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Inter-ethnic Issues in Lorraine Hansberry’s
The Sign in Sidney Brustein’s Window*

Steven R. Carter

When Lorraine Hansberry’s second produced play, The Sign in Sidney Brustein’s Window, opened on Broadway in 1964, some white critics expressed surprise that her protagonist was Jewish rather than black and some blacks were disappointed or even outraged by this, feeling that she was deserting the “cause” and trying too hard to win acclaim as a “universal” writer.1 Others from both groups, of course, warmly defended her.

The surprise and the outrage were unjust since The Sign in Sidney Brustein’s Window explores many of the same issues that A Raisin in the Sun did and continues to attack the same enemy, the oppressive system that enables some to live in luxury while the many just survive and that ruthlessly strives to wipe out ethnic cultures as potential sources of resistance through the concept of the “melting pot.” In the earlier and more famous play, the residents of Clybourne Park claimed that it wasn’t racism that was driving them to keep out the Younger family but a belief that “people get along better . . . when they share a common background”—or, in short, when they melt down into a common blob. The Youngers, while fully aware that the Clybourne Park residents, despite their disclaimer, are virulent racists, fight back as a group of individualists united mainly by their need to struggle against a common oppression and to seek a society more open to them and outsiders.

This implicit statement in favor of multi-ethnic society and a multi-ethnic approach to combatting the oppressive American system becomes a bit more explicit in The Sign in Sidney Brustein’s Window. As Ellen Schiff argues in her study From Stereotype to Metaphor: The Jew in Contemporary Drama, “In making Brustein the axis of her play and the magnet that attracts its other outsiders, Hansberry draws on the

*All quotations from unpublished drafts of The Sign in Sidney Brustein’s Window and from the letters of Robert Nemiroff are ©1988 by Robert Nemiroff and are published with his permission. All rights reserved.
historical experience of the Jew” so that “a notably sensitive concept of
the Jewish experience as archtypal furnishes the subtext” of the play.3
She also notes that in another context that “even before the black theatre
came of age, Negro Playwrights were equating the situation of blacks
and Jews and demonstrating that traits heretofore considered peculiar to
the latter represented blacks as well,”4 suggesting one possible reason for
Hansberry’s choice of a Jew as protagonist. In one of the play’s most
powerful scenes, Sidney himself calls the sole Afro-American’s black
nationalism “the new Zionism,” thus making a direct comparison
between one aspect of the experience of blacks and Jews. He also appears
to exhibit a special feeling for this character, Alton Scales, based on a
close identification of Jews with blacks.

Robert Nemiroff, Hansberry’s former husband and literary executor,
in a letter to Lisbeth Vuorijarvi, a Swedish scholar who had inquired
about Schiff’s treatment of Hansberry, observed that “Jews have played
an extraordinary role out of all proportion to their numbers . . . in all
democratic, liberal, and radical, humanizing and liberating movements”
and that “other oppressed peoples—especially in the Hitler years and
their aftermath—have recognized this tacitly and often explicitly, and
have tended to look to Jews for greater understanding. As allies or
potential allies or, at least, as the least hostile ethnic group in the society
at large.”5 As one example of such involvement with other oppressed
people, he affirmed that “Jews (at no time all or most of the Jewish
establishment but in critically significant numbers) played a major role
in supporting and funding black education at the turn of the century
through the thirties, and the NAACP, and, of course, the civil rights
struggle of the 60’s.”6 Moreover, in an earlier part of the letter, he
similarly argued that “Sidney’s Jewishness is in no sense accidental
since “Lorraine, who had a tremendous emotional identity with the
Jewish radical and intellectual tradition on many levels (going back
initially perhaps to Robeson, who also had that identity—I don’t recall
ever hearing him when he didn’t sing at least one song or say something
to emphasize his special bond with the Jewish struggle and Jewish
people) deliberately chose him as the personification of the things he
represents in the play (once she had determined to go in that direction,
rather than dealing with the Italian working class).”7

At the same time, Hansberry’s complex vision, while heartily ap­
proving all the above views, suggests that there may be a less attractive
and even dangerous side to the bond between blacks and Jews (or
between any two ethnic groups), at least if it becomes an exclusive bond.
One of the reasons Sidney fails to see his sister-in-law Mavis Parodus as
anything other than “the Mother Middleclass itself”8 or indeed to
discover any positive traits in her is his righteous anger at her obvious
prejudice toward both blacks and Jews, but especially toward blacks.
When he plays upon her well-known prejudice by telling her about a new
suitor for her call girl sister Gloria without revealing the race of the suitor
until she is greatly excited over the prospective groom, the audience’s and the author’s sympathy is with him since Mavis’s racism deserves such a blow. However, when he introduces her to Alton Scales, the black suitor who appears to be white, and then carefully chooses the most embarrassing moment to reveal his race, he is clearly portrayed as having gone too far. Hansberry’s stage directions underline Sidney’s own prejudice at this moment, a prejudice that arises in justifiable repugnance at racism but that becomes distorted when it leads him to mistake this particular flaw in a person for the whole person. In the moment that Sidney makes his embarrassing revelation to Mavis, the stage directions inform us that he and Alton and his wife Iris “variously concentrate on the food and exchange superior and rather childish glances; letting her live through the moment of discomfort” (p. 244, emphasis mine). Almost immediately afterward, when Sidney calls her “the Mother Middleclass itself standing there revealed in all its towering courage” to the “snickers of delight from the diners,” Hansberry’s directions note that this is stated “swiftly, with open-hearted malice” (p. 245). Mavis’s response is one of the most moving speeches in the play—and an early indication of how much Sidney has been overlooking in her:

I am standing here and I am thinking: how smug it is in bohemia. I was taught to believe that—(Near tears) creativity and great intelligence ought to make one expansive and understanding. That if ordinary people, among whom I have the sense at least to count myself, could not expect understanding from artists and—whatever it is that you are, Sidney—then where indeed might we look for it at all—in this quite dreadful world. (She almost starts out, but thinks of the cap) Since you have all so busily got rid of God for us (p. 245).

Ironically, Sidney is only “somewhat” moved “by this eloquence” whereas Alton, who (as the target of her strongest prejudice) should be the most offended by her, is “the most affected” by what she has said.

What all this implies is that Sidney, while being the “magnet” that attracts the other characters and indisputably the central sensibility in the play, is a flawed protagonist, often displaying prejudices and behavioral weaknesses similar to those he so readily attacks in others. He too can be vicious and unreasonable and highly unjust. This makes clear the complexity and difficulty of the struggle he is engaged in since ultimately he must face the enemy within as well as the more comfortable one without. He is distinguished from the other characters by his greater awareness, sensitivity, integrity and, above all, capacity for growth. However, it is precisely these qualities that enable him to comprehend that any meaningful change he can bring about in society must also include a change in himself. They are also the qualities that make him finally see beyond the stereotype in which he has encased Mavis and to realize that she too has a measure (much larger than he ever guessed) of awareness, sensitivity and integrity and would like to improve herself. This realization, a step on his tortuous and often tormenting path toward self-discovery and a fuller understanding of the world around him, helps him in part to make his final assertion that “the earth turns and men
change every day and that rivers run and people wanna be better than they are” (p. 317), thinking of himself and Mavis among others. His ability to make this assertion is his triumph—and the play’s, but clearly such an insight is neither easily attained nor easily sustained. Intellectual understanding is far from enough to make the insight viable; to truly appropriate it and make it useful, one must be highly open to it, suffer for it and live it to the fullest when it comes. This strongly implies that Hansberry’s assessment of the problems involved in overcoming interethnic hostilities and creating a workable multi-ethnic society is not a dewy-eyed and painless one; she, more than most, knew the complexity in such a struggle.

Just as it is no accident that Sidney is Jewish since this, in spite of all of Sidney’s acknowledged weaknesses, enabled Hansberry to express her admiration for the Jews’ historical resilience in oppression and adversity and for the sensitivity, courage and insight that they derived from this, it is surely also no accident that Sidney is surrounded by representatives of a wide range of ethnic groups. These include his wife Iris, “the only Greco-Gaelic-Indian-hillbilly in captivity” (p. 212); Alton Scales, the “cream-colored” black (p. 288); Wally O’Hara, the Irish-American “reform” politician who needs to be reformed; and Sal Peretti, the Italian-American juvenile junkie who worked for Sidney as a janitor and who died of an overdose of American oppression. This range creates a powerful impression of the rich ethnic diversity in American society, a diversity so great that it seems impossible that it could ever be melted down. Moreover, the play clearly applauds the contribution each ethnic group has made to American society and forcefully implies that a multi-ethnic society has far more to offer than any homogeneous society.

The richness and importance of ethnic culture is brought out most vividly in a remarkable scene between Sidney and his sister-in-law, Mavis Parodus Bryson. Hitherto regarded as a bigoted, middle class mediocrity (as previously noted), Mavis reveals to Sidney that when she was young, her father used to stage Greek tragedies in their home with all the family taking part and that she still remembers lines from Medea in colloquial modern Greek (her father was poor and had never learned classical Greek). She also tells him that her father had deliberately changed their family name from “plain old everyday Paradopoulos” to “Parodus” as a symbolic statement that they were all simply part of the “Chorus” of ordinary people who observe and comment on the actions of the great (p. 285). These revelations (along with others about her sensitive awareness of many of her weaknesses and limitations, her courage in facing her husband’s infidelity and the fact that he has an illegitimate son, and her desire to reach a higher level of thinking than she believes she is capable of) help to change Sidney’s view of her, both by making him respect her and increasing his pain that she remains unable to see the richness of other ethnic groups, such as Jews and blacks, though her ability to talk to him about herself indicates at least a
Sidney also has a sense of the richness of his own cultural heritage and, in a key speech, tells Wally O'Hara:

> In the ancient times, the good men among my ancestors, when they heard of evil, strapped a sword to their loins and strode into the desert; and when they found it, they cut it down—or were cut down and bloodied the earth with purifying death (p. 274).

While freely admitting that in the face of “these thousand nameless faceless vapors that are the evil of our time,” he can only internalize them and then take a pill to narcotize them, he longs “to take up the sword of the Maccabees again” (p. 275). Thus, at the end when he is finally able to take a heroic stance and fight the evil around him he becomes inextricably linked to the tradition of these Jewish ancestors as well as willing to face up to the second alternative of being cut down in a purifying death.

However, while powerfully affirming the high value of each ethnic tradition, Hansberry also noted the extraordinary achievements that may be reached by intertwining traditions. Her stage directions at the beginning, for example, remind readers of the mixed Dutch and English contribution to Greenwich Village and indeed New York City itself where the play is set so that a casual stroller may find “a renovation of a ‘Dutch farmhouse’” (p. 189) or “one or two narrow and twisty little streets with squared-off panes of glass that do, in midwinter, with their frosted corners, actually succeed in reminding of Dickensian London” (p. 190). Later, in describing Joan Baez’ version of “Babe, I’m Gonna Leave You,” a song that greatly pleases Sidney, Hansberry observed that “it is a white blues out of the Southland; a lyrical lament whose melody probably started somewhere in the British Isles more than one century ago and has crossed the ocean to be touched by the throb of black folk blues and then, finally, by the soul of back-country crackers. It is, in a word, old, haunting, American, and infinitely beautiful” (p. 196). Still later is one of the more enchanting moments of the play when Iris Brustein performs a dance that illustrates her mixed ethnic background:

> She snakes out promptly, hissing, in the dance steps of the Greek Mis­erlou—which turns into a jig and then into the usual stereotyped notion of some Indian war dance, concluding with a Marilyn Monroe freeze (p. 213).

Sidney himself, while (as we have seen) thoroughly respectful toward his own Jewish tradition, has a profound appreciation for the cultures of all countries. He makes detailed and accurate references to Plutarch, Euripides, Thoreau, Shakespeare, Goethe, Camus, Strindberg, Japanese painting, Akira Kurasawa’s *Rashomon*, Yiddish melodies and many other works from a wide variety of countries. In addition, during the absurdist fantasy sequence, he “assumes his own parodied version of classic Hindu dance pose” (p. 306) and, a short time afterwards, “sits up, cross-legged, Zen Buddhist fashion” (p. 308). These references and poses clearly indicate his refusal to restrict his thinking and understanding of the world to one tradition, no matter how noble or wise it is. Of course, the
ability to understand one's own culture and that of others does not guarantee an equivalent ability to understand and be sensitive toward other people, as Mavis rightly reminds Sidney and his friends. What makes Sydney outstanding is his willingness, at times, to really listen to others and to admit making errors.

Concerning the author's own similar approach to culture, Robert Nemiroff wrote in a letter dated May 8, 1985, to Rose Subramanian, a student writing a thesis on Hansberry at the University of Hyderabad, India, that:

L's delight and pride in her African American identity was inseparable from and buttressed by her internationalism; the constant wonder and delight she found in other folk and national cultures, the nuances of style, humor, music, movement, idiom, psychology, the differences and confluences between peoples—each unique in expression, yet in content universal—affirmed her own place in the human family. She learned this in the Left and from, among others, Robeson, who exemplified it in his art and music (he spoke 13 languages and his repertoire was international).

In line with her belief in the high value of both ethnic cultures and the intermingling of cultures, Hansberry vehemently attacked the Theatre of the Absurd for cutting itself off from any particular culture or cultures and the problems faced by individuals or groups within them for the sake of a spurious universality. Just as Samuel Beckett's play Waiting For Godot took place vaguely on "a country road" near "a tree" and his Endgame took place in a room with a "bare interior . . . two small windows . . . an armchair on castors," and "two ashbins" in which an old man and woman live, Hansberry's Absurdist playwright David Ragin's play is set in "a refrigerator" where two characters live who "are both male and married to each other" (p. 240), an attempt to satirize what is nearly unsatirizable (or is already self-parodied to the ultimate degree). Moreover, she has Sidney criticize David for writing "fourteen plays about not caring, about the isolation of the soul of man, the alienation of the human spirit, the desolation of all love" (all of which are popular themes of Absurdist playwrights) when the statement that David has really wanted to make all along is that he is "ravaged by a society that will not sanctify [his] particular sexuality" (p. 247). Even though Sidney has made this criticism in a manifestly inappropriate context, a moment when his intellectual (and highly insensitive) attack on David reinforces a vicious personal insult by Alton on David's homosexuality, it does seem to reflect Hansberry's considered view that the most meaningful writing deals with the specific problems presented by a specific culture. As she stated to Studs Terkel in an interview about A Raisin in the Sun, "I believe that one of the most sound ideas in dramatic writing is that, in order to create the universal, you must pay very great attention to the specific" and in discussing some of the details she paid close attention to in her first play she observed that it was about "a Negro family, specifically and definitely and culturally" (emphasis mine). Clearly, she paid equal attention the multi-cultural dimensions of her Greenwich Village setting in The Sign in Sidney Brustein's Window.
Given this profound and abiding respect for ethnic and national cultures, one would expect Hansberry's work to oppose any attempt to ridicule, attack or diminish them, and it does. Her play contains numerous examples of bigotry and in each case it is shown to be lamentably wrong, no matter what the source. Sidney, for example, has experienced anti-semitism among his in-laws. When his wife Iris accuses her sister Mavis of anti-semitism in front of him, she denies it, asking him to support her, but he remains silent. Iris then instantly replies:

Now, come on: you nearly had a heart attack when we got married. In fact, that's when you went into analysis. Now, either you were madly in love with me or you hate the Jews—pick! (p. 233).

Even at the moment that Sidney finds Mavis most sympathetic, immediately after her discussion of her childhood acting (indicating a hitherto unsuspected sensitivity and even a touch of artistic sensibility) and her present heroically endured hardships, she still displays prejudice against blacks and adheres to stereotyped notions of Jews, as when she tells him, "I told Fred, 'Say what you will, but the Jews have get-up!" (p. 289). Though in his mood of the moment, this merely amuses him, it is clear that Sidney would normally be offended by such comments. He also reveals that the crimes of Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy, including, of course, those against the Jews, are never far from his mind during his final confrontation with the corrupt politician Wally O'Hara. When it becomes obvious that Wally intends to do nothing about the narcotics traffic in his area, Sidney "instinctively" and "swiftly" comments:

I see: We can go on stepping over the bodies of the junkies—the trains will run on time! (p. 315).

Moreover, he follows this up by clicking his heels and throwing off "the Fascist salute smartly" (p. 315). However, for all his awareness of the prejudice and crimes against Jews, including, above, all, the holocaust, he also knows that prejudice exists among Jews, including his mother, and he can ridicule this:

You should hear my mother on Iris. (The inevitable) "Not that I have anything against the goyim, Sidney, she's a nice girl, but the rice is too greasy. And lamb fat? For the stomach? With hominy grits? Like a lump it sits" (p. 213).

Of course, as indicated earlier, Sidney himself, despite his unusually large understanding of his culture and the nature of prejudice, displays certain types of bigotry. Of these, the most significant is his attitude toward women, especially his wife. As I argued in my article on "Images of Men in Lorraine Hansberry's Work," Sidney, while remaining "an extraordinarily sensitive Jewish liberal who cares deeply about the sufferings of others, who strongly opposes all forms of social and political oppression, and who displays concern to the point of meddling daily in the lives of those around him... compels his wife to distort her character by living up to his fantasy image of her." I observed that he pressured Iris to play the role of "a spritely, barefooted mountain girl" in his "fantasy of living Thoreau-like in the pure air of the mountains" as part of his attempt "to cope with the strain of residing in New York City,
with its filth, its widespread social and economic injustice, and its inordinate amount of crime (the play opens with a discussion of the death of a seventeen-year old junkie who had worked for Sidney).”¹⁴ It seems clear, though, that no matter how sympathetic Sydney is and how comprehensible his reasons for creating this fantasy are, his actions are those of a male chauvinist and what he has done is highly damaging to his wife and his relationship with her. In an early draft of the play during a moment when Sydney is feeling under intense pressure in this relationship, he even says to Iris “(From out of nowhere, the only reference he can think of at the moment) ‘DOESN’T THAT FEMALE BRAIN WORK LIKE THE REST OF THE SPECIES’ ” and Iris appropriately responds that “that’s male chauvinism.”¹⁵ More subtly yet undeniably present in the finished version of the play, Sydney’s male chauvinist fantasies drive Iris away from him because she increasingly feels the need to live in accordance with her recognition of her inner realities and drives. Only at the end when Sydney seems more able to face reality in general and the reality of women in particular is Iris willing to return to him.

As I also contended in “Images of Men in Lorraine Hansberry’s Work,” the primary event that alters Sydney’s attitude toward women is his sister-in-law Gloria’s suicide:

Gloria’s tragedy is crucial to Sidney’s development, since it leads him to see how his male-supremacist fantasizing has harmed his wife. As a call girl recruited for her innocent, all-American-girl appearance, Gloria has been paid to let men make her part of their warped sexual fantasies, and she has suffered such mental and physical abuse that she begins taking drugs to escape. After being severely beaten by one of her clients, she decides to break free from the life by marrying Sidney’s friend Alton Scales, only to find that Alton has been told about her profession and is so appalled by the destruction of his idealized conception of her that he is unwilling even to talk to her. Still reeling with shock from this, she is approached by another of Sidney’s friends [David Ragin] who wants her to aid him in a perverted sexual fantasy. She deliberately takes an overdose of drugs, saying “Papa—I am better than this! Now will you forgive me—?”

Sidney is reflective enough to understand what has been done to Gloria and the reason that she killed herself, and he realizes that he, like Alton and Gloria’s clients, has caused immeasurable damage by upholding a false concept of woman. He also realizes that he must free himself from all such concepts and see his wife as the individual she is if their marriage is to be preserved. At the same time, he decides that he must take a stand against the drug pushing that helped to destroy the seventeen-year-old boy and Gloria, and he finds that his wife wishes to be an ally in this struggle.¹⁶

Of course, what Sidney learns about the dangers of fantasizing and the imperative need to recognize the reality of the Other applies as much to ethnic groups as to women.

In addition to his male chauvinism (which he seems on his way toward overcoming at the end), Sydney also displays a mild contempt or at least an insensitivity toward homosexuals. For example, he uses the term “fag” a little too casually, as when he refers to Harry Maxton, a director whom Iris has been hoping to interest in hiring her, as “one of the most famous fags in America” (p. 227). Even though he does this in a joking manner and in the midst of a tension-filled argument, it is suggestive of a
bias, especially since he knows the more positive term “gay” as he demonstrates by using it as the first descriptive word when attempting to explain to Mavis about David Ragin’s homosexuality (p. 237). Of course, it is equally true that Sidney, in spite of violent disagreement with David over his artistic philosophy, has helped to “subsidize” his playwriting by supplying him with paper and free meals (p. 237) and he also defends him against Wally O’Hara’s slur on his supposed mannerisms by asserting, quite rightly, that David’s “not swish” (p. 273). He is clearly far from being a blatant bigot, but he is not untouched by prejudice.

In an early draft, Sidney’s prejudice was a bit more obvious and a little sharper. In the scene following Alton’s brutal display of disgust toward David when he tells him to “turn off, Fag Face” and claims that “hanging out with queers gets on my nerves” (p. 246 of the published version), Sidney, as in the final version, becomes offended by David’s anguish suggestion that the reason Alton has reacted so violently toward him is that he is repressing his own homosexuality. After asking David if that is “the best you can do” (as he does in the final version), Sidney then continues:

Well, it’s time to stop pretending with you! Your much cherished, over-attended, self-preoccupying “curse” is a BORE—and I am bored with having to treat it like some holy, leviathan secret of the kind only the deepest, the most gifted, the most nobly tortured can know: It ain’t. It’s just one kind of sex—that’s all. Go out and picket the courts or something if you want! Attack the laws, the laws stink! But please, please, please, David, outgrow the notion that the universe revolves around your not very awesome sexuality!17

The polished version of this speech is slightly less hostile and a shade more reasonable. In it, Sidney makes no claim that he has been “pretending” with David about anything and asserts neither that David’s attitude toward his homosexuality is a “BORE!” nor that his sexuality is “not very awesome,” though he does state as before that David’s is “just one kind of sex” (in itself an unarguable observation). The greater eloquence of the polished version also makes it more persuasive:

If somebody insults you—sock ’em in the jaw. If you don’t like the sex laws, attack ’em, I think they’re silly. You wanna get up a petition? I’ll sign one. Love little fishes if you want. But, David, please get over the notion that your particular “thing” is something that only the deepest, saddest, the most nobly tortured can know about. It ain’t—(Spearing into the salad) it’s just one kind of sex—that’s all. And, in my opinion—(Revolving his fork) the universe turns regardless (pp. 247-248).

Here, Sidney’s advice about how to deal with insults and archaic sex laws sounds aggressive but apt, especially since it seems to express his own similar approach to such problems and since he offers to sign any petition David chooses to write. His comments on the simultaneously self-pitying and self-aggrandizing attitude which some homosexuals, presumably including David, have taken toward their form of sexuality also seems fairer when expressed in this modified way. However, two problems remain concerning the overall fairness of the speech. First, in context it is harsh and even rude since Sidney makes it so soon after
David has been savagely attacked by Alton, a man whom he had reason
to regard as a friend (or at least a tolerant acquaintance) during a dinner
at which he thought he could relax. Second, Sidney's remark "love little
fishes if you want" seems to equate the act of one man loving another
with an exotic and even impossible relationship, thus implying an
incomprehension or intolerance of David's sexuality that the rest of
Sidney's speech consciously denies. This suggests how hard it is for
someone of even Sidney's broadmindedness and experience to eradicate
all traces of prejudices (ethnic or other) that are so thoroughly ingrained
in his culture. It also implies a point made throughout the play that it is
possible for a person to act or think in a way that is simultaneously right
and wrong and that it is therefore excruciatingly difficult to choose the
proper course for the appropriate reasons. At the same time, of course, it
is overwhelmingly imperative that we attempt to do so.

The case of Alton Scales involves an even more complex intertwining
of virtues and vices than Sidney's since he demonstrates that it is
possible for a member of an ethnic minority to be simultaneously a victim
of racism and a racist. In explaining to Sidney why he cannot marry
Gloria Parodus now that he knows that she has been a high-class call
girl, he recalls his father's humiliation at being forced to accept all the
thrown away and stolen things that his wife brought home from the
house of the white family for whom she worked as a maid, and he tells his
friend and near brother-in-law that he doesn't want "white man's
leavings" (p. 281). Sidney can, of course, understand the pain inflicted on
Alton and his father by a racist and oppressive society, but he cannot
regard Gloria as an object like "the piece of ham" or "the broken lamp"
that were brought home (p. 280), and he also knows the pain that Alton is
preparing to inflict on Gloria. When he asks Alton what he would do "if
she was a black woman" and he makes no reply, Sidney asserts that
"that's racism, Alt" and Alton, touching his head, responds, "I know it—
. . . here!" (p. 281). Moreover, when Sidney comments "sadly" that "a star
has risen over Africa— . . . over Harlem . . . over the South Side . . . " and
that this "new Zionism is raging" in him (p. 281), Alton acknowledges
the truth of this statement. It is thus clear that in spite of all his strong
motivation based on past and undoubtedly also present injustices, he too
is behaving unjustly and is aware of this, though unable or unwilling to
alter his behavior.

Sidney's sadness in making this comparison between Zionism and
black nationalism in this context strongly implies his view that both of
these movements, while thoroughly understandable and even justified in
some ways, are ultimately dead end streets because they lead those who
follow them to shut themselves off from revivifying and creative contact
with other groups. No group, however wounded or wronged it may be, can
afford to completely isolate itself from others since this is the one sure
path to sterility. Of course Sidney knows only too well that forced
relationships between different ethnic groups can be vicious and
humiliating, as in the case of white plantation owners and black slaves. As Alton has lamented bitterly to him:

I got this color from my grandmother being used as a commodity, man. The buying and selling in this country began with me (p. 280).

However, he also knows that voluntary contact between members of differing groups may be highly fructifying, or else he, a Jew, wouldn’t have chosen to marry a woman of mixed Greek, Irish and Cherokee descent. He also wouldn’t have studied works from so many other cultures alongside his own or surrounded himself with people from so many different ethnic and minority backgrounds, finally reaching out to embrace someone as different from himself as Mavis Parodus Bryson. In the last scene, having been sensitized and enlarged by the complex experience he has had in the play, he can even reach out to the man who has betrayed him, Wally O’Hara, and say, “I love you—I should like to see you redeemed,” though he immediately modifies this by stating, “But in the context in which we presently stand here I doubt any of this is possible” (p. 317). The context he refers to is the oppressive society that has “warped and distorted all of us” (p. 317), in part by trying to eliminate ethnicity, individuality (including nearly all possibility of personal growth through making one’s own errors and learning from them), and, above all, the vitalizing variety of life that has meant so much to Sidney. This vitalizing variety which is the essence of a truly multi-ethnic society is worth fighting for, and this is one of the things Sidney is so staunchly and rightly ready to defend at the end.

Notes

1 For example, a Liberator writer quoted by Harold Cruse in The Crisis of the Negro Intellectual (New York: Morrow, 1967), p. 411, stated: “Black Nationalists are mad because she did not write about the folks.” Cruse himself contended that she did not write about the “folks” simply “because ideologically and psychologically she was unable to” (p. 412).


4 Ibid. 155.


6 Ibid.
Critique

Carter's analysis of the varied ethnic backgrounds of the characters in this play demonstrates Hansberry's commitment to a multiethnic society. Ethnicity is also clearly a factor in the complexity of the individual characters themselves, and in their interaction. Carter further gives a credible rationale (documented by quotations from Robert Nemiroff's correspondence) for Hansberry's choice of Sidney, a Jew, as the vehicle for her message.

Hansberry, however, does not really stress inter-ethnic issues here.
The conflict she portrays is that between persons who come from different ethnic groups, rather than conflict generated by a clash of cultures per se. Ethnicity is a subsidiary element in the play.

Carter has described Hansberry’s earlier play, *Raisin in the Sun*, as one in which the protagonists “fight back as a group of individualists united mainly by their need to struggle against a common oppression and to seek a society more open to them and to other outsiders.” In a sense, *The Sign in Sidney Brustein’s Window* moves this struggle forward into the 1960s, mirroring the zeitgeist of that decade with its inherent contradictions. This was a period that gave rise to the concept of “self-actualization,” and legitimated self-growth even at the occasional expense of the group. Most of the characters in *The Sign* reflect in one way or another absorption in the desire for personal change: Iris wants to succeed as an actress; David seeks recognition as a playwright; Mavis wants to grow intellectually; Gloria wants to quit “the life” and marry; Wally wants power. Hansberry’s treatment of Sidney is particularly telling: Sidney wants to “drop out”; he wants to remove himself from the responsibilities of community and move to an isolated mountain top. He declares “Yes, I suppose I have lost the pretensions of the campus revolutionary, Alton. I do admit that I no longer have the energy, the purity or the comprehension to ‘save the world.’ ” Only Alton is consistent, both in his ongoing concern for human justice and his blackness. When Iris accuses him of being phoney in his unswerving support of “the causes,” Alton replies “I was born with this cause . . . I am a black boy. I didn’t make up the game and as long as a lot of people think there is something wrong with the fact that I am a Negro—I am going to make a point out of being one . . . .”

Concomitant with the thrust for self-awareness, the 1960s spawned a vital renewal of communitarian sentiment whose expression ranged from the creation of intentional communities to the solidarity of whole-hearted participation in the many faceted Movement for social justice. It is the imperative for engagement in the latter cause to which Hansberry speaks.

The play can also be interpreted in terms of class and caste. Most of the main characters have in common their families’ immigrant (and presumably proletarian) origins. The play’s conclusion, in which Sidney is forced by conscience to abandon his yearning for detachment and fight corruption, illustrates the same theme that predominates in *Raisin*, i.e., the need to struggle for change in an exploitative, oppressive society.

Carter’s perception of the characters in *The Sign* enriches one’s appreciation of this play. His portrayal of Sidney’s humanity, including its less admirable aspects, is particularly fine. His emphasis on “inter-ethnic” rather than personal issues, however, skews the meaning of Hansberry’s work.

—Helen MacLam
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The Effects of Reading Ethnic Literature on the Attitudes of Adolescents

Joan Wynne Sullivan

Vision: “Load those sons-of-bitches back on the boat and send 'em back where they came from.”

Revision: “We don’t see 'em deep down what they are. The White people that go to school won’t let their minds listen to their eyes . . . see deep down inside the Vietnamese.”

The first statement reflects one student’s vision of her world and her solution to one problem in that world. Written in an essay which asked white students for their reactions to having Vietnamese in their school, the statement reveals a limited view of the world and possibly a parroting of community rhetoric. The second statement is an oral response by the same student taped during her reading of a novel which dealt with ethnic issues. Her revised perception indicating the need for a new look at other ethnic groups, rather than an expulsion of them from the country, suggests the power of literature to reshape a reader’s vision of the world.

Visions of reality, of humanity, and the world can be limited by presuppositions inherited from our parents and our culture. To consciously question culturally established notions of reality takes not only exposure to other world views,¹ but immense courage—exposure so that we are aware other realities exist, and courage so that we are willing to live with that discomfort caused by the unsettling of our belief system. Literature can offer opportunities for such exposure and growth, as well as create a nonthreatening experience where belief systems can be questioned.

Many of us who teach literature believe that the nonthreatening experience which literature creates allows students to question their belief systems. H. R. Jauss suggests, in fact, that reading “compels us to a new perception of things,” therefore, “liberating” us from prejudices.²
Thus, it is important to note that the students in this study struggled voluntarily with the texts, with minimal teacher promptings. Through merely reading, they were driven to reflect on their visions and to test these visions against the notions of reality discovered in a text.

The purpose of this article is to share the results of an investigation of five white adolescents' oral and written responses to literature dealing with ethnic issues. Through the literary experience, they were offered opportunities to shape and reshape their conceptions of the universe. The case study approach was used because prior research into the nature of racial prejudice and literary response suggested that both prejudice and response are highly individualized. The subjects in this study were attending a public high school where white students had often been seen throwing food at Vietnamese students in the cafeteria. Many fights occurred between white and Vietnamese students at the school, and some Vietnamese students had withdrawn from the school because of the hostility directed toward them.

Selected for this study were three girls and two boys from a high school in a suburb of a large metropolitan area. All five, Jimmy, Melissa, Valerie, Jean, and Patrick were sophomores. None were high academic achievers. Their grade point averages ranged from 1.6 to 2.4 on a 4.0 scale. Their chronological ages were 16 and 17. These students had previously revealed a high level of prejudice in classroom discussions, on a Bogardus Social Distance Scale, and in a composition before the reading process. Two of the subjects, one male and one female, had been reported for initiating fights with Vietnamese students.

All five students were asked to read two nonfiction books, one novel, and five brief compositions written by Vietnamese students. The texts were divided into segments, and students were interviewed individually for their immediate reaction after the completion of each segment. In order to discover what students learn merely through reading without the aid of discussion or teacher prompts, only open-ended questions such as “How do you feel?” and “What do you think?” were asked during the readings of all chapters and at the end of all chapters.

The interview sessions for each subject lasted from thirty to forty minutes five days a week for six weeks. The tapes from all of the sessions were transcribed for descriptive analysis of the subjects' responses to the literature.

The students also wrote about their feelings and responses. Before reading the literature, they were asked to write an essay discussing their reactions to “having Vietnamese students” in their school. The students were allowed a fifty-minute period to draft and write the essay. No limit was put on the number of words. After the students had completed all the reading and oral response sessions, another written response was requested on the same topic. A modified version of the Bogardus Social Distance Scale was also administered after the complete reading of all texts.
What Was Read

The texts were chosen from a National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE) list of recommended adolescent readings on ethnic issues. One selection was recommended by the school librarian and a social studies teacher as suitable for an adolescent audience. As the study progressed, two of the subjects experienced such difficulty with the non-fictional literature that one of the non-fiction selections was discarded for these two readers.

Each student read *Immigrants from the Far East*, a nonfiction text, first. The second selection read was a novel. Four students read the novel *Sea Glass* and one read the novel *Child of the Owl*. Five brief essays written by Vietnamese students—one award winning essay from a student attending a local elementary school and four written by students from the high school—were read next. All five subjects read a novel, the five essays, and one nonfictional work. The two male students, who had the highest reading scores, chose to read the nonfictional *The New Americans* as a fourth choice. This text had originally been chosen for all to read.

Both novels, *Sea Glass* and *Child of the Owl*, were chosen because of their portrayal of adolescents dealing with cultural identity issues. The protagonist in each novel is a Chinese student caught in a battle between two cultures, Chinese and American.

*Immigrants from the Far East*, a non-fictional work written for an adolescent audience, was selected because of its sympathetic portrayal of the trials of immigrants as they come to America and after their settlement. The book deals with racism as it affects the various groups of immigrants. *The New Americans*, also non-fiction written for adolescents, is a sensitive case study of various immigrants with a general overview of immigration laws from past to present.

The five essays written by the Vietnamese students included two concerning the escapes of the individual student writers from Vietnam to America and three concerning the student writers’ experiences and adjustments to the American school.

The Results of Reading

Positive changes in attitude were revealed by all three measurements. All five students indicated from marginal to major positive change on the Bogardus Social Distance Scale after the reading. Three of the five students showed positive change on the written essay after the reading. During the reading, all subjects verbally revealed attitude modification with one admitting behavioral change.

As measured by the Bogardus Social Distance Scale

*Jimmy*, a student who had suffered physical abuse by upperclass students because of his small size, indicated the highest degree of prejudice of all of the five subjects on the Bogardus Social Distance Scale at the first administration of the scale. At that time he indicated no
tolerance for the Vietnamese. He wanted none of them in "his country." By the second administration of the scale and after the completed reading of all texts, Jimmy checked that he would now like to have Vietnamese in his country. For Jimmy, who had been suspended from school for fighting with Vietnamese students, this was, indeed, a concession.

Melissa indicated the greatest number of increased positive responses from the first administered scale to the second. She checked only twenty-one positive choices the first time and thirty-nine the second. Originally, she indicated no tolerance for Polish, Vietnamese, or Russians. After the readings, she checked three positive responses for the Polish and Vietnamese and two for the Russians. In fact, for every group, except the Italians and the Americans, Melissa’s positive responses increased. Her scores on the two scales indicated that after having read the literature, her change of attitude toward different races and nationalities was the greatest of the five subjects. This change was noteworthy when considering that her original score of twenty-one paralleled the original scores of Jimmy and Jean (fifteen and eighteen).

Of the five subjects, Valerie checked the highest number of positive responses on the first administration of the scale, indicating the highest level of tolerance for “out-groups.” She had fewer increased responses than Melissa, but more than the other four subjects. She increased, by nine, her number of positive responses. On the first scale, Valerie indicated her lowest tolerance was for the Vietnamese (2), Chinese (2), and Russians (0). This intolerance was also reflected in her first interview when she was asked her feelings toward the Vietnamese:

I feel as if they're trying to take over our school and our town and everything 'cause they're just moving all in here, and mostly all of our school and everything is made up of Vietnamese and Chinese and Laotians. I wouldn't really like them as neighbors.

But after having read the literature, Valerie’s positive responses to the Vietnamese and Chinese jumped from two to six.

Patrick’s total number of positive responses on the first scale was almost as high as Valerie’s, yet he indicated less change on the second scale than Valerie. However, on the second scale, his responses for the Vietnamese jumped from two to five.

Jean’s checked responses on the Bogardus Social Distance Scale indicated the lowest tolerance for more groups than any of the four other subjects. She made no positive responses for seven groups. Her raw score described a tolerance level slightly modified from 18 positive responses before the reading to 20 positive responses after the reading.

Consistently throughout her oral responses to the novel, Jean revealed a recognition that people should be respected for their differences. However, she was the only subject who indicated no change in tolerance for the Vietnamese on the second administration of the Bogardus Social Distance Scale.
As measured by the subjects’ essays

Jimmy’s first essay on the topic “My reactions to having Vietnamese students in my school” reflected an intolerance for them as a group. He complained throughout the essay of too many Vietnamese: “There are so many here at this school that it is ridiculous.” This complaint also surfaced repeatedly in his oral responses.

He did not like the Vietnamese students’ use of their own language. He mentioned it twice in the first essay and a number of times in responses to the literature. However, his strongest disregard came when he wrote of the need to “get our American soldiers back from them.” At this point in the essay, he said he hated the Vietnamese, suggesting the intensity of his prejudice.

Jimmy’s second essay, written after reading the ethnic literature, indicated that manifestations of prejudice remained. Nonetheless, it also revealed a change in tone and intensity. In this essay he said he didn’t “really want them [the Vietnamese]” in his neighborhood and school, but he followed this statement with “that might seem bad.” This hint of an apologetic tone was never present in his first essay. He opened the second essay with a begrudging acceptance of the Vietnamese in the community if they “at least, try to keep it clean”; and he ended it with “I feel sorry for them about what happened to their country.” Feelings of sympathy never emerged in his first essay. If the second paper were read alone, it still would reflect racial prejudice. When compared with his first essay, however, definite changes in attitude were evident.

Melissa, like Jimmy, wrote no positive comments on the first essay about the Vietnamese. Her complaints were that the Vietnamese made their homes “look like junk yards”; they had caused problems in her city; they were unclean; they drove “nice” cars, yet everyone knew they were on welfare; and they should all go back home. She ended the first essay with “I know this may be cruel, but I say send them home.”

Melissa’s second essay consisted of only positive comments. She declared that there was no reason for “foreigners” to be refused from her school or community; they were human beings and should be treated as such. She ended her essay with: “This quarter I’ve learned to appreciate foreigners.” That she still viewed the Vietnamese students and parents as “foreigners” suggested that she had not overcome all her notions of prejudice. Nonetheless, her second essay revealed a more accepting attitude and an absence of hostility toward the Vietnamese in her community.

Valerie’s bias against the Vietnamese was of a more subtle nature than the other four respondents. In her first essay, as well as in her oral responses to the literature, she commented that she did not “mind the Vietnamese coming” to her school, “if they would try their hardest to adjust to America.” She complained that they would not give up their language, and that “We want them to speak English.” Her tolerance came with conditions.
After struggling throughout the readings with that concept of conditional acceptance, Valerie's second essay indicated that, for her, tolerance might have taken on a new dimension. She ended her second essay with “Foreigners should be accepted because they are themselves. We all should remember that.” She expressed no desire for the Vietnamese to become “Americanized,” speak English and act more American. That she implied a willingness to accept them for “themselves” suggests a strong connection with the vision of the text that everyone's differences should be respected.

In his first essay, Patrick reported that he did not like the Vietnamese; they were treated badly in most of his classes; they were a drain on the American society; and their language was a nuisance. He did, however, mention twice that it might not be right for the community to feel the way they did about the Vietnamese, but that he knew that was, indeed, the way they felt.

His second essay defended the presence of the Vietnamese in the school and community on patriotic grounds. “I may not get along with them all or even like them, but they are still Americans who want an education.” He ended his essay with “That’s what our country is based on, and it would be wrong to do it any other way.”

Jean's first essay was steeped in hostility. She opened with the comment that the Vietnamese “are a total disruption to our society,” and her comments became progressively more negative. She complained that they did not keep their neighborhoods clean; they did not pay for their apartments; “they stink”; and ended with a demand that all the “sons-of-bitches” be “loaded” on a boat and be taken “back where they came from.”

Her second essay, revealed some of the same negative feelings, but none of the vituperation, and none of the abusive language. Jean admitted that she still did not like the Vietnamese, but expressed no desire to get them out of the school or country.

**As measured by the oral responses to the literature**

The oral responses were the real story in this investigation. In fact, all five adolescent readers' oral responses to the literature revealed some changes in attitudes and perceptions. The oral responses revealed a tug-of-war transaction manifested by the readers as they wrestled with the realities of the texts. The subjects reflected; they questioned; they juggled ideas; they threw out assumptions; they reasserted assumptions, and generally attempted to reckon with the new experience of the text. This manipulation of ideas is the behavior of the thoughtful reader intent upon transforming experience into knowledge, and that adolescent readers voluntarily undertook such labors suggests the power of literature to motivate serious and productive thought.

Of all the subjects' responses, Jimmy's were the most fascinating because they revealed such a conflict within him to discover what to do with all these notions of reality as they collided with his own. He often
vacillated from admitting sympathy stirred in him by the events he encountered in the texts to distorting these events and sometimes totally disregarding them so that he need not take them into account.

During the reading sessions, Jimmy revealed several strong and recurring presuppositions about the Vietnamese and about immigrants in general. These presuppositions were generalizations that Jimmy appeared to use as rationales to support and explain his feelings of hostility toward the Vietnamese and other ethnic groups. Allport explained this process of “overgeneralization” as a problem typical of the prejudiced personality.8 Jimmy’s generalizations formed a pattern, almost a backdrop against which he juxtaposed the notions confronted in the texts as he responded.

The conflict of these generalizations with the reality of the text created an inner tension throughout his responses as he attempted to either explain away the visions of the text or to submit to them. His efforts to cling to his rhetoric and the subsequent relinquishing of some of it during these responses revealed the demands made on Jimmy by the text. These demands illustrate the anything but passive transaction between text and reader. The dynamics of this transaction between the sympathetic visions of ethnic issues represented in the texts and the constructs of Jimmy’s racial prejudices were evidenced throughout his oral responses. Except for Jean, none of the other readers clung to their prejudices as strongly. Nonetheless, for some, similar patterns in rationales for prejudices did emerge.

These common rationales, expressed justifications for the dislike of the Vietnamese, emerged during the oral responses to the literature and, for some subjects, within the written responses. The justifications included: Immigrants take jobs from Americans; there are too many refugees in the country and too many Vietnamese in the school and community; refugees and/or Vietnamese in the school “stay secluded”—they were clannish; the Vietnamese didn’t fight hard enough—“allowed others to take over their country”; and, through welfare, refugees receive unearned possessions. Both Mauro9 and Rokeach10 suggest that predispositions can interfere with appropriate interpretation of data. Jimmy’s responses reflected their theory and often revealed his intense struggle in reckoning with his belief system as it collided with the visions in the texts.

In responding to Sea Glass, Jimmy, at first, chided the protagonist, Craig, for not trying hard enough to be like the American boys. He said that Craig should “try to fit in more as an American like his cousins: speak better English, try harder to be more like his dad as a youth, an All-American athlete.” However, during the reading of the eighth chapter, Jimmy applauded Craig for standing up to his father and telling him “that he didn’t wanna play sports, and he didn’t want to be an All-American boy . . . you know, it’s good that he stood up for himself.”

One of the most surprising changes was Jimmy’s approval of Craig’s and the uncle’s ethnic traits. During his reading of chapter four, Jimmy
expressed pleasure at the uncle’s insistence on being “really Chinese.” Toward the end of the novel, Jimmy continually congratulated Craig for being proud of his Chinese heritage and for refusing to be like all the American kids; yet Jimmy had earlier condemned the Vietnamese and other refugees for refusing to fit in with the Americans, for wanting to retain ethnic mores and “for jabbering in Vietnamese.”

Given his previous stance, another surprise occurred during Jimmy’s response to the end of the novel. He expressed displeasure with the Chinese cousins who “figured they’re, if they’re not like the Americans, they won’t have no friends . . . I didn’t like them at all.” Because the cousins, whose American acculturation was total, have ignored their Chinese heritage, Jimmy was extremely displeased.

Acculturation had been, in the beginning, a goal that all five subjects seemed to hold for all refugees. They expressed anger many times at the Vietnamese and all immigrants who maintained any ethnic vestiges, especially languages. Valerie, who, of all the respondents, was the least hostile toward “out-groups,” continually before and during the readings insisted that immigrants needed to adapt to American society. This adaptation, she suggested, meant attending American churches, eating American food, and refusing to speak languages other than English, even at home:"

And so they still, most of them, still won’t adapt to our culture or food or stuff because they go home, and they’ll talk Vietnamese, and they’ve got some of the churches around here. They’ll have a special church service that’s all Vietnamese and all that stuff.

For Valerie, ethnic freedom in America meant freedom to be only American. Although the texts often elicited from her expressions of intense sympathy, they did not influence her limited view of ethnic integrity until much later in the reading sessions—and then her responses hinted at possibilities of modification.

In her final reading sessions when reacting to the Vietnamese student essays, several of Valerie’s comments indicated that she was continuing to grapple with the notion of acceptance of ethnic differences. In response to Essay #3, she said “... If I was going to a new school, a Canadian school or whatever, I would want people to try and accept me for me... try to get to know me and accept me.” While in the beginning of her reading sessions, Valerie had suggested that the responsibility for being accepted belonged to the ethnic group, here she suggested a transference of that responsibility to the “in-group.” Further in that same response, she chided the white students in her school for accepting other new students while not accepting the Vietnamese.

In response to the Vietnamese Essay #4, while explaining that, “all the Vietnamese are having real problems being accepted [at her school],” Valerie expressed a hope that

Instead of the Americans fighting Russia all the time and stuff like that. That we can begin to accept each other as human beings instead of Communists or whatever . . .
So we should accept them as they are and try to get along with it.
Her inclusion of "accept them as they are" seemed a major concession and modification of her original notion that acceptance was predicated on the condition that immigrants would shed all their cultural vestiges.

Cultural manifestations created problems for Jimmy also. In his discussion of the first chapter of the novel, Sea Glass, Jimmy had suggested that Craig would have more friends and be happier if he "spoke, you know, English, if he spoke it like the regular Americans did, you know. I don't hassle nobody like that." He further explained that the reason one of the foreign students at his high school "fits in" is that she spoke "perfect" English. Yet by the end of Chapter 4 Jimmy, in describing the uncle, said "I like him a lot. And he's so fair, he's really Chinese . . . He won't really speak all that good of American." This change from disdain for ethnic traces to admiration of ethnic integrity and differences seemed a quantum leap in conviction for Jimmy.

Given Jean's vitriolic posture in the beginning of the study, quantum leaps might also be suggested in her oral responses. During one of her responses to Chapter 4 of Sea Glass, Jean revealed a connection with Uncle Quail's wisdom:

Craig's learning that people have different attitudes, have different feelings about everybody. Some don't like anybody, Blacks, Whites. Some don't, you know, just difference between everybody. He thought that just nobody like Vietnamese (Chinese). He just had to be White, and now he's finding out that it don't matter.

Jean's substitution of the word Vietnamese here for Craig, who is Chinese, may suggest a subconscious effort on her part to make generalizations about the implications of the theme of the novel, that ethnic differences are acceptable whether Chinese, Vietnamese, etc. Her assertion that being white does not matter indicated a possible relinquishing of her earlier assumptions of white supremacy indicated in her responses during the reading of Chapter 1.

During that chapter, Jean commented on the cousin's rejection of Craig because he acted Chinese. She approved of the rejection on the grounds that "they should be just like us." Continuing, she responded that "everybody wants to be a White American." From Chapter 1 to Chapter 4, Jean's responses suggested a great deal of interplay between text and reader, and definite implications of the reshaping of perceptions.

This apparent growth of both Jean and Jimmy seemed, at times, an outcome of their attention to the imagery and metaphors in Sea Glass. Jimmy consistently reflected on the sea imagery in the novel. During the reading of the fourth chapter, he expressed appreciation for the use of that imagery in the exchanges between the uncle and Craig: "And he's always, you know, relatin' it to the sea life and, you know, and how would you like it if all the sea animals were the same?: You know he said, 'Would that be right?' and I like that a lot." Through that analogy, and similar ones in later chapters, Jimmy seemed to modify his intolerance for ethnic groups who choose to maintain their cultural heritage. In his comments after having read the novel, Jimmy said, "I learned . . . to accept people that are different, you know, try to accept people that are different 'cause,
you know, a different race."

This recognition was expressed also by Jean while reading the uncle's metaphor, "Let your mind listen to your eyes." At first puzzled by the metaphor, she stopped reading it silently, read it aloud, and then explained to herself that, "I guess he's saying that what you see with your eyes may be different, uh, he says there's no person who sees the world, no one sees it the same way." Later in this same session, she elaborates further:

Well, we don't see the Vietnamese here, what they are. Not just call 'em Vietnamese just 'cause they are. See 'em deep down what they are. The school, white people that go to school won't let their minds listen to their eyes. I don't see how, see deep down inside the Vietnamese. They may act different.

When juxtaposed with Jean's written response that "We ought to load the sons-of-bitches back on the boat and send them home," her response to the metaphorical language of the text was a startling revelation. It suggests the power of the vicarious experience of literature.

Melissa during her first reading session said that the Vietnamese "should have stayed and fought for their own country," yet by the end of the readings, she implied forcing the Vietnamese to stay in their own country would have been a travesty of justice:

But now I see that there's no reason for them not to be here. 'Cause they live in a Communist country, and they're treated terrible. They have to live by one certain way which is not fair to anyone. And I think it's right for them to be here.

During the reading of the chapter on Vietnam from the Immigrants, Melissa began to note changes in her attitudes: "Well, I feel like um, before I read it, I felt the Vietnamese were a bad influence on the U.S. because they came over here. But in here I really felt sorry for them."

At the end of her reading of Immigrants Melissa responded enthusiastically that her feelings and her perceptions had changed:

Well, I felt, at first ... that they had caused America trouble and everything, but then I realized that it wasn't them necessarily. It was us and the way we were treating them. And then I read that one on the Chinese ... I mean the Americans just treated them like they were trash. And they were over here tryin' to do the best they could ... And they were treating 'em like they were nothin' ... and the Japanese-Americans, they were treatin' them like dirt . . . .

Melissa's complaint about the Americans treating the Chinese like "trash" was especially notable since, in her first essay, she had written that the Vietnamese were "trashy."

Melissa's strongest reflection of emotional and perceptual change came during her response to the essays written by the Vietnamese students. She no longer expressed a desire to "send 'em back to their own country":

I never felt like, you know, we don't see how hard they really had it. We just try to make it worse on 'em instead of understanding, you know, understand what they mean and how they feel. We don't do that ... We just make 'em feel worse and make 'em feel unwanted and everything. And we shouldn't do that.

Where many of the students reacted to the literature primarily from an emotional frame of reference, Patrick seemed to react from a more rational one. He continuously remarked that he had been unaware of
many of the facts and feelings related in the books. That he learned some history and gained some understanding of cause and effect of immigration, especially as it related to the Vietnamese in his school, seemed to delight him.

When reflecting on his reading of the Immigrants, Patrick said he valued it because it “helped me understand a lot of stuff,” especially what the Vietnamese “went through to get here.” In response to The New Americans, he said, “I think you need people to just read it and kinda, uh, get what they want to out of it.... If it was used right, it could be a lot of help to people, especially here.”

Patrick’s prediction held true for Jimmy. After having read all three texts, Jimmy claimed a behavioral change:

I ain’t been, you know, messin’ with ‘em as much, you know, ’cause if you find out really what, you know, what all they went through, you know, you’ll think well, hey, they had enough, and you shouldn’t, you know, put ‘em through too much of anything else.

Implications

Literature, then, can be powerful in exposing us to world views, in eliciting response to other realities, and in reshaping conceptual and emotional reactions to peoples and issues. These students through their reading dealt with and admitted to reshaping some of their notions concerning problematic social issues relevant to their daily lives in their school. However, as long as there is a solid context of racism in their school environment, and this context is ignored by educators, the new found notions of cultural understandings, for some of these students, may be short-lived. With little support available in their homes or school environment, the nurturing of these new understandings may be impossible.

These students attended a school where white students were continuously observed by faculty, administration, and other student body members harassing Vietnamese students. Yet, they never heard the incidents addressed in their classrooms. The racial problem was ignored so that basic skills could be attended to. The standard curriculum and the prescribed lesson plan were observed because preparation for the future loomed larger in the classroom than present needs.

Rigid adherence to lesson plans and curricula, which we, as educators, develop to prepare students for their future lives, often blinds us to the pressing demands of present school realities, making schools an imitation of life rather than a significant slice of life. For this student body, the future, as well as the present, might have been better served if the basic skills of reading, writing, social studies, etc. had been used to deal with their real life issue of student conflict, survival and responsibility. Students could have written about their feelings in poems, short stories, editorial cartoons, essays, songs—discussing how it felt to be the attacker, or the attacked, or the observer. They could have read literature which dealt with multi-cultural issues and histories that dealt with the
Vietnamese people, the Vietnamese war, and the immediate consequences of war to them, students (not just the Huns or the Peloponnesians).

In other words, they could have used all their basic skills in exploring a crisis moment for them and their immediate environment. Through this kind of exploration into the present moment and present feelings and present conflict, they might have come closer to understanding and sharing what made them tick. They might have come closer to understanding the depth of the individual’s responsibility to her fellow citizen in a democratic society. And they might have come closer to realizing what “right” relationship means. Then, no matter what future they walked into, through this shared experience, they would have developed skills and understandings which would be like gold in any college or market place.

Schools are the proper and most logical forum for dealing with racial prejudice. Studies such as Rokeach’s The Open and Closed Mind have found that ethnocentrism thwarts intellectual processes, reducing the power of such functions as problem-solving, memory and perception. Knowing these research results, educators would be remiss if they avoided the exposure of students to other worldviews, other cultures, and allowed them to wallow in ethnic prejudice. This study illustrated that the lack of a “world view” appeared to hinder the thinking of some students, preventing them from adjusting to the social changes caused by the entrance of Vietnamese into their environments.

When ethnocentrism prevents students from eating lunch unharassed, from walking down the halls unmolested, from learning in an unthreatening environment, then it needs immediate attention. It becomes not just a philosophical issue, but an issue of basic human rights, a practical concern for students’ daily lives. For a school to ignore the problem as one irrelevant to its function is irresponsible, not only to the students who are victims of ethnocentrism but also to those students who are ethnocentric.

The subjects of this study were lacking information germane to issues confronting their daily existence in the school and their community. Their responses revealed that before the reading they had no knowledge of the events leading to the influx of Vietnamese into their country or school. This lack of knowledge suggests we pay attention to certain theories of education, such as Dewey’s, which indicate the need for all courses of study to relate to the life of the learner. If students are confronting ethnic issues in their immediate world, we are obligated to introduce materials into the classroom which offer other visions and other perceptions to aid in solving immediate problems.

Few educators, of course, would propose social engineering—the use of literature as propaganda or as dogma. Teachers are not expected to use literature to mold students’ visions; rather, through the literature, students are invited to continually examine perceptions offered in
various works and to consider the implications of these realities for their own perceptions. A literature curriculum designed to bring the perceptions of the students into contact with the perceptions offered by texts, to invite response, both oral and written, and to encourage discussion of those perceptions and responses would provide rich opportunity for students to consider alternative visions and, thus, to grow intellectually.

For decades, physicists have been telling us that the universe operates on the very principle of diversity. Students need unlimited opportunities to explore the significance of this principle; they need to know not only that there is strength in diversity, but also that there is little growth without diversity. Through the reading of ethnic literature, exploration of this principle is possible.

From physics, we also have learned that nothing in the universe operates in isolation, that every atom functions in relationship with another. Therefore, it is not only appropriate but necessary that students investigate their relationships with one another, with their culture, with other cultures, and with the world. Literature unattached to any meaningful discourse, disassociated from the dynamics of students' lives, provides little opportunity for students to grow within their own environment, much less grow toward a world view.

We are all discovering that a tribalistic mentality is no longer useful nor conducive to survival in a modern world. Rather, as anthropologist Edward Hall insisted, "The future depends on man's transcending the limits of individual cultures." Ethn

...
Notes

1Robert E. Probst. *Adolescent Literature: Response and Analysis.* (Columbus: Charles E. Merrill, 1984).

2Hans R. Jauss. *Toward an Aesthetic of Reception.* (Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, 1982).

3E. S. Bogardus. "Measurement of personal group relations." *Sociometry.* Vol. 10 (1947) 306-311. A version of this scale modified by K. M. Miller and J. B. Biggs ("Attitude change through undirected group discussion." *Journal of Educational Psychology.* Vol. 49, No. 4 (1958) 224-227) was used. It comprised seven statements identical for each ethnic group. The students were asked to check all statements which best described their reactions to the groups. The statements were: "I would like to have live in my home. I would like to have as a close friend. I would like to go for a holiday with. I would like to have on my sports team. I would like to work with in school. I would like to have live on my street. I would like to have live in my country." The groups included Americans, Germans, Irish, Polish, Vietnamese, Jewish, Blacks, Russians, Japanese, Italians, and Chinese. Clearly the groups overlap and mix national, racial, and religious affiliations in one list. Also, the terms fail to distinguish between immigrants and those born in the United States with strong ties to an ancestry in another country. Nonetheless, the terms do seem to represent categories into which people are likely to sort others. The term "American," for instance, is obviously a dangerously ambiguous term, too easily used as a careless label or an ill-defined group favored by the individual. Nonetheless, as many of the transcripts of student responses showed, the students use the terms comfortably, and often without awareness of their imprecision, in discussing others. Thus the scale may have identified fairly well some common conceptions about the groups into which people fall.


10Milton Rokeach. *The Open and Closed Mind.* (New York: Basic Books,
Critique

The intent of Joan Sullivan's project as described in this article is one that can only be applauded—working toward minimizing ethnocentrism and xenophobia must be seen as a sine qua non for a nation or a school community which aspires to realize a democratic ideology. Furthermore, there is no more important an age group on which to focus this project than that of the adolescent who is on the threshold of adult freedom and responsibility. Finally, educating the imagination toward a more just society for all through literature is a most meaningful use of the secondary school curriculum.

In considering the merit of Sullivan's project, one looks for persuasive and convincing arguments regarding its effectiveness. Unfortunately the project as described seems to come up short for at least two reasons: first, one senses an oversimplified conception of what it means to read literature; and secondly, one feels that the author is engaged in a rather unsubstantiated exercise in psychoanalysis. Both of these shortcomings, I believe, could be overcome, possibly by revising the language and style of the paper so that it indicates a more critical and substantive use of the scholarship around the "act of reading" as well as that concerning adolescent psychology. In what follows here I will briefly elaborate on the above two points.

Many would agree undoubtedly with the premise that the reading of literature can be a significant opportunity for one to begin to question one's world view, one's understanding of oneself and the other, especially the other who may be of a different ethnicity, race, class or gender. However, the act of reading is not one-directional, that is, the reader's thoughts are not necessarily controlled by the text. Rather, it seems that
the act of reading is more of a dialectic, albeit one that is different from a face-to-face conversation.

As Wolfgang Iser notes, there is the possibility of a fundamental asymmetry between the text and the reader: the interaction between text and reader fails when, for example, the reader's projections "superimpose themselves unimpeded upon the text" (Iser, 1978, p. 167). On the other hand, the interplay between text and reader can be one of mutuality, one of "social creativity in which each is enriched by the other . . ." (Iser, p. 164). Sartre, it seems to me, has captured this complex interplay between text and reader when he says,

"Reading is a pact of generosity between author and reader. Each one trusts the other; each one counts on the other, demands of the other as much as he demands of himself . . . When I read, I make demands; if my demands are met, what I am then reading provokes me to demand more of the author, which means to demand of the author that he demand more of me." (Sartre, 1967, p. 55)

Hence, when Sullivan reaffirms Jauss's statement that reading "compels us to a new perception of things, and therefore, liberates us from prejudices" (p. 1, my italics), I believe she begins her project with not only an erroneous assumption but with one that is not totally borne out in her interactions with adolescent readers. Sullivan ignores the possibility that the reader might not "trust the author," resulting perhaps in an exercise of the reader's freedom to reaffirm his or her own beliefs, which in some cases may actually be the solidification of one's ethnocentrism or xenophobia.

When Sullivan describes the oral responses to the literature read by the adolescents, we hear a somewhat more convincing and realistic description—for example, that Jimmy's responses revealed a conflict with himself, and that Valerie initially did not waiver from her view of what Americanization entailed, especially her "English only" attitude. And yet, we are expected to believe that after having read and discussed merely three texts, the students experienced significant emotional, perceptual and behavioral changes. This premise ignores both the complex interplay between reader and text as well as the nature of the adolescent. Adolescent psychology tells us that this is a time when one begins to acquire the cognitive tools to argue for one's beliefs—ethnocentric or not—as well as the stage when, as Erikson says, the adolescent is concerned with identity formation revealed by a vacillation between ideological commitment and confusion of values, a wrestling with issues such as whether to be a follower or a leader, or what is the meaning of authority.

My point is that those of us who believe in multi-ethnic studies and see the need to work against prejudice must avoid the temptation to use overzealous subjective interpretation to confirm these admirable beliefs. We have to be more candid about the complexity and effort that goes into changing a reader's norms and values.

In concluding the paper, Sullivan, I believe, makes several pragmatic points which seem contrary to the optimistic idealism of the earlier
sections. She correctly asserts that when racism is entrenched in the school environment, it is difficult to sustain new "cultural understandings." In other words, attempting to change adolescent values, to turn them away from injustice, cannot be accomplished through the mere act of reading, even when the literature chosen is exceptional. Reading literature that challenges one's ethnocentrism must not only pervade the core curriculum, it must be supported by teachers who themselves have transcended ethnocentrism and xenophobia and by a school governance committed day-to-day to the ideal of social justice.

Sullivan's project is itself a call for social justice in the secondary school. Her use of literature to achieve this quest is an act of sincerity—she is asking us to use works of art, as Susanne Langer writes, not only to educate "human feeling," that is, "to objectify feeling so we can contemplate and understand it" (Langer, p. 91), but to educate "human vision," that is, that which "assimilates ordinary sights . . . to inward vision and lends expressiveness and emotional import to the world" (Langer, p. 94). This objectification of subjective reality and subjectification of outward experience, in other words, the essence of a work of art as it relates to life, may be one of the significant ways to bring about cultural advancement (see Langer, pp. 93-94), including the development of more just paradigms of educating in schools.

References


—Angelo A. Giugliano
Russell Sage College
RAL provides a stimulating, international forum for discussion of the oral and written literatures of Africa. In addition to presenting critical, interdisciplinary articles, RAL serves the broad needs of the academic community by including varied features such as timely research, accounts of university and school literature programs, and reports from libraries and archives. Each issue focuses on a special topic such as Swahili Verbal Arts and an upcoming special issue on Ayi Kwei Armah.

Recent and Forthcoming articles include:

- Special issue on Swahili Verbal Arts
  *Expressing a Swahili Sense of Humor: Sin Jokes* by Carol Eastman.

- Special issue on Literature and Society
  *Mofolo's Chaka and the Bambata Rebellion* by David Attwell.

- Special issue on Ayi Kwei Armah
  *Pessimism of the Intellect, Optimism of the Will: A Reading of Ayi Kwei Armah's The Beautiful Ones are not Yet Born* by Neil Lazarus.
  *Fragments: The Akan Background* by Derek Wright.

Single copy rates: Individual $6, Institution $9
Foreign postage, add $1.50

Yearly subscription rates: Individual $20, Institution $32
Foreign postage, add $4.00

University of Texas Press Journals, P.O. Box 7819, Austin, Texas 78713
Abstracts from the Sixteenth Annual Conference on Ethnic and Minority Studies
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The following abstracts were submitted for presentation at the annual Conference, and the respondent comments reflect the direction of discussion at several of the sessions. The intersection of race, class, and gender was the primary focus of the Conference. Caryn Mctighe Musil, National Director of the National Women's Studies Association; Betty Schmitz, Research Associate for the Northwest Center for Research on Women in the Curriculum; and Paul Lauter, director of the Reconstructing American Literature project spoke at the General Session, refining the Conference theme and providing specific plans for action. Pearl Primus and her son Onwin Borde presented "Dance Is My Language" in slides and music reflecting the African origins of modern dance, and Ernest Champion of Bowling Green State University provided a "Tribute to James Baldwin" at the banquet. Congratulations to conference directors John C. Walter and Johnnella Butler for a job well done.

SESSION I: "RELIGION AND CULTURE"
Chair: Alberto L. Pulido, University of Notre Dame.

Howard Adelman, Smith College. "Are Jewish Studies Ethnic?"

The complete history of Jewish studies has not yet been written. However, scholars engaged in this field are beginning to subject it to searching analysis. Pertinent articles which appeared offer two extreme positions: One sees the increase in Jewish studies as a result of heightened Jewish self-awareness during the late 1960s due to the Six Day War, growing interest in the Holocaust, and the influence of rising black and ethnic consciousnesses which resulted in the establishment of academic programs. The other, usually a reaction to the first view, offers that the study of Hebraica and Judaica has held an ancient and honorable place in the traditional university curriculum.

The purpose of this paper is to trace the history of Jewish studies, by which is meant
the critical study of the history, literature, and thought of the Jewish people since the
biblical period and to indicate some of the features which undermine both of these
general theses. I will demonstrate that the status of Hebraica and Judaica has not
always been ancient nor honorable and that the field has roots other than the
consciousness-raising events of the late 1960s. These observations are offered with the
hope that an outline of the development of Jewish studies will suggest interdisciplinary
discussion about the origins and development of other fields of study. When
suggesting a compariusion of different fields of study, it is with the hope that a level of
discourse can be established which transcends attempts to use them as a foil for
elaborating the strengths of one’s own predilections. It is for this reason that ethnic
studies is not narrowly defined but only used in the general sense of any field which
attempts to study a particular community, often neglected by the general curriculum.
Thus Jewish studies are ethnic, and considering them as such is necessary not only for
purposes of obtaining cooperation from administrators and mutual support of
colleagues, but also for the creation of disciplinary and methodological approaches
which are academically sound.

David M. Gradwohl, Iowa State University, “Intra-Group Ethnic Diversity
Among American Jews: An Ethnoarchaeological Perspective from
Cemeteries in Lincoln, Nebraska.”

Most studies of ethnicity in the United States have focused on inter-group
differences and identities. Particular attention has been devoted to the definition of
boundary-maintaining mechanisms between groups and the interactions of minorities
with other groups in the dominant society. These studies have been fruitful and should
be continued in the pursuit of our goals of achieving better cross-cultural under-
standing and equality of citizens in the United States.

Oftentimes the diversity of sub-groups within larger ethnic and minority groups is
as extreme as the differences between major ethnic groups. Just as often, there is an
attempt by the sub-groups to mask these differences and present a “united front”
toward outside groups, particularly as a response to discriminatory acts or attitudes.
Cases of factionalism, however, can be seen within black and American Indian
communities, for example, as reported in historical research studies and as reflected
in current newspaper coverage of the contemporary political scene.

The present study is focused on the diversity within the Jewish “community” in
Lincoln, Nebraska. Jewish settlers arrived in Lincoln during the 1870s. Nearly all of
these people were recent immigrants from northern Europe. They were Ashkenazim as
opposed to the Sephardim, many of whom came to the United States from Spain,
Portugal and Holland during the Colonial Period. Although the Ashkenazim shared
historical traditions among themselves, two separate congregations were
formed: B’nai Jeshurun (1884) and Tifereth Israel (1885). B’nai Jeshurun’s congre-
gants came primarily from western Europe (France, Germany, and Austria) and they
embraced the principles of Reform Judaism, a movement which began in Europe after
the emancipation of Jews there. For the most part, Tifereth Israel’s members
immigrated from eastern Europe (Poland, Russia, and the Baltic countries) and they
followed the practices of Orthodox and Conservative Judaism. Two separate Jewish
cemeteries were established in Lincoln. Mount Carmel Cemetery (originally called
Chebra B’nai Jehuda Cemetery) is the graveyard for most of Lincoln’s Orthodox and
Conservative Jews. Mount Lebanon Cemetery serves as the burial ground for Reform
Jews in and around Lincoln. The locations of these two cemeteries and inscriptions on
the gravestones reflect historical, linguistic, and theological differences
between Reform Jews and Orthodox/Conservative Jews in Lincoln and in the wider
American scene. These data offer some insights into ethnicity from an intra-group
perspective. From this basis, one can speculate on some different strategies of group
survival as well as the maintenance of individual identities.

Jack Johnson-Hill, Vanderbilt University. “Rastafari as a Liberation
Movement: Images of Race, Class, and Gender in Rastafarian
Poetry.”

This paper is an interpretive sociological account of images of race, class and gender
in Rastafarian poetry. Utilizing the phenomenological perspective of the life-world,
the Rastafari phenomenon is viewed as arising in an enduring, liminal cultural arena.
Thus contextualized, Rasta poetry is understood as disclosing a bold yet non-defensive
awareness of racial identity, a concept of socio-economic status which forswears the
upward mobility motive, and a movement toward a non-chauvinistic consciousness
among Rasta brethren. These images, in turn, are viewed as aspects of an emerging
liberation identity which contains the seeds for a new Afro-Caribbean ethic.
Andrew Greeley and Philip Roth are both writers fighting with their archangels as Jacob wrestled with his. They are two irreverent prophets struggling with religious themes, two ethnic authors writing out of the tradition of the eastern European shtetl, two writers in the Yiddish tradition.

Irving Howe said, "The cruelest thing anyone can do with Portnoy's Complaint is to read it twice." The opposite is true of Andrew Greeley's work. The kindest thing you can do to Andrew Greeley is to read him twice. But between the first and second reading, you must study Philip Roth, Irving Howe, and the stories of the Yiddish tradition. You will discover first that Roth is a writer in the Yiddish tradition. Second you will notice that Greeley is a writer made in the image and likeness of Roth.

This paper makes no attempt to assign either Jewish heritage or literary status to Andrew Greeley. Greeley is a Catholic priest who writes popular books that sell well from racks in airports and convenience stores. He is not to be compared to Roth on the basis of literary muscle, but on the basis of theme. Roth once wrote that Isaac Bashevis Singer is a writer who has "awesome spiritual meaning for the community at large that is not necessarily of literary interest" to his fellow writers. That is the subject of this paper—similarities among the Yiddish writers, Philip Roth, and Andrew Greeley which are mainly spiritual and not necessarily of literary interest.

Religious preoccupation is one important spiritual quality that Greeley shares with Roth and the Yiddish writers. But it is only one. This paper also examines two other elements which make Greeley a writer in the Yiddish tradition. One is a sense of closeness to their own people—to their folk. This closeness to their people influences characters, settings and themes and seems to bleed out even to the reactions critics have to them, and the reactions they, in turn, have to their critics.

Another element which links Greeley and Roth to the Yiddish writers is the sense of tradition—tradition in the Yiddish context as outlined by Irving Howe and Eliezer Greenberg: "... tradition is almost always bound up with moral and social rebelliousness, for the mere existence of Yiddish literature is itself a rebellion against the assumptions of Jewish orthodoxy."

Roth and Greeley are rebels like the Yiddish writers, rebels ranting against their own families and struggling with religious themes. To show this, the paper considers specific works by Roth and Greeley. Works by Roth include Portnoy's Complaint"The Conversion of the Jews," When She was Good, and the Zuckerman Bound (The Ghost Writer, Zuckerman Unbound, The Anatomy Lesson, and Epilogue: The Prague Orgy). Works by Greeley include Cardinal Sins, Thy Brother's Wife, Ascent Into Hell, Lord of the Dance, Virgin and Martyr. The work of Irving Howe is also used, not only his scathing criticism published in Commentary, "Philip Roth Reconsidered," but also the passage Howe co-authored as the introduction to A Treasury of Yiddish Stories.

Respondent: Stewart Rodnon, Rider College.

One of my goals, according to the Conference mandate is "to ensure thematic consistency." The consistency can't be a formal religious theology because obviously Jews, Catholics, and Rastafarians would have a desperate time on that issue. E. M. Forster's famous dictum was "only connect"; perhaps suggesting that the mighty river of religious impulse flows into several tributaries ultimately leading us to the little trickles of the common folk and makes one connection. The sociologists try to get their version of this "truth" with great scientific exactness, using words like "phenomenological," "liminal," "contextualized." On the other hand, the creative artists see things with a mixture of pity, sadness, admiration, love, hatred, compassion, anger—a whole complex of tangled emotions. They write out of their own upbringing and out of their insights not only into their own society but also into themselves. And the grand, lordly religious river of thoughts seeps somehow to the Catholic, Jew, and Rastafarian, to help them somehow to see the universe in a more unified and comprehensible way and to see more clearly their place in it; they may discover how, as Emerson put it, "to advance on Chaos and the darkness."

In other words, I'm impressed primarily with the focus ultimately on specific individuals whether literary artists creatively imaging poetry or creating characters who are used as persona or spokespersons or narrators to articulate a version of the truth. Jack Johnson Hill takes us to the underbelly of our Northern and Western Hemispheres to give us a sociological and literary account of Plantation America, saying "This sphere pertains to those areas in the Americas which have been fundamentally shaped by sugar production in a rigidly stratified plantation system with African slave
labor." America’s record in the Caribbean has been, of course, shameful. He shows the breadth of the Rastafarian poetry movement while focusing on the work of those individual poets which affirms black racial identity while transcending a narrow focus on race, is strongly anti-materialistic, and is now easing what had been a strong male chauvinistic attitude toward women. I found a great deal of similarity between their work and that of the black Revolutionary poets of the 1960s and 1970s, such as Don Lee, Sonya Sanchez, Imamu Baraka, Marie Evans, and others.

A most engaging paper written with verve and zest and wit was Cathy Dalglish’s Andrew Greeley-Philip Roth comparison. I thought it somewhat complicated and labyrinthine on first reading, but a second and a third helped enormously as I found her focus—which is that both have in common three things: (1) religious pre-occupation, (2) a certain sense of tradition, and (3) a closeness to their own people and to the "folk." (She sees this, I think rightly, as "Yiddish.") This third preoccupation of Roth and Greeley leads them to develop characters and themes which terribly offend the members of their religious Establishments. These, usually self-serving, leaders of a religious or power hierarchy do not want their boat rocked. We, therefore, get conservatives vigorously attacking these creative spirits; then Greeley and Roth counter-attack brilliantly, and for my dough win the war—but with bloodied noses.

This was an ingenious and shrewdly developed paper.

Howard Adelman’s paper I found extremely worthwhile both because of its ideas and because is a model of standard expository development. His thesis is two-fold: (1) to show that the status of Hebraica and Judaica studies has not always been ancient and honorable, and (2) the field has roots other than the consciousness-raising events of the late 1960s. He, at some length, goes into the historical and sociological background and, I believe, proves his case conclusively. His conclusions point out several paradoxes, but the upshot is that Jewish studies scholars seek to avoid the label “ethnic.” They try to gain status by tying-in directly to the standard curriculum; that is unnecessary, for the academic process has always “been committed to fostering particular values whether they are nationalistic, religious, sexual, or racial.” He makes a potent argument that the so-called “universalist” view of much of the college curriculum is based on a “Western, white, Christian, male view of the world.” Perhaps it is these courses, then, which might be considered "ethnic" in a pejorative sense. He goes on to say that all ethnic studies (in an honorific sense) are valid if they “display rigorous disciplinary and methodological questioning of all data, assumptions and conclusions.” Adelman himself certainly sustains the validity of this statement in his own meticulously researched analysis.

David Gradwohl’s scholarly paper and his slide presentation—informative, interesting, and persuasive—examined aspects of the German immigrants’ reformed Judaism and the later Russian-Polish Jewish immigrants’ orthodox and conservative Judaism in one city. His ethnarcheological perspective utilized the tombstones in the cemeteries that each group established, and clearly these served as emblems of each group’s essential religious and cultural identities. This perspective on intraethnic diversity was an enlightening methodology, undoubtedly useful as a tool for similar projects; it was a clearly developed and well-imaged presentation.

SESSON II: “EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMY”
Chair: Samuel Hinton, Kent State University.
Norma J. Burgess, Mississippi State University.

"Black Married Women in the U.S.: Theoretical Applications for Employment.”

Since World War II, researchers have attempted to explain and understand the changes in the role of married women regarding expected behaviors within the home and the workplace. More attention should be given to cultural variations among women in society because of variations in labor force participation. What is posited here is an historical explanation for the continued persistence of relatively large numbers of black married women in the labor force based on employment patterns established during slavery. Finally, the ideas of Stinchcombe (1968) are used as a theoretical application of employment among black women in the United States.


In the course of the historical development of the Southwestern Chicano community, a number of distinctive types of settlements have been observed. Generally, this variation in settlement has been shaped by the contours of the local economic enterprises employing Mexican labor. Thus, barrios have been established upon the foundations provided by the cattle and sheep industry, agriculture, mining, railroads,
urban manufacturing, and the citrus industry. Each of these general economic branches have created a particular barrio “style” that can be studied, analyzed and compared. This paper reports on a case study of the social history of a common form of Mexican settlement in Southern California, the segregated citrus pickers' camps.


Chicanas employed as private household workers find themselves in intimate contact with women of a different class and culture. Their work situation is unique in that housewives and private household workers are both women and share the ascribed task of housework. But hiring a domestic frees housewives from the drudgery of housework. As housewives delegate menial work to private household workers who belong to a subordinate group, they also relinquish control over aspects of their lives, such as raising children. The domestic completes the work and does it well, but these conditions perpetuate class and racial inequality. A historical perspective will provide necessary background information to the racial and cultural component between mistresses and maids in the Southwest, as well as existing traditions in the occupation. Based on interviews with Chicana private household workers, the paper examines the interpersonal employee/employer relationship commonly characterized as “one of the family” in order to determine the ways in which status differences are maintained through the interaction and ways that the bonds of sisterhood are established. Particular attention is placed on the informal negotiation of the work, the type of interaction developed with employers, and aspects of daily interactions, including the linguistic and spatial.


What is the impact of institutional confidence (the belief that federal intervention improves life chances) on the economic and social development of black Americans? Has it retarded the black community? This paper discusses my test results of Williams' (1982) thesis of blacks' over-reliance on social benevolence and its impact on economic and social development. I use data from the General Social Survey (GSS) to test his belief. I conclude by questioning whether Williams' thesis is valid or if there are other concepts, such as well-being, that also play a role in this issue.

Respondent: Miguel A. Carranza, University of Nebraska, Lincoln

SESSION III: “MEDICINE AND MENTAL HEALTH”

Chair: Allene Jones, Texas Christian University.

Ella P. Lacey and Bikash Nandy, Southern Illinois University. “Considering Health and Census Data on Race, Color, and Ethnicity: A Case of Confusing Terminology and Unfounded Conclusions.”

Race, color, and ethnicity are demographic descriptors to which we have become accustomed related to review of health and medical data. These concepts are used interchangeably with some tendency toward ethnicity as a suppliant for race. Our major population database, the U.S. Census, collects and analyzes its data on race, color, and ethnicity in ways that do not suggest support for synthesis of these very different constructs. Data on race or color are gathered independently of ethnicity, with the latter being collected only as a dichotomous variable. Use of related demographic variables in State vital statistics is presented as an example to illustrate disparity in use of the concepts. The data collection and compilation procedures are not consistent relative to issues of race, color, and ethnicity in the decennial census.

As we approach the bicentennial of the U.S. Census, systematic qualitative analyses should be conducted to examine the underlying assumptions about race, color and ethnicity. We need to explore alternatives for the race and ethnicity related procedural changes from one census period to another. It is timely that we determine appropriate frameworks to generate population data on race and ethnicity uncontaminated by conceptual ambiguity.


This paper presents an historical overview of popular medical and ethnological perceptions of African health. The first period, “incohesive racialism,” spanned the third quarter of the nineteenth century and drew heavily from medical stereotypes and distorted anthropometry generated by the slave South. The second period emerged during the turn of the century and early twentieth century, and centered on social
Darwinist and evolutionary approaches to the race concept and the health of blacks. Following World War I a third phase developed in American ideas toward African health based on the fields of “human biology” and genetics. Medical authorities attributed the fundamental cause of epidemics and mortality differentials between blacks and whites to alleged genetic-based racial differences. After World War II race concepts based on biology and genetics are challenged by scientific humanism and cultural relativism. This last development altered significantly approaches to disease and illness of black populations to ones which stressed the environmental and economic causes of disease patterns among blacks. Finally, this paper argues that elements of these old concepts of race and ethnicity are resurging anew in such fields as “comparative ethnogenetics” and sociobiology, and will have a negative impact especially on American academic and policy approaches to health problems confronting current-day African nations.


In 1979, after maintaining a closed society for 30 years, China initiated reforms which included opening its doors to the outside world. This open-door policy has evidenced itself in many areas including economic, cultural, educational, and political reforms. Medical developments have also been enhanced through the merging of Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) with western medicine. A better understanding of Traditional Chinese Medicine has been attained by interpreting TCM effectiveness from the perspective of western medicine. This paper describes primary factors which have affected the merging of Traditional Chinese Medicine and western medicine as Chinese and western practitioners have worked to cross-culturally understand the procedures and effectiveness of Traditional Chinese Medicine.


This study surveys contemporary social scientific approaches to issues of race/ethnicity, gender and class. In that there exists a need for increased discourse among researchers concerned with gender, class or race, the goal here is to identify common concepts and contextual meanings in the hopes of cross-fertilization and unity. Triangulation, or the use of multiple research approaches, is seen as a methodological “green light” for the construction of more progressive and unifying paradigms for each of these fields and for social science research in general. Meta-analysis, or the analysis of existing research, is viewed as a means toward re-visioning research findings that have been limited because of stereotype and bias. Phenomenological research, or the orientation that one begins research by observing and interacting with a phenomenon without prior theoretical conceptions, is offered as the approach that can re-cover “lost voices” that have been hidden in positivistic, white male-dominated research.

Because of dialectical and historical realities, the social sciences can never reach a level of autonomy and pure wisdom. They can potentially provide more positive than negative contributions if they are restrained and re-visioned by an introspective, self-critical, evolving paradigm. That is the goal of this paper: to advance the dialogue in the re-visioning of social science methodologies away from their role in reproducing social, economic, and political inequalities and, perhaps, to contribute to the role that knowledge and wisdom can have in humanizing human nature. The question for this study is: will the triangulation of gender, race and class, the phenomenological recovering of lost voices, and the meta-analysis of past research contribute to that humanizing, democratizing process?

Because of the vast amount of literature that constitutes social scientific inquiry, this study focuses on theories of mental ability as presented in mainstream educational psychology. However, this will not be an exclusive focus. It is imperative that we keep in mind and operationalize the more fundamental concern for the abuse of the reproductive nature of all the social sciences.

Respondent: Allene Jones, Texas Christian University.

This session on “Medicine and Mental Health” was impressive because of the variety and scope of the four papers presented. The paper presented by Lacey focuses upon the role that census data plays in the distribution of health and disease among the racial and ethnic groups in the United States. This paper emphasizes the fact that the U.S. census has not been consistent throughout its history regarding the definitions of the
key variables such as race, color, and ethnicity. Lack of consistent definitions poses problems for researchers, especially if they want to do research from an historical perspective.

McBride focuses on the role of early crude racial views of African health and how these views affected the views of the health status of black Americans. McBride does an excellent job in showing how a racist's view of African and American health has evolved to a more scientific one. In this paper McBride stresses the importance of shifting from the "racial traits" as a determinant of the health status of black Africans and Americans to that of meeting the basic human needs of these two groups.

Schnell, who was a visiting professor at Northern Jiaotong University, focuses upon the merging of Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), and Western medicine. According to Schnell, when Chinese and Western physicians interact they provide a climate whereby the "why" of TCM is better understood. For centuries, the Chinese have encountered few problems in explaining the "how" of traditional Chinese medical procedures. In this paper, Schnell discusses some of the problems encountered when Chinese and Western physicians share their medical practices. Differences in culture and language appear to be two major problem areas. Despite the problems encountered when TCM and Western medicine merge, the benefits appear to outweigh the problems.

Gonzalves focuses on three important research methods—triangulation, meta-analysis, and phenomenology—as important research methods to be utilized when studying race, ethnicity, gender, and class. Gonzalves is to be commended for her ability to show how each of these three methods when applied to the aforementioned concepts leads to a much better understanding and application of these concepts than when the quantitative research methods are used in the study, understanding, and application of these concepts.

SESSION IV: "INTERNATIONAL ISSUES"
Chair: Ernest A. Champion, Bowling Green State University.


Ethnic groups are frequently identified by distinctive patterns of family life, language, recreation, religion and other customs. Ethnic identity is a core element in the development of personality and is intimately linked with the perception of self, early socialization, language learning and/or religious and political indoctrination. However, an individual may have dual or multiple identities depending on roles played in particular and different situations. Indeed, in modern societies individuals face multiple, overlapping and sometimes conflicting definitions of personal identity and group membership. For example, there are people who grew up in households with first generation immigrant families. These persons are now holding high-level jobs in corporate America and are perceived at that level to be members of the corporate culture. They have to succumb to expectations of social behavior relevant to their status, but they sometimes yearn for the familiar and familial patterns of behavior they grew up in. The concept of "Tweeners," relates to the conflict that they have to endure.

Ethnic studies in the United States have tended to differentiate whites into ethnic groups while at the same time regarding blacks as a homogeneous ethnic group. This practice needs to be reviewed and reevaluated because of the serious racial and ethnocentric issues involved. Racial traits are usually defined by virtue of innate and immutable physical characteristics, and people of a common race may be divided into several ethnic groups. Usually, when large groups of people are colonized or subjugated, it is easy to utilize the concept of "divide and rule." Through this process, the "master" ensures that ethnic differences are emphasized so that group solidarity would be difficult to obtain. During slavery, for reasons well outside the orbit of this paper, Africans were not encouraged to emphasize their ethnic differences. In the study of ethnicity some relevant questions come to mind that require some answers: Why have American blacks been banded together as one ethnic group? How would black citizens of foreign birth and their progeny be accounted for in the ethnic mosaic? Are problems of "hidden minorities" (Rollin, 1981) important when we consider black ethnicity? The answers to these questions are crucial to a resolution of definitions of ethnicity devoid of double standards.

The literature on ethnicity is replete with insinuations, sometimes from blacks themselves, of inferiority; Frazier (1957) has suggested that black culture is derived from Southern folk culture (aping whites), while Glazer and Moynihan (1963) went so far as to suggest that blacks had no values and culture to guard and protect. These
writers assume that blacks are capable of shedding their Africanity or diasporal orientation despite evidence to the contrary as indicated in music, dance, religion and even speech patterns which have endurred and survived slavery and discrimination throughout the centuries.

Since the 1950s when African countries began to participate in world affairs as independent nations, the stigma of slavery became less of a problem to African-Americans. Unfortunately, Africa's independence became tainted with political upheavals leading to wars and suffering. Many refugees from Uganda, Nigeria (Biafra), Ethiopia (Eritrea), the Sudan and other countries have settled in the United States. Other peoples of African descent who became immigrants include Jamaicans and other Caribbean nationals, the most significant of which in recent times are the Haitians. There appeared to be significant initial strains between these groups and the larger (homegrown) black populations; the latter expected the newcomers to be and act "black" meaning "just like us," while the new groups preferred to retain some elements of their culture, religion and even language. This friction may be explained in terms of the impact of ethnocentrism on the behaviors of minorities. A minority who has been discriminated against and treated as inferior would not only internalize this experience, it will also act it out against other populations it comes in contact with. This reverse ethnocentrism appears to be perpetrated against newer groups of black peoples by members of the larger native-born group and the former are doomed to suffer double jeopardy, being part of an oppressed minority while at the same time being subject to prejudice and rejection from their own kind. The interactions of succeeding generations of black ethnics with the larger group of blacks should be very important to the study of ethnicity and ethnic groups in the United States.

Laura Tabili, University of Maryland. "Race, Class, Gender, and Ethnicity in Interwar Britain."

In recent decades, black workers' migration to Britain from the colonies and former colonies has provoked conflict and even violence. In spite of the depiction as foreigners, newcomers, and outcasts, black settlements, institutions and ethnic networks survived and flourished in interwar Britain, in relative harmony with white neighbors. When conflict occurred it often came from outside. Local and national authorities saw these settlements as centers of disorder, and made systematic attempts to dismantle black communities in Cardiff and Tyneside during the Depression. These efforts were directly traceable in some cases to employers' desires to keep their workforce vulnerable and isolated from the white working class.

Labelling black families and communities deviant and dangerous was a pretext for outside interference and attempts to dismantle them. Alarmist accounts of racially mixed couples partook of and played into cultural stereotypes which were gender and class based as well as racist. The middle class reaction to mixed couples in interwar Britain prefigured the "culturalist" victim blaming explanations for black disadvantage in contemporary Britain as well as current attempts to control black men and black communities by controlling black women. Although damaging, these attacks proved ultimately futile, and these communities continued to exist on the eve of World War II, forming the nuclei of postwar black settlements.

W. M. Akalou, Texas Southern University. "Ethiopians and Afghans in America: A Comparative Perspective."

Ethiopians and Afghans constitute small but distinctive ethnic communities in America. Both share a number of similar characteristics: long uninterrupted history, mountainous geography, and great pride in their country and cultural heritage. Both have recently undergone drastic political and social changes which have seriously impacted their people. As a consequence, thousands have left their homeland seeking asylum in near and faraway places. A few thousands have come to the United States where both Ethiopians and Afghans have begun to make a living from scratch with little or no social support system of their own. This paper explores to what extent national regime characteristecs shape the context with which individual emigration decision occurs among Ethiopians and Afghans, and whether or not there are discernible differences or similarities in the outcome of their decisions.

Respondent: Helen MacLam, Choice Magazine.
SESSION V.A. “ETHNICITY AND WOMEN’S WRITING”
Chair: Luis L. Pinto, Bronx Community College.

This panel addresses the various strategies of representation of women’s lives. The lives examined are of women of different race, class and ethnic backgrounds. Two of the participants are writers of autobiography and biography and discuss how they came to their projects and the methodological issues that emerged in the process of their writing. Two panelists examine analytical tools for understanding the texts of women’s lives. One, an anthropologist, discusses recent work in anthropology that challenges the way traditional ethnographies have occluded women’s real lives. The other, a literary scholar, explores recent trends in feminist historiography and feminist literary theory showing how an investigation of strategies of representation in their historical context can uncover the contradictions that shape women’s lives and writing about them.

B. “ARMENIAN AMERICAN WOMEN”
Chair: Ruth Thomasian, Project SAVE, Watertown, MA.
Margaret Bedrosian, University of California, Davis. “Armenian American Women.”
Arlene V. Avakian, University of Massachusetts. “Armenian American Women: The First Word . . .”


Both our speakers address the lack of women’s own voice among Armenian-American women. There is little literature by women. There is little recording of women’s histories. What we have here today is indeed the “first word,” and only the first word, but a word breaking the silence of Armenian-American women. Volumes and volumes need yet to be uttered and written. There is so much to be done that it is difficult to know where to begin. I see that difficulty reflected in our speakers’ remarks which remain general, descriptive and indicating, rather than specific and analytical. That is the state of the field right now. We are very much at the beginning stages and so I would simply push the conversation another inch or two with three observations and questions.

First of all, if there often exists a tension between ethnic identity and gender identity in relation to developing a feminist consciousness, there is a particular twist in that for Armenian-American women. That difference is in large part because of the experience of genocide. The mentality of survivors is often that all they have left is their ethnic identity. As Margaret Bedrosian indicated, they have a “state of siege” mentality. Their way of being in the world and understanding the world is colored by having survived and having preserved ethnic identity. Any proposed change then to that identity is seen as threatening not only their identity, but their existence. Armenians for whom the genocide experience is still fundamental live a threatened existence and feminism, or a claiming of female identity in a different way, is seen as a direct challenge to that existence. To put it bluntly, if I do not marry an Armenian, stay home and raise Armenian children, then am I not continuing what the genocide began? Any societal, generational or gender-related change is interpreted through the lens of a post-genocide threatened existence. Is there a way then to address that sense of threat so that the conflict can be mediated more successfully?

Secondly, Arlene Avakian spoke of women she interviewed as seeing themselves as strong rather than powerful. That is descriptive of the situation of women in a patriarchally structured society. But again, I think this can be further understood in light of the genocide experience. In that genocide, women were more often the survivors than men. These women could claim that they managed to survive because of their strength, cleverness, resourcefulness, perseverance, etc. Yet they felt powerless. The genocide was a situation in which they had only the ability to survive, not to effect change. We need to ask then whether the dynamic is different among later generations of women who have more opportunity to access power and therefore produce change.

Thirdly, we need to think about and ask ourselves what we mean by Armenian-American women. Who is named by that designation? One answer is that it refers to any woman who claims Armenian ancestry. But is that enough of an answer? Is it nuanced enough? Are not there different groups of Armenian-American women in different generations and classes and with differing backgrounds? When we say that
we want to understand the experience of Armenian-American women, is there a particular experience or experiences we are referring to? And how does our experience relate to the experience of our mothers? How do we understand the “Armenianness” of their experience when so much of the Armenian culture they knew reflected Turkish and Moslem influences? If we can attempt to be careful and specific about whom and what we are discussing, then we may be better able to understand the history we uncover and discover and the voices we give ear to.

Finally, a word about that history and those voices. We need to gather as much data as possible from available literature, both fiction and non-fiction, and from histories, both written and not yet written. We need to do basic research, collect oral histories and develop resources. When doing that work we also need not to presume to understand easily the experience of our mothers, grandmothers, sisters and daughters. We must not assume too much or assume we know more than we do know, especially about the meanings they assign to their experiences. We need to let these women speak for themselves while we listen carefully and critically, so that we can truly understand and therefore honor them.

SESSION VI: “RACE, GENDER, AND CLASS”
Chair: Linda Gonzalves, Rutgers University.


Black literary artists, male and female, have contributed significantly to the perpetuation—even exaggeration—of the widely held perception of a destructive adversarial relationship between black males and females. That these writers may well be unwitting perpetrators of this perception is ultimately irrelevant in view of the inevitable generalizations attendant upon character portrayals of blacks in imaginative literature. The phenomenon of generalizability, though a legitimate feature of enduring literature, is often given unique application in relation to blacks—a fact that underscores the necessity of adjustments either in the implementation of different artistic criteria, more sophisticated analytical approaches to interpretation, or better education of the reading public relative to the claims and exclusions of imaginative literature.

James H. Williams, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona. “Media Genderfacation in the 1980s: Taste Great or Less Filling?”

This paper critically assesses the impact electronic and print media has made on sexism in America during the decade of the 1980s. The term “genderfacation” was coined to describe the extent to which contemporary media has worked to solidify and validate sexist behavior in our society. The suffix of this coined term is derived from the word “facade” which means an artificial or false appearance. Recommendations for change are included in the paper.

Of all the sources of gender stereotypes, the media are the most pervasive. Television, books, films, songs, art—all communicate messages about sex roles that are far from subtle. Females and males are presented, for the most part, in stereotyped ways, usually with deviations from the stereotype depicted negatively. The 1980s have seen some improvement in the images of women presented in the media. However, the overall picture still is far from balanced.

This paper is limited to television and the print media. It has been estimated that children spend one-third of their lives at home and/or sleeping, one-third at school, and one-third in front of a television set. Almost all households in the United States own at least one television set. Television usage averages more than seven hours a day in the average television household.

Sexism on prime-time television has been amply documented since the late 1960s. Studies have shown that 65% to 75% of all leading characters have been white males. Men have been three times more likely than women to be depicted as wage earners, and women are more than twice as likely as men to be depicted as nonwage earners.

Other studies have shown that gender stereotypes are even more explicit in television commercials than in regular programming. In order of frequency, women are depicted as predominantly concerned with their appearance, their housework, and family matters. In contrast, men are more likely to be shown working, playing, eating or being nursed. Even in toy commercials, more boys are depicted than girls, and girls are more likely than boys to appear in a passive role.

Sex role messages are clearly found in the print media. The problem is endemic for all forms of the printed media. A 1972 and 1975 study of 2,760 children’s stories in 134 books from fourteen different publishers found that 75% of the textbooks focus on male characters. One of the tragedies of the male focus in children’s stories is that such
stories not only teach values but also influence behavior. Magazines aimed at men focus on themes of sexuality, sports, and daring adventures. In most magazines a man is still a woman's most important goal. As with the other media, newspapers show a sexist bias both in the treatment of women and men, in the language used, and in the comic strips that are serialized. Women reporters generally have a difficult time making the front page with their stories. Comic strips also perpetuate gender stereotypes. Men, especially white men, are represented far more than their proportion in the population warrants, whereas, women are underrepresented.

The media must be constantly held accountable for the impact on society. Models and modes of change must be developed and offered to the media by educational institutions and concerned, legitimate organizations. Students must be taught how to recognize and redress sexism and racism in the media. This objective must become a national moral imperative, because, unlike the famous beer commercial which portrays blatant sexism, media genderfacation neither tastes great, nor is it less filling for the victims.

Walter F. Teachout, California School of Professional Psychology, Fresno. "Gender Differences on Hispanic/Anglo Intermarriage: Marital Interaction, Marital Adjustment, and Depression."

A total of 204 subjects participated in this study which sought to differentiate, by gender, 62 Hispanic/Anglo intermarried couples on three primary variables: marital interaction, marital adjustment, and depression. In addition to a demographic measure, three measures were used. 1) The Beck Depression Inventory (Short form) measured depression in the two research groups: A. Hispanic-female/Anglo-male; B. Hispanic-male/Anglo-female, and two control groups: A. Hispanic-male/Hispanic-female; B. Anglo-male/Anglo-female. 2) The Locke-Wallace Marital Adjustment Test measured marital adjustment levels in the two research groups. 3) Marital Interaction Testing by Bauman and Roman measured five interaction variables: A) female dominance, B) male dominance, C) reinforcement, D) combination, and E) emergence in the two research groups.

The discriminant function analysis revealed one significant function which differentiated the two groups in their interaction style: dominance of the Anglo female. Using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), no significance was found on the other variables of marital adjustment and depression. The results of the study suggest that, other than one interaction variable being significantly different between the research groups, the two groups are more similar than dissimilar in their interaction style, depression levels, and marital adjustment levels. These results are consistent with several recent studies which also conclude that race and gender do not significantly differentiate Hispanics and Anglos. These findings urge one to question long held notions, by many, that minority cultures, or those that intermarry are less psychologically adjusted than those from the dominant Anglo culture.

Jonathan A. Majak, University of Wisconsin, LaCrosse. "Reflections on Policy Discourse on Race, Class and Gender."

For a long time racial discrimination has been the most serious social and institutional problem in the United States. It threatened the very basis of American society, particularly the belief in natural political rights embodied in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution. In 1964 a more comprehensive civil rights act was passed barring, among other things, racial and gender discrimination. Since then a certain comparability between race and gender has been assumed in public policy. There is, however, no equivalent consideration of class.

In this paper I review the struggle against race and gender discrimination with particular emphasis on how the interaction of race, class and gender has affected meliorative prescriptions in the public policy.

Respondent: Cortland P. Auser, Bronx Community College.

As a result of this session, audience members received new insights. Each presented realizable goals either to us as individuals or to us collectively as the NAES. The authors, in most instances, led logically to what should be done, after each had presented uncontroversial evidence regarding the thesis being examined and discussed.

Walker focussed upon sexism within race; Williams illustrated extensively the daily provender of sexism that is given to us as TV watchers, readers, or radio listeners. Teachout attempted to provide psychotherapists with statistics to justify certain approaches to resolving what he perceived were intrafamilial or marital problems possibly deriving from the difference in cultural backgrounds of husband and wife.
Majak dealt with race, gender, and class in relation to policy discourse. Each speaker pointed out the proneness of too many in our society to indulge in stereotypes in observing people of different race, sex, and class. Walker reviewed the gender battle in imaginative literature created by black authors. She placed the onus upon black writers who might have unwittingly provided critics, both black and white, with the basis for an extension of stereotypes through generalizations. She warned her listeners, however, not to conclude that she was condemning them for their creations, nor censuring them for their unknowing perpetuation of stereotypes.

Walker provided listeners with a well-thought-out remedy—logical as it is useful. Scholars, interpreters, reviewers and critics among us have to place all in proper perspective. When this is done, then the relevance and the appropriateness of each work is perceived “within the general context of the black experience.” These critics, then, have to grapple with the implications of the work. Thus, she points out that critical approaches have to be more sophisticated, even as the reading public becomes educated by responsible criticism. The critics have to take into account all the features that impact on meaning and interpretation.

Williams provided all with a useful neologism, “genderfacation,” as he examined the entire range of sexist stereotyping by the media. Let it be pointed out that the word is no “sniglet” for entertainment on a calendar; he provides telling evidence of the denigrating portrayal of women and men in the media. He destroys the assertions that there have been gains so that sexist roles are few and far between. His examination also included data on how much exploitation of sexist material there is in children’s picture books, TV programs, and toys. Williams argued that a start has been made to overcome the stereotyping, but greater momentum has to be achieved before success is achieved.

Teachout’s paper was an examination of gender differences in Hispanic/Anglo intermarriages, of marital interaction, marital adjustment, and depression. This respondent felt it to be an ambitious undertaking in the light of the complexity of human relationships. If the aim were to dispel stereotypes about such marriages, then the research is a worthy one. The paper was an extract from a longer dissertation and at times the paucity of data may have appeared not to support the researcher’s conclusions. Any conclusions might be fuzzy where there is an infinite number of variables in such relationships and many may not have been considered. The classification of “Hispanic” and “Anglo” is not a scientific one and variations within such labels related to an inexactness in conclusions. Moreover, some listeners might have felt that regardless of the researcher’s attempt to use “objective” instruments of measurement and control, the Heisenberg principle was at work where the so-called “objectivity” is skewed by the nature of the observer and his presence. It might be sad, but true, that “objective scholarship” changes nothing at times, despite the honesty and purity of the researcher’s intentions.

Majak gave those present a comprehensive and masterful overview of his subject. Rightly he pointed out that class discrimination is omitted in research that does pay attention to sexist and racist discrimination. He builds solidly to his meliorative prescriptions in public social policy. He continues and gives us a comprehensive review of civil rights legislation. Following Frantz Fanon’s concepts he quotes Robert Bruner’s remarks about colonized people in America. He does show that such policies as “affirmative action” are bound to benefit women and minorities. He res asserts that the cause of poverty among women is institutionalized sexism. He sees the alliance between women and minority groups as an asymmetrical partnership, but feels that both groups should seek a more sophisticated relationship of solutions in which both have a strong voice.

SESSION VII: “EUROAMERICAN ETHNICITY”
Chair: Richard F. Fleck, University of Wyoming.

Mary Anne Busch and Kelly Mullins, High Point College. “Women of Ireland: Discrimination in a Homogeneous Society?”

The scope of the women’s movement in the world is vast and diverse. Each country experiences the development of feminism in the context of its particular cultural and societal mores. This paper will investigate the issues of class and gender within a society which is considered relatively homogeneous with regard to race and ethnicity. It will consider education, employment, family life, political influence and social status of women in Northern Ireland and the Republic of Ireland. Emphasis will be on what roles the Catholic Church and the sectarian troubles have played in the development of the feminist movement on this island.
Frank Cavaioli, State University Agricultural and Technical College. “A Countervailing Theory on the Twilight of Ethnicity.”

This paper challenges the important and provocative theory from Richard D. Alba’s Italian Americans, Into the Twilight of Ethnicity (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall, 1985) that based on recent census data and macrosociological factors, Italians and other European ethnic groups are becoming assimilated, structurally, and becoming more like the dominant WASP culture. I show that despite the rising trend in inter-ethnic marriage among Italian Americans and educational and occupational mobility, there are other countervailing forces at work that actually have been reinforcing the sense of ethnicity among Italian Americans. For example, as Italian Americans become more acculturated into American society, they have come to realize that American society is pluralistic and they have become more conscious of their distinctive cultural background and have organized into interest groups to get the benefits that society has to offer. This paper analyzes interest group politics, ethnocultural factors, and recent demographic data on the Italian Americans in the 1980s.


Provisions of the Irish Nationality and Citizenship Act of 1956 confer Irish citizenship as a birthright not only on anyone born in Ireland, as would be expected, but on the foreign-born children and also on the grandchildren of anyone born in Ireland. Yet despite the simplicity of the Irish citizenship registration process, between July, 1956, when the Act was passed and the end of June, 1987, a mere 17,935 people world-wide had registered as Irish citizens under provisions of the Act. An analysis of registration by original nationality for a two-year period ending in May, 1986, shows that the greatest number of registrants, perhaps not surprisingly, was from South Africa. In fact, the total number of South Africans of Irish descent registering during calendar 1986 was 2200, approximately 52% the overall total for that year. One interpretation of these figures is that many of these people “were seeking some form of insurance for the future.” The drafters of the law three decades ago had other concerns, for it was to satisfy a number of needs that the Costello government proposed and succeeded in having passed in 1956 the Irish Nationality and Citizenship Act. On a practical level, it did indeed fill a need for a fully elaborated policy on citizenship mandated by the Irish Constitution, and, in so doing, by referring to the thirty-two Counties and to birth before 6 December 1922, made an unequivocal statement about Dublin’s view of the unitary nature of the partitioned isle and of a national reality which pre-dated the founding of the Free State. The law served also as a potentially potent means of bolstering an alarming decline in population; or, at least, of galvanizing world-wide concern for and solidarity with a shrinking Ireland. Curiously though, in this regard, it has hardly proven very effective, for the law seems never to have been widely promulgated. If the law was meant to create, as some have said, “a spiritual empire,” it is indeed a gossamer and ephemeral one, consisting as it now does of no more than 18,000 souls.


This study examines two major theories which furnish rival explanations for the phenomenon of ethnicity in American politics: assimilation and ethnic mobilization. Assimilation Theory, articulated by Robert Dahl, predicts that with time and ethnic group upward mobility ethnicity as a factor in American political behavior would decline after a peak and eventually be replaced by socioeconomic interest. On the other hand, Ethnic Mobilization Theory, described by Raymond Wolfinger, suggests that the impact of ethnicity on political behavior would not wane after an initial peak and would continue to play a role in the voting decisions of later generation ethnic-Americans. The study furnishes a comparison of these two theories, focusing attention on Polish-Americans in Erie, Pennsylvania. The findings indicate support for the ethnic mobilization approach.

Respondent: Gloria Eive, El Cerrito, California.

Since the arrival of the first Dutch and British settlers, more than 250 years ago, this country has provided a refuge and a “promised” land for the peoples of the world—for the disadvantaged, the disenfranchised, the persecuted and oppressed, the adventurer and entrepreneur, dissident and discontent. They have come in varying numbers and for varying motives, transplanting themselves and their native cultures. With varying degrees of success and efficiency, each has confronted “American” culture and has developed strategies for reconciling old and new.
We refer to this dynamic as “the immigrant experience,” and “cultural reconciliation” and to the reconciliation strategies as “assimilation” and “acculturation.” Until recently, studies of the “immigrant experience” have focused on the newly-arrived immigrants—the “first generation.” With the addition of second, third and subsequent generations, the dynamic of cultural confrontation and reconciliation changes in response to their changing needs. Studies of the “immigrant experience” must acknowledge and provide for the changing dynamic which is still part of this “experience.”

The papers and discussion in this session have examined various aspects and extensions of the “immigrant experience” offering new perspectives of second and subsequent generations.

Cavaioni described the social, economic and political status of second- and third-generation Italian immigrants and the effectiveness of some of their organizations in U.S. society today. Hood provided examples of Polish Americans exercising political power as voting blocs. Busch and Mullins described the economic, social, and political status of Irish women in Ireland, and Gallagher presented a vivid expose of “Mother Ireland’s” efforts to reestablish ties with its forgotten children and to reclaim them as citizens.

A common motive in the “ethnic behavior” considered in these papers is the individual's need to affirm cultural identity in an indifferent and often hostile society, and the group's need for autonomy and recognition as a valid political, economic and social entity. Social structures and responses developed to answer these needs are conditioned by immediate priorities.

Ethnic and economic discrimination towards immigrants has been countered by collective action. Anti-defamation societies and political organizations, such as those established by the Jews and Italians, exert legal and political pressure to defend and advance the interests of their ethnic groups.

Citizenship and enfranchisement, won with such difficulty and pride by first-generation immigrants, has acquired new meaning as immigrants discovered its political power—demonstrated by the Poles as Hood has shown, and by Italians, Irish and Mexican immigrants. It is convenient to describe the acculturation process, the assertions of ethnic autonomy and power in sweeping generalizations, with theories based on reductive analysis, and in quantitative terms that reduce human behavior to columns of abstract statistics and averages and erase the qualitative properties of the people described. Hood's figures document the numerical presence of Polish candidates. His vote counts provide evidence elections were held. The multiple tables of “type” categories, of mean, standard deviation and error percentage scores demonstrate advanced calculator prowess. Neither the tables nor the tallies however tell us anything about the people whose heads—and votes—are counted and behavior analyzed. Applying abstract theories of assimilation or mobilization to the statistics reveals even less.

Statistical analyses of election results may indicate ethnic voting blocs and political party “line crossings” were operative, just as socioeconomic data documents changes in the status of Polish and other immigrants. Neither set of data however, provides any information about the issues and personalities in a given election where partisan loyalties affected the outcome. Neither set of data provides insights to the specific, “human” reasons for the improvements in education and economic status documented statistically. Neither set of data reveals the human qualities of the people described by the statistics: their economic and social status in Erie, the educational opportunities open to them and to their children, their motives for leaving Poland, for settling in Erie, specifically, their acceptance by the community, the character of their organizations, their attitudes, fears and expectations—all the details of vital, living people which are indispensable to comprehending the significance of the statistics.

What did the Polish American candidates advocate in the election campaign of a given year that distinguished them from the non-Polish candidates? Was support by Polish voters merely an exercise of ethnic bloc voting, or a conscious response to the candidates' sincere efforts to represent their constituencies? Were Polish voters merely using partisan blocs to vote for another Pole or to vote against the other candidate? Did the Polish candidates fulfill their campaign promises? If not, were they reelected anyway? How influential were the Polish, social, fraternal and religious organizations in determining voter behavior? And finally, were there any other manifestations of Polish ethnic solidarity, apart from voting behavior? Who were the Polish Americans represented by these statistics? What insights do the tables of statistics offer to understanding other ethnic groups in similar micro-societies?

All of these questions are relevant to an analysis of ethnic voting behavior such as
Hood's, and must be addressed if the analysis is to support his conclusions.

It is gratifying to hear Cavaioi’s statistical documentation indicating Italian Americans are improving their social and economic positions in the United States and are learning to use their numerical strength and political clout to defend themselves against defamatory attacks and to advance their cultural and commercial interests. The list of Italian Americans who have achieved distinguished positions is impressive. Impressive also are Cavaioi’s accounts of the activities of the American Italian Historical Association and the growing effectiveness of political activities on behalf of Italian Americans.

There are misleading implications, however, in this self-aggrandizing recital of statistics and hyperbole. Italian Americans have not always enjoyed the high levels of education, occupational and economic success suggested by Cavioli. The societies and the “prominenti” offered so proudly are not truly representative of the Italian American community.

Italians in the U.S. have had a long and well-documented presence. They have had an equally long and well-documented tradition of providing for their members through cohesive, well-organized mutual-aid and welfare societies, fraternal and religious organizations, social and political clubs. These traditions are part of the mother culture, and the organizations created here often were simply reproductions of established structures in the “old country.” Creation of these and the (new) mutual-aid societies, immigrant clubs and “native son” (“filiopietistic”) organizations were pragmatic solutions to the needs of the first generation immigrants, struggling to survive in a hostile environment.

Direct social and economic reform became a priority for immigrants confronting a highly industrialized society. Many had acquired political skills and had learned the effectiveness of group action in efforts at social reform in Italy. These lessons were applied when conditions in the new country made unified action necessary. They learned very quickly that union shops paid higher wages and provided better working conditions. The well-documented strength and effectiveness of Italian labor unions during the 1920s is only one example of how well these lessons had been learned.

The mutual-aid and “native son” organizations flourished and remained alive and vital as long as they were needed. They declined and disappeared when they ceased to be relevant. Cavaioi’s description of these organizations is “filiopietistic”—a compound term created from the Latin word for son (“filio”) and “pietist” (from the Italian form of the word), intended perhaps to mean “Pious Sons”? In Italian however, “pietist” is used pejoratively to describe an ultra-conservative (Protestant) point of view. This pejorative connotation is perhaps not what is intended here, since these organizations served the immediate needs of the Italian community and were more directly representative than the newer societies which have replaced them.

Third generation immigrants, enjoying the protection of congenital citizenship and personal freedom, secure employment and education can now reclaim their heritage with historical societies and protective lobby and defense groups, such as the A.I.H.A. and N.I.A.F. The activities of both are an extension of the first generation’s survival skills.

The issues are no longer as simple or as clearly-defined, however, as they were for the first generation. There are also many more Italian Americans now which makes collective representation far more difficult and complex. It would be comforting to believe that N.I.A.F. will ultimately serve as the political voice of all Italian Americans. For now, however it represents the interests of a small elitist group and we deceive ourselves to believe otherwise.

Mullins and Busch have offered us an unsettling account of conditions endured by women in Ireland. Their point of view is presumably that of third-generation immigrants looking for their mother culture but the perspective from this position may be deceptive. Efforts of “post-immigrant” generations (i.e., second, third and subsequent generations) to reestablish contact with the mother culture take many forms. The creation of historical societies represents one effort, ethnic studies another. In studies of the mother culture, perspective and subsequent conclusions are determined by one’s generational and geographical distance from the source. It is tempting—and dangerous—to negatively compare social and ecumenical institutions of the mother country with those of the new country, condemning the “old” because they do not conform to the “new.” It is especially dangerous to undertake these cultural comparisons without intensive first-hand experience in both countries.

Social and economic conditions in Ireland may very well be as repressive and discriminatory for Irish women as Mullins and Busch assert, and legislative reform ineffectual to date. Neither Mullins nor Busch offer any information as to the efforts of
Irish women to remedy this situation. How do they (Irish women) view their social and economic conditions? Is the Irish Church truly as powerful as Mullins and Busch would have us believe?

Gallagher's statistics indicate the birth rate in Ireland is dropping, and not solely because of emigration. Since the death rate in Ireland is not rising drastically, we must infer that Irish women are finding ways to limit the number of children they bear, prohibitions of the Church notwithstanding. Is the Irish Church silent and tacitly ignored when its doctrinaire teachings are in opposition to general and individual welfare—as it is often disregarded in other Catholic countries such as Italy and France? Are conditions for Irish women different from conditions for women in France, Italy, Spain and Portugal, where the Church is also a powerful cultural force?

Does the economic and social repression experienced by Irish women differ from the economic and social discrimination suffered by American women up to and even after E.R.A. legislation? What do recently-immigrated Irish women have to say about their lives in Ireland?

A different aspect of Irish nationalism is revealed by Gallagher's discussion. The Irish citizenship law he describes is a fascinating example of ethnic mobilization—in reverse. The avowed intent of the Irish government is to reclaim "lost" Irish children by reaffirming their heritage and mother culture. Are the Irish also trying to mimic the techniques used by other countries to maintain nationalistic pride and loyalty in large emigrant populations? (The closest example is France, which encourages its expatriate citizens to remain loyal French citizens by providing direct economic assistance for tuition expenses in non-resident French schools, and guaranteeing placement in state-subsidized national French schools for children from these schools.)

To judge by the small number of Irish expatriates who have taken advantage of the citizenship offer, the benefits appear to be largely academic and the significance of Irish citizenship of minimal importance. Do the Irish offer no other inducement to its expatriates than a passport and citizenship? Or have the memories of Mother Ireland become so bitter and the ties to the mother culture so weakened that Irish citizenship for expatriates is now more a curse than a blessing? Have Irish immigrants assimilated so well in their adopted countries that affirmations of Irish heritage are limited to eating corned beef and cabbage and "wearing of the green" on St. Patrick's Day? What do the Irish in Ireland think of this citizenship offer? Do they want these self-imposed exiles to return at all? Or would they prefer to limit their appearances to the brief visits of free-spending tourists?

The answers to all these questions are subjects for further discussion and for field work and cannot be resolved in a single panel. There are no simple solutions to the problems of establishing ethnic identity. Attempting to force conclusions into field theories and reductive analyses is dangerous and may invalidate the inquiry.

We have examined several aspects of ethnic identity and the immigrant experience. Like the proverbial elephant to the blind men, each inquiry reveals only one part of our animal. With unclouded vision, and at a little distance, we will better perceive the whole.

SESSION VIII: "GENDER, ETHNICITY, AND THEORY"
Chair: Phyllis Gray, Mississippi State University.


The birth of ethnic studies in the American university was accompanied by the politics and pedagogy of rage, pride, and mistrust for the then prevailing curricular academic structures and its tradition-bound, academically conservative gatekeepers. The campus take-overs, student demands, and confrontations were a common expression of the times, and concomitantly these were also shapers of the changing times. The presence or absence of ethnic minority faculty and students in our universities was and continues to be one of many indices by which we measure the willingness of this society to live up to its responsibility and promise to guarantee expanding educational opportunity for all. The creation of ethnic studies programs as a legitimate academic course of study in the university was one key part of that long range objective. Many universities now boast of departments and programs in Afro-American Studies, Puerto Rican Studies, Chicano Studies, Native American Studies, and other ethnic studies entities. Today's student can leaf through the semester's schedule of courses and choose from a wide array of ethnic studies offerings and think only of whether or not it fits into her/her program. Even traditional academic departments, formerly resolute in their refusal to include ethnic studies courses in their curriculum, now cross-list, and in many instances generate their own version of ethnic
studies courses in direct competition with existing ethnic studies programs.

Thus, the university, through a wide ranging set of curricular reforms and innovations—in the best "culturally pluralistic" tradition—has effectively managed to co-opt some of the more socially and politically palatable aspects of the ethnic studies movement of the late 1960s and early 1970s. It is, therefore, not surprising to see the liberal arts sequences, and especially the pre-professional training programs (education, counseling, psychology, social work, criminal justice, and other mental health professions) now showing a marked interest in anything that focuses on the cross-cultural, multicultural, international, world or global studies perspective.

These latest curricular trends seem to be moving us away from the political and social urgency intended by the founders of ethnic studies and toward the kind of program design which conforms to and is consistent with the traditional academic structures. Are we now beginning to witness a gradual intellectual and political de-railing or erosion of a curriculum which once constituted a significant threat to the academy?

Certainly, the struggle to legitimize these programs academically has taken the edge and toughness out of the heart of some of our ethnic studies curriculum. Not all of these changes, however, have been negative or detrimental to the integrity of these programs. There is little doubt that some of the shifts in our approach, which have been either generated by us or in response to academic rigidity and intransigence, have been a sign of our own maturity. Similarly, these new perspectives and approaches have allowed us to survive in an ever changing university environment. My argument is not with responsible adaptability for the sake of academic survival, it is with the issue of how far we have allowed ourselves to drift from the central intellectual and social issues that brought us into the university in the first place.

As we witness the abandonment of the inner cities, experience a greater separation between the poor and the middle class, struggle with the spiralling drop-out rates of ethnic minorities, and learn of the latest racial attacks, we in ethnic studies must ask ourselves what happened to the original or founding principles and concerns of these new and radical interdisciplinary programs of the 1960s and 70s. While we recognize that the politics have shifted along with a restrictive economic climate, and while the administration in Washington has undermined whatever social programs there were that made a difference, nonetheless, the major social, political, and intellectual questions and issues of the sixties are still with us today. In many ways, conditions have worsened for the ethnic/racial minorities in American society.

In this presentation I share some of my own observations, perceptions, and concerns about what I see happening in the university as it might directly affect ethnic studies. I believe that many of us, and indeed our programs, through the misapplication of our curriculum, have been seduced and lulled into believing that the institutionalization of our programs signals a dramatic positive shift in university policy and a change in traditional faculty attitudes. My contention is that it does not; but at the same time, this glasnost, if you will, in the university's approach towards ethnic studies curriculum does not necessarily have to represent a threat to the original principles of ethnic studies. Far from being a Luddite's proposal, which would have us turn the clock back to 1969, this paper strongly suggests a serious reappraisal of where we are, and how far we have strayed from some of our original objectives. Structures and academic entities notwithstanding, are we doing what we set out to do when we first entered the university almost twenty years ago? Rather than "a critical view," perhaps this paper should be more aptly sub-titled a cautionary essay.


In Aristotle's work, the significance of the distinction between men and women varies according to whether the men and women in question are free or slave. What Aristotle says about the nature of free women is quite different from what he says about the nature of slave women. An account of "Aristotle's Views about Women" which does not inquire seriously into what he says about slave women not only announces that the position of slave women is theoretically insignificant, it also gives a radically incomplete picture of what he says about women who are not slaves.

Arlene A. Elder, University of Cincinnati. "Sassafrass, Cypress, & Indigo: Ntozake Shange's Neo-Slave Narrative."

When Indigo, the youngest of the three sisters of Shange's title, plays her fiddle so magically that her music rekindles a love and desire for life in a scarred, beaten black man, the author explains: "The Slaves who were ourselves aided Indigo's mission, connecting soul & song, experience & unremembered rhythm" (Shange, 45). The presence of these slave ancestors is felt throughout this "novel," with its experimental
form—the use of poetry, slogans, recipes, conjuring spells, and letters, providing semiotic and signifying commentaries on the conventions of dialogue, characterization, and narration—a mode that rekindles the traditional African concept of the unity of the arts.

Also in harmony by the end, are the three young women—with each other; with their mother, Hilda Effania; with their various communities; with their artistry; and, most significantly for their development, with their African and slave forebearers. Shange explores this growth of self and awareness of others through a feminist variation on the convention of the journey of maturation, a staple of all literatures, but particularly pertinent to the African-American literary tradition of the Slave Narrative.

Respondent: James H. Williams, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona.

"They who know the truth are not equal to those who love it, and they who love it are not equal to those who delight in it" (Confucius). Much truth was presented in this session. I'm not certain about the audience, but I'm delighted with the effort of these panelists.

Vazquez's topic, "The Co-opting of Ethnic Studies in the American University," could have been presented as the keynote address. It is a most timely paper, given current overt and covert debates about the validity and viability of ethnic studies as we approach the 21st century.

One subtle, but very important point that is often overlooked is that the notion of ethnic studies emerged after "race specific studies" (i.e., AfroAmerican Studies, Chicano Studies, and Native American Studies). These "race specific" programs and departments proliferated during the late 1960s and early 1970s. The concept of "ethnic studies," ethnicity, and courses such as "Racism and Sexism" emerged slowly in the early and middle 1970s. Today, one will find more "race specific" studies departments than ethnic studies departments. Furthermore, ethnic and women's studies departments (where the two are combined into one department) are much rarer. Herein lies a major weakness and a major challenge for NAES in terms of strengthening ethnic studies curricula. NAES must become more assertive, on a national level, if we are to take Vazquez's observations and concerns seriously.

After reading and hearing Spelman's presentation on "Aristotle, Females and Women," I am reminded of a quote by Baron De Montesquieu: "Women are not altogether in the wrong when they refuse the roles of life prescribed in the world, forasmuch as men have established them without their consent." Spelman did an admirable job of illustrating and clarifying Aristotle's distinction between women and slaves and male and female based on his treatise in political theory—the Politics. Ultimately, what the author points out is that the notion of female oppression, based exclusively on gender, is not tenable according to Aristotle's rationale. One cannot look at sexism in isolation of other variables such as race and class.

Although Aristotle's intent may not have been to define oppression and gender based on sex, nevertheless, his philosophy still created the notion of "Them vs. Us." Ethnic and Women's Studies courses should be designed to eliminate this type of thinking in our society. The cornerstone of Spelman's presentation may be found in the last paragraph of her paper:

The assumption that gender is isola ble from "race" will blind us to some very important elements of Aristotle's views about gender. The magnitude of this statement becomes apparent when one considers the number of students who study the writings of Aristotle without the benefit of this type of critical analysis.

Finally, it has been said that knowledge counts only if it enhances self-understanding, if it deepens and broadens the image of human life. Such is the case with Elder's presentation. Elder's contribution, though not explicitly stated, illustrates the importance of simultaneously evaluating author, society and artifact when one studies ethnic literature. Total perception and understanding of the ethnic experience is difficult without combining object and experience.

So, we have come full circle. We can reflect on Vazquez's reference to Charles Irby: "The vibrant and healthy ethnic studies programs entering the twenty-first century will involve individuals in the process of liberation through dynamic consciousness; the mission is to bring liberation to fruition for all citizens." The use of ethnic narratives, ethnic literature, may be utilized as intellectual guideposts on the road to self-discovery and psycho-social liberation.

The major challenge before us is to decide whether or not the practical and intellectual "buck" stops here at the close of this session, or be taken seriously enough such that this organization will synthesize these presentations into a national
Manifesto or meaningful working paper which could become a preamble for a national accreditation document by which all ethnic studies programs will be judged.

SESSION IX: “CONTROVERSY AND CHANGE”
Chair: Silvester J. Brito, University of Wyoming.


In this paper I show that the new American immigrant, with his or her distinct heritage and biography, with his or her distinct language or dialect, offers American education an opportunity to renew its commitment to, as well as improve its implementation of, a democratic educational ideal.

This democratic ideal is succinctly expressed in our nation’s motto, E Pluribus Unum. However, our history has illustrated that too often we have merely stressed the unum — our educational process has pressed for an Americanization or assimilation which has assaulted many forms of cultural and ethnic differences.

I develop a dialectic focusing on the notions of ethnicity and education, especially in the schools during the past quarter century, beginning with the “ethnic revival” of the sixties and ending with the “English Only” movement of the eighties. Against this historical background I pose two fundamental questions, which I believe educators must ponder as we continue our quest for a quality and just education in our schools:

1) How can educators continue to develop a curriculum which is a more true recording of all human action and ideas? In the phenomenological tradition, I call this educating toward “historicality.”

2) Why should educators, on the one hand, encourage the young to preserve their private non-English language or non-standard English dialect, while at the same time, help them master standard English, the contemporary public language of power throughout the world? In the tradition of sociolinguistics, I call this educating toward “multi-lingualism.”

In developing my response to these questions, I conclude with a critique of current works such as E. D. Hirsch’s Cultural Literacy and Ravitch and Finn’s What Do Our 17-Year-Olds Know? Throughout the paper it is my primary aim to move between educational ideology and educational praxis, the hope being that a new perspective on—perhaps a new synthesis of—education and ethnicity may be suggested therein.

Gretchen M. Bataille, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona. “Literacy in a Multicultural Society: Ethics, Ethnicities, and Controversy.”

In 1892 the Committee of Ten made recommendations for an eight-year course of study in literature and history. The assumption was that a certain body of knowledge was necessary for productive citizens, and that this knowledge was to be imparted by the schools. At that time, the percentage of school-age children actually in school was very low. The assumptions about “who” would be educated were also very narrow. As more and more children enrolled in schools, a new view prevailed. Educators such as John Dewey, influenced by Rousseau, argued for more practical education for all students and education as a process of natural development, and the lines of battle were drawn for the next one hundred years. Reports, government studies, and independent research for the past century has argued the relative merits of skills v. content in education. I do not argue with E. D. Hirsch, Jr., who writes that “literacy is far more than a skill and that it requires large amounts of specific information” (p. 2). The issue to be discussed in this paper is what “specific information” is needed.

In 1987 those arguments were given wide and popular attention in two best selling books, Cultural Literacy: What Every American Needs to Know and The Closing of the American Mind. In addition, the National Endowment for the Humanities published a report American Memory: A Report on the Humanities in the Nation’s Public Schools. During the summer of 1987 the English Coalition Conference brought together teachers and scholars as well as representatives from national organizations to discuss the literature and language and curricula at all levels. The report of that meeting has not been published, but a summary of the Conference in The Chronicle of Higher Education (5 August 1987) reported: “General-education courses would be interdisciplinary and look at such subjects as ‘Racial and Sexual Difference in Reading and Writing’ and ‘Metaphor in Language and Cognition.’”

In the scramble to decide who is literate in America, few of the reports have given more than a cursory acknowledgement that the America of 1988 is quite different from
the America of 1892. Not only is the population more diverse, the expectations of who will be educated have changed considerably. On a global scale, the need to know new information is even greater. Although Hirsch acknowledges the different needs for different countries, he fails to note that Americans need to know about far more than their own history in order to function in a world society. Further, Americans need to know the standard history of Washington and Lincoln, but they also need to know the history of Hispanics, American Indians, blacks, and Asians in this country.

Hirsch's list is interesting for what it includes as well as what is omitted. Why is Eskimo on the list and Amish is not? Why is Pocohontas listed but Sacajawea is omitted? Black Muslims are included, but Black Panthers are excluded. Fiesta is included but pow wow is not; however, wampum, Indian file and Indian summer make the list. Yellow Peril, yin/yang, pagoda, kamikaze, and geisha are among the terms which focus on the Asian American experience. Hirsch admits that everyone will expect different terms, and he is correct. Although he believes, "to be culturally literate is to possess the basic information needed to thrive in the modern world (xiii)," Hirsch has a limited view of that world.

This paper examines the list provided by Hirsch and other recent studies about literacy to determine the degree to which these proposals ignore the multi-ethnic dimensions of American society.

Arlene Harris Mitchell, University of Cincinnati. "Comparisons of Attitudes and Reactions Towards Characters and Language Used in The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn in Relationship to Student Readings, Historical Perceptions, and Critic Appraisals"

This study was prompted by the increasing challenges to reading materials in K-12 classrooms, especially works involving ethnic and racial groups. The Adventures of Huckleberry Finn was used because of its accepted merit as a significant literary novel, which has been included among the twenty-five most challenged books in American literature, the book most challenged by the black population. The study included 71 students—27 black students—from three school districts. Both quantitative and qualitative measures were used, as well as an extensive review of criticisms of the work and historical perceptions.

Marcia J. Weiss, Point Park College. "Unequal Protection."

As a lawyer teaching undergraduate legal studies courses, my approach to the matter of ethnicity is one of using legal analysis of Supreme Court decisions to illustrate current trends in legal and judicial thought. My study has demonstrated a shift in the Court's rationale from a focus on concerns regarding individual equality and fundamental rights to an emphasis on group classifications and group rights. In my view, recent case law distorts original Equal Protection Clause and Fourteenth Amendment constitutional principles, which require that people similarly situated must be treated in the same manner.

Often the Supreme Court has obeyed the dictates of equal protection only selectively and when it felt compelled to do so for reasons not entirely required by the legal fact situations before it. Many of its decisions actually work an injustice on members of society by rewarding group members who were not wronged personally, by creating unnatural stratifications based on arbitrary group distinctions, and by basing the granting or denying of rights on immutable characteristics over which individuals have no control (e.g., race, sex, national origin, and the like)—also contrary to the spirit and intent of the Civil Rights Act.

Policies which aim to enhance group welfare such as "affirmative action" are being implemented; actual results, however, run counter to theoretical goals of righting past wrongs and promoting parity among all people, as the traditionally preferred groups (e.g., white males) are experiencing inferior status while members of groups formerly the objects of discrimination (e.g., blacks and women) are receiving favored status. This gives rise to a continuous cycle in which the oppressors become the oppressed. Depersonalization and loss of autonomy ensue as a consequence, undermined by notions of "group entitlement," as Courts and legislatures often pay only lip service to an individual's qualifications, innate ability, and inherent worth.

A generally increased awareness of race, sex, and gender is evident; however, people "similarly situated" are being treated dissimilarly, as surrogates of the actual victims of past injustices are reaping undeserved benefits. Legal questions have become clouded by value judgments and moral issues, and the Supreme Court frequently strains to reach and justify results which it apparently feels will implement and strengthen desirable policy goals. Because the Court must act as mediator and balance
the equities before reaching judgments, often what results is a compromise position.

The Court, perhaps in an effort to ensure harmony among the competing factions and special interest groups, and in a conscious endeavor to rectify the injustices of the past, is in fact creating new ones in the name of “equal opportunity.” While striving for worthwhile social policy, judicial activism as well as judicial restraint have induced a simultaneous outgrowth of artificial criteria actually resulting in unequal protection and preferential treatment.

Without definitive criteria against which those properly entitled to redress may be assessed, and without a timetable as to eventual termination of these remedial programs, the new injustices will be permitted to continue indefinitely and we will move no closer to the ideal of due process in a color-blind or gender-blind society. Just as courts overrule outdated, ineffective, and inefficient precedent, I suggest that neutral, uniform criteria which emphasize a meritocratic system be implemented, and haphazardly applied and ill-defined discriminatory criteria abolished.

Respondent: David M. Johnson, North Carolina A&T University.

My comments are organized around what I see as two concerns that lie behind the papers, two observations I can make that help me think about ethnicity issues, and two strategies for deciding what to teach.

One main concern behind the papers presented at this panel is a concern over not only how to choose what to teach or emphasize, but also how much to teach about it. This can be called the “is my ethnic group more important than your group?” concern. If one teaches a lot about Native Americans, what time is left for content on Asians? for blacks? The assumption here is that the amount of time and effort available is like a pie, and there are only so many pieces it can be cut into. Another concern is what seems to be an assumption that there has to be a uniform curriculum for every student, who must be exposed to the same material in the same way. This kind of thinking may be mandated by many boards of education, but does not make sense if we acknowledge that every student comes out of a unique matrix of ethnic and personal experiences and interests. These two concerns/assumptions seem to work to constrain some of the possibilities for teaching. I have some suggested strategies for deciding what to teach that may help get around these perceived constraints.

Before I get to these strategies, I want to share two observations. One observation is that everyone lives in a multivariate environment, regardless of what specific background he/she comes from. I like to use the “cultural scene” idea for thinking about diversity of settings and cultural information. As formulated by anthropologist James P. Spradley, a cultural scene consists of both a setting where interaction occurs and the cultural knowledge people need in order to negotiate it. Usually a scene involves several sets of knowledge, depending on who is involved. One example is that of the classroom, where teacher and students use complementary knowledge about how to negotiate a setting. Another is the scene of a professional meeting. I use this idea to think about diversity in life and how people negotiate it, through acquiring knowledge about categories and the plans for action based on them as applied to the scene. The implication of this perspective is that every individual is part of many different scenes, which may not overlap totally with anyone else, even close friends, so that everyone has a unique mix of experience and knowledge.

Another observation is that there needs to be a balance between the skills known to students and the information or content they have to use with those skills. I am reminded of the struggles within the computer world to create what they call “artificial intelligence.” It is possible to create computing machinery that can do certain kinds of tasks blindingly fast by human standards; for example, computers can calculate numbers fast and accurately, and can help with word processing because of their ability basically to crunch numbers. However, it is fiendishly difficult to create a machine, such as a robot, that can navigate around an ordinary room without looking totally stupid by human standards. This is partly because the machine has to be able to recognize pieces of furniture and walls and animals quickly and from any angle, and then know how to compensate for or move around them. This is very difficult to do. For my example, one needs a lot of information in order to do these simple tasks. Intelligence by itself won’t do the whole job. So one of our tasks as educators is to decide what knowledge and skills are minimum for students to know.

I propose two strategies for deciding what to teach. One is to promote an attitude of acceptance for a diversity of ethnic backgrounds. The main issue here is to have students feel good about and interested in themselves and their ethnic backgrounds. One way to promote this might be to have students do their own biographies so they can recognize that they have histories and their groups have histories. If this approach
is supported by the teachers and gets the young people involved with their own life context, I think they will become interested in the life stories of their own group and eventually branch out into interests about other groups. Once awakened to these issues, they will themselves want to research their own and others' histories and experiences, so they will go beyond whatever limited space/time is allotted in the formal curriculum. In this case, the concerns I outlined at the beginning of my remarks may no longer apply. 

A second strategy is to listen to young people regarding (a) the skills needed for survival in the modern electronic age, and hence (b) ideas for what would constitute the core curriculum. My question is: Do we need traditional education to survive in modern America? Young people may be telling us that they do not! I think about this as a contrast between literacy and literateness; that is, between being able to read and participate in current life, and being steeped in cultural traditions. I see tensions between the electronic age, which I see as nonhistorical, nonlinear, and nonliterate, and the old linear ways of thought and traditions that are passed down by writing. All of us are inundated by TV messages that are quick, slick and colorful; and we can jump back and forth between stories and messages at the flick of the dial, so that we are not constrained to follow a story to the end. Furthermore, one does not need a knowledge of history to follow and understand much TV fare. In addition, computers are becoming ever more powerful and will in the future be capable of more "TV quality" visual output and at the same time be tied into ever larger and more sophisticated electronic databases, with the result that more information will be available for less effort. In other words, the drive to ever more sophisticated consumer electronics may bring about empowerment and access to technology in ways that are not currently possible or even envisioned. As a member of the last generation that grew up before TV, I am curious about what the young are telling us!

SESSION X: "HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES"
Chair: Jerry Savells, Wright State University.


If the present American family can be said to be in trouble, the black family in America can be characterized as being in a state of crisis. Writers often have presented this family’s structure as fragmented and deficient. The historical model of marriage was seen as one which prevented couples from forming strong familial ties. History texts, combined with the writings of pseudo-social scientists, often presented false images of the ancestors of many African-Americans. This work, based upon original historical documents, presents a different perspective.


In June, 1981, the Fifth Berkshire Conference on the History of Women took place in Poughkeepsie, New York. One of the speakers at the Conference was Professor Marquita James of Nassau Community College in Garden City, Long Island; she noted that

Black women believe they are oppressed not by black men but by white society. Black women are almost unanimous in their insistence that their own liberation depends on the liberation of their race and the improvements of the life of the black community. White feminists must immediately begin to recognize that black women are foremost victims of oppression in this country because of their color, not their sex.

Nineteenth Century Afro American women were keenly aware of the racial difficulties blacks in this country encountered and they addressed themselves often and eloquently to the problems of being black in white America. Sometimes they voiced their concerns in a straightforward and outspoken manner; at other times their approach was subtle, but their anger at being the victims of an unjust society is evident in many of their works.

Clearly anyone who has been the victim, either directly or indirectly, of an institution such as slavery is going to be profoundly and negatively affected by the experience and feel little love for the government that forced him or her into slavery. Even the slaveholding President of the United States, Thomas Jefferson, showed his awareness of this when he wrote in Notes on the State of Virginia, “If a slave can have a country in this world, it must be any other in preference to that in which he is born to live and labor for another.” Few black women had their works published before the Civil War; among the more notable pre-Civil War Afro American women authors were
Eleanor Eldridge, Ann Plato, Frances Harper and Harriet Jacobs.

This paper focuses on a discussion of these early “foremothers” and shows how they felt as women and blacks in early American society. Eldridge, a Northerner, stated in her autobiography Memoirs (1841) that she was certain that many of her problems were the direct result of being a black woman; she was confident that no white women would have been subjected to the manifold indignities which she frequently experienced. Plato used more subtlety than Eldridge in her indictment of the minority position in America; this view is reflected in much of her poetry. Harper stated the greatest need of black people in America was not for money but for an unselfish and total dedication to emancipation from slavery. She deals with this issue in many of her works. Jacobs, in her autobiography, her only published work, included comments not only on her “sexploitation” but on the brutalities of slavery experienced by both sexes.

There can be no doubt of the fact that politics as they related to racial issues were of deep concern to nineteenth century Afro American women writers. It would indeed have been difficult to be a nineteenth century black and not have been deeply concerned about racial issues.

Louise Mayo, County College of Morris. “‘A Dangerous Class’: New York Newspapers and Working Class Jews at the Turn of the Century (1890-1902)”

New York newspapers in the 1890s and early twentieth century were very concerned with the advent of millions of Jews who flooded in from Eastern Europe. These Jews altered the previous perceptions about Jews. The earlier German Jews were easily assimilated and middle class. The newcomers, on the other hand, were obviously poor, adherents of strange religious rites and exotic in dress and speech. While they were generally hard working, up-ward striving and law-abiding, at times they appeared to be anarchistic and oblivious to the need for law and order. There was fear that persecutions may have destroyed the abilities of these newcomers to function in a society which required comprehension, cleanliness, and order. The language used to describe them was preoccupied with “savages,” “dwarfing” of personality, and “Oriental” qualities.

The eight New York newspapers examined for this paper reveal a composite of class perceptions and ancient stereotypes. There was a mixture of disgust, admiration, and compassion. There were also fears about the social disorder which might arise from a European working class which resisted real assimilation into American society. Could this be a “dangerous class”?


The first three decades of the twentieth century witnessed a major population shift that had a dramatic impact on life in America—especially urban America. The “black migration” saw the movement of tens of thousands of blacks from the rural south to the industrial cities of the urban north. The rise of the urban ghetto in America’s northern industrial cities has been fairly well documented. However, the migration itself is more misunderstood than understood. This paper uses recent research and especially census data to analyze in detail the black migration, focusing on its causes but most importantly discussing its true nature—both in terms of the destinations of blacks who left the rural south and the origins of blacks who migrated into the industrial centers.

Respondent: Paul A. Cimbala, Fordham University.

The papers presented at the session “Historical Perspectives” have more in common than a session title. First of all, they touch on each angle of the guiding theme of the conference: race, class and gender. Secondly, in their own ways they challenge old ideas and present new perspectives on that theme. Finally, and most importantly, each paper explores the problems minorities experienced as they attempted to make their way in a hostile or indifferent majority society. In addressing specific aspects of this problem, each paper confirms the reality of past difficulties and hints at implications for the present.

Mayo’s paper examines the public opinion expressed in several major journals. These were influential newspapers and undoubtedly reflected as well as informed the attitudes of their readers. In the end, they failed to represent with honesty the lives of the city’s working class eastern European Jews. At best journalists presented mixed-up, exotic impressions of Jewish religion, culture and day-to-day existence. The half-baked ideas and misperceptions may appear naive or unfounded to modern observers, but these were the ideas around which long-time New York residents ordered their lives.
What is striking about Mayo’s paper is the amount of press devoted to the so-called “Jewish problem” that it uncovers. The very amount of coverage suggests that New Yorkers were concerned about the potentially “dangerous class” but at the same time it also reveals how hopeful the older residents were when these new “exotic” residents revealed bourgeois aspirations. Interestingly, the tension that the paper notes existed between the older German Jews and the newer Eastern European Jews had a parallel in the northern communities that received some of the southern black migrants studied by Cary Wintz in his paper “The Black Migration: Old Ideas, New Perspectives.”

Wintz’s paper is a challenging one for the questions it raises concerning old beliefs about black migration from the South to the North at the end of the nineteenth century and during the early decades of the twentieth century. He uses census data to challenge old ideas about the role of southern violence and southern poverty. For example, figures reveal that large numbers of border state blacks left their homes for the North, suggesting that proximity to economic opportunity provides the significant explanation as to why blacks pulled up their rural roots to transplant them in the urban North. According to Wintz, there appears to have been a greater “pull” effect than a “push” effect, which does not eliminate but certainly diminishes the role of violence and poverty in the Deep South states. Wintz also hints at the importance of migration within the Deep South, which may force the author to modify his own conclusions. That migration, however, requires further study.

Simson’s examination of a selection of works by nineteenth-century Afro-American women vividly illustrates the views of the racial problem in which they found themselves. Black women writers provide an example of minority group members who used their talents in an effort to enlighten their readers (predominantly white middle-class Northerners), hoping to dispel the myths perpetrated by the majority society. In this case, the writers illustrate the burden of slavery, race prejudice and segregation— institutions as American as apple pie. These women also show how Afro-Americans carried this burden and refused to assume a beaten posture. The collective spirit did not bend even when individuals found it expedient to wear the mask.

The fact that black slaves entered into enduring marriages that withstood the problems posed by the South’s peculiar institution is testimony to that spirit. Even if masters recognized the benefits of stable relationships, they were not the source. Marriage endured despite paternalism, not because of it. White clearly makes this point in her paper. White’s work is significant because it attempts to document the existence of strong, dual parent households, institutions that might have escaped notice in abolitionist novels or fugitive slave autobiographies which were concerned with the propaganda impact they might have on northern readers. The documentation for North Carolina exslaves, the state that receives White’s primary attention, is impressive and the actions of the freedmen after the Civil War should dispel any lingering doubts about black commitments. White’s work retraces and confirms the important work of the late historian Herbert Gutman.

SESSION XI: “LITERATURE”
Chair: Johnnella E. Butler, Smith College.

Calvin E. Harris, University of Oregon. “Alienation as a Social-Political Theme in Asian and Hispanic American Fiction Since the 1960s.”

This paper focuses on immigrant literature published in the United States since the 1960s. The primary interest is in fiction written by Chinese, Japanese, Vietnamese, and Hispanic authors. These particular immigrants share a certain isolation from the dominant Anglo-Protestant society despite their diverse make up. Like other immigrant populations they strive to re-define their cultural heritage in a new context. In observing this process it is possible to discern a strain in the relationship between the new arrivals and native born Americans of Asian and Hispanic descent. Intra-ethnic conflict is often a central theme in this genre of fiction. The discussion within this paper explores some of the political undertones of this theme.


Paule Marshall’s parents emigrated from Barbados to the United States during World War I, and the author grew up in Brooklyn during the Depression. As a result, Marshall’s fiction is characterized by what Edward Said calls the exile’s “contra-puntal vision.” According to Said, “Seeing ‘the entire world as a foreign land’ makes possible originality of vision. Most people are principally aware of one culture, one setting, one home; exiles are aware of at least two, and this plurality of vision gives rise
to an awareness of simultaneous dimensions, an awareness that—to borrow phrase from music—is contrapuntal.

The uniquely literary nature of the exilic condition has been examined by Said, Andrew Gurr, and Michael Seidel, among others. But the fact that Marshall's characters are black people living in a predominantly white society intensifies and multiplies the effects of Marshall's contrapuntal vision. Furthermore, Marshall's protagonists are female, and as women they experience what Kenneth Ramwand calls "alienation within alienation."

In my paper I examine the condition of exile—racial and sexual—in Brown Girl, Brownstones (1959) and Prayersong for the Widow (1983). I refer also to The Chosen Place, The Timeless People (1969) and Merle and Other Stories (1983).

P. Gabrielle Foreman, University of California, Berkeley. "Looking Back from Zora: More Issues of Problematic Address on Talking Out Both Sides of My Mouth for Those Who Have Two Ears."

Giulia Scarpa, Smith College. "Couldn't They Have Done Differently?: Caught in the Web of Race, Gender, and Class."

Respondent: Doris Davenport, Bowling Green State University.

SESSION XII: "DISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES"

Chair: Glen Kraig, California State University, San Bernardino.

LeVell Holmes, Sonoma State University. "In Search of Self: Images of the Black Male in the Fiction of Ernest J. Gaines."

Ernest J. Gaines describes and gives positive portrayal in his fiction to the black man in search of self. Gaines' use of history, the Southern Cultural environment and well balanced folk symbols depict the black male in transition from a rural society to an urban world in which his acquired skills and personal values are undergoing unprecedented change.

The black man is an embodiment of alienations, internal conflicts, and fears of being alone in his world of unresolved external and psychic issues. The themes of alienation (man's estrangement from himself and from his surroundings) is prevalent in the works of Gaines. The black male struggles with his personal code of honor. The character is a man of action, webbed in his culture, battling for his cause and giving up his life rather than sacrificing personal honor.

The American black male is universal, yet unique. His search for self has passed through many phases and his present quest involves contemporary issues: alienation, sexual relationships, generational dialogue, personal uplifting and inner peace. The psychic balance and acceptance of self enables the black male the affirmation of stating: "I am just a man." Just a man. That's all.

Barry Rigby, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, and Dolores Janiewski, Mount Holyoke College. "With the Nez Perces: Alice Fletcher and Archie Phinney in the Field."

The paper presents an analysis of the activities of two anthropologists—Alice C. Fletcher and Archie Phinney—who administered federal Indian policy on the Nez Perce Reservation of Northern Idaho. It discusses the ways these two agents reflected prevailing and alternative ideologies about ethnicity, economics, and politics—Fletcher of the late 1880s and early 1890s and Phinney from the 1920s and 1930s—in their activities before and during their time on the reservation. At the same time it explores the connections between Fletcher's and Phinney's own gender, ethnic identities, theoretical positions, and efforts to transform Nez Perce society. Because Fletcher and Phinney were acting as agents of governmental policy, the paper sheds light on the role of the state in the construction of ethnic identities and relationships.

Fletcher, a pioneering anthropologist, studied under Frederick Putnam at the Peabody Museum at Harvard, where she was heavily influenced by the anthropological theories of Lewis Henry Morgan. At the same time, as a single woman of mature years, she initially visited Native American reservations in pursuit of answers to the "woman question." A part of the New England circle of reformers, she actively sought to turn Native Americans into yeoman farmers through lobbying for and the implementation of the Dawes Act. Closely associated with local missionaries, she arrived on the Nez Perce reservation in 1889 to allot the land prior to giving the inhabitants individual title. Seeing her efforts as lifting the Nez Perce from barbarism to civilization, she ignored their opposition and signs of the losses that the Nez Perce might experience from the onset of "white man's civilization."

Phinney, an assistant of Franz Boas and a student of Soviet minority policy in the 1930s, was the grandson of a marriage between a Nez Perce woman and a white settler.
After education at Haskell Institute, he went to New York to assist Boas in the study of Nez Perce texts. Meanwhile, he also sought to influence federal policy toward the Nez Perces. His trip to the Soviet Union in the mid-1930s heavily influenced his understanding of what should drive policy towards Native Americans. Finally, in 1937, he was able to work for the Bureau of Indian Affairs under Commissioner John Collier to carry out the reforms of the “Indian New Deal.” Then, in the mid-1940s, he returned to the Nez Perce Reservation as the first member of his group to serve as the chief administrator of federal policy for the Northern Idaho Agency. Like other members of the Collier coalition, Phinney was attempting to reverse the reforms implemented by Fletcher and her allies some forty years earlier.

Taken together, the careers of these two scholars and agents can reveal the complex interaction between their social identities, ethnic theories, and the actual implementation of policy to one group of Native Americans. The ultimate failure of each of their efforts also demonstrates the inadequacy of their analyses at fully grappling with the interconnections between issues of gender, ethnicity, and the social order in which both were embedded.

Peter L. Kranz, Lock Haven University. “Interpersonal Skills Class: An Educational Vehicle to Enhance Better Understanding Between Black and White Students.”

The paper reports on a unique class offering at an undergraduate university in Pennsylvania, “Interpersonal Skills.” The focus is better racial understanding between black and white students. Important activities included in the class are keeping a daily log, class discussions, and exchanges with other Pennsylvania universities. Films, required readings, and speakers are also included within the class structure. Results indicate positive changes in racial understanding between the two groups.

Ruth Yu Hsiao, Tufts University. “Passage to Selfhood: Stages of Development in Chinese American Literature.”

Chinese American writers are preoccupied with establishing their identity as American writers. Ever since World War II when the first Chinese American memoirs came into print, creating an authentic image and asserting their own voice mark the literature. This may not be as easy as it seems because as ethnic Americans their identity has been entangled with the hostile reception Chinese immigrants had been subjected to for nearly a century. Yet, the literary preoccupation with identity is not uniquely Chinese American. The concern is primarily of modernist origin. Assertion of will and selfhood are the hallmark of modern literature. Similarly, American literature itself evolved from dependence on and association with European, primarily British, antecedents to independence in the late nineteenth century. Anchoring roots in the native soil is a common struggle shared by American literature and its ethnic varieties.

Under the continuing pressure of Americanization, the ethnic writers have to struggle with even what the native ground is. Their writing responds to myriad pressures, such as the tug and pull of old-world tradition, the blandness of the melting pot, the lure of popular images of the ethnic self. To succumb to these pressures is to lose one’s identity. Yet it is not possible to be entirely free from them. The pressures create a tension in the writing. Depending on the writers’ own extent of Americanization, the writing manifests four modes or stages of expression: the dangling self, the emerging American self, the American self, and self in a universal quest. To construct this model I used Jewish American writers’ experience and their works. Each stage projects a composite self-image, the “self,” which reflects its response to the impact of Americanization.

These four stages also parallel the historical experience of the Chinese Americans since their arrival in the mid-nineteenth century. Part of my paper therefore includes a synopsis of that history, which corroborates the literary stages. Although my approach to the study of Chinese American literature relies on a set of socio-historical events unique to the Chinese Americans, these stages have a universal applicability.

Respondent: Jonathan A. Majak, University of Wisconsin, La Crosse.

SESSION XIII: “PUBLIC EDUCATION AND STUDENT PERCEPTION”

Chair: Otis L. Scott, California State University, Sacramento.

Raymond L. Calabrese, Wichita State University. “The Structure of Schooling and Minority Dropout Rates.”

The increased number of students from white, middle class backgrounds who are
dropping out of school are one reason the dropout issue is receiving greater national attention. Unfortunately, solutions that are developed in this context may focus solely on reducing the white dropout rates and fail to take into account minority needs and culture. This paper examines possible reasons for the school organization's failure to consider minority dropout rates as a major concern.

Glen M. Kraig, California State University, San Bernardino. "Asian-Americans: A Minority Success Story."

This paper deals with the academic success that many Asian American students have encountered in their school systems in the United States. Examples of the achievements made by Asian students are documented. This is followed by an analysis of some of the factors that might have contributed to this success rate. Both demographic and cultural factors are examined. The final part of this paper treats some of the problems that Asian American students have encountered in the schools and how they dealt with those problems.

Elizabeth Whalley, San Francisco State University, and Charlotte Basham, University of Alaska. "Teacher Response to Writing: A Cross-Cultural Perspective."

This paper presents two studies on composition correction and discusses how student preferences, writing skill and cultural background relate. In addition, new perspectives on giving writing feedback to multi-ethnic populations are presented.

Respondent: Otis L. Scott, California State University, Sacramento.

The overarching theme of the three rather diverse papers is the authors' successful attempts to focus attention on how organization and function in public education processes shape the experiences of ethnic minority students. More directly, the authors draw our attention to the fact that what happens inside of the school, its administrative offices and classrooms alike, may well indeed determine the success or failure of students of color.

Whalley's paper is a report of research she and a colleague have conducted. Whalley's research explores the types of feedback (written from instructor, oral from instructor, peer) from composition teachers preferred by diverse cultural groups of students. The substance of this paper stands as a challenge to instructors to be sensitive to the learning styles/needs of culturally diverse students and to adopt critique/assessment modalities (and pedagogies) consistent with the learning styles/needs of culturally diverse students.

The paper by Kraig deserves careful attention more so for what it intends than for what it establishes. The title of the paper, "Asian Americans: A Minority Success Story," gives us a clear indication of the point that Kraig intends to establish. His paper overall joins the mainstream of what currently appears to be an amassing discourse on the continuing subject of Asian Americans as a model minority group. It is curious that this scholarship, particularly in California, seems to be gaining popularity given the changing demographics in California and given what some public policy makers and members of the general citizenry are beginning to pay close attention to—the numbers of Americans of Asian descent in California colleges and universities and their strategic positions in the workforce. The central questions raised in this paper are: why is the school performance of Asian Americans on par with or above the level of white students and why is the school performance of Asian Americans consistently above the performance of other colored ethnic groups? And as the author establishes, these questions are not easily answerable. And yet, the author attempts to provide an easy answer to the complex questions he poses. In his paper he suggests that a good place to start looking for the answers is in what he refers to as the "demographic situation of Asian American parents."

Our attention is referred to a table titled, "Income and Poverty Level by Ethnic Group, 1979." This table lists ethnic groups: white, black, Hispanic, (with no differentiation made by Latino ethnic group), Japanese, Chinese, Phillipino, Korean, Asian Indian, and Vietnamese. Native Americans are not included in the chart. The table notes the median income of full-time workers and the percentage of families below the poverty level. The author's apparent intent is to establish a connection between income level, socio-economic status, and academic achievement of Asian American students. The chart does not convincingly establish this connection. In fact, the table raises more questions than it puts to rest. For example, we don't know how many household workers contributed to the Japanese/Chinese/Phillipino, et al. median income. We don't know, for example, whether these workers were foreign born and educated, or whether they are recent arrivals to the United States. On this point,
the record tells us that immigrants from Korea, the Philippines, Hong Kong, and Japan since the early 1970s have tended to be better educated; they have tended to be men and women with professional and technical training.

An important methodological failing of this paper is the inadequate historical analysis attempted by the author. A proper historical context for viewing Asian American immigration is not established. The author asserts that the immigrant experience of Asian Americans, without distinguishing which groups he is referring to, are experiences similar to those of European immigrant groups. Kraig asserts that just as with European immigrant groups, Asian Americans have adopted the American success ethos and are well on their way to becoming assimilated.

The immigrant success story model employed here is typically used to exalt the assimilation of various European groups into American society. Such a model is facile when used to explain and analyze the status of societal integration by people of color in American society. The author should be reminded that black Americans, Native Americans, and Chicanos are not immigrant groups. Black Americans were brought to the New World and subsequently to the United States against their will. Native Americans and Chicanos have experiences of a colonized people, subjected to the banalities of a European mind that believed the air, the commons and all abounding thereon, were subject to the private dominion of white men. And while Americans of Asian descent have immigrant experiences, they are the experiences of immigrant groups whose lives were shaped by national, state and local policies designed to remind Asian emigres of their outsider status.

In examining the educational achievement of various ethnic groups, what must be taken into consideration are the particular kinds of socializations that people of color have experienced in American society. While people of color generally have their social realities shaped by race and ethnic discrimination in American society, particular groups of people are subjected to particularized forms of racial and ethnic discrimination. One could argue, for example, that Native Americans and black Americans are examples of a people whose social histories are shaped by a particularized discrimination and exclusion enforced by statutes, federal court decisions and racial etiquette.

The author drawing from copious sources on this particular subject fails to take into consideration just how race and ethnic discrimination shape the learning and teaching environment for ethnic minorities in general—and given the subject under investigation—the various Asian American people in particular. Kraig importantly points out the role that culture plays in shaping the learning environment of Asian American children, e.g. deference to authority and a willingness to accede to parental guidance. Unfortunately, he asserts that the same cultural imperatives transfix all Asian American groups. This assertion fuels the long standing stereotype that Chicanos, black and Native American parents play insignificant roles in the educational lives of their children.

Kraig's paper does keep alive questions as to the factors which apparently result in some Asian American children performing well in schools when compared to the performance of Chicanos and Native American students. The paper very likely could have better assisted our understanding of these factors had more attention been given to historico-socio-cultural factors which shape a people's experiences in the United States. Of particular value would have been an examination of how people of color develop cultural mechanisms for coping with the predicament of discrimination in American society.

Too often the experiences of ethnic minorities are examined against the backdrop of paradigms developed in the larger society for the purposes of explaining the social realities of Euro-Americans. Assimilationist and Euro-Americanist explications of the ethnic minority experiences are examples of such paradigms.

Given the currency of the subject of student drop-out rates and particularly among minority youth and the underrepresentation of people of color on college and university campuses across the nation, the paper submitted by Calabrese represents an important addition to the national discourse.

Calabrese's concerns are two-fold in this paper: 1) the drop-out phenomenon affecting minority students and, 2) accounting for minority drop-outs. There is in fact a third concern raised in his paper: the role schools play in the drop-out phenomenon. This paper draws attention to how schools carry out their day-to-day business and how these activities may indeed contribute to the high drop-out rate of minority students.

This last point represents a particular strength of the paper inasmuch as studies of the minority drop-out phenomenon often times fail to examine sufficiently the milieu of the school itself and how that milieu contribute to what I will refer to as a shutting down of many minority students' learning engines. Typically, such explications of
why minority youth stop out or drop out build cases on impoverished poverty/acculturation models. Such explications posit a major reason minority youth drop out of school is because they issue from cultures and particularly from family backgrounds where the necessary nurturing and support for education is missing. Such explications tend to place the responsibility for student failure on the culture of the individual and the family. Clearly, strong family support, providing the kind of nurturing and reinforcement needed by all school age children, goes a long way towards building confidence, and esteem. But to suggest, as tends to be the trend in too many studies of the minority drop-out phenomenon, that the reason minority youth fail is because of some innate cultural pathology tends to give short shrift to the complex of issues accounting for much of the failure phenomenon.

This presentation focuses our attention on some of the structural, institutional, and procedural factors contributing to the failure of minority youth. Calabrese joins Jonathan Kozol, John Ogbu, and other critical thinkers who have long studied the structure and function of schools as these bear on teaching minority youth. In this portion of his presentation, Calabrese directs our attention to what happens inside the school and how what happens may play a major role in shutting down the learning engines of minority youth.

For example, he draws our attention to the tracking phenomenon and how schools across the nation have historically used this as a device for sorting out and preparing students for low level work functions in American society. He brings our attention to the behaviors on the part of educators which signal their belief that minority students are already lost causes. Educators don't expect much from minority students and adopt behaviors and teaching styles guaranteed not to get much from the students.

An important point made in this paper is how intimidating the school and particularly its structure, its administration, and its staffing can be for many minority parents. And this is particularly the case with people whose cultures dictate deference to teachers and to those who are highly educated. People who may not themselves have much education, who may not be native English speakers, or who may not speak English very well are likely to see themselves as powerless in confronting the education bureaucracy. Often times, and especially if they are not organized or a part of a larger organization, they are unable to communicate the essence of their concerns in a fashion that public policy makers, e.g., boards—school boards, trustee boards and principals will feel compelled to address.

The author also addresses another important organizational and functional point deserving attention. Increasing attention, as John Ogbu, Asa Hilliard, and Ron Takaki have challenged us, needs to be given to the socialization that occurs within schools via the curriculum. Not enough attention in my opinion is given to these points. Over the last twenty years, more attention, some of it even genuine, has been given to the need for schools adopting curricula that are culturally sensitive and culturally correct. The fact of the matter is that schools in the United States being the repositories and transmitters of Western culture and civilization are the principle transmitters of Eurocentric culture and values. To what extent in this transmission process do minority children shut-down? To what extent are they alienated from the process of education? To what extent do schools in their normal course of dispensing large doses of Eurocentric and Euro-American centric culture and values contribute to the mortification of esteem among black and brown school children. These are essential questions raised in this paper. These questions must be addressed if there is any hope for improvement in the learning environment of minority students.

The author does provide a prescription for the ills he discerns. He suggests that community people must review school policies and regulations and particularly engage a review with an eye towards targeting policies, regulations and procedures which work against the retention of minority students. He secondly suggests that prejudicial and racist behavior on the part of teachers should be changed. To this list of prescriptions I would add that the curriculum of most schools remains an experience down the path of Euro-American history, culture, values and experiences. Teachers tend to be shepherds leading children down this path. The curriculum of most of America's schools needs to be significantly overhauled. I know that this will not come easily. It will not come about in the absence of a political struggle over knowledge and what it deemed to be essential for learning. Nonetheless such a struggle must be engaged. Minority students, just as non-minority students, must be presented with a panorama of the experiences of human beings. This panorama must contain the history, culture values, life experiences of people of color and these experiences should be presented not as a side bar social science lesson but as an integral part of the total human experience.
While this paper is refreshing in its approach, challenging in its thrust, and revealing in its analysis, it leaves me less than sanguine respecting the possibilities for the much needed and major overhauling of America's education process. I understand that schools in America's social order are major instruments used to maintain the extant political, economic, social, and cultural order. The social order is militantly resistant to proposals for significant changes in its fundamental composition and direction. This intransigence only assures the inevitability of struggle.

SESSION XIV: “LITERATURE II”
Chair: Phillips G. Davies, Iowa State University.

Victoria Aarons, Trinity University. “Jewish Women Writing in America: A New Ethnic Identity.”

Elsewhere I argue that Jewish-American women writers of the late twentieth century are emerging in the literary mainstream as powerfully vocal figures forging a complex ethnic identity in the midst of a male-dominated literary tradition. (Aarons, “The Outsider Within,” Contemporary Literature 28.3 [Fall 1987]:378-393). Contemporary writers such as Grace Paley, Tillie Olsen, Cynthia Ozick, and Susan Fromberg Schaeffer (to name only a few) are among the voices of women writers who have sprung from what has traditionally been defined as a male-dominated literary heritage, a heritage that has “silenced and marginalized” (Greene and Kahn, “Feminist Scholarship and the Social Construction of Woman,” in Making A Difference, Greene and Kahn, eds. [London:1985]:1) women from those writers included in the literary canon, that is, from the cultural paradigms that emerge in the critical reading of the texts. Women writers historically, as we know, have been denied what Virginia Woolf characterizes as the necessary requisites for productive writing: tradition, place, status, economic mobility—in short the freedom of expression created by the “unfettered mind” (Virginia Woolf, A Room of One’s Own. Such a denial has of necessity long since forced women writers into an “outsider” status, writers on the periphery of the established literary canon. As such “women’s literature” has become distinguished as a literary sub-genre, often categorized among writers of “ethnic literatures,” writers who raise issues of identity and place. Such issues for women writers is complicated further still in the works of contemporary Jewish-American writers, writing in the face of a two-fold “outsider” position as an ethnic group with an immigrant tradition and as women. I would argue, further, that the fiction written by contemporary Jewish-American women underscores such concerns that stem from a perceived gender-specific marginality: place, identity, ethnicity. These dual issues—gender and ethnicity—are combined in the fiction of Jewish-American women writers, each bringing to bear further complexities upon the other. Contemporary Jewish-American women writers, as I will show, expose through the medium of their fictive protagonists, a paradoxical stance not only in relation to Judaism, but to their position as women in a male-dominated literary and social context.

Cortland P. Auser, Bronx Community College. “Self-Creation: ‘Mothers' Gardens’ and Sisterly Communities.”

Minority women writers of various American cultures (i.e., Chicana, Asian American, black American and Italian American) have created themselves in their writing. To accomplish this, they have drawn upon maternal legacies and the support of their sister writers. In macho or patriarchal societies, women have been relegated solely to the functions of breeding and home making. These writers have broken out of this imprisonment through the quality of their literature (Cervantes, Kingston, Hurston, Walker, and Helen Barolini).

This paper examines the nature of how they created themselves, overcoming the double yoke of racism and sexism.


Both Sone’s autobiography and Kogawa’s novel treat the experience of West Coast Japanese-American internment during the Second World War. Sone’s book is an attempt to undo the figure of the Japanese mother. In seeking to reconcile the psychosocial conflicts between white and Japanese races, the narrator/daughter demonstrates in her “fiction” the destruction of the maternal racial text and replaces instead the triumph of a white patriarchal discourse. Kogawa’s novel, on the other hand, begins with the erasure of the Japanese mother and seeks to re-construct this lost racial origin in the unknotted of plot and textual enigmas. My paper argues, through a reading of symptomatic passages, that the thematics of maternal writing and
re-writing in these two books are part of a larger thematic tradition in Asian-American
girls' literature.

Linda S. Wells, Boston University. "What Shall I Give My Children? The
Role of the Mentor in Gloria Naylor's The Women of Brewster Place
and Paule Marshall's Praisesong for the Widow."

Gwendolyn Brooks' poem "What Shall I Give My Children?" asks the central
question for black mothers in America: how can I who am considered less than perfect
by mainstream America give to my children a sense of their own self-worth? In a
culture where being poor, black, and female triply marginalizes an individual, what
kind of consciousness develops from such marginality? How does such marginality
carry over into the next generation and into the community of other women who are
also poor, black, and female?

Gloria Naylor's novel The Women of Brewster Place exposes the sources of power
among women traditionally seen as powerless. Naylor creates women who by
mainstream standards are marginalized yet develop a sense of their own worth and
pass that same worthiness on to others in their community. Paula Giddings in her
book When and Where I Enter: The Impact of Black Women on Race and
America chooses an epigram from Toni Morrison which captures the same power of
the women of Brewster Place: about the black woman Morrison says "she had nothing
to fall back on; not maleness, not whiteness, not ladyhood, not anything. And out of
the profound desolation of her reality she may well have invented herself." Naylor
creates for us a community of black women who not only invent themselves, but also
take responsibility for assisting others in the act of self-creation. Self and others are
inextricably linked in the consciousness of the women of Brewster Place, and such
linkage is the source of power in this community.

The narrative device Naylor uses to develop the idea of black consciousness is the
voice of the mentor. The novel is told in seven parts, each from the perspective of one of
the inhabitants of Brewster Place. As the women tell their individual stories, they bind
the community together in their shared experiences. Naylor creates a series of
mentors, women whose voices reverberate throughout the novel, and each mentor
carries the voices of past mentors who have healed her and bound her to the
community. The paper details the narrative techniques that shape the consciousness
of these women.

Brooks' poem also reverberates throughout Paule Marshall's Novel, Praisesong for
the Widow. To answer Brooks' central question, some black parents would advocate
materialism, teaching their children the work ethic and the model of success
epitomized in the American dream. To achieve success the children must perfect
themselves by white standards because the white power structure determines who will
have access to material well-being. Other black parents, however, see the danger of
perfecting oneself in the white image to gain wealth and success, and, instead, counsel
their children to learn about their African heritage and to connect themselves to their
ancestors and the culture they provided. The conflicts between materialism and
spiritualism are central to Marshall's novel.

Avey Johnson, the central character, involves herself in a personal quest which
leads to the discovery of her heritage and to the re-discovery of herself. Structured in
four parts, the novel traces Avey's quest as she rejects the material world in favor of
the spiritual world. She seeks her own personal history as a way to place herself in the
history of black people, a history within the white power structure, which has
marginalized blacks and called them defective by white standards. Avey has been able
to obliterate this history because of the measure of wealth and success she and her
now-dead husband have achieved over the years. At sixty-four, the widowed Avey
experiences an awakening and an alteration in values that arise from her journey,
both literal and figurative. The paper details the narrative, as Avey recaptures the
voice of her ancestral mentor, her great-aunt Cuney. Avey recalls the lesson from her
aunt learned years ago but forgotten until now. Cuney told her of the visionary or
spiritual capabilities of the Ibo: "Those pure-born Africans was peoples my gran said
could see in more ways than one." When the Ibo looked at the Landing, the story says
they walked on water, trying to get home. The implication is that the Ibo could see into
the future, a future of enslavement and deprivation at the hands of the white masters,
and that seeing what lay before them, they tried to flee. The parallels in faith,
determination, and spirituality the story draws between the Ibo and Christ are
internalized by both Cuney and Avey.

Avey's awakening is complete when she acknowledges the mission she is duty-
bound to fulfill. Each summer she will bring her grandchildren to the Landing and tell
them the story of the Ibo and the spirit that connects them all. She will become the mentor to her grandchildren, internalizing the voice and values of her great-aunt Cuneay. To answer "What Shall I Give My Children?" Avey would now respond with Baraka's words that "there were black angels straining above her head, carrying life from the ancestors, and knowledge, and the strong nigger feeling."

Respondent: Giulia Scarpa, Smith College.

The papers of this second session of literature have a very broad thematic synonymity, although they each focus on writers who belong to different ethnic groups and races. All presenters thoroughly discuss women writers, which is an important indication that literary criticism is not only acknowledging the blossoming season of women's creativity but that it is beginning to incorporate it in its discourse.

One of the crucial points addressed is that of "self-birthing" or "self-creation": the process by which women writers—Afro-American, Asian American, Chicana, Euro-American—have to invent and create models for their self-identity and their art. All these writers take upon themselves the responsibility of reconstructing American history and literature, of creating myths, of exploring the unbalance of power and marginality. As all the presenters have discussed, for women writers the process of learning and becoming is connected to their mothers and their relationship with their daughters. It is interesting to note that the daughters and granddaughters of immigrants—Asian American and Euro-American—meet with a complex dynamic of conflict and denial of the previous generations' experiences and expectations. The ambivalence generated by these tensions makes them perceive heritage also as a curse. Moreover, this makes them outsiders in the world of their mothers as well as in the American world: their ambivalence makes them uncomfortable in either world.

On the other hand, it is by acknowledging and rediscovering the beauty of their mothers' creations that Chicana and Afro-American women writers contend with marginality, racism and sexism in order to shape the world of their art. Mentoring and spiritual nurturing become powerful weapons with which elder women pass down to the younger ones the legacy of struggling to achieve self-identity.

As it is discussed in all papers, one of the specificities of these women writers' literary discourse is their concern with gender, race and class distinctions, which is not a rhetorical narrative device. All papers successfully lead us to understand that these writers' novels must be read in a specific race, class and gender history simply because it is the history embodied in all of these women's life-stories. The way historical circumstances have shaped their personal stories makes it impossible for us to read them without understanding the implications of this complex predicament. This will make us, as readers and critics, more aware and responsible while contributing to reconstructing history along with them.

SESSION XV: "CHILDREN AND ETHNICITY"
Chair: Janice White Clemmer, Brigham Young University.

Jeenne M. Clidas, "Women's History in the Elementary School Curriculum."

This is a description of a program designed to foster an appreciation for the contributions of all people regardless of their sex, race, or ethnic background by including women's history in the existing curriculum. The paper discusses the importance of changing the way the social sciences have been historically taught at the elementary level and presents methods used to teach women's history. The creation of a Women's Hall of Fame with school-wide participation, an in-service awareness program for teachers, and a community involvement program are used to illustrate how to implement such a program.


The activities of the United Nations and regional organizations to combat discrimination, coupled with an overview of the state of international law in the areas of race and gender (class discrimination prohibitions exist, but the mechanisms are not as developed) is a "new perspective" of human rights, particularly as these efforts affect children.

John L. Weinkein and Dennis M. Dake, Iowa State University. "New Art Basics: A Pilot Project."

This media presentation reports on a two-year cooperative research and curriculum development project between the art education faculty at Iowa State University and the art educators of twenty-five Iowa public school districts. The project, New Art Basics, has created and tested over 400 teaching strategies with students K-12. The
Purpose of the project is to improve art education curriculum by integrating knowledge from psychologies of perception, visual and creative thinking, multicultural education and the history of visual art. This presentation will particularly focus on original strategies which include non-western European art, ethnic and folk art sources.

Ofelia Garcia, CCNY. “The Education of Biliterate and Bicultural Children in Ethnic Schools in the United States.”

This paper discusses the results of ethnographic sociolinguistic research conducted over a two year period in thirteen ethnic schools in the New York metropolitan area. The thirteen schools include two Armenian, one French, one German, two Greek, one Haitian, one Hebrew, one Italian, two Spanish, one Russian and one Japanese school.

On the basis of observations, intensive interviews, and questionnaire data the education of American ethnic children and their ethnicity within a United States context is analyzed. The paper discusses the context of education in these ethnic independent schools. It also examines issues dealing with methodology and approaches both in teaching the ethnic language, as well as English. Finally, it presents children’s attitudes toward their own biculturism.

Respondent: Janice White Clemmer, Brigham Young University.

SESSION XVI: “AMERICANIZATION AND IDENTITY”


An analysis of Nisei women’s writings is important because they comprise the first generation of writers to attempt to break a deafening silence—a silence generated by gender and culture, a silence perpetuated by the incarceration of Nikkei peoples during World War II, a silence which has lasted nearly forty-five years. Nisei women writers are telling about themselves, their history, their perceptions, and their search for identity within the broader sociohistorical context.

Pearl Harbor, World War II, and the concentration camps were personal as well as community experiences. The historical experiences are well documented in such historical and sociological tracts as Thomas and Nishimoto’s The Salvage and The Spoilage, Hosokawa’s Nisei: The Quiet American, Kitano’s Japanese Americans, and Weglyn’s Years of Infamy. Interestingly, the emergence of Nisei autobiography is emblematic of the historical period in which they were written.

Although Nisei women were not the first to reflect upon their American and Canadian experiences (Japanese women’s autobiographies in English were published during the 1920s, 30s, and 40s), they were the first generation raised in the United States and Canada to write autobiographies and later novels. Nisei women writing from their own “memory” reflect a Nisei experience which needs to be examined.

Forty-five years have passed since Japanese Americans and Canadians were sent to concentration camps. In spite of this blatant abrogation of human rights, most Japanese Americans and Canadians have been silent about the injustices they suffered. Why has it taken so long before their silence was broken? Until the 1970s and the Reparation Hearings, few literary accounts had been written by Nisei about the Nikkei experience. Hisayo Yamamoto, short story writer of the late 1940s and 1950s, and Mine Okubo, who wrote Citizen 13660, were not widely acknowledged. Monica Sone’s Nisei Daughter (1953) and John Okada’s No-No Boy (1957) remained obscure until recently. Japanese American literary works were little known until 1973, when Jeanne Wakatsuki Houston wrote Farewell to Manzanar. Since then, recapturing historical experiences has become popular; half-forgotten autobiographies and short stories have been reprinted and new literary works have surfaced.

Nikkei women are developing a genre of literature which, although historically recent, concern gender as a specific issue along with perceptions of race, culture, and class. This paper examines the works of Monica Sone (Nisei Daughter), Jeanne Wakatsuki Houston (Farewell to Manzanar and Beyond Manzanar: Views of Asian-American Womanhood), Yoshiko Uchida (Desert Exile and Picture Bride), and Joy Kogawa (Obasan).

Gladys Ebert, Iowa State University. “Ethnographic Monitoring: Application in Ascertaining a Workable Educational Program for Single American Indian Parents.”

The single parents residing on the Mesquakie settlement, and in some cases, in the rural small towns near the settlement, find it necessary to acquire additional training/education to be better able to secure meaningful employment commensurate with their needs—financial, psychological and emotional. While the majority of the
single parents realize the advantages of continuing their training and/or education, there are many real and perceived obstacles. The purpose of this project was to identify these obstacles and to assist the single parents in surmounting the barriers, real or perceived, to gain the courage to begin participation in an educational program. In addition to helping them "begin to participate" it was important to identify characteristics of the program that might contribute to premature attrition. "Dropping out" prior to realizing some measure of satisfaction could result in a variety of negative reactions as discouragement, loss of self-esteem and a lack of trust in their ability to succeed.

Lawrence Estrada, Colorado State University. "Evaluation of the Diversification Plan at Colorado with Hispanics in Focus 1978 to 1985."

Respondent: LeVell Holmes, Sonoma State University.
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