

Virginia Commonwealth University VCU Scholars Compass

Undergraduate Research Posters

Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program

2018

College Student Alcohol Use and Engagement in Prevention Programming

Alexis H. Branch Virginia Commonwealth University

Shimona Kumar Virginia Commonwealth University

Christina McGrath Virginia Commonwealth University

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarscompass.vcu.edu/uresposters Part of the <u>Genetics and Genomics Commons</u>, and the <u>Psychology Commons</u>

© The Author(s)

Recommended Citation

Bucholz et al (1994). A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: a report on the reliability of the SSAGA. Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 55(2), 149–158. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsa.1994.55.14 Bush, K., Kivlahan, D. R., McDonell, M. B., Fihn, S. D., & Bradley, K. A. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): An effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Archives of Internal Medicine, 158, 1789 - 1795. Butler, L. H., & Correia, C. J. (2009). Brief alcohol intervention with college student drinkers:Face-to- face versus computerized feedback. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 23(1), 163-167. doi:10.1037/a0014892 Dick, D. M., Nasim, A., Edwards, A. C., Salvatore, J. E., Cho, S. B., Adkins, A., ... Kendler, K. S. (2014). Spit for Science: launching a longitudinal study of genetic and environmental influences on substance use and emotional health at a large US university. Frontiers in Genetics, 5, 47. http://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00047 Kelly, S. E. (2016). The Effects of a Web-Based Alcohol Prevention Program on Social Norms, Expectancies, and Intentions to Prevent Harm among College Student-Athletes. The Sports Psychologist, 30, 113-122. http://journals.humankinetics.com.proxylibrary.vcu.edu/doi/pdf/10.1123/ tsp.2015-0016 Paschall, M.J., Ringwalt, C., Wyatt, T. DeJong, W. (April 2014). Effects of an Online Alcohol Education Course Among College Freshman: An Investigation of Potential Mediators. J Health Commun., 19(4) 1-20. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2013.811328.

College Student Alcohol Use and Engagement in Prevention Programming

Introduction

Social problems and adverse consequences have been associated with risky alcohol use (Paschall et al, 2015). Personalized Normative Feedback (PNF) has been utilized to address these problems (White and Hingson, 2014) by comparing students' alcohol use to campus or national norms (Butler et al, 2009).

•Research Question: Are there differences in alcohol use behaviors in freshmen who did and did not report completion of a personalized feedback intervention (PFI) in a diverse sample of college students from the Spit for Science (S4S) project?

•**Hypotheses:** (1) PFI freshmen would exhibit greater alcohol consumption and AUD symptoms in the fall (pre-PFI), and (2) students in both groups would exhibit equal rates in the spring (post-PFI).

Methods

The study examined online personalized normative feedback assessments of alcohol use during the fall and spring of freshman year participants (N=1,168) from cohort 3 of the Spit4Science survey dataset (Dick et al, 2014).

•**Spit4Science (S4S):** a campus-wide, longitudinal study on the genetic and environmental factors that contribute to mental health and substance use outcomes in college students.

•Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism (SSAGA): measured physical, social, and psychological consequences related to alcohol use and alcohol related disorders. Sum scores of SSAGA data were calculated to assess AUD symptoms.

•The Alcohol Use Disorder Identification Test (AUDIT): screened for AUD and excessive drinking.

Grams of ethanol per month data calculated by AUDIT was log transformed to account for skewness.

•**T-test:** analyzed mean differences in alcohol consumption and AUD symptoms of both "Yes" feedback (n=365) and "No" feedback (n=803) groups in the fall and spring semesters.



¹Department of Biology, ²Department of Anthropology, ³Department of Psychology, ⁴College Behavioral and **Emotional Health Institute**

Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA

Results

Table 1. Average sum scores of Alcohol Use Disorder symptoms of both groups in both fall and spring semesters

> 'Yes' Prevention Program Mean (sd)

Fall Sum Score of Alcohol Use Disorder Symptoms

Spring Sum Score of Alcohol Use Disorder Symptoms

2.51 (2.40)

2.42 (2.56)

Note: *p<0.05, **p<0.01

Table 2. Average grams of ethanol consumed by both groups in both fall and spring semesters

	'Yes' Prevention Program Mean (sd)	'No'Prevention Program Mean (sd)	P-value
Log of Fall Grams of Ethanol Consumed	1.39 (1.10)	1.12 (1.01)	.000*
Log of Spring Grams of Ethanol Consumed	1.62 (1.02)	1.44 (1.04)	.005**

Note: *p<0.05, **p<0.01

- Students in the prevention group exhibited higher levels of alcohol in the fall.
- Students in the prevention group exhibited higher levels of alcohol the spring.

Alexis Branch¹, Christina McGrath², Shimona Kumar¹, Zoe Neale, MS³ & Amy Adkins, PhD^{3,4}

'No'Prevention Program Mean (sd)	P-value	
2.02 (2.61)	.031*	
2.09 (2.22)	.009**	

consumption (t=-3.77, p<0.001) and AUD symptoms (t=-2.16, p=0.031)

consumption (t=-2.80, p<0.01) and AUD symptoms (t=-2.54, p<0.01) in

Average alcohol use and AUD symptoms increased in both groups from fall to spring. Participants who completed the alcohol prevention program experienced higher alcohol use than participants who did not in both fall and spring.

consumption and AUD symptoms.

•Spring hypothesis not supported: PFI freshmen exhibited greater alcohol consumption and AUD symptoms in the spring. •It is important to note that college freshman may have completed the PFI for multiple reasons, one being as a consequence for alcohol related incidents on campus, and another being as a means of seeking services independently through the Well's website.

Future directions could include random assignment of students to a group; one group containing participants who would complete the PNF intervention as an active member of the Reddit Online Support group and the other group would contain participants in only the PNF intervention. This could potentially eliminate sampling bias and lead to better identification of potential supplemental treatments that may reduce levels of alcohol-related incidences and/or symptoms of AUD. Subgroup analysis of heavy drinkers could also be conducted to increase heterogeneity and reduce the effects of variable rates of alcohol consumption among participants. Future research would also examine whether the results may vary by ethnicity or other demographic characteristics.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the guidance and direction provided by Zoe Neale as well as Dr. Amy Adkins. We thank Dr. Dick and Dr. Kendler as well as all Spit for Science staff for the opportunity to collaborate and conduct research. We also thank participants without whom we would not have the opportunity to contribute to the discussion of AUD in college students as a public health

Spit for Science has been supported by Virginia Commonwealth University, P20 AA017828, R37AA011408, K02AA018755, and P50 AA022537 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and UL1RR031990 from the National Center for Research Resources and National Institutes of Health Roadmap for Medical Research. We would like to thank the Spit for Science participants for making this study a success, as well as the many University faculty, students, and staff who contributed to the design and implementation of the project



Conclusion

• Fall hypothesis supported: PFI freshmen exhibited greater alcohol

Future Directions

References

Bucholz et al (1994). A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: a report on the reliability of the SSAGA. Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 55(2), 149–158.

Bush, K., Kivlahan, D. R., McDonell, M. B., Fihn, S. D., & Bradley, K. A. (1998). The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): An effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Archives of Internal (2009). Brief alcohol intervention with college student drinkers:Face-to- face versus computerized feedback. Psychology of A

2014). Spit for Science: launching a longitudinal study of genetic and environmental influences on substance use and m on Social Norms, Expectancies, and Intentions to Prevent Harm among College Student-Athletes. The Sports Psychologist, 30, 113-12 White, A., & Hingson, R. (2014). The Burden of Alcohol Use: Excessive Alcohol Consumption and Related Consequences Among College Students. Alcohol Research