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A major goal in the care of patients with neurological problems is to prevent or minimize episodes of increased intracranial pressure (ICP). Elevations in ICP in response to nursing interventions have been acknowledged since the 1960’s when ICP monitoring was first introduced in the clinical setting. Until recently few studies have specifically examined the effect of oral care on ICP and oral care and other hygiene measures were combined or not specified, prohibiting a direct interpretation of the influence of oral care alone on ICP. The purpose of this study was to describe the relationship between routine oral care interventions and
the changes in ICP specifically focusing on the effect of intensity and duration of this intervention.

Twenty-three patients with a clinical condition requiring ICP monitoring were enrolled over a 12 month period. Oral care provided by neuroscience intensive care nurses was observed and videotaped. Characteristics of the intervention were documented including products used, patient positioning, and duration of the intervention. A 1-5 subjective scale was used to score intensity of oral care. Wrist actigraphy data were collected from the nurses to provide an objective measure of intensity. Patient physiologic data were collected at 12 second epochs 5 minutes before, during and 5 minutes after oral care. The mixed effect repeated measures ANOVA model indicated that there was a statistically significant increase in ICP in response to oral care ($p=0.0031$). There was, however, no clinically significant effect on ICP. This study provides evidence that oral care is safe to perform in patients in the absence of pre-existing elevated ICP.
Chapter 1: Introduction to the Study

Management of intracranial pressure (ICP) is a central focus for nursing care of neurologically compromised critically ill patients. Increased ICP is a potentially life threatening complication that may arise following traumatic and nontraumatic brain injuries (Wolfe & Torbey, 2009; Frattalone & Stevens, 2011). Many essential nursing care interventions can result in elevations in ICP, but the effects of nurse-provided oral care have not been well studied. This research examined the effect of oral care on ICP and related physiological parameters.

ICP represents the pressure exerted by the brain, blood and cerebrospinal fluid within the cranial vault. It is a dynamic process that fluctuates in response to the changing volume and size of the intracranial contents. The normal range of ICP is 0-20mmHg and is considered critically elevated at values greater than 20mmHg. Intracranial hypertension, more commonly referred to as increased ICP, is defined as a sustained elevation of 20mmHg (Hickey & Olson, 2009). Increased ICP, whether due to traumatic brain injury, ischemia, hemorrhage, tumor or infection, can result in significant mortality and morbidity. It is estimated that in the traumatic brain injury population, intracranial hypertension due to cerebral edema accounts for over half of the mortality and morbidity in these patients (Donkin & Vink, 2010; May, 2009). Management of increased ICP is a central focus for neuroscience clinicians.

ICP monitoring is accomplished by implanting a device through a surgical opening in the skull into the epidural, subdural or subarachnoid space or directly into the brain tissue or lateral cerebral ventricle. There are several different types of monitoring devices and all measure the
sum of the pressures exerted by the contents of the cranial vault within the skull. All create an electrical signal that is transmitted to a monitor that displays a numeric value and waveform. ICP monitors placed in the ventricles can also be used to drain and sample cerebrospinal fluid and to administer medication (Littlejohns & Bader, 2009).

ICP monitoring has been used since the 1960’s to guide the treatment of patients with neurological conditions. In the 1970’s nurses began reporting on the influence of nursing care activities on ICP (Mitchell, 1986). These studies have shown that nursing care activities can lead to increased ICP and this body of knowledge has been used to develop a variety of nursing care guidelines for patients with or at risk for developing increased ICP (Szabo, 2011; Thompson, 2011).

Patients who require intracranial pressure monitoring are typically admitted to intensive care units (ICU). These patients are critically ill and may have invasive lines and tubes so their care is directed by many guidelines, not just those aimed at ICP management. The various guidelines may not take ICP management into consideration so must be adapted by the nurse in the patient with elevated ICP. Such may be the case with oral care, a routine nursing intervention in the ICU. Recent emphasis on oral care and its hypothesized association with the prevention of ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) in the critically ill has led to the development of recommendations and guidelines that aim to standardize this intervention (American Association of Critical-Care Nurses, 2010). While the use of an oral antiseptic to reduce the risk of VAP has been validated (Institute for Healthcare Improvement, 2009; Munro et al., 2009), the risks and benefits of toothbrushing and other oral care products are less well understood. Despite the number of well designed studies on oral care in the critically ill, there remains a need to evaluate
the safety of various oral care interventions in specific ICU patient populations (Ames, 2011).

For neuroscience ICU patients, an important measure of safety is the effect of oral care on ICP.

A conceptual model of the relationships between oral care and factors that contribute to intracranial pressure changes is shown in Figure 1. During oral care somatosensory information from the oral cavity, lips and face is transmitted via cranial nerves 5, 7, 9 and 10 via the thalamus to the cerebral cortex. Stimulation of these nerves during oral care may contribute to the ICP response through cerebral metabolic activation which requires increased cerebral blood flow to meet the metabolic demand (Branston, 1995). The maxillary division of CN 5 innervates upper portion of oral cavity and skin over the cheek and the mandibular division innervates skin over the jaw and above the ear, lower part of the oral cavity and tongue. Taste sensation from the anterior two-thirds of the tongue is transmitted by CN 7. The autonomic component of CN 7 contains sympathetic fibers that innervate submandibular and sublingual salivary glands. CN 9 is responsible for taste sensation in the posterior one-third of the tongue, sensation from posterior palate and CN 10 transmits sensation from the posterior pharynx. Touch receptors in the tongue and lips detect movement between this tissue and a surface (such as a toothbrush) and mediate the ability to perceive shape and form. Free nerve endings in oral facial tissue detect temperature and noxious stimuli. While the contents of the intracranial vault influence the ICP superimposed on these are complex networks of neurons located in the brainstem that participate in the regulation of cardiovascular and respiratory functions. While there are vital sign “centers” in the brainstem, cardiac and respiratory centers are not located in specific areas of the brainstem but are intermingled, functionally related, have reciprocal connections and respond to input from the central, peripheral, and autonomic nervous systems (Breedlove, 2007). Oral care may affect ICP via one or more of these systems.
Chapter 2 provides comprehensive review of the literature related to the effect of routine nursing interventions on ICP. When published in the *American Journal of Neuroscience Nurses* (Szabo, 2011), only 4 studies specifically examined oral care and in these, oral care was not specifically described or paired with other routine hygiene measures. Since then, one study has been published that found a statistically significant increase in ICP in response to oral care (Prendergast, 2011).

Chapter 3 presents the findings of an observational, descriptive study of the effect of oral care on ICP. This study examined the ICP response to oral care based on the duration and intensity of the intervention. Oral care was isolated from all other nursing care activities in order to evaluate the contribution of oral care alone on changes in ICP. We compared ICP, cerebral perfusion pressure, heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate and pulse oximetry values 5 minutes before, during and 5 minutes after oral care. We found a statistically significant increase in ICP from before to after oral care (p=0.0031). This increase was small (2.13mmHg) and not
clinically significant in that no patient required additional interventions to reduce ICP. There was no relationship between the increase in ICP and oral care intensity or duration. We concluded that this study provides evidence that nurse-administered oral care does not adversely effect ICP.
Chapter 2. The effect of oral care on intracranial pressure: A review of the literature

Abstract

Neuroscience intensive care unit nurses routinely perform oral care on patients with intracranial pressure (ICP) monitoring. When the ICP is elevated or rises in response to oral care, this intervention may be withheld despite the lack of evidence linking the two. In order to appraise the best evidence for providing oral care to patients with intracranial pressure monitoring, articles published from 1978 to 2009 in English and indexed in CINHAL, PubMed/MEDLINE, Cochran Library and BioSys were searched using the key terms intracranial pressure monitoring, intracranial hypertension, oral care, mouth care, hygiene, nursing interventions, nursing care, intensive care and critical care. Reference lists of retrieved articles were reviewed for articles missed during the initial search. The search yielded 65 articles: 16 experimental/quasi-experimental studies, 24 descriptive studies, and 25 review articles. Of these, only 4 specifically tested or described the effect of oral care on ICP. There is a need for more knowledge about the effect of oral care on ICP so that evidence-based oral care practices in this patient population can be defined.
Introduction

Minimizing elevations in intracranial pressure (ICP) is a significant challenge in critically ill neuroscience patients, especially when the interventions that increase ICP are necessary to prevent additional complications. In providing care to critically ill patients requiring ICP monitoring, nurses balance the need for an intervention with the risk of causing elevation in ICP. They anticipate the physiological response to the intervention before it is initiated. A common approach is to note the ICP responses to all interventions before proceeding with a specific intervention. If the ICP increases beyond normal limits, the intervention is stopped or modified in order to prevent further elevation. Such is the case with oral care, a routine intervention frequently included in efforts to decrease ventilator associated pneumonia. Nurses indicate that oral care is a priority and modify their oral practices based on the ICP. It is important to understand the ICP response to oral care in order to develop strategies for modifying this intervention. There are few studies, however, that have systematically explored the effect of oral care on ICP. This paper will provide a comprehensive review of the state of the science in the study of the effect on ICP of nursing interventions similar to oral care and the implication for oral care practices.

Background

Continuous intracranial monitoring has become the standard of care for patients with conditions associated with increased ICP. Intracranial pressure reflects the pressure exerted by the contents of the cranium (blood, brain, and cerebrospinal fluid) against the bony, rigid skull which, under normal conditions, are in a state of dynamic equilibrium. In adults, the normal range for ICP is 5-15 mmHg. It is considered elevated at values greater than 20mmHg. According to the Monro-Kellie doctrine, if an increase in one of the intracranial components is
not matched by a reciprocal decrease in one or both of the others the result is increased ICP, or intracranial hypertension.

Intracranial pathology that is known to increase ICP includes traumatic brain injury, intracranial hemorrhage, ischemic stroke, tumor, abscess, meningitis, encephalitis, increased production of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), decreased absorption of CSF and obstruction of CSF flow. Extracranial pathology includes hypoxia, hypercarbia, fever, hypertension, hyponatremia, venous obstruction and hepatic failure (Ropper & Rockhoff, 1993). Normal compensatory mechanisms to reduce ICP include displacement of CSF from the brain to the subarachnoid cistern, compression of the venous sinuses and vasoconstriction of cerebral arteries, which results in decreased cerebral blood volume (Hickey & Olson, 2009).

The critical issue in management of patients with intracranial hypertension is cerebral perfusion. The brain receives approximately 15% to 20% of the total cardiac output and consumes 20% of all oxygen used by the body. Given the brain’s high metabolic rate and oxygen demands, systemic mean arterial pressure (MAP) is a factor in maintaining cerebral perfusion. (Kandel et al., 2000). Concepts relevant to cerebral hemodynamics are listed in Box 1 (Boss, 2002).
Cerebral Hemodynamics

Cerebral Blood Volume (CBV) is the amount of blood circulating in the brain at a given time, most of which is in the low pressure venous system. CBV is maintained through autoregulation, a complex mechanism that regulates blood vessel diameter in order to maintain a constant blood flow.

Cerebral Blood Flow (CBF) must be sufficient to match the metabolic demands of the brain; normal CBF is approximately 750ml/minute and is regulated by constriction and dilation of the cerebral vessels in response to changes in arterial oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations.

Cerebral Perfusion Pressure (CPP) is calculated by subtracting the ICP from the MAP (CPP=MAP-ICP). The normal CPP in adults is 70mmHg-100mmHg. In order to maintain an adequate CPP, an increase in ICP must be matched by an increase in CPP.

[Figure 2.] Box 1. Concepts relevant to cerebral hemodynamics
Minimizing elevations in ICP and maintaining cerebral perfusion pressure is a significant challenge in critically ill patients, especially when interventions that are necessary for patient care and to prevent complications increase ICP. The association between nursing care activities and the onset of ICP elevations has been recognized since the beginning of clinical ICP monitoring in the 1960’s (Mitchell & Mauss, 1978). These activities and the hypothesized mechanisms, which are reviewed in depth by Hickey and Olson (2009), include hypoxia and hypercarbia associated with endotracheal suctioning, obstruction of venous flow with neck rotation, increased blood pressure via sympathetic stimulation during painful procedures, and decreased venous return with supine and Trendelenberg positioning. The effect of oral care, however, has not been systematically explored.

For healthy individuals, daily oral hygiene is a self-care activity that contributes to oral health and has aesthetic value related to the individual’s appearance and control of breath odor. Under normal circumstances oral care is not generally considered a painful procedure and the individual becomes accustomed to the sensations associated with caring for their own mouth. Over time, people develop patterns of oral care including time spent cleaning surfaces of the teeth and amount of pressure applied (Claydon, 2008). Patients who require ICP monitoring are typically admitted to the intensive care unit where oral care is provided by critical care nurses. Critically ill patients are typically unable to perform their own oral care and nurse provided oral care may be different in terms of equipment and products used, pressure applied to the teeth and gums, and the duration of the oral care. The effect of these differences on the patient’s experience of oral care is unknown.

The importance of oral care in critically ill patients has been well described. In addition to contributing to overall health and physical comfort, oral care may play a role in the prevention
of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Colonization of dental plaque with potential respiratory pathogens is a risk factor for VAP, an important clinical problem that increases mortality, hospital length of stay and health care costs. As a routine daily intervention, oral care is a priority for all critical care nurses including those who care for patients with neurological conditions. (Munro & Grap, 2004; Berry & Davidson, 2006; Munro, Grap, Jones, McClish, & Sessler, 2009). In a pilot study of oral care and ICP, 21 neuroscience intensive care unit nurses rated oral care high compared to other routine interventions and indicated that the ICP value played an important role in decisions about frequency, duration and intensity of oral care (Szabo & Munro, in press). This finding was consistent with a study about nursing judgments regarding risk of secondary injury in patients with traumatic brain injury (McNett et al., 2009). Using situational vignettes, the investigators found that physiological variables, including ICP, significantly influenced nurses’ assessment of their patient’s risk for secondary injury and their approach to managing patients in the face of worsening physiological parameters. In these situations, nurses may modify or delay oral care despite a lack of evidence to support this decision. In a study of changes in Oral Assessment Guide scores and the development of ventilator associated pneumonia in neuroscience intensive care unit patients, Prendergast and colleagues (2009) found no adverse effect of oral care on ICP but note that the nurses in their study may have decided not to perform oral care when the ICP was elevated. Given the competing priorities of preventing increases in ICP and providing necessary oral care, the relationship between the two is important.

**Nursing Interventions and Intracranial Pressure**

Nursing care of the critically ill involves a variety of routine interventions including managing the environment, bathing, turning, suctioning, positioning and providing oral hygiene.
The first step in deciding how and when to perform these activities in patients with, or at risk for intracranial hypertension, is anticipation of their effect on ICP. There are a number of studies that have investigated the effect of nursing interventions and patient activities on ICP (Table 1). While these works are used in the development of guidelines for the nursing care of neuroscience patients, the majority are non-experimental and the sample sizes are small. There are few studies that specifically investigate the effect of oral care on ICP. In these studies, oral care was either not considered separately from other hygiene measures or the oral care intervention was not fully described. Tsementzis, Harris, and Loizou (1982) studied the effect of routine nursing care on ICP in severely head injured patients. In this study, oral care was paired with skin care as a general hygiene measure and described as “nursing care stimuli” (p. 154).

Bruya (1981) included oral care in a study of the effect of planned rest periods between nursing activities on ICP. The activities were, in order, routine vital signs, suctioning, oral care and bed bath. In the control group, all activities were performed in sequence followed by a rest period, while in the treatment group there was a 10 minute rest period between three sets of activities-vital signs, respiratory care and bed bath. Oral care was included with manual hyperventilation and suctioning in the respiratory care set.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Design Method</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chest Physiotherapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (Olson et al., 2007) | To report ICP changes associated with mechanical chest physiotherapy (CPT) | 1 patient with a severe closed head injury and ventilator associated pneumonia | Case study | • Mean ICP trended downward with CPT  
• Changes in mean values for ICP before, during and after mechanical CPT were statistically and clinically significant | • Unknown mechanism to account for ICP changes  
• Did not explore confounding variables |
| (Olson DM, 2009) | To explore the safety of CPT in patients at risk for elevated ICP | 28 critically ill patients with at least one episode of elevated ICP | Randomized control trial | • No significant difference in mean ICP in patients who received 10 minutes of mechanical CPT compared to those who received no CPT | • Small sample size |
| **Turning, Head and Neck Position** | | | | | |
| (Hugo, 1992) | To describe the effect of manual turning and head of bed elevation on ICP | 23 severely head injured patients | Descriptive | • Greatest ICP increases noted when patients turned to left lateral position  
• Statistically significant correlation between elevated ICP and more frequent nursing interventions | • Small sample size  
• Most patients positioned with head of bed at 45 degrees, no comparison of position change at different HOB elevations |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (Ng et al., 2004) | To compare the effect of no head elevation to 30 degrees head elevation on ICP, CPP and cerebral oxygenation | 38 severely head injured patients | Randomized cross-over | • Statistically significant decrease in ICP with head elevated from 0 to 30 degrees  
• No significant difference in CPP at 0 degrees compared to 30 degrees  
• No significant difference in cerebral oxygenation | • Small sample size |
| (Winkelman, 2000) | To compare the effect of no head elevation to 30 degrees head elevation on ICP and CPP | 8 adult patients with traumatic brain injury | Randomized cross-over | • ICP was significantly lower and CPP was significantly higher at 30 degree HOB elevation compared to flat position | • Small sample size |
| (Jones, 1994) | To identify the effects of manual turning from static position to supine, right and left side-lying positions on ICP | 30 critically ill patients with traumatic and atraumatic neurological pathology | Descriptive | • Group mean ICP increased with positions change but changes were not statistically significant | • Small sample size  
• ICP changes during position changes could be due to artifact |
| (Williams & Coyne, 1993) | To investigate the effect of 4 different neck positions on ICP | 10 critically ill patients with neurological dysfunction | Quasi-experimental cross-over | • Lowest ICP with head & neck in neutral position and neck extended without rotation  
• Highest ICP with head turned to right | • Small sample size  
• Did not include combined positions of rotation with neck extension |
<p>| (March et al., 1990) | To determine the effect of head of bed elevation alone, with knees bent and in reverse Trendelenberg on ICP, CPP, blood pressure and cerebral blood flow velocity | 4 comatose head injured patients | Quasi-experimental within-subject design | • Changes in ICP, CPP, BP and cerebral blood flow velocity related to position changed are highly individualized | • Small sample size |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (Parsons & Wilson, 1984)      | To describe the effect of turning with and without flexion of arms and legs, range of motion, neck position and head of bed elevation on cerebrovascular status | 18 severely head injured patients | Descriptive                    | • Turning, positioning, head rotation and range of motion exercises and lowering head of bed produced increases in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, mean ICP and cerebral perfusion pressure  
  • Head of bed elevation produced significant decreases in mean arterial pressure (MAP) and mean ICP | • Small sample size  
  • All patients not observed in all positions |
| (Mitchell et al., 1981)       | To describe the effect of arm and hip flexion and extension, head rotation and turning on ICP | 19 critically ill patients with neurological dysfunction | Quasi-experimental, cross-over | • No significant differences in ICP with range of motion exercises  
  • Significant increase in ICP with turning and head rotation  
  • Cumulative increase in ICP with activities performed within 15 minutes of each other | • Small sample size |
| (Schwarz et al., 2002)        | To evaluate the effect of head of bed elevation on ICP and CPP             | 18 middle cerebral artery territory stroke patients | Cross-over study                | • MAP and ICP decreased with head of bed elevation  
  • Maximal CPP occurred with head of bed flat | • Small sample size |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Endotracheal Suctioning</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description</strong></th>
<th><strong>Sample Size</strong></th>
<th><strong>Methods</strong></th>
<th><strong>Key Findings</strong></th>
<th><strong>Notes</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Gemma et al., 2002)</td>
<td>To describe the effect of endotracheal suctioning on intracranial dynamics during acute phase of head injury</td>
<td>17 severely head injured patients</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental, repeated measures</td>
<td>• Endotracheal suctioning produced increases in ICP and MAP and decreases in jugular vein oxygenation; changes more pronounced with inadequate sedation</td>
<td>• Small sample size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucia &amp; Rudy, 1996</td>
<td>To determine effect of suction catheter insertion and tracheal insertion on ICP, MAP, CPP and HR</td>
<td>30 severely head injured patients</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental, within-subject design using existing data from previous study</td>
<td>• Statistically significant elevation in ICP, MAP and CPP during catheter insertion • Statistically significant increase in ICP during suctioning compared to catheter insertion • No significant change in heart rate during catheter insertion or suctioning</td>
<td>• Small sample size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Crosby &amp; Parsons, 1992)</td>
<td>To describe the effects of an endotracheal suctioning protocol including manual hyperventilation and a 5 minute rest period before and after the procedure on ICP, MAP, CPP and HR</td>
<td>49 severely head injured patients</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental, repeated measures</td>
<td>• Statistically significant increases in ICP, MAP, CPP and HR during procedure • No significant difference in baseline end-tidal CO2 (ETCO2) compared to recovery ETCO2 • Statistically significant increase in ETCO2 during suctioning and statistically significant decrease in ETCO2 throughout hyperventilation</td>
<td>• Protocol after 18 patients changed to include ETCO2 measures during each manual hyperinflation instead of baseline and recovery period only. Subsequent 31 patients treated as a second group for comparison on all outcome variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Design</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>Limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| (Parsons & Wilson, 1984) | To describe the effects of an endotracheal suctioning protocol including manual hyperventilation on ICP, MAP, CPP and HR | 20 severely head injured patients | Descriptive | • Statistically significant elevations in mean ICP, MAP, CPP and HR during procedure  
• No statistically significant difference in baseline mean ICP, MAP, CPP or HR compared to recovery values | • Small sample size |
| **Family Visitation** | | | | | |
| (Hendrickson, 1987) | To describe the relationship between family presence and ICP changes | 24 critically ill patients with neurological dysfunction | Quasi-experimental time series | • ICP decreased during family visitation 75% of the time  
• Increases in ICP during family visitation were not statistically significant | • Small sample size  
• ICP not monitored during every family visit |
| (Prins, 1989) | To describe the effect of family visitation and the quality of the visit on ICP using the Patient-Family Interaction Scale | 15 critically ill patients with neurological dysfunction | Descriptive | • No statistically significant difference in ICP before, during, or after family visit  
• No significant correlation between PFIS score and ICP during visit | • Small sample size  
• Validity and reliability of PFIS not established, Poor internal consistency (r=.30) |
| **Auditory Stimulation** | | | | | |
| (Schinner et al., 1995) | To test the effect of 3 different auditory stimuli-silence achieved with earplugs, music and ambient ICU noise-on ICP and CPP | 15 severely head injured patients | Experimental, cross-over | • No statistically or clinically significant difference in ICP with any of the auditory interventions at day 2 or day 4 post injury | • Short duration of ICP/CPP monitoring following intervention  
• 73% of patients received sedative  
• Earplugs and earphones used for music intervention may have been uncomfortable |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (Walker et al., 1998) | To study the effects of taped messages by a family member on ICP, BP, MAP, HR, respiratory rate (RR), pulse oximetry and level of restlessness | 10 severely head injured comatose patients | Quasi-experimental, repeated measures | - No statistically significant difference in ICP, HR, BP, MAP, RR or pulse oximetry before, during or after playing the tape  
- Restless was observed in half of the subjects but no patterns observed. | - Small sample size  
- Did not establish baseline auditory function |
| (Johnson et al., 1989) | To investigate the effects of 2 different taped conversations - nursing shift report about the patient and social conversation unrelated to the patient on ICP | 8 critically ill patients with neurological dysfunction | Experimental time-series | - No statistically significant difference in ICP before, during or after either conversation types  
- One t-test showed no significant increase in ICP during conversation about the patient but significant decrease in ICP during social conversation unrelated to the patient | - Small sample size  
- Did not establish baseline auditory function |
| (Treloar et al., 1991) | To investigate the effect of familiar (family member) and unfamiliar (researcher) voices on ICP | 12 severely head injured patients | Quasi-experimental repeated measures | - No statistically significant differences before, during or after familiar and unfamiliar voice interventions | - Small sample size  
- Did not establish baseline auditory function  
- No randomization of treatment sequencing, all subjects received familiar voice treatment first |

*Note. ICP = intracranial pressure; CPT = chest physiotherapy; CPP = cerebral perfusion pressure; HOB = head of bed; CBF = cerebral blood flow; BP = blood pressure; MAP = mean arterial pressure; HR = heart rate; ETCO2 = end tidal carbon dioxide; ICU = intensive care unit.*
ICP increased with all activities including oral care, but the clustering makes it difficult to interpret the contribution of oral care alone to increased ICP.

In one of two published studies isolating oral care from other hygiene measures, Hugo (1987) also examined the effect of rest periods between activities and the effect on ICP but extended the rest period to 30 minutes. Nursing activities observed were bed bath, repositioning, bronchial toilet, oral hygiene, and testing pupil reactions to light. All produced increases in ICP, but the investigator noted the difficulty in studying nursing activities that followed in rapid succession, specifically citing the sequence of bathing, oral hygiene and turning.

Parsons, Peard, and Page (1985) investigated the effect of standardized oral hygiene, body hygiene and indwelling urinary catheter care on heart rate, mean arterial blood pressure, mean ICP and CPP. Oral hygiene was broadly defined as “any procedure to clean the mouth, oropharynx and/or throat” (p. 175) but specific techniques and products were not described. The frequency of oral hygiene was every 2 to 4 hours; duration was not described. All 3 hygiene interventions produced statistically significant increases in physiologic variables, with the largest increases occurring with oral and body hygiene. The investigators theorized that the increases associated with oral care were possibly due to head position or manipulation of the endotracheal tube during the procedure.

Body positions that reduce venous flow from the head increase the total intracranial blood volume and therefore have the potential to increase ICP. Optimal body positioning during oral care in patients with neurological dysfunction is unknown. Head of bed elevation to 30 degrees is the recommended position for patients with brain injury (Fan, 2004; Hugo, 1992; March et al., 1990; Ng et al., 2004; Simmons, 1997; Winkelman, 2000) but recent studies in acute ischemic stroke patients indicate that a lower head of bed position may be preferred.
Despite slightly higher ICP measurements in this patient population, cerebral blood flow velocity was higher when patients were flat (Schwarz et al., 2002a; Wojner et al., 2002; Wojner-Alexander et al., 2005).

Neutral neck alignment is also a recommended position for patients with intracranial hypertension. Lipe and Mitchell (1980) demonstrated a clear effect of neck rotation on internal jugular vein blood flow velocity. In a study using healthy volunteers, the investigators found that 90 degree head rotation partially or completely occluded the jugular vein. Studies on the relationship between ICP and neck rotation in patients with a variety of neurological conditions demonstrate marked increases in ICP with this maneuver (Mitchell et al., 1981; Parsons & Wilson, 1984; Williams & Coyne, 1993). During oral care the nurse may turn the patient’s head in order to access the posterior oral cavity which potentially, and inadvertently, may be detrimental.

Oral care in the critically ill may be complicated by the presence of an endotracheal tube. Simple manipulation of the tube may stimulate a cough reflex (Mitchell & Mauss, 1978) with coughing during endotracheal tube suctioning which can have adverse effects including increased ICP, MAP and CPP (Brucia & Rudy, 1996; Crosby & Parsons, 1992; Kerr et al., 1993; Kerr et al., 1997).

Noxious stimuli are known to cause increased ICP and a number of investigators have studied the effect of various stimuli on ICP dynamics. Brucia and Rudy (1996) demonstrated that tracheal stimulation during the passage of a suction catheter prior to the commencement of endotracheal suctioning produced significant increases in ICP and CPP. Nurse-initiated conversations with or about patients that occur at the bedside, a source of auditory stimuli, have been shown to produce elevations in ICP (Mitchell & Mauss, 1978; Snyder, 1983). Other types
of auditory stimuli, including audio-taped recordings of messages and various sounds, however, have not been shown to produce significant increases in ICP (Johnson et al., 1989; Schinner et al., 1995; Treloar et al., 1991; Walker et al., 1998). The few studies on chest physiotherapy (Olson et al., 2007; Olson DM, 2009), a source of physical stimulation, have shown that this treatment does not significantly increase ICP. The studies described above demonstrate that the response to a presumed noxious stimulus is highly variable and the effect of oral care cannot be predicted from them.

**Conclusion**

There are several mechanisms by which oral care may produce elevations in ICP. Neck position and manipulation of the endotracheal tube during oral care may be contributing factors. Stimulation of cranial nerves that innervate the oral mucosa, peridontium, tooth pulp, tongue, lips, and cheeks lead to activation of the autonomic nervous system and can result in increased arousal, changes in heart rate and systemic blood pressure which ultimately affects intracranial pressure (Kandel et al., 2000). Consequently, the duration and amount of pressure applied to the teeth and gums are important considerations when nurses perform oral care.

Oral care is an important nursing intervention in the care of patients with increased ICP. However, the effect of oral care on ICP has not been fully elucidated and must be extrapolated from what is known about the relationships between other nursing care activities and ICP elevations. Without an established research base for best practices, oral care methods used by critical care nurses remain poorly defined and inconsistent (Berry & Davidson, 2006; Binkley et al., 2004; Jones et al., 2004; Munro & Grap, 2004) and a lower priority compared to other nursing interventions (Berry et al., 2007; Grap et al., 2003; Hanneman & Gusick, 2005). Oral care methods employed by critical care nurses need to be understood in terms of the products
used (toothbrush, foam swab, toothpaste, mouthwash, water, saline and antibacterial oral solution) and technique (pattern, force and duration).

The need for foundational knowledge about oral care and ICP which could establish a standardized approach to oral care while minimizing the impact on ICP in this patient population is essential since nurses make decisions about when and how to provide oral care based on the patient’s ICP. Investigation of the effect of oral care on ICP is a logical next step in this line of inquiry in order to design and test strategies to minimize the detrimental effects of oral care on ICP elevations. For example, does the duration of oral care affect ICP? Is the intervention inherently stimulating or is there a threshold of oral care performance time over which the effects are magnified? Is the force applied by the nurse to teeth and gums related to the magnitude of ICP change (i.e., is “gentler” care better)? Specifically, such data will provide empirical evidence for the most appropriate oral care in the critically ill neuroscience patient.
Chapter 3. The Effect of Oral Care on Intracranial Pressure in Critically Ill Adults

Introduction

Critically ill patients with neurological pathology can experience elevations in intracranial pressure (ICP) which may be associated with the underlying condition or may occur in response to routine nursing interventions. Regardless of the underlying cause, elevated ICP is associated with significant mortality and morbidity (Bratton et al, 2007). Therefore, a major goal in the care of patients with neurological problems is to prevent or minimize episodes of increased ICP. The challenge for critical care nurses is to balance the need for an intervention with the risk of causing increased ICP.

Elevations in ICP in response to nursing interventions have been acknowledged since the 1960’s when ICP monitoring was first introduced in the clinical setting (Mitchell & Mauss, 1978). Previous studies on the effect of routine nursing interventions (hygiene, suctioning, positioning, chest physiotherapy, auditory stimulation, activity and visitation) have significantly influenced care of neuroscience patients. Many of these studies, however, are non-experimental and limited by small sample size (Szabo, 2011). Furthermore, until recently few studies have specifically examined the effect of oral care on ICP (Tsementzis et al., 1982; Parsons, Peard & Page, 1985; Bruya, 1981; Snyder, 1983) and in these studies oral care and other hygiene measures were combined or occurred in rapid sequence. In most, the oral care procedure and factors that are known to be associated with ICP, specifically body position and neck alignment (Hickey & Olson, 2009) during oral care were not fully described. Prendergast and colleagues
(2011) conducted a randomized clinical trial to study the effect of manual and electric
toothbrushing on ICP among critically ill, intubated adult neuroscience patients. Patients were
assigned to either the manual or electric toothbrush group and ICP was measured before, during
and after oral care. There was a statistically significant difference in ICP across time points with
both methods but no significant difference between groups. Pooled data from both groups
showed a significant difference across time points – a mean increase of 1.7mmHg between 30
minutes before and during oral care followed by a mean decrease of 2.2mmHg from during to 30
minutes after oral care. All 47 patients in the study had baseline normal ICP values and there
were no clinically significant effects reported. The researchers concluded that oral care was safe
in patients with normal ICP values and that additional research is needed to determine the safety
of oral care in patients with elevated ICP.

**Physiological Response to Oral Care**

During oral care somatosensory information from the oral cavity, lips and face is
transmitted via cranial nerves 5, 7, 9 and 10 via the thalamus to the cerebral cortex. Stimulation
of these nerves during oral care may contribute to the ICP response (Breedlove, 2007).

Oral care is generally not considered a painful procedure. People become accustomed to
the sensations associated with caring for their own mouth. Nurse-administered oral care may be
different compared to “self-administered oral care” in terms of products used, intensity and
duration. Regardless of duration and/or intensity of nurse-administered oral care, sensory
stimulation during oral care stimulates the cerebral cortex which increases metabolic needs of
cerebral tissue. Increased cerebral metabolic need results in increased cerebral blood flow which,
in turn, affects ICP (Breedlove, 2007). If the patient experiences discomfort during nurse-
administered oral care the intervention may activate pain pathways which, through stimulation of
the autonomic nervous system, causes increased heart rate and blood pressure which ultimately affects ICP. The purpose of this study was to describe the relationship between routine oral care interventions and the changes in ICP that occur during this intervention. Specifically, intensity of oral care was hypothesized to be related to ICP with higher intensity associated with higher ICP. Likewise, the duration of oral care was hypothesized to be related to higher ICP with longer durations associated with higher ICP. Simultaneous measurements of heart rate, blood pressure, respiratory rate and pulse oximetry were also recorded and analyzed. These measures reflect physiological variables that moderate and regulate ICP.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

This was a nonexperimental observational study of the effect of oral care on ICP. Both patients and nurses were subjects. The study was approved by the institutional review board. Informed consent was obtained from the participant or, for patients not able to provide informed consent, from the legally authorized representative prior to enrollment. Each patient participant was observed for five minutes before, during, and five minutes after a routine nurse-administered oral care intervention. Nurse participants wore wrist mounted motion sensors while providing oral care to the patients in the study.

**Setting and Sample**

This study was conducted in a 933-bed tertiary care university teaching hospital in the southeast. Convenience sampling was used and participants were recruited from the neuroscience ICU. Patients 18 years and older were considered eligible for inclusion if they required ICP monitoring and were unable to perform their own oral care. Exclusion criteria included injury to the tongue, lips teeth or gums, fractures of the mandible or maxilla, wired jaws, or concomitant
spinal cord injury (SCI). There was no requirement that participants be intubated or mechanically ventilated.

**Procedure**

Baseline physiological data were obtained 24 hours prior to the observation of oral care. The most recent Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) and Richmond Agitation-Sedation Scale (RASS) scores were used along with the Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score to describe neurological impairment, sedation level and severity of illness respectively on the day of observation. The GCS score is the most commonly used grading system for coma and is used as a standard measure for the rapid assessment of neurological status. The RASS score is based on a 10-point scale ranging from +4 to -5. A score of 0 denotes a calm and alert state, + 4 a combative state and -5 indicates that the patient is unarousable (Sessler, et al, 2002; Grap, et al, 2005). SOFA, a scoring system based on a 0-24 scale is a reliable and accurate score that reflects daily severity of organ dysfunction and predicts prognosis and ICU mortality (Arts et al, 2005; Ferreira, et al, 2001; Vincent, et al, 1998; Zygun et al 2003). Higher scores denote more severe organ dysfunction.

**Observation of Oral Care**

One or two episodes of routine oral care were observed for each of the 23 subjects. Two episodes of oral care were observed whenever possible in order to describe the effect of the intervention on ICP at various time points relative to the neurological injury. The first observation occurred during the first 48 hours following the initiation of continuous ICP monitoring. When the ICP monitor remained in place (n=10), a second observation occurred 72 to 96 hours following the initiation of monitoring.
Oral care was recorded using a digital video camcorder (Canon GL2) secured on a tripod placed at the foot of the patient’s bed, zoomed to the patient’s mouth to capture video for validation of oral care characteristics, including intensity. Patient participants were video recorded for approximately 5 minutes to capture the episode of oral care. So that the oral care episode could be isolated from other routine nursing interventions such as bathing, turning or endotracheal suctioning, to the extent possible, the bedside nurse was asked to avoid these activities during the observation period. Physiologic data were collected for 5 minutes before, during and for 5 minutes after oral care or until another intervention was performed. Throughout the study period physiological variables of heart rate, respiratory rate, systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure, ICP and cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP) were collected at 12 second epochs from the bedside monitors (Phillips IntelliVue Patient Monitor MP60/MP70; Software Version F.01.02). For patients who did not have an arterial pressure monitor line, the CPP was calculated from the last recorded non-invasive mean blood pressure value.

Oral care products, patient body position and neck alignment during the intervention were recorded. The hospital bed (Hill-Rom TotalCare®) integrated protractor was used to measure head of bed (HOB) elevation.

*ICP Monitoring*

ICP was measured using the device present for clinical care of each subject. Monitoring devices included external ventricular devices, an intraparenchymal devices (Codman® ICP Express) or intraparenchymal ICP monitors with a ventricular drainage catheter (Hummingbird™ Ventricular, Innerspace). All devices create an electrical signal that is transmitted to a monitor which converts the signal into a pressure reading. All devices also transmit a waveform that represents the pulse pressure transmitted to the intracranial compartment by the systemic blood
pressure (March & Madden, 2009). For the devices with a ventricular component, leveling to the tragus and zero calibration was performed prior to data collection. For patients with an external ventricular catheter device on continuous drain, the catheter was clamped prior to oral care and remained clamped for 5 minutes following oral care. Throughout the observation period, whether the order was for intermittent or continuous drain, the catheter was opened to drain CSF if the ICP exceeded the clinically prescribed set point.

*Observational Rating of Oral Care Intensity*

Intensity of oral care was hypothesized to be related to ICP, with higher intensity associated with higher ICP. For this study, a 1-5 ordinal scale was used to assign a rating to the intensity of the nurse-administered oral care with 1 the lowest intensity and 5 the highest. Characteristics of oral care used to assign the rating were the amount of vertical and horizontal movement of the toothbrush or toothette, speed and tempo of the procedure, depth the toothbrush (or toothette) was passed into the patient’s oral cavity and the degree of distension of the patient’s lips and cheeks during the intervention. Oral care intensity scores were assigned immediately after the observation by the investigator. Ten percent of the video recordings were reviewed by an unbiased observer and independently scored and there was 100% agreement on the ratings.

*Objective Rating of Oral Care*

To provide an objective measure of oral care intensity, nurses wore a Motionlogger® actigraphy watch (Ambulatory Monitoring, Inc. Ardsley, NY) while performing oral care. Actigraphy has been validated as a tool for evaluation of activity in a variety of behavioral states including sleep and exercise. Actigraphy varies from zero with no activity to values in the hundreds with vigorous activity (Acebo, 2005; Grap, 2005; Leidy, 1997). Actigraphy was used
to measure gross motor movement activity and to relate the degree and intensity of wrist motion to the observational, ordinal level of oral care intensity. Use of actigraphy was tested in a pilot study to evaluate the feasibility of an oral care intensity measurement tool. In the pilot study, 15 registered nurse volunteered to participate in a project that involved wearing an actigraphy watch while performing researcher defined low, medium and high intensity simulated oral care on a manikin. The lowest average actigraphy values were found with low intensity oral care, higher average values with medium intensity and the highest average values were found with high intensity oral care. Actigraphy patterns for each observation varied based on the researcher provided definitions of low, medium and high intensity. For example, low intensity oral care was described as smooth and slow and this pattern was observed in graphs of the actigraphy data whereas the pattern associated with high intensity oral care was very uneven with wide variation in values.

Actigraphy data were collected using a 1-second time epoch to capture the degree and intensity of the nurses’ wrist motion during oral care. Following the oral care intervention, data were downloaded via a USB cable to a computer-based program (ActMillennium, Version 3.47.03, Ambulatory Monitoring, Inc). Actigraphy files were saved as text documents for statistical analysis.

*Duration of Oral Care*

In order to temporally relate the physiological parameters to the oral care intervention, the time on the bedside monitor was used as the standard for measuring the duration of oral care events. This allowed for synchronization of oral care duration to the vital signs. Duration was confirmed by viewing the video recordings.
Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using the JMP 9.0 Statistical Software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All data were summarized using descriptive statistics. A mixed-effects repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to evaluate mean change in physiological variables before, during, after oral care. The model included a fixed effect for time with a random effect for subjects. Linear regression was used to evaluate the relationship between ICP and oral care intensity and duration and to evaluate the relationship between actigraphy values and subjective ratings of oral care. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Among the 90 patients screened for eligibility, 23 were included in the analysis (Figure 3). Demographic characteristics of the sample are shown in Table 2.
Figure 3. Study Flowchart

* Monitor displayed negative ICP values which were attributed to measurement error and therefore excluded from analysis
### Table 2. Sample Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
<td><strong>Range</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>18-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Neurological Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic Brain Injury</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subarachnoid Hemorrhage</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial Hemorrhage</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraventricular Hemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craniectomy</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor Type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External ventricular device (EVD)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraparenchymal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined EVD/Intraparenchymal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On the day of observation, the most current indicators of neurological impairment, level of sedation and severity of illness (GCS, RASS and SOFA scores respectively) were recorded. The median GCS was 8, with a range of 3 to15, the median RASS score was -2 with a range of -5 to +2, and the median SOFA score was 4, range 0 to 10. Baseline physiological measures are shown in Table 3.
Table 3. Mean Baseline Physiological Measures 24 Hours Before Observation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP</td>
<td>78.63</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>53-119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>91.79</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>66-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate</td>
<td>18.54</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>10-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>140.27</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>105-182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>72.24</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>47-105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BP</td>
<td>91.26</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>65-130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse oximetry</td>
<td>98.32</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>94-100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were a total of 33 observations of oral care; 22 within 48 hours of continuous ICP monitoring and 11 repeated in the same subject within 72-96 hours of continuous monitoring. In twenty-four observations (73%), patients were intubated; two patients had a tracheostomy. Twenty-four of 25 patients with artificial airways were mechanically ventilated. The average length of stay on the day of the observation was 5.85 (SD=6.26) with a range of 1-24 days. The average number of days that the catheter had been in place on the day of the observation was 4.88 (SD=5.95) with a range of 1-24 days. Continuous intravenous sedation was administered in 73% of the observations; continuous intravenous narcotic analgesia in 42% of the observations. In 3 observations (10%), patients were receiving oral narcotic analgesic agents as needed. Intravenous sedatives and analgesics were titrated to effect; no patients received additional doses of sedatives or narcotics before, during or within 5 minutes after oral care. Intravenous vasoconstrictors (phenylephrine and/or norepinephrine) were administered in 24% of observations, intravenous vasodilators (nicardipine) were administered in 6% of observations. In 4 observations, patients were receiving continuous infusions of neuromuscular blocking agents. Oral nimodipine, 30mg-60mg every 2 hours was administered in 24% of the observations, other oral antihypertensive medications in 27%.
**Oral Care Intervention**

The equipment and products used to provide oral care included toothbrushes, toothettes (foam swabs), toothpaste, mouthwash, water, chlorhexidine, normal saline and a 1.5% hydrogen peroxide solution. Frequencies are shown in Table 4. During a single oral care intervention, nurses always used more than one of the products to clean and rinse the mouth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Products</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toothbrush</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foam Swab (toothette)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toothpaste</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouthwash</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorhexidine</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen peroxide</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal saline</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total percent does not equal 100 because multiple products may have been used.

During oral care the mean HOB elevation was 29.4 degrees (SD=12.25). The majority of patients were in a supine position with the head and neck in a neutral position (91%). When the patients were in a right or left side lying position the neck was also turned to the same direction in order to maintain alignment. The mean duration of oral care was 97.45 seconds (SD 55.86). The shortest duration was 24 seconds, the longest 228 seconds (3 minutes, 48 seconds). The most common intensity score was 3 (58%) followed by scores of 2 and 4 (18% each) and 1 and 5 (3% each).

Mean ICP values were calculated for each patient 5 minutes before oral care, during oral care and 5 minutes after oral care or until another intervention was performed, whichever came first. Although nurses were asked to avoid additional nursing interventions during the study period, this was not always possible. One patient was endotracheally suctioned during oral care;
2 patients suctioned immediately following oral care. Because suctioning is known to increase ICP (Gemma et al, 2002; Brucia & Rudy, 1996; Crosby & Parsons, 1992; Kerr et al, 1993) and the purpose of this study was to isolate the effect of oral care, data obtained during and after suctioning were not included in the analysis.

Mean (SD) ICP values were 11.37 (6.39), 12.79 (6.01) and 13.33 (7.35) before, during and after oral care, respectively (Figure 4). In 32 of the 33 observations, patients had a mean baseline normal ICP (<20mmHg) when oral care was initiated. A mixed effect repeated measures ANOVA model indicated that there was a significant difference in ICP values in response to oral care ($p=0.0031$). There was no significant increase in ICP before to during oral care ($p=0.0551$) or during to after oral care ($p=0.4859$). There was a significant mean increase of 2.13mmHg in ICP from before to after oral care ($p=0.0026$). There was no statistically significant difference in CPP before, during and after oral care ($p=0.3529$) Furthermore, there was no clinically significant effect on CPP; no vasoactive medication infusions were adjusted during or after oral care in order to maintain predetermined CPP targets. The least squares mean differences across time points for both ICP and CPP are shown in Table 5.
There were statistically significant differences in heart rate ($p=0.0066$) and diastolic blood pressure ($p=0.0009$) across time points. The mean heart rate increased by 3.34 beats/minute from before to during oral care and 4.13 beats/minute from before to after oral care. The mean diastolic blood pressure increased by 2.91 mmHg from before to during oral care and 3.35 mmHg from before to after oral care. These differences were not clinically significant and did not require intervention. There were no statistically significant differences in systolic blood pressure ($p=0.1594$), respiratory rate ($p=0.4316$), or pulse oximetry ($p=0.4877$) in response to oral care.

Figure 4. Mean ICP Before, During, and After Oral Care
Table 5. LS Mean Differences in ICP and CPP Before, During and After Oral Care

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean Difference (mmHg)</th>
<th>95% CI of mean difference (mmHg)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes before to during</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>-0.02, 2.87</td>
<td>0.0551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During to 5 minutes after</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>-0.76, 2.17</td>
<td>0.4859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes before to 5 minutes after</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.66, 3.59</td>
<td><strong>0.0026</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes before to during</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>-1.03, 4.11</td>
<td>0.3268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During to 5 minutes after</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-2.03, 3.17</td>
<td>0.8576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes before to 5 minutes after</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-1.63, 3.57</td>
<td>0.6455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple linear regression was used to test the relationship between oral care duration and intensity and the change in ICP from before to after oral care. There was no significant relationship found between the ICP change and oral care duration ($p=0.5687$) or ICP change and oral care intensity ($p=0.9154$).

There was no relationship between actigraphy values and subjective intensity ratings ($p=0.6403$). However, patterns in the data were similar to those found in the pilot study and examples are shown in Figure 5.
Figure 5. Examples of nurses’ wrist actigraphy recordings during oral care by observational rating. Actigraphy count is the total for each 1-second epoch.
Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study that isolates oral care as an intervention in neuroscience patients and specifically describes the characteristics of the intervention. In this study, oral care appears to have a small but statistically significant effect on ICP. Fluctuations in ICP are expected during nursing interventions. Tsementzis, Harris and Loizou (1982) found significant elevations in ICP in response to nursing care activities. With exception of elevations noted during endotracheal suctioning, the elevations were small and reversible. Snyder (1983) also found significant increases in ICP in response to nursing activities, likewise noting a larger mean increase during endotracheal suctioning. In both of these studies, oral care was included as a nursing activity but it was not specifically described. Bruya (1981) found that oral care produced a small increase in mean ICP from 15 to 16.11 but oral care was bundled with endotracheal suctioning. Hugo (1987) found overall mean ICP increases of 1-27 mmHg in response to nursing interventions. In this study the interventions were specified and again the largest mean increase was related to suctioning. In response to oral care, Hugo specifically found a mean increase in ICP of 12 mmHg that persisted for 294 seconds (4 minutes, 54 seconds).

Nurse-administered oral care in this study varied widely and did not have a particular pattern. It usually started with a toothbrush or toothette but some patients had their lips suctioned prior to oral care even though there was no liquid present. Once the toothbrush (or toothette) was inserted, the teeth and gums were rubbed with various degrees of vigor. Some nurses moved the endotracheal tube to access the oral cavity while others cleaned around it. When brushing was completed, some nurses rinsed the oral cavity with a toothette soaked in mouthwash, water, peroxide or normal saline or a combination of these products. Some nurses rinsed the mouth
using a saline or mouthwash filled syringe to inject fluid into the oral cavity. Oral suctioning occurred multiple times during the intervention.

The study sample was small and though there was a statistically significant difference in ICP in response to oral care, there were no clinically significance changes in response to oral care; the intervention was generally well tolerated. As previously mentioned, 2 patients did require suctioning during oral care. It is unclear whether oral care evoked coughing either by direct stimulation of a cough or gag reflex or through manipulation of the endotracheal tube. In the one patient with a baseline elevated ICP, all routine nursing interventions were performed at the bedside nurses’ discretion. In this patient there was a mean increase in ICP from before to during oral care of 5mmHg. The ICP continued to rise following oral care with a mean increase from before to after of 16mmHg. Oral care intensity in this patient was 3 and the duration was moderate at 84 seconds; GCS before and after oral care was 8. This was the first time the patient received oral care therefore the nurse was unable to predict the ICP response to this intervention.

In this study, the mean ICP increase during oral care from baseline was 2mmHg. In patients with a normal ICP this increase does not pose a problem. In patients whose ICP is already elevated however, a change of 2mmHg may be clinically relevant.

The findings in this study are similar to those of Prendergast and colleagues (2011) in which the effect of manual and electric toothbrushing on ICP among intubated patients was studied. Our study differed from Prendergast in that we used both observational and actigraphy evaluation of intensity of nurse-administered oral care. We did not control for oral care products or duration whereas the Prendergast study used either a manual or electric tooth brush and the protocol for oral care duration was 2 minutes. In our study, mean values were calculated using data acquired at 12 second epochs 5 minutes before, during and 5 minutes after oral care whereas
Prendergast used the mean ICP and CPP recorded by the bedside nurse 30 minutes before, during, and 30 minutes after oral care. While both studies found small but statistically significant increases in ICP in response to oral care, the differences in design and data collection must be considered when comparing the results of the two studies.

**Conclusion**

ICP monitoring in patients with neurological injuries has been a central focus for neuroscience clinicians for over four decades. ICP monitoring provides valuable information for the treatment of patients with intracranial pathology including traumatic brain injury, subarachnoid hemorrhage, cerebral edema, infection, and mass lesions (Thompson, 2011). ICP values, along with the neurological examination and other physiological measures are useful in predicting outcomes and worsening pathology as well for guiding medical and surgical therapies (Bratton et al., 2007). Nursing care of critically ill neuroscience patients is also based on the ICP and, more specifically, on the nurse’s judgment about the patient’s ICP response to nursing interventions (McNett, et al. 2010). As with all nursing interventions provided to patients with acute neurological injury, the need to perform oral care must be weighed against the risk for causing increased ICP. This study provides evidence that nurse-administered oral care, regardless of intensity and duration, does not adversely effect ICP in the absence of preexisting elevated ICP.
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