



VCU

Virginia Commonwealth University
VCU Scholars Compass

VCU Libraries Faculty and Staff Publications

VCU Libraries

2017

The Missing Piece: Assessing Implementation Fidelity

Megan Hodge

Virginia Commonwealth University, mlhodge@gmail.com

Laura W. Gariepy

Virginia Commonwealth University, lwgariepy@vcu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/libraries_pubs



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

CC BY 4.0

Recommended Citation

Hodge, M. and Gariepy, L. (2017). The Missing Piece: Assessing Implementation Fidelity. In A. Dobbs (ed.), *The Library Assessment Cookbook*. Chicago, IL: Association of College and Research Libraries.

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the VCU Libraries at VCU Scholars Compass. It has been accepted for inclusion in VCU Libraries Faculty and Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of VCU Scholars Compass. For more information, please contact libcompass@vcu.edu.

The Missing Piece: Assessing Implementation Fidelity

A chef can only prepare a fine meal if she or he has the best possible ingredients. The same is true for assessing the quality of library services: In order to understand the outcomes of a particular service, one must understand the extent to which the service was implemented as planned. This recipe explains what implementation fidelity is and how to evaluate it.

Megan Hodge, Virginia Commonwealth University, mlhodge@vcu.edu; Laura Gariepy, Virginia Commonwealth University, lwgariepy@vcu.edu

NUTRITION INFORMATION

While libraries are increasingly places of change and innovation, many of these changes are not assessed for their effectiveness or for the extent to which they were implemented as planned. While patron feedback is extremely important, it will not indicate whether the library's implementation of that new service or program is consistent with what was originally envisioned.

Implementation fidelity is "the degree to which an intervention or program is delivered as intended" (Carroll, et al. 2007, 40). Libraries should consider assessing the implementation fidelity of programs and services to avoid abandoning innovations whose theories are sound and have failed only in execution.

DIETARY STANDARDS

ACRL Standards for Libraries in Higher Education (2011) Principle 1, Indicator 1.5; Principle 7, Indicator 7.8

American Evaluation Association's Program Evaluation Standards

COOKING TIME

Several months

COOKING TECHNIQUE

Observations, surveys, interviews, or focus groups

INGREDIENTS

Any documentation created during the target program/service's proposal and creation

This could include models, charts, procedure manuals, and staff memos.

PREPARATION

Documentation

Compile documentation created during the program or service's proposal and creation. If such documentation does not exist—because the program grew organically, for example—take the opportunity to draft such documentation now. It is especially important to include information on the goals of the service and guidelines or procedures for how it ought to work in practice.

Questions

Determine the questions to be answered. Questions should be specific: "To what extent do staff and librarians accurately record statistics of answered questions?" for example, rather than "Does the new service

model work the way as planned?" The more general the question, the more difficult to elicit meaningful responses.

Data collection method(s)

How will you gather information on whether or not the service was implemented as intended? Qualitative methods, such as interviews and focus groups, can be helpful for discovering and exploring unexpected issues due to their flexible structure, while quantitative methods are valuable for answering specific, targeted questions.

THE ASSESSMENT

Administer the evaluation(s)

Analyze the data to identify mismatches between theory and implementation. Consider potential solutions to identified problems.

Share evaluation findings

Report out to library administration and all librarians/staff who play a role in the service/program's provision. Such open communication will ensure that feedback has been correctly interpreted, and it can be helpful for staff involved in different aspects of a program to understand each other's roles.

Implement solutions as feasible

Expect to identify issues which indicate that your new service or program differs from the original plan in multiple respects. Prepare a plan of action for addressing inconsistencies and implementing solutions that bring the service or program closer in line with the original vision.

ALLERGY WARNINGS

It may be advisable to adjust the scale of the evaluation depending on the target program/service's own scale and the importance placed on it by library administration.

Ensuring confidentiality and/or anonymity of staff feedback is essential to elicit the most honest responses.

CHEF'S NOTE

This model is broadly applicable as libraries of all kinds implement new programs and services and subsequently overhaul or abandon them based upon their perceived failure when the program could have been suffering from implementation problems.

Regularly conducting evaluations of implementation fidelity and correcting any identified problems could result in staff feeling increased buy-in and ownership of future changes.

REFERENCES

Carroll, Christopher, Malcolm Patterson, Stephen Wood, Andrew Booth, Jo Rick, and Shashi Balain. "A Conceptual Framework for Implementation Fidelity." *Implementation Science* 2 (2007): 40–48. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-2-40.