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INTRODUCTION

- There are troubling trends of racial disparities in school discipline that target, and assign harsher disciplinary measures to, Black students (Addington, 2014; McNulty-Ellis & Edle, 2008).
- Harsh disciplinary measures adversely impact students’ academic performance and also put students at higher risks of entering the criminal justice system (Mallet, 2016).
- The association between educators’ implicit prejudice toward Black Americans and their disciplinary measures against Black students has been documented.
- There is another form of negative intergroup attitudes that are uniquely different from prejudice—dehumanization of Black Americans (Goff et al., 2014).
- Dehumanization is often seen in anti-Black racist rhetoric (Opotow, 1990) and contributes to harsher sanctioning of Black Americans in the criminal justice system (Goff et al., 2014).

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- Are individuals who dehumanize Black Americans more likely to choose harsher disciplinary measures to address Black student misbehaviors?
- Does student race and/or gender influence the relationship between dehumanization of Black Americans and choice of harsher disciplinary measure?

PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS

- Study 1 (Correlational): 228 undergraduate psychology students (66% women, age M = 19.54, SD = 4.11)
  - 37.3% White, 28.9% Black, 18.4% Asian, 3.9% Latinx, and 10.1% Multiracial
- Study 2 (Experimental): 144 undergraduate psychology students (65.6% women, age M = 19.93, SD = 2.49)
  - 42.2% White, 18.0% Black, 17.6% Asian, 8.6% Latinx, and 13.5% Multiracial

PROCEDURE AND MEASURES

Procedure:

- A 30-minute online survey: (1) One of four vignettes of a student misbehavior; (2) Selection of a disciplinary measure; (3) Dehumanization; (4) Race-IAT
- Study 1: Dehumanization IAT (Goff et al., 2008), which involved responding to pairings of Black/White, ape/big cat words (e.g., monkey, baboon, and tiger, panther)
- Study 2: Dehumanization priming, which involved differentiating pictures of real animals (apes/big cats) from images of animal drawings and toys taken from Google Images

Student Misbehavior Scenario

When a teacher noticed that [DeShawn/Lakisha/Brad/Allison], a 7th grade student at Middleton Middle School, was texting on a cell phone in a class, the teacher politely asked [him/her] to stop texting and put [his/her] cell away. Although [DeShawn/Lakisha/Brad/Allison] put [his/her] cell phone away at that moment, [he/she] quickly started texting again. The teacher noticed that [DeShawn/Lakisha/Brad/Allison] was texting again and came to [his/her] desk this time. Although [DeShawn/Lakisha/Brad/Allison] was told to hand over [his/her] cell phone, [he/she] refused and continued to read text messages.

Measures:

- **Choice of Disciplinary Measure**: Which of the following disciplinary measures would best address the student’s misbehavior?
  1. Engaging in a discussion with the student,
  2. Giving the student a warning,
  3. Calling the student’s parent’s,
  4. Sending the student to the main office,
  5. Bringing a school security officer into the classroom,
  6. Giving the student detention, or
  7. Referring the student for suspension.

MAIN RESULTS

Logistic Binomial Regression Predicting Choice of Exclusionary Discipline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study 1</th>
<th>Study 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implicit bias</td>
<td>-.424</td>
<td>.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student race</td>
<td>.382</td>
<td>.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student gender</td>
<td>.724</td>
<td>.712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehumanization</td>
<td>2.581</td>
<td>1.601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehumanization X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student race</td>
<td>-3.707</td>
<td>1.978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehumanization X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student gender</td>
<td>-3.584</td>
<td>2.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehumanization X</td>
<td>3.858</td>
<td>2.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student race X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Dehumanization was continuous (i.e., D-IAT) in Study 1 and dichotomous (i.e., animal prime task: apes vs. big cats) in Study 2. Race-IAT was included as a covariate in both analyses.

DISCUSSION

- Dehumanization of and negative attitudes toward Black Americans are still prevalent and related in American society.
- Incongruence in the level of analysis between the predictor (implicit dehumanization) and the outcome (explicit disciplinary measure choice) could account for the null finding.
- Future research should examine educator motivations in classroom management, and continue investigation of racial prejudice toward and stereotypes about minority students, when assessing racial disparities in school discipline.

Correspondence should be sent to Ebony Lambert (lamberta@vcu.edu).