to Navajo identity than silversmithing.

Ethnic identities adapt to many forces including those of the marketplace but the marketplace rarely determines identity. The marketplace also adapts to ethnic consumers. Halter has done a good job of describing the adaptation of the marketplace to ethnic identity. Such adaptation should not, however, be confused with the creation and maintenance of ethnic identity.

Reviewed by: Sarah Shillinger
University of Wisconsin-La Crosse


Have academically fashionable cultural studies methodologies replaced mass social movements as political activity? This question is raised in E. San Juan, Jr.'s most recent study, *Racism and Cultural Studies*. Contemporary postmodern and postcolonial intellectual movements, because they valorize individualized discourses and relativist pluralism, have indeed "displaced the centrality of mass social movements" in the project of group liberation in San Juan's judgment.

*Racism and Cultural Studies*, a study of academic and political developments in the period roughly from the 1992 multiethnic uprising in Los Angeles following the Rodney King verdict to the "Battle of Seattle" in 1999, is a wide-ranging and thorough critique of multiculturalism and identity politics. San Juan astutely and rigorously identifies these academic movements as some of the tools used in the university that "has become a conduit if not [an] apparatus for transnational business schemes." Neoliberal elitists and neo-liberal bureaucrats strive to transform Ethnic Studies, using these ideological and institutional practices, from the highly politicized and contentious field it was at its origins in the 1960s into a device for "a peaceful manage-
ment of differences” in the current period. Far too many academics have become complicit in this process, as San Juan shows.

To counter this reactionary project San Juan urges ethnic and cultural studies practitioners, following the groundwork of such leading scholars as Evelyn Hu-Dehart, Manning Marable, and Angela Davis, among others (one ought to include San Juan himself here), to develop methodologies that will question the legitimacy of the status quo: private property relations, corporate dominance over public institutions, racial essentialism, cultural pluralism that enforces reification of “race,” and the mystification of social processes in late global capitalism.

In making this argument San Juan urges the reassessment of familiar tools used by teachers and scholars in the field. His book provides excellent and accessible historical backgrounds, definitions, and analyses of key concepts such as nationalism, ethnicity, “race,” multiculturalism, hegemony, the linkage of sexuality to racial difference, and postcolonial theory. Additionally studies of the work of such controversial writers as Frank Chin, familiar theorists and social activists such as Stuart Hall, Raymond Williams, and Antonio Gramsci, and national liberationist writers such as Amilcar Cabral and Frantz Fanon lend a sense of urgency and vitality to a counter-hegemonic movement in the field coalescing around social movement-oriented praxis.

In fact it is this latter characteristic of the book that is its greatest strength. This drawing together and reassessment of the methodologies of ethnic studies makes this book an excellent candidate for use in upper-division undergraduate and graduate courses. Historians, literary critics, and cultural studies scholars from a number of fields and disciplines also will find this book useful. Readers in general will encounter a refreshing accessibility and clarity difficult to find in the field.

Reviewed by: Joel Wendland
Bowling Green State University