co crystallized ligand and Dark Cyan the re-docked conformation of the ligand.

the bacteriophage N protein, HIV tat and HIV rev. This suggestion is supported by the presence of a phosphate-binding site at the N-terminal end of α -A in each NusB protomer that includes a pair of conserved arginines, Arg10 and Arg14 [46]. The bismuth-dithiol solutions have been shown to selectively inhibit *Escherichia coli* rho transcription termination factor [47]. A comparison between the crystallographic structures of the NusB template (PDB ID: 1EYV, NusB from *M. tuberculosis*) and our modeled structure reveals that the conserved arginines were located at position 12 and 16 (Arg12 and Arg16) and are likely to contribute in the interactions. Although none of these residues are predicted to form hydrogen bonds with selected docked ligands, these molecules were predicted to interact with other residues in the pocket. Table 5 shows the 8 selected ligands from all the four libraries according to their



Fig 5. A-I: 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_939302.1 (glpX, Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase II) with Jacarandic Acid (CID 73645). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structures of Jacarandic Acid with glpX protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II & D-I, II represent same information for compounds 16-hydrazonisosteviol, ZINC13142972 and ZINC67912153 respectively, for the same protein cavity.



Fig 6. A-I: 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_939692.1 (nusB, Transcription antitermination protein NusB) with Jacarandic Acid (CID 73645). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structures of Jacarandic Acid with nusB protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II and D-I, II represent same information for compounds 16-hydrazonisosteviol, ZINC00053531 and ZINC15043210 respectively, for the same protein cavity.

minimum energy values and the number of hydrogen bond interactions. The compounds **ZINC15043210**, **ZINC00053531** Jacarandic Acid and 16-hydrazonisosteviol are shown in (Fig 6). A decent binding mode and good shape complementarity was observed in these complexes.

NP_938900.1 (rpsH, 30S ribosomal protein S8) is an important RNA-binding protein that inhabits a central position within the small ribosomal subunit. It widely interacts with 16S rRNA and is vital for the correct folding of the central domain of the rRNA. The protein rpsH S8 also controls the synthesis of numerous ribosomal proteins by binding to mRNA. It binds exactly to very similar sites in the two RNA molecules. It is a ribosomal protein that has medium-size, and its role as a significant primary RNA-binding protein in the 30S subunit is discovered recently. The S8 mutations within the protein have been shown to result in defective ribosome assembly. In Escherichia coli, the S8-binding site within 16S rRNA has been investigated independently by a number of techniques including nuclease protection, RNAprotein crosslinking, RNA modification, hydroxyl-radical footprinting and chemical probing. The rpsH S8 protein is also one of the principal regulatory elements that control ribosomal protein synthesis by the translational feedback inhibition mechanism discovered by Nomura and colleagues [48]. It regulates the expression of the spc operon that encodes, in order, the ten ribosomal proteins L14, L24, L5, S14, S8, L6, L18, S5, L30 and L15 [49]. The active site residues of rpsH, based on a comparison with its template structure were Arg86, Tyr88, Ser107, Ser109, Gly124, Gly125 and Glu126. However, none of the molecules interacts with these residues (Table 6); nonetheless they are predicted to interact with other residues of the binding

PLOS ONE



Fig 7. A-I 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_938900.1 (rpsH, 30S ribosomal protein S8) with Jacarandic Acid (CID 73645). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structures of Jacarandic Acid with rpsH protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II and D-I, II represent same information for compounds 17-hydroxyisosteviol ZINC15221730 and ZINC35457686 respectively, for the same cavity.

cavity predicted by DoGSiteScorer. The predicted binding mode of best scoring compounds each library **ZINC35457686**, **ZINC15221730**, Jacarandic Acid and 17-hydroxyisosteviol are shown in Fig 7.

NP_938502.1 (bioB, Biotin synthase) catalyzes the final step in the biotin biosynthetic pathway by converting dethiobiotin (DTB) to biotin. This reaction uses organic radical chemistry for inserting sulfur atom between non activated carbons C6 and C9 of DTB. BioB is a member of the "radical SAM" or "AdoMet radical" superfamily, which is categorized by the presence of a conserved CxxxCxxC sequence motif (C, Cys; x, any amino acid) that synchronizes an essential Fe₄S₄ cluster, as well as by the use of S-adenosyl-Lmethionine (SAM or Ado-Met) for radical generation. AdoMet radical enzymes act on a wide variety of biomolecules. For example, BioB and lipoyl-acyl carrier protein synthase (LipA) are involved in vitamin biosynthesis; lysine 2,3-aminomutase (LAM) facilitates the fermentation of lysine; class III ribonucleotide reductase (RNR) and pyruvate formate lyase (PFL) catalyze the formation of glycyl radicals in their respective target proteins; and spore photoproduct lyase repairs ultraviolet light-induced DNA damage [50]. The protein bioB was reported as putative drug target in C. *diphtheriae* by Barh *et al.*, 2011 in their in silico study [15]. A comparison between our modeled protein and template structures suggest Cys86, Cys90, Cys93 and Arg291 as the active residues. Although, only Cys86, Cys90 and Cys93 were found to interact with the compounds from our prepared libraries, the molecules were predicted to interact with other residues in the pocket. The binding mode of compounds with active site residues and low scores suggest a set of 10 molecules (Table 7) as promising leads from our four libraries. The predicted binding



Fig 8. A-I 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_938502.1 (bioB, Biotin synthase) with Rhein (CID 10168). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structure of Rhein with bioB protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II & D-I, II represent same information for compounds 16-oxime, 17-hydroxyisosteviol, ZINC16952914 and ZINC77269615 respectively, for the same protein cavity.

modes of Jacarandic Acid, 16-oxime, 17-hydroxyisosteviol, **ZINC16952914** and **ZINC77269615** are shown in **Fig 8**.

NP_939612.1 (hisE, Phosphoribosyl-ATP pyrophosphatase) is the second enzyme in the histidine-biosynthetic pathway, hydrolyzing irreversibly phosphoribosyl-ATP to phosphoribosyl-AMP and pyrophosphate. It is encoded by the *hisE* gene, which is present as a separate gene in many bacteria and archaea but is fused to *hisI* in other bacteria, fungi and plants. As it is essential for growth as seen in *in vitro* experiments, HisE is a potential drug target for tuberculosis [51]. A comparison of template and target protein structures here showed that there was no reported information about ligand-residue/s association in the active site cavity. Hence, the cavity chosen for virtual screening was simply the one that presented the highest DogSiteScorer druggability score (>80). A list of best dock molecules is shown below (Table 8). The binding patterns of Jacarandic Acid, 16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol, **ZINC05809437** and **ZINC67913372** are shown in Fig 9.

NP_939123.1 (**smpB**, SsrA-binding protein) is a small protein B (SmpB), which is very useful for biological functions of tmRNA. In bacteria, a hybrid RNA molecule that combines the functions of both messenger and transfer RNAs rescues stalled ribosomes, and targets aberrant, partially synthesized proteins for proteolytic degradation. The flexible RNA molecule adopts an open L-shaped conformation and SmpB binds to its elbow region, stabilizing the single-stranded D-loop in an extended conformation. The most prominent feature of the structure of tmRNA_Δ is a 90° rotation of the T Ψ C-arm around the helical axis. Because of this important conformation, the SmpB-tmRNA D-complex positioned into the A-site of the



Fig 9. A-1 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_939612.1 (hisE, Phosphoribosyl-ATP pyrophosphatase) with Jacarandic Acid (CID 73645). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structure of Jacarandic Acid with hisE protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II & D-I, II represent same information for compounds 16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol, ZINC05809437 and ZINC67913372 respectively, for the same protein cavity.



Fig 10. A-I 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_939123.1 (smpB, SsrA-binding protein) with Rhein (CID 10168). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structure of Rhein with smpB protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II & D-I, II represent same information for compounds 16-hydroxyisosteviol ZINC01414475 & ZINC31168211 respectively, for the same protein cavity.



Fig 11. A-I 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_939445.1 (DIP1084, Putative iron transport membrane protein, FecCD-family) with Jacarandic Acid (CID 73645). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structure of Jacarandic Acid with DIP1084, Putative iron transport membrane protein. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II & D-1, II D represent same information for compounds 16-hydrazonisosteviol ZINC13142972 and ZINC70454922 respectively, for the same protein cavity.

ribosome orients SmpB towards the small ribosomal subunit, and directs tmRNA towards the elongation-factor binding region of the ribosome. The tmRNA–SmpB rescue system is ubiquitous in bacteria, and is also found in some chloroplasts and mitochondria [52]. In this case the template structure (PDB ID: 1P6V) did not contain any ligand, and no reported information was found about the ligand-residue interaction in their cavities. Therefore, amongst the cavities identified by MVD, the best cavity for docking analysis was chosen in consensus with highest druggability score from the DogSiteScorer. **ZINC31168211** was found to form the network of 12 hydrogen bonds with Asn9, Ser16, Val49, Ser50, Thr52, Asp53, Ser54, Thr109. **Table 9** lists top compounds from respective libraries selected for this target while the binding modes of Rhein, 16-hydroxyisosteviol, **ZINC01414475 and ZINC31168211** are also shown (Fig 10).

NP_939445.1 (**DIP1084**, Putative iron transport membrane protein, FecCD-family) The Pfam search for the protein showed that it has two main components, FecCD and ABC_trans. The FecCD is a subfamily of bacterial binding-protein-dependent transport systems family constituting transport system permease proteins involved in the transport of numerous compounds through the membrane. These transporters tend to catalyze the thermodynamically unfavorable translocation of substrates against a transmembrane concentration gradient through the coupling to a second, energetically favorable process. ABC systems can be categorized in three functional groups, as follows. Importers mediate the uptake of nutrients in prokaryotes. The nature of the substrates that are transported is very wide, including mono- and oligosaccharides, organic and inorganic ions, amino acids, peptides, iron-siderophores, metals, polyamine cations, opines, and vitamins [53]. Exporters are involved in the secretion of various molecules, such as peptides, lipids, hydrophobic drugs, polysaccharides, and proteins, including toxins such as hemolysin. The third category of systems is apparently not involved



Fig 12. A-1: 3D cartoon representation of the docking analyses for the most druggable protein cavity of NP_939345.1 (DIP0983, Hypothetical protein DIP0983) with Jacarandic Acid (CID 73645). A-II: 3D surface representation of the docking analyses for the structure of Jacarandic Acid with Hypothetical protein DIP0983. Figs B-I, II, C-I, II & D-I, II represent same information for compounds 17-hydroxyisosteviol, ZINC00211173 and ZINC67911471 respectively, for the same protein cavity.

in transport, with some members being involved in translation of mRNA and in DNA repair. Table 10 shows a set of 11 high scoring compounds against the proposed target. Compound ZINC70454922 from ZINC NP library was predicted to form ten hydrogen bonds with relatively low docking score (Fig 11).

NP 939345.1 (**DIP0983**, Hypothetical protein DIP0983) is a conserved hypothetical protein. It is annotated as a possible lysine decarboxylase (LDC) in the Pfam database (PF03641) [54] due to the presence of the highly conserved PGGxGTxxE motif. Some enzymes *i:e* "Lonely Guy" LOG are often mis-annotated as lysine decarboxylases enzymes; it is apparently responsible for catalyzing L-lysine decarboxylation to produce the polyamine metabolite cadaverine [55]. Conversely, this annotation is not supported by any biochemical or functional data in any of the PGGxGTxxE motif containing LDC identified so far. This motif is highly conserved among a vast number of proteins with unknown function, predicted from bacterial, yeast, and plant; in Arabidopsis thaliana, all the genome-annotated LOG proteins are identified as LDC like proteins by protein family. Based on sequence BLAST against the PDB, LOG from Claviceps purpurea shares more than 30% identical residues with crystal structures of LDC-like proteins of unknown function, whose structures are already determined. Recently, lysine decarboxylase has been reported as a therapeutic target by Lohinai et al., 2015 for Periodontal Inflammation [56]. Here we listed 12 compounds showing good potency against our target tabulated in Table 11. Four of the compounds with promising docking results are shown in Fig 12.

Among the drug-like molecule **ZINC13142972** (1-[(2S, 3S, 4S, 5R)-3,4-dihydroxy-5-(hydroxymethyl) oxolan-2-yl]imidazo[1,2-b]pyrazole-7-carbonitrile) was predicted to show good results against two of our targets **NP_939302.1** (**glpX**, Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase II) and **NP_939445.1** (**DIP1084**, Putative iron transport membrane protein, FecCD-family). It

PLOS ONE

has been reported that at present 50% of drug molecules are either from natural source or their derivatives [57]. Interestingly, the compounds from second library of ZINC (Natural Product) showed better energy scores among all the libraries. Furthermore, from the library of natural compounds (28 molecules), Jacarandic Acid and Rhein were identified as the top ranked molecules and *in silico* analysis of the library (derivatives of diterpenoid isosteviol) suggest that compounds 16-hydroxyisosteviol, 16-hydroxyisosteviol, 17-hydroxyisosteviol, 16–17 dihydroxyisosteviol and 16-oxime, 17-hydroxyisosteviol were top ranked molecules, however, with much higher energy scores (less negative) than the top compounds from the ZINC libraries (ZINC drug-like molecules, ZINC Natural Product).

Conclusion

We utilized a bioinformatics pipeline for determining the conserved proteome of 13 strains of *C. diphtheriae*, and subsequently exploit 3D structural information, resulting in a small set of prioritized putative drug/vaccine targets, of which eight proteins are pathogen-essential, non-host homologous and 15 are pathogen-essential, host-homologs. After a detailed structural comparison between host and pathogen proteins, we suggest that eight of the non -host homologs could be considered for antimicrobial chemotherapy in future studies on anti-diphtheriae drugs and vaccines. Moreover, the strategy described herein is of general nature and can also be employed to other pathogenic microorganisms.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Structural information of the Di-terpenoid Iso-steviol derivatives. (DOCX)

S2 Table. Information of templates used for 8 essential non host homologous targets. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Common conserved proteins with their templates. (XLS)

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge wealthy cooperation and assistance of all the team members & collaborators.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Syed Babar Jamal, Syed Shah Hassan, Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

- **Data curation:** Syed Babar Jamal, Syed Shah Hassan, Sandeep Tiwari, Marcus V. Viana, Leandro de Jesus Benevides, Asad Ullah, Adrián G. Turjanski, Daniela Arruda Costa.
- Formal analysis: Syed Babar Jamal, Syed Shah Hassan, Sandeep Tiwari, Marcus V. Viana, Leandro de Jesus Benevides, Asad Ullah, Adrián G. Turjanski, Daniela Arruda Costa.
- **Investigation:** Debmalya Barh, Preetam Ghosh, Richard Röttger, Jan Baumbach, Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

Methodology: Syed Babar Jamal, Sandeep Tiwari.

Project administration: Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

Resources: Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

Supervision: Artur Silva, Jan Baumbach, Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

Validation: Syed Babar Jamal, Sandeep Tiwari, Artur Silva, Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

Visualization: Syed Babar Jamal.

- Writing original draft: Syed Babar Jamal, Sandeep Tiwari.
- Writing review & editing: Syed Shah Hassan, Sandeep Tiwari, Adrián G. Turjanski, Debmalya Barh, Preetam Ghosh, Daniela Arruda Costa, Artur Silva, Richard Röttger, Jan Baumbach, Vasco A. C. Azevedo.

References

- Funke G, von Graevenitz A, Clarridge JE, 3rd, Bernard KA. Clinical microbiology of coryneform bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1997; 10(1):125–59. PMID: <u>8993861</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC172946.
- Goodfellow M, Kämpfer P,. Busse HJ, Trujillo M, Suzuki KI, Ludwig W. Whitman Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology: Springer; 2012.
- 3. Hodes HL. Diphtheria. Pediatr Clin North Am. 1979; 26(2):445–59. PMID: 379784.
- Hart PE, Lee PY, Macallan DC, Wansbrough-Jones MH. Cutaneous and pharyngeal diphtheria imported from the Indian subcontinent. Postgrad Med J. 1996; 72(852):619–20. PMID: 8977947; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2398589.
- Wagner KS, White JM, Crowcroft NS, De Martin S, Mann G, Efstratiou A. Diphtheria in the United Kingdom, 1986–2008: the increasing role of Corynebacterium ulcerans. Epidemiol Infect. 2010; 138 (11):1519–30. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268810001895 PMID: 20696088.
- Barh D, Gupta K, Jain N, Khatri G, Leon-Sicairos N, Canizalez-Roman A, et al. Conserved host-pathogen PPIs. Globally conserved inter-species bacterial PPIs based conserved host-pathogen interactome derived novel target in C. pseudotuberculosis, C. diphtheriae, M. tuberculosis, C. ulcerans, Y. pestis, and E. coli targeted by Piper betel compounds. Integr Biol (Camb). 2013; 5(3):495–509. https://doi.org/ 10.1039/c2ib20206a PMID: 23288366.
- Perumal D, Lim CS, Sakharkar KR, Sakharkar MK. Differential genome analyses of metabolic enzymes in Pseudomonas aeruginosa for drug target identification. In Silico Biol. 2007; 7(4–5):453–65. PMID: 18391237.
- Pizza M, Scarlato V, Masignani V, Giuliani MM, Arico B, Comanducci M, et al. Identification of vaccine candidates against serogroup B meningococcus by whole-genome sequencing. Science. 2000; 287 (5459):1816–20. PMID: 10710308.
- Asif SM, Asad A, Faizan A, Anjali MS, Arvind A, Neelesh K, et al. Dataset of potential targets for Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Rv through comparative genome analysis. Bioinformation. 2009; 4(6):245– 8. PMID: 20975918; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2951718.
- Chong CE, Lim BS, Nathan S, Mohamed R. In silico analysis of Burkholderia pseudomallei genome sequence for potential drug targets. In Silico Biol. 2006; 6(4):341–6. PMID: 16922696.
- Dutta A, Singh SK, Ghosh P, Mukherjee R, Mitter S, Bandyopadhyay D. In silico identification of potential therapeutic targets in the human pathogen Helicobacter pylori. In Silico Biol. 2006; 6(1–2):43–7. PMID: 16789912.
- Sakharkar KR, Sakharkar MK, Chow VT. A novel genomics approach for the identification of drug targets in pathogens, with special reference to Pseudomonas aeruginosa. In Silico Biol. 2004; 4(3):355– 60. PMID: 15724285.
- Barh D, Kumar A. In silico identification of candidate drug and vaccine targets from various pathways in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. In Silico Biol. 2009; 9(4):225–31. PMID: 20109152.
- Rathi B, Sarangi AN, Trivedi N. Genome subtraction for novel target definition in Salmonella typhi. Bioinformation. 2009; 4(4):143–50. PMID: 20198190; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2825597.
- Barh D, Jain N, Tiwari S, Parida BP, D'Afonseca V, Li L, et al. A novel comparative genomics analysis for common drug and vaccine targets in Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis and other CMN group of human pathogens. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2011; 78(1):73–84. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0285.2011.</u> 01118.x PMID: 21443692.
- Aronov AM, Verlinde CL, Hol WG, Gelb MH. Selective tight binding inhibitors of trypanosomal glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase via structure-based drug design. J Med Chem. 1998; 41 (24):4790–9. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm9802620 PMID: 9822549.

- Singh S, Malik BK, Sharma DK. Molecular modeling and docking analysis of Entamoeba histolytica glyceraldehyde-3 phosphate dehydrogenase, a potential target enzyme for anti-protozoal drug development. Chem Biol Drug Des. 2008; 71(6):554–62. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0285.2008.00666.x PMID: 18489439.
- Hassan SS, Tiwari S, Guimaraes LC, Jamal SB, Folador E, Sharma NB, et al. Proteome scale comparative modeling for conserved drug and vaccine targets identification in Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis. BMC Genomics. 2014; 15 Suppl 7:S3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-S7-S3 PMID: 25573232; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4243142.
- Eswar N, Webb B, Marti-Renom MA, Madhusudhan MS, Eramian D, Shen MY, et al. Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. Curr Protoc Protein Sci. 2007; Chapter 2: Unit 2 9. https://doi. org/10.1002/0471140864.ps0209s50 PMID: 18429317.
- Mount DW. Using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST). CSH Protoc. 2007; 2007:pdb top17. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top17 PMID: 21357135.
- Tusnady GE, Simon I. The HMMTOP transmembrane topology prediction server. Bioinformatics. 2001; 17(9):849–50. PMID: 11590105.
- Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS and Thornton JM. PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. Journal of Applied Crystallography. 1993; 26. Epub 291. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889892009944
- Blom J, Albaum SP, Doppmeier D, Puhler A, Vorholter FJ, Zakrzewski M, et al. EDGAR: a software framework for the comparative analysis of prokaryotic genomes. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009; 10:154. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-154 PMID: 19457249; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2696450.
- Abadio AK, Kioshima ES, Teixeira MM, Martins NF, Maigret B, Felipe MS. Comparative genomics allowed the identification of drug targets against human fungal pathogens. BMC Genomics. 2011; 12:75. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-12-75 PMID: 21272313; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3042012.
- Zhang R, Ou HY, Zhang CT. DEG: a database of essential genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32(Database issue):D271–2. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh024 PMID: 14681410; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC308758.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000; 28 (1):27–30. PMID: 10592173; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC102409.
- Magrane M, Consortium U. UniProt Knowledgebase: a hub of integrated protein data. Database (Oxford). 2011; 2011:bar009. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bar009 PMID: 21447597; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3070428.
- Yoon SH, Park YK, Lee S, Choi D, Oh TK, Hur CG, et al. Towards pathogenomics: a web-based resource for pathogenicity islands. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35(Database issue):D395–400. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl790</u> PMID: 17090594; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1669727.
- 29. Yu CS, Lin CJ, Hwang JK. Predicting subcellular localization of proteins for Gram-negative bacteria by support vector machines based on n-peptide compositions. Protein Sci. 2004; 13(5):1402–6. https://doi.org/10.1110/ps.03479604 PMID: 15096640; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2286765.
- Aguero F, Al-Lazikani B, Aslett M, Berriman M, Buckner FS, Campbell RK, et al. Genomic-scale prioritization of drug targets: the TDR Targets database. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2008; 7(11):900–7. https://doi. org/10.1038/nrd2684 PMID: 18927591; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3184002.
- Butt AM, Nasrullah I, Tahir S, Tong Y. Comparative genomics analysis of Mycobacterium ulcerans for the identification of putative essential genes and therapeutic candidates. PLoS One. 2012; 7(8): e43080. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043080 PMID: 22912793; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3418265.
- Volkamer A, Kuhn D, Rippmann F, Rarey M. DoGSiteScorer: a web server for automatic binding site prediction, analysis and druggability assessment. Bioinformatics. 2012; 28(15):2074–5. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts310 PMID: 22628523</u>.
- Tiwari S, da Costa MP, Almeida S, Hassan SS, Jamal SB, Oliveira A, et al. C. pseudotuberculosis Phop confers virulence and may be targeted by natural compounds. Integr Biol (Camb). 2014; 6(11):1088– 99. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ib00140k PMID: 25212181.
- **34.** Voigt JH, Bienfait B, Wang S, Nicklaus MC. Comparison of the NCI open database with seven large chemical structural databases. J Chem Inf Comput Sci. 2001; 41(3):702–12. PMID: <u>11410049</u>.
- Wadood A, Jamal SB, Riaz M, Mir A. Computational analysis of benzofuran-2-carboxlic acids as potent Pim-1 kinase inhibitors. Pharm Biol. 2014; 52(9):1170–8. https://doi.org/10.3109/13880209.2014. 880488 PMID: 24766364.

- Thomsen R, Christensen MH. MolDock: a new technique for high-accuracy molecular docking. J Med Chem. 2006; 49(11):3315–21. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm051197e PMID: 16722650.
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J Comput Chem. 2004; 25(13):1605–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084 PMID: 15264254.
- Caffrey CR, Rohwer A, Oellien F, Marhofer RJ, Braschi S, Oliveira G, et al. A comparative chemogenomics strategy to predict potential drug targets in the metazoan pathogen, Schistosoma mansoni. PLoS One. 2009; 4(2):e4413. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004413 PMID: 19198654; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2635471.
- Crowther GJ, Shanmugam D, Carmona SJ, Doyle MA, Hertz-Fowler C, Berriman M, et al. Identification of attractive drug targets in neglected-disease pathogens using an in silico approach. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2010; 4(8):e804. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000804 PMID: 20808766; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2927427.
- 40. Shanmugham B, Pan A. Identification and characterization of potential therapeutic candidates in emerging human pathogen Mycobacterium abscessus: a novel hierarchical in silico approach. PLoS One. 2013; 8(3):e59126. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059126 PMID: 23527108; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3602546.
- Folador EL, de Carvalho PV, Silva WM, Ferreira RS, Silva A, Gromiha M, et al. In silico identification of essential proteins in Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis based on protein-protein interaction networks. BMC Syst Biol. 2016; 10(1):103. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12918-016-0346-4 PMID: 27814699; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5097352.
- Wadood A, Riaz M, Jamal SB, Shah M. Interactions of ketoamide inhibitors on HCV NS3/4A protease target: molecular docking studies. Mol Biol Rep. 2014; 41(1):337–45. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-013-2867-x PMID: 24234753.</u>
- Horecker BL, Melloni E, Pontremoli S. Fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase: properties of the neutral enzyme and its modification by proteolytic enzymes. Adv Enzymol Relat Areas Mol Biol. 1975; 42:193–226. PMID: 236638.
- 44. Wright SW, Carlo AA, Carty MD, Danley DE, Hageman DL, Karam GA, et al. Anilinoquinazoline inhibitors of fructose 1,6-bisphosphatase bind at a novel allosteric site: synthesis, in vitro characterization, and X-ray crystallography. J Med Chem. 2002; 45(18):3865–77. PMID: 12190310.
- Sassetti CM, Rubin EJ. Genetic requirements for mycobacterial survival during infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100(22):12989–94. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2134250100 PMID: 14569030; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC240732.
- 46. Gopal B, Haire LF, Cox RA, Jo Colston M, Major S, Brannigan JA, et al. The crystal structure of NusB from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Struct Biol. 2000; 7(6):475–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/75876 PMID: 10881194.
- Brogan AP, Verghese J, Widger WR, Kohn H. Bismuth-dithiol inhibition of the Escherichia coli rho transcription termination factor. J Inorg Biochem. 2005; 99(3):841–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio. 2004.12.019 PMID: 15708806.
- Yates JL, Arfsten AE, Nomura M. In vitro expression of Escherichia coli ribosomal protein genes: autogenous inhibition of translation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1980; 77(4):1837–41. PMID: 6445562; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC348603.
- 49. Davies C, Ramakrishnan V, White SW. Structural evidence for specific S8-RNA and S8-protein interactions within the 30S ribosomal subunit: ribosomal protein S8 from Bacillus stearothermophilus at 1.9 A resolution. Structure. 1996; 4(9):1093–104. PMID: 8805594.
- Berkovitch F, Nicolet Y, Wan JT, Jarrett JT, Drennan CL. Crystal structure of biotin synthase, an S-adenosylmethionine-dependent radical enzyme. Science. 2004; 303(5654):76–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1088493 PMID: 14704425; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1456065.
- Javid-Majd F, Yang D, Ioerger TR, Sacchettini JC. The 1.25 A resolution structure of phosphoribosyl-ATP pyrophosphohydrolase from Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2008; 64(Pt 6):627–35. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444908007105 PMID: 18560150; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2631106.
- Gutmann S, Haebel PW, Metzinger L, Sutter M, Felden B, Ban N. Crystal structure of the transfer-RNA domain of transfer-messenger RNA in complex with SmpB. Nature. 2003; 424(6949):699–703. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature01831 PMID: 12904796.
- 53. Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, et al. Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42(Database issue):D222–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1223 PMID: 24288371; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3965110.

- Bateman A, Birney E, Cerruti L, Durbin R, Etwiller L, Eddy SR, et al. The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002; 30(1):276–80. PMID: <u>11752314</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC99071.
- 55. Dzurova L, Forneris F, Savino S, Galuszka P, Vrabka J, Frebort I. The three-dimensional structure of "Lonely Guy" from Claviceps purpurea provides insights into the phosphoribohydrolase function of Rossmann fold-containing lysine decarboxylase-like proteins. Proteins. 2015; 83(8):1539–46. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1002/prot.24835 PMID: 26010010.
- Lohinai Z, Keremi B, Szoko E, Tabi T, Szabo C, Tulassay Z, et al. Biofilm lysine Decarboxylase, a New Therapeutic Target for Periodontal Inflammation. J Periodontol. 2015:1–15. <u>https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.</u> 2015.140490 PMID: 26110450.
- Veeresham C. Natural products derived from plants as a source of drugs. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2012; 3(4):200–1. https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-4040.104709 PMID: 23378939; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3560124.