MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF VISITORS OF VIRGINIA COMMONWEALTH UNIVERSITY October 26, 1982

A meeting of the Executive Committee of the Board of Visitors of Virginia Commonwealth University was held on Tuesday, October 26, 1982, at 9:00 a.m. in the University Meeting Center.

Present were Messrs. Balfour, Craigie, Grey, Ludeman and Smith; Drs. Coleman, Gayle and Nemuth; and Mrs. Bemiss. Mrs. Thalhimer was absent. Also present were Drs. Ackell, Andrako, Hall, and Wilson; Messrs. Bruegman, Guerdon, Ross and Ware; and Mrs. Price.

Dr. Ackell presented the Medical College of Virginia Hospitals Mission Statement. He indicated that this document has been through an extensive review process. Mrs. Bemiss proposed a new introductory paragraph to the Statement. Following a discussion, the motion to change the introductory paragraph was defeated. Several editorial changes were proposed on pages 2 and 3. Following a discussion, on motion made and seconded, the MCVH Mission Statement was approved as amended.

Dr. Ackell presented the 1983 Legislative Session Amendment Priorities. The priorities being submitted for the General Academic Division of the University in rank order are:

- 1. Correction of Tuition and Fees Appropriation Amount: \$974,730
- 2. Faculty Salary Increase Amount: \$2,102,400
- 3. Academic Building Planning Amount: \$475,000
- 4. Engineering Program Start-Up Costs Amount: \$500,000
- 5. Center on Aging Amount: \$165,500

The amendments being submitted for the hospital in rank order are:

- 1. State Health Services and Inpatient Medical Services Amount: \$1,000,000
- 2. Transition Costs
 Amount: \$2,500,000
- 3. State Health Services and Outpatient Medical Services Amount: \$1,000,000

Mr. Bruegman reported that these are amendments to the biennial budget and these items will be sought in the upcoming session of the General Assembly. A question was raised concerning the number of requests we are submitting during this time of fiscal restraint. Mr. Ludeman responded that the University has complied with the State government's request for the 5 percent reversion in our budget and it has an obligation to make its needs known. He stated that these amendments are consistent with the University's priorities and prior requests.

On motion made and seconded, the 1983 Legislative Session Amendment Priorities were approved.

Mr. Bruegman presented the 1981-82 Year End Budget Report for Educational and General Funds. He reported that the actual total revenues

for 1981-82 exceeded total expenditures by \$7,000. This amount reverted to the State. Variances between actual revenues and expenditures and the final budget were within one percent. Actual total revenues and expenditures fell short of the budget by \$900,000. Following a discussion, on motion made and seconded, the 1981-82 Budget Report was accepted.

Mr. Bruegman presented the First Quarter Budget Status Report for Education and General Funds, 1982-83. He stated that this budget assumes the Governor's five percent reversion will be imposed. Bruegman indicated that the University's percentage of total year-todate revenues compared to budget is 3.7 percent ahead of last year, while total year-to-date expenditures are 3.2 percent ahead of last year. These comparisons indicate that revenue and expenditure patterns for the first quarter of 1982-83 vary significantly from those in the first quarter of 1981-82. On the revenue side, this variance is explained by the fact that all State general fund revenues have been allotted. The expenditure variance is attributed partly to improved processing of transactions. In addition, many program expenditures have been incurred to initiate the beginning of the academic year and such expenditures would consume a higher proportion of the current budget in this fiscal year compared to the prior fiscal year when the budget was not reduced. Following a discussion, on motion made and seconded, the First Quarter Budget Status Report for Education and General Funds was accepted.

Mr. Guerdon presented a resolution which would allow the University to invest with the Common Fund for short term investments through the Philadelphia National Bank. Mr. Guerdon stated that the performance of this Fund is very good and offers an additional investment alternative. A number of questions were raised concerning the fund since Board members were not familiar with it. While Mr. Guerdon is granted authority to invest on behalf of the University approval to use this Fund is being requested only because the Common Fund requires a specific Board resolution. It was agreed that action on this resolution would be deferred until a prospectus was distributed to members of the Executive Committee for their review.

On motion made and seconded, the Executive Committee went into executive session to discuss certain matters pertaining to the investing of public funds as authorized by Section 2.1-344 a(5) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act.

Following executive session it was reported that no action had been taken.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:30 a.m.

Harold I. Nemuth, Secretary

Approved:

Douglas H. Ludeman, Rector