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</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFR</td>
<td>Platelet derived growth factor receptor</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<tr>
<td>P-p53</td>
<td>Phosphorylated p53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Picropodophyllin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRb</td>
<td>Phosphorylated retinoblastoma protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN</td>
<td>Phosphatase and tensin homolog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Tyr</td>
<td>Phosphorylated tyrosine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>Reactive oxygen species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPM</td>
<td>Rotations per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS-PAGE</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E.M.</td>
<td>Standard error of mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERM</td>
<td>Selective estrogen receptor modulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH3 domain</td>
<td>Src homology 3 domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHC</td>
<td>Src homology 2 containing domain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siAIF</td>
<td>siRNA targeted for knock-down of AIF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siBcl-2</td>
<td>siRNA targeted for knock-down of Bcl-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siBcl-xl</td>
<td>siRNA targeted for knock-down of Bcl-xl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siCD95</td>
<td>siRNA targeted for knock-down of CD95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siMcl-1</td>
<td>siRNA targeted for knock-down of Mcl-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siRNA</td>
<td>Small-interfering RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>siSCR</td>
<td>control siRNA (&quot;scrambled&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOS</td>
<td>Son of sevenless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Src</td>
<td>v-src sarcoma (Schmidt-Ruppin A-2) viral oncogene homolog (avian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT</td>
<td>signal transducer and activator of transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT3 IP</td>
<td>STAT inhibitory peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-BID</td>
<td>truncated BID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-α</td>
<td>Transforming growth factor-α</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKI</td>
<td>Tyrosine kinase inhibitor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR</td>
<td>Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEH</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>Wild-type HCT 116 colon cancer cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-AD</td>
<td>Lapatinib resistant HCT 116 colon cancer cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-AD10</td>
<td>WT-AD cells cultured in absence of lapatinib for &gt;10 flask passages</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We investigated the mechanism of toxicity and resistance development of small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor lapatinib in HCT 116 colon cancer cells. Lapatinib mediated cell death in HCT 116 cells was caspase independent and involved cytosolic release of apoptosis inducing factor. Treatment of HCT 116 cells with 10µM Lapatinib lead to the outgrowth of lapatinib resistant HCT 116 cells. Our studies show that alterations in the expression and activation of Bcl-2 family proteins allow lapatinib resistant HCT 116 cells to resist cytotoxic effects of lapatinib as well as of other commonly used chemotherapeutic agents.

In hepatoma and pancreatic cancer cells, the effects of combining multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib with histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACIs) namely, vorinostat and sodium
valproate were investigated. It was found that sorafenib synergizes with HDACIs resulting in enhanced cell death compared to death induced by the drugs individually. The mechanism of action of sorafenib and vorinostat combination treatment as well as sorafenib and sodium valproate combined treatment was shown to involve activation of the CD95 death receptor pathway. Alterations in the CD95 pathway can render cancer cells resistant to chemotherapeutic agents. Hence, we combined sorafenib+sodium valproate with a BH-3 domain mimetic named obatoclax (GX-15-070) which resulted in enhanced toxicity to cancer cells. More importantly, knock-down of CD95 (to mimic non-functional CD95 pathway) reduced cell death induced by sorafenib+sodium valproate combined but failed to protect cells from cell death induced by sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax combined. This suggests that combining sorafenib+HDACI with obatoclax may not only enhance toxicity to cancer cells but may also reduce chances of resistance development via alterations in the CD95 pathway. These studies enhance our knowledge of existing treatment strategies for cancer as well as throw light on how current approaches can be improved in order to better diagnose and treat cancer. Understanding mechanisms of drug action as well as resistance development will allow us to combine existing therapies effectively in order best target cancer cells as well as provide us with information that can help us design new cancer treatment strategies.
GENERAL INTRODUCTION

A. Hallmarks of cancer:

Cancer is often defined as a disease involving uncontrolled division of abnormal cells.

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death, with one in eight deaths worldwide resulting due to cancer (www.cancer.org(1)). In the United States, cancer is the second leading cause of death and it is estimated that in 2009, 1500 people will die everyday as a result of cancer (www.cancer.org(2)).

It is thought that a normal cell undergoes a series of changes in its genome that can eventually lead to the generation of an aberrant cancer cell. Hanahan and Weinberg identified six hallmarks of a cancer cell that are shared by most cancers (Hanahan D and Weinberg RA, 2000).

1) No requirement for external growth signals
2) Insensitivity to anti-growth signals
3) Evasion of cell death signaling
4) Infinite potential to replicate
5) Ability to maintain oxygen and nutrient supply via angiogenesis
6) Ability to invade tissue and metastasize

There are a variety of growth factor receptors such as epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR), platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR). Such
receptors and their ligands as well as a variety of transcription factors and cell death regulators are called oncogenes as mutant forms of these proteins or increased expression of these proteins can lead to transformation of a normal cell into a cancerous cell (Croce CM, 2008).

Normal healthy cells have growth factor receptors that are activated upon binding stimulatory growth factors/ligands. Active receptors can mediate downstream signaling that can stimulate cell growth and proliferation. There are no known normal cells that can proliferate in the absence of such stimulatory signals (Hanahan D and Weinberg RA, 2000). However, cancer cells have been known to be able to proliferate independent of such stimulatory signals. For instance, HCT 116 colon cancer cells are highly malignant and are growth factor independent. HCT 116 cells have one mutant allele of KRas with a Gly13Asp mutation. This mutation in KRas has been shown to be linked to up-regulation of epiregulin (a pan-ERBB ligand) since loss of mutant KRas leads to reduction in levels of epiregulin in HCT 116 cells (Yacoub A, et. al. 2006). This mutant KRas mediated up-regulation of epiregulin has been shown to be involved in promoting the tumorigenic potential of HCT 116 cells (Baba I, et. al. 2000). Other studies have shown that upon nutrient deprivation induced growth arrest, growth factor-dependent cells require both nutrients and growth factors to recover from growth arrest, whereas HCT 116 cells require only nutrients to re-start DNA synthesis. This is because HCT 116 cells up-regulate transforming growth factor-alpha (TGF-α) via enhanced transcription which can activate EGFR and downstream pro-survival signaling even under growth arrest conditions. Hence, HCT 116 cells have a TGF-α autocrine loop that can allow constitutive EGFR activation
even under nutrient-deprivation and this allows them to be self sufficient in growth signals (Awwad RA, et. al. 2003). Other cancer cells have also been shown to have increased growth factor/ligand production due to altered gene expression resulting in an autocrine loop and hence aberrant activation of growth factor receptors and downstream signaling (Sizeland AM and Burgess AW. 1992).

Growth factor receptors belonging to the EGFR family are tyrosine kinases and play an important role in cancer progression. They have been known to be over-expressed or mutated such that they are constitutively active in a variety of cancers and this can lead to increased activation of downstream pro-survival signaling resulting in aggressive cancer (Peschard, P. and Park, M. 2003; Sunpaweravong, P. et. al. 2005; Hynes NE and Lane HA. 2005). These downstream pro-survival signaling pathways include the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and the phosphotidyl inositol-3 kinase pathway (PI3K) (Grant S, et. al. 2002).

B. The MAPK pathway:

In order for MAPK signaling to occur downstream of EGFR activation, phosphorylated tyrosine residues on active EGFR are recognised by Src homology 2 domain containing scaffolding protein (SHC) which can hold growth factor receptor bound protein 2 (Grb2) in association with a guanine nucleotide exchange factor called son of sevenless (SOS) via its Src homoly 3 (SH3) domain. SOS assists activation of Ras protein (that is tethered to the cell membrane via farnesylation or geranylgeranylation) by allowing Ras to release guanosine diphosphate (GDP) in exchange for guanosine triphosphate (GTP) (Seabra MC. 1998). There are three types of Ras proteins known to date – Harvey Ras (HRas), Kirsten
Ras (K Ras), Neuroblastoma Ras (NRas) with KRas being the most frequently mutated Ras isoform in cancer (Downward J. 2003). Active Ras is thought to recruit Raf protein, a serine/threonine kinase also called a MAPkinase kinase kinase, to the cell surface. There are three types of Raf proteins known to exist namely, c-Raf-1, B-Raf and A-Raf with B-Raf being the most commonly mutated form (Sridhar SS, et. al. 2005; Davies H, et. al. 2002). Raf proteins exist in an inactive state, phosphorylated on certain residues and also bound to 14-3-3 protein (Sridhar SS, et. al. 2005). The mechanism involving Raf activation is not completely understood but it is thought that Ras-GTP is responsible for bringing Raf protein to the cell surface and interacting with it to alter its conformation assisting in Raf activation (Chong H, et. al. 2003). Some studies show that phosphatase enzymes such as protein phosphatase 2 (PP2) are known to dephosphorylate Raf on certain residues and allow it to be further phosphorylated on different residues by a Src family kinase rendering Raf in an active state (Jaumot M and Hancock JF. 2001; Alavi A, et. al. 2003). Active Raf can phosphorylate and activate mitogen-activated /extracellular-regulated protein kinase (MEK), also called MAPkinase kinase, which is a dual specificity kinase that can phosphorylate serine/threonine or tyrosine residues (Kyriakis JM, et. al. 1992; Dent P, et. al. 1992). Active MEK1/2 can activate MAPK called extracellular signal regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) which is a serine/threonine kinase (Wu J, et. al. 1992). ERK1/2 can activate various proteins such as Fos, Myc and Cyclin D-1 that can result in cells entering the cell cycle (Birtwistle MR, et. al. 2007). ERK can phosphorylate and activate p90 ribosomal S6 kinase or p90rsk which can phosphorylate transcription factors involved in cell proliferation (Amorino GP, et. al. 2002). ERK is also known to translocate into the nucleus
where it can phosphorylate and activate a variety of other proteins such as Elk-1, STAT proteins and the estrogen receptor (Whitmarsh AJ and Davis RJ. 1996; Adachi M, et. al. 2000). ERK can phosphorylate and inhibit activation of caspase 9 and pro-apoptotic protein BAD (Allan LA, et. al. 2003; Scheid MP, et. al. 1999). The MAPK pathway can be aberrantly activated in cancer due to alterations in the upstream receptors (Nair PN, et. al. 2001) or due to mutations in upstream Ras or Raf proteins rendering them constitutively active (Bakin RE, et. al. 2003; Pfister S, et. al. 2008).
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Figure 1: The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and the phosphotidyl inositol-3 kinase (PI3K) pathway
C. The p38 and JNK pathways:

There are other MAP kinases such as p38 and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) (Davis RJ. 2000). Four isoforms of p38 namely, $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and $\delta$ and three JNK proteins namely JNK 1, 2 and 3 are known to date (Alonso G, et. al. 2000; Waetzig V and Herdegen T. 2005). Upon growth factor receptor activation, exposure to UV radiation, cytokines or mitogens, Ras and Rho family GTPases can lead to p38 and or JNK activation downstream (Johnson GL and Nakamura K. 2007). MEK 3 and MEK 6 can activate p38, MEK4 has been known to activate p38 and JNK where as MEK 7 solely activates JNK (Johnson GL and Nakamura K. 2007). Depending on cell type and stimulus, p38 and JNK signaling can promote cell growth and survival or it can enhance cell death signaling (Lamb JA, et. al. 2003; Thorton TM and Rincon M. 2009). For instance, depending on cell type and stimulus, p38 activation can influence either the progression of cell cycle transition by phosphorylation of the retinoblastoma protein (pRb) or can lead to phosphorylation of the tumor suppressor p53 (which is often called “guardian of the genome” and is discussed in detail later) which can result in cell cycle arrest (Hoozemans JJ, et. al. 2004; Bulavin DV, et. al. 1999). p38 activation has also shown to lead to mitogen-activated protein kinase-activated protein kinase 2 activation which can in turn activate HSP27. This pathway has been shown to be involved in cellular invasion in prostate cancer (Xu L, et. al. 2006).

It is thought that transient activation of JNK can mediate cell survival but prolonged activation of JNK can lead to cell death (Chen YR, et. al. 1996). Also, studies from our laboratory have shown that JNK isoforms may have variable functions. Treatment of hepatocytes with deoxycholic acid lead to activation of JNK1/2 but it was found that under
this treatment condition, JNK1 signaling was cytotoxic whereas JNK2 signaling was cytoprotective (Qiao L, et. al. 2003).

Activated JNK can translocate to the mitochondria where it has been known to be involved in the release of cytochrome c into the cytosol which is associated with activation of cell death pathways (Tournier C, et. al. 2000). Upon activation, JNK can also translocate to the nucleus and transactivate a variety of proteins including c-Jun, other transcription factors and can also promote apoptosis by increase the expression of pro-apoptotic genes (Dhanasekaran DN and Reddy EP). Reports show that in unstressed cells, JNK can lead to p53 ubiquitination and degradation (Fuchs SY, et. al. 1998). However, under certain stressful situations (such as upon DNA damage), JNK can phosphorylate and stabilize p53, preventing its degradation, and allowing p53 to initiate cell cycle arrest or cell death pathways (Buschmann T, et. al. 2001). Active JNK can phosphorylate pro-apoptotic protein BAD and allow this protein to engage in apoptotic signaling (Donovan N, et. al. 2002).

The role of JNK in cell death pathways is consistent with JNK having a tumor suppressive role (Kennedy NJ and Davis RJ. 2003). However, other studies show that JNK has a preferential role in proliferation since JNK deficient mice were resistant to the induction of papillomas (Chen N., et. al. 2001). Secondly, it has been shown that mice harboring prostate cancer cells when treated with antisense JNK1 and JNK2 inhibited tumor growth and lead to significant tumor regression (Yang YM, et. al. 2003). Other reports show that JNK signaling is involved in the malignant transformation of osteoblasts and in the progression of osteosarcomas (Papachristou DJ, et. al. 2003). Hence, JNK is often thought to have a pro-oncogenic role.
Figure 2: p38 and JNK signaling pathways.
D. The PI3K pathway:

The PI3K pathway can also mediate pro-survival signaling. PI3K is a dimer consisting of a p85 regulatory subunit and a p110 catalytic subunit (Cantley LC. 2002). Activation of receptor tyrosine kinases can recruit PI3K directly or via adaptor molecules (SHC-GRb2-SOS complex) (Cantley LC. 2002). PI3K can then phosphorylate phosphotidylinositol bisphosphate (PIP2) to generate phosphotidylinositol trisphosphate (PIP3). PIP3 in turn can recruit signaling proteins with pleckstrin homology domain (PH domain) such as serine threonine kinases phosphoinositol-dependent kinase-1 (PDK-1) and Akt (also called protein kinase B) to the membrane (Luo J, et.al. 2003). This brings Akt and PDK1 in close proximity allowing them to interact resulting in PDK1 mediated Akt phosphorylation and activation (Lawlor MA and Alessi DR. 2001). Active Akt can then regulate a variety of proteins that control cell proliferation, survival, growth and other processes. For instance, Akt can inhibit the function of death-inducing proteins such as BAD and p53 and it can regulate cell cycle by stabilizing cyclin-D (Luo J, et.al. 2003). There are three known mammalian isoforms of Akt namely, Akt 1, 2 and 3 that are generated from distinct genes (Franke TF. 2008). Reports suggest that these isoforms of Akt have diverse functions (Bae SS, et. al. 2003; Jiang ZY, et. al. 2003). PTEN, a tumor suppressor and a negative regulator of the PI3K-Akt pathway can dephosphorylate PIP3 and convert it back to PIP2 (Cantley LC and Neel BG. 1999). Certain cancers have been shown to have mutant PTEN such that it can no longer inhibit the PI3K pathway and thereby allow constant activation of this pro-survival pathway (Maehama T and Dixon JE. 1999). Other oncogenic changes that can lead to hyperactivity of the PI3K-Akt pathway are alterations in growth factor
receptors and Ras which are both upstream of Akt. Hyperactivity of the Akt pathway is regarded as a negative prognostic marker for disease outcome in cancer patients (LoPiccolo J, et. al. 2008). A mutation in the PH domain of Akt1 has also been associated with increased plasma membrane recruitment and activation of the kinase and its downstream pathways (Bleeker FE, et. al. 2008). Hence, the PI3K/Akt pathway has been a prime target in developing therapies to treat cancer.

E. The tumor suppressor p53:

As mentioned previously, p53 is often referred to as the “guardian of the genome”. Murine double minute 2 or MDM2 (HDM2 in humans) protein maintains p53 at low levels in normal, unstressed cells by ubiqutinating p53 and targeting it for degradation by the proteasome (Kubbuttat MH, et. al. 1997). Post translational modifications of p53 such as acetylation, phosphorylation and methylation also play a role in stabilizing and activating p53 under stressful situations (Moll UM and Petrenko O. 2003). Several stimuli such as hypoxia and DNA damage can activate enzymes such as ATM and ATR that can phosphorylate p53 thereby increasing its stability and transcriptional ability (Graeber TG, et. al. 1994; Siliciano JD, et. al. 1997). These enzymes can also rapidly increase p53 levels via phosphorylation of MDM2 that prevents it from interacting with p53 (Maya R, et. al. 2001; Shinozaki T, et. al. 2003). p53 activation can in turn lead to increase in ARF protein levels which can interact with MDM2 and inhibit its p53-ubiquitin ligase activity thereby allowing p53 to stabilize (Palmero I, et. al. 1998). On the other hand, p53 activation can increase transcription of the gene encoding for MDM2 which will eventually lead to inhibiton of p53 forming a negative feedback loop (Wagner J, et. al. 2005). In several
cancers with wild type p53, the MDM2 gene has been shown to be amplified (Oliner JD, et. al. 1992). Hence, in spite of bearing wild-type p53, the amplified MDM2 expression may prevent appropriate activation of p53 upon toxic insult to these cells thereby allowing them to evade cell death. In certain cancers, mutations or epigenetic alterations in the gene encoding for ARF have been noted which could also result in lack of appropriate p53 response to prevent unwarranted cell growth and proliferation (Saporita AJ, et. al. 2007). Above mentioned alterations in MDM2 and ARF function can prevent appropriate p53 activation thereby by assisting cancer cells in evading cell death pathways.

Failure to arrest cell cycle progression can allow a cell with damaged DNA to replicate. p53 is known to regulate cell cycle check points in order to maintain the genetic stability of cells. Active p53 is known to mediate cell cycle arrest by inducing the transcription of p21 which is a cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor (Miyashita T and Reed JC. 1995). Once active, p53 is also known to lead to the transcription of a variety of genes that encode proteins involved in cell death pathways such as BAX, Fas, Noxa, Puma, Caspase 1 and 6, Apaf-1, etc. These genes are expressed to similar levels during p53 mediated G1 arrest and apoptosis (Attardi LD, et. al. 2000). Depending on cell type and stimuli, activation of p53 can result in not only apoptosis or cell cycle arrest but also in induction of differentiation or senescence (Vousden KH and Lu X. 2002). p53 mediated effects can also occur independent of its transcription activity. In the presence of stress, p53 is thought to initiate cell death pathways by translocating to mitochondria resulting in loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and activation of the caspase cascade (Marchenko ND, et.al. 2000; Nemajerova A, et.al. 2005). Reports suggest that mitochondrial migration of p53 has a
more important role to play in p53 mediated cell death than cell cycle arrest. Studies show that translocation of p53 to the mitochondria after exposure to stress occurs in cells that undergo cell death but not in cells that undergo cell cycle arrest (Marchenko ND, *et al.* 2000; Erster S, *et. al.* 2004). About 50% of cancers lack p53 protein expression, bear mutant or inactive p53 (Toledo F and Wahl GM. 2006). Mutation in p53 in germ line cells has also been implicated in Li-Fraumeni syndrome making patients prone to a variety of cancers (Senzer N, *et. al.* 2007). Mutant p53 can play an important role in the transformation of normal cells to cancerous cells and it is also known that tumors bearing wild type p53 are more sensitive to chemotherapy than tumors bearing mutant p53 (Wang W and El-Deiry WS. 2008). Most p53 mutations in cancer cells are missense mutations that occur in its DNA binding domain, precluding p53 from binding to its response elements on DNA and therefore preventing transcription of p53 target genes (Strano S, *et. al.* 2007; Hussain SP and Harris CC. 1998; Prives C and Hall PA. 1999). p53 bears a tetramerization domain and upon activation, this domain allows p53 proteins to tetramerize which is essential for p53 function, enabling p53 to bind DNA and transcribe genes. In cancer cells, mutations have been noted in the tetramerization domain of p53 that can prevent wild type p53 function by inhibiting p53 tetramer formation (Chène P. 2001).

Mutations in tumor suppressor genes are recessive in nature and hence, in order for a cell to become cancerous, both alleles of the tumor suppressor gene need to be mutated. This theory is called “Knudson’s two hit hypothesis” and was suggested by Dr. Alfred Knudson in 1971 (Knudson A. 1971). p53 is an exception to this rule since mutant p53 can exert a “dominant negative” effect such that mutant p53 can inhibit the function of wild type p53
generated from the un-mutated allele by forming non-functional p53 tetramers that can not transcribe genes successfully (Blagosklonny MV. 2000).

Studies have also shown that certain cancer cells have p53 protein with gain of function mutations that allow mutant p53 to exert functions that the wild type protein can not. These mutants may not only behave as dominant negative mutants to inhibit wild type p53 function but also provide a growth and survival advantage to the cancer cell as they have been implicated in increasing expression of genes such as the multi drug resistance gene which can prove to be very beneficial for cancer cells by allowing them to pump out toxic drugs from their systems (van Oijen M and Slootweg PJ. 2000; Chin KV, et. al. 1992).

Cancers bearing a gain of function mutation in p53 indicate poorer prognosis than cancers with no p53 protein at all (Dittmer D, et. al. 1993).

F. Cell death pathways:

As discussed previously, the presence of cellular stress can lead to activation of cell death pathways. There are various types of cell death pathways such as autophagy, apoptosis and necrosis. Attempts have been made to reach a consensus on the criteria used to differentiate between these forms of death but have been unsuccessful partially due to overlaps in characteristics observed in these form of cell death (Krantic S, et. al. 2007).

Autophagy (derived from Greek, “auto” meaning self and “phagy” meaning to eat) is a form of programmed cell death (Yorimitsu T and Klionsky DJ. 2005; Clarke PG. 1990). Autophagy has a role in protein degradation and cellular turnover and is characterized by sequestration of the cytosol and organelles in a multi-membrane vesicle which is then
degraded by the lysosomal system (Gozuacik D, Kimchi A. 2004). Autophagy usually occurs at a low basal level and is often upregulated during events such as starvation when the nutrient demands of a cell are not met (Kourtis N and Tavernarakis N. 2009). In such situations, autophagy can allow degradation of cytoplasmic components of a cell, thereby providing the nutrient deprived cell with substrates for energy metabolism and protein synthesis in order to survive. However, there is an ongoing debate regarding the role of autophagy since it has not only been observed to be involved in survival processes but it has also been known to cause cell death (Levine B and Klionsky DJ. 2004; Shintani T and Klionsky DJ. 2004; Wang CW and Klionsky DJ. 2003; Bursch W. 2004).

Apoptosis is a type of programmed cell death and cells undergoing apoptosis have a very characteristic morphology that includes membrane blebbing, cell shrinkage, chromatin condensation, nuclear and DNA fragmentation (Savill J, et. al. 2003). Necrosis is an un-programmed form of cell death that occurs due to external insults on a cell or due to non-physiological attacks such as ischemia, hypothermia or hypoxia (de Bruin EC and Medema JP. 2008). Necrosis is characterized by loss of membrane integrity, swelling of mitochondria and the cell itself resulting in cell lysis (de Bruin EC and Medema JP. 2008). It is generally accepted that necrosis is a process that does not require energy where as apoptosis is an ATP-dependent process (Leist M, et. al. 1997). Another difference between apoptosis and necrosis is that apoptosis occurs in individual cells whereas necrosis occurs in contiguous cells and also generates an immune response which is absent in apoptotic cell death (Vakkila J and Lotze MT. 2004).
Extrinsic and intrinsic death pathways are apoptotic cascades that can be activated by several chemotherapeutic agents. The extrinsic cell death pathway involves activation of death receptors that are members of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily, known to regulate cell death and survival (Ashkenazi A. 2002). One of the best characterized death receptor is CD95, also called Fas or APO-1 receptor, which binds to CD95/Fas ligand (CD95L/FasL) (Walczak H and Krammer PH. 2000). Upon ligand binding, CD95 death receptors can trimerizes and recruit adaptor molecules such as the Fas associated death domain (FADD) (Walczak H and Krammer PH. 2000). FADD can then recruit pro-caspase 8 or pro-caspase 10 to the death receptor which leads to the formation of the death inducing signaling complex or DISC (Kischkel FC, et. al. 1995). Caspases are cystein proteases, synthesized as pro-enzymes and cleaved next to aspartate residues for activation after which they can act as death effector molecules for several cell death pathways (Degterev A, et. al. 2003). Once recruited by FADD to the death receptors, pro-caspases can become activated by undergoing cleavage and can further activate downstream caspases resulting in amplification of the caspase cascade (Degterev A, et. al. 2003; Scaffidi C, et. al. 1998). Once caspases are activated, they can lead to cleavage of various cytosolic and nuclear substrates such as cytoskeletal proteins and nuclear lamins that can lead to loss of cell shape and result in shrinking of the nucleus, respectively (Degterev A, et. al. 2003). Active caspases can also cleave the inhibitor of caspase activated DNAse (ICAD) leading to polynucleosomal DNA fragmentation resulting in the characteristic oligomeric DNA fragments seen upon caspase activation (Nagata S. 2000). Similar to various other signaling pathways, the extrinsic cell death pathway also can be negatively
regulated by FLIP proteins. There are several splice variants of FLIP known to exist at the mRNA levels but only three isoforms of FLIP protein namely, c-FLIP-s, c-FLIP-r and c-FLIP-l have been identified (Djerbi M, et. al. 2001; Rasper DM, et. al. 1998; Golks A, et. al. 2005). Studies have suggested similar roles of c-FLIP-s and c-FLIP-r in death receptor mediated apoptosis (Golks A, et. al. 2005). c-FLIP-s plays an anti-apoptotic role as it can inhibit the activation of pro-caspase 8 and there by inhibit CD95 induced cell death (Golks A, et. al. 2005). These FLIP proteins have sequence homology to caspase 8 but lack their catalytic domain and hence when FLIP is recruited to activated death receptors, it can block activation of the caspase cascade and the cell death cascade (Krueger A, et. al. 2001). However, the role of c-FLIP-l in the activation of CD95 pathway remains controversial. Some studies suggest the c-FLIP-l is an anti-apoptotic molecule where as other studies ascribe pro-apoptotic functions to c-FLIP-l referring to its assistance in pro-caspase 8 activation (Chang DW, et. al. 2003; Micheau O, et. al. 2002).

Death receptors play an important role in immunity. T-cells can regulate the immune system via production of CD95L which can trigger cell death in neighboring target cells such as lymphocytes by activating the CD95 receptors in these cells (Krammer PH. 2000). However, if the T-cells secrete CD95L resulting in soluble CD95L, this can activate CD95 receptors on their own cell membrane and can lead to their death (Klas C, et. al. 1993). Reports suggest that some cancers express CD95L but lose CD95 receptor expression. This can allow them to evade attack from host immune cells by killing tumor-attacking T-cells via activation of the CD95 receptors on these cells (Muschen M, et. al. 2000). Studies have shown that certain cancer cells that do express CD95 receptors can also evade attacks from
host immune cells by expressing soluble decoy receptor 3 (DcR3), known to compete with
CD95 receptor for CD95L, thereby interfering with CD95 mediated cell death activation in
cancer cells (Roth W, et. al. 2001). Down-regulation or absence of surface CD95 receptor
expression in cancer cells can occur via epigenetic changes such as hypermethylation of
gene promoters and can play an important role is mediating resistance to chemotherapeutic
agents that require CD95 receptors to induce their toxic effects (van Noesel MM, et. al.
2002; Friesen C, et. al. 1997). It has been suggested that the CD95 death receptor system
has a tumor suppressive function due to the occurrence of CD95 receptor mutations in
cancers (Fulda S and Debatin KM. 2006). Elevated FLIP expression has also been found in
cancer cells that can render them resistant to chemotherapeutic agents requiring activation
of the extrinsic cell death pathway to mediate their toxic effects (Longley DB, et. al. 2006).

Activation of the intrinsic cell death pathway depends on the balance between pro-death
and pro-survival Bcl-2 family proteins. The Bcl-2 family proteins have upto four Bcl-2
homology (BH) domains (BH1, BH2, BH3 or BH4) (Yip KW and Reed JC. 2008). The
pro-survival Bcl-2 proteins (such as Bcl-xl, Mcl-1, Bcl-2, etc.) have all four BH domains
where as the pro-death members can be further divided into two classes: the “multi-
domain” proteins that have BH1, BH2 and BH3 domains (such as Bax, Bak and Bok) and
the “BH3 only proteins” that, as the name suggests, bear only the BH3 domain (such as
Bid, Bim, Bad, Puma, Noxa) (Yip KW and Reed JC. 2008; Reed JC. 2006; Strasser A.
2005). Bcl-2 family members are known to interact with each other via the BH3 domain
(Chittenden T, et. al. 1995).
The “BH3 only” proteins can interfere with the actions of pro-survival Bcl-2 family proteins and on the other hand, can also interact with Bak and Bax leading to their activation (A. Letai et. al. 2002). Studies suggest that oligomerization of pro-death members of the Bcl-2 family such as Bak and Bax can activate the intrinsic cell death pathway by forming pores in the outer mitochondrial membrane leading to mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) (Chipuk JE and Green DR. 2008; Green DR and Kroemer G. 2004). Cells obtained from mice that do not express Bak and Bax fail to undergo cell death in response to insults that would typically lead to death in cells that express these proteins (Wei MC, et. al. 2001). Loss of mitochondrial membrane potential and MOMP can lead to release of various proteins from the inter-membrane space of the mitochondria that can induce cell death. These include proteins that can activate caspases (e.g. cytochrome c), proteins that can interfere with the function of caspase inhibitors (e.g. SMAC and Omi/Htra2 known to interfere with inhibitors of apoptosis or IAPs) and also proteins that are thought to cause cell death independent of caspase activation (e.g. apoptosis inducing factor and endonuclease G that can make their way to the nucleus where they can lead to DNA degradation) (Penninger JM and Kroemer G. 2003; Reed JC. 2002). Cytochrome c release from the mitochondria can lead to caspase activation via formation of the apoptosome which consists of cytochrome c, apoptotic protease activating factor 1 (Apaf-1) and pro-caspase 9 (Cain K, et. al. 2000). Binding of cytochrome c to Apaf-1 is thought to facilitate ATP binding to the apoptosome which then allows for caspase 9 activation (Adrain C, et. al. 1999). Active caspase 9 can lead to activation of various other caspases including caspase 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 and 10 (Guerrero AD, et. al. 2008). It
is important to note that the extrinsic and intrinsic cell death pathways can crosstalk. As mentioned earlier, activated death receptors can lead to caspase 8 activation. Active caspase 8 can cleave Bid (a “BH3 only” protein) which can translocate to the mitochondria and interfere with the pro-survival functions of Bcl-xL and is also thought to play a role in assisting in oligomerization of Bak and/or Bax that leads to pore formation in the mitochondrial membrane and MOMP (Gross A, et. al. 1999; Korsmeyer SJ, et. al. 2000). Disruption of the intrinsic cell death pathway has been observed in cancer. For instance, over-expression of pro-survival Bcl-2 family proteins can promote oncogenesis (Tsujimoto Y, et. al. 1984; Fulda S and Debatin KM. 2006). Defects in the expression of pro-death Bcl-2 family members can also occur in cancer cells. Homozygous deletions and inactivating mutations have been identified in genes encoding for pro-death Bcl-2 family proteins in cancer cells which can prevent activation of cell death pathways and hence prove beneficial for cancer cell survival (Meijerink JP, et. al. 1998).

G. Chemotherapeutic agents:

The above mentioned pathways and proteins can play an important role in tumor development and progression. Hence, drugs have been developed to target them in order to treat cancer. As mentioned previously, receptor tyrosine kinases such as EGFR have been implicated in cancer progression as they have been found to be mutated such that they are constitutively activated or over-expressed in cancer (Peschard, P. and Park, M. 2003; Sunpaweravong P, et. al. 2005). Hence, several drugs have been developed to target these kinases. The development of small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) targeting EGFR family members was based on the observation that mutations in the ATP-binding
site affected receptor kinase function severely (Honegger AM, et. al. 1987). TKIs prevent ATP binding on the internal kinase domain of EGFR therefore preventing phosphorylation of key tyrosine residues which is an essential step in receptor activation (Imai K and Takaoka A. 2006). Gefitinib and erlotinib are two TKIs that inhibit ERBB1 receptors (Janne PA. 2008). Lapatinib was developed to target both ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors of the EGFR family and targeting two tyrosine kinases is advantageous as it can be used to treat cancers that over-express ERBB1 and/or ERBB2 and also reduces the chances of resistance development in cancer cells (Yarden Y and Sliwkowski MX. 2001). Several monoclonal antibodies have been developed that recognize and bind to the extracellular domain of receptor tyrosine kinases thereby preventing ligand binding and downstream signaling (Rowinsky EK. 2004). Binding of monoclonal antibodies to receptors can induces dimerization and internalization of the receptor, eventually leading to receptor degradation and downregulation (Mendelsohn J. 2002). Herceptin/Trastuzumab is a monoclonal antibody that inhibits ERBB2 activation and has proven to be quite successful in treating breast cancers that frequently over-express ERBB2 receptors (Bange J, et. al. 2001).

In solid tumors, hypoxia can induce the release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) by tumor cells and by regions around the tumor called stroma (Das B, et. al. 2005). VEGF can bind to its receptors (that are also tyrosine kinases) and can stimulate angiogenesis (Parikh AA and Ellis LM. 2004). Hence, VEGF inhibitors were developed to inhibit the remodelling of the network of blood vessels required by certain solid tumors for growth and survival. Some VEGFR inhibitors are: semaxinib, vatalinib and sutent.
Platelet derived growth factor receptors (PDGFR) are also receptor tyrosine kinases that have been implicated in cancer progression as they can mediate downstream pathways that can regulate cellular growth and proliferation (Sedlacek HH. 2000). PDGFR has been implicated in cellular transformation and has been found to be over-expressed in a variety of cancer cells (Sedlacek HH. 2000). Leflunomide is a PDGFR inhibitor that was developed to inhibit PDGFR mediated signalling in cancer cells (Shawver LK, *et al.* 1997). As mentioned previously, alterations in Ras oncogene have been implicated in cancer progression (Downward J. 2003). Ras is tethered to cell membranes via farnesylation or geranylgeranylation (Seabra MC. 1998). Hence, inhibitors of farnesyl transferase (e.g. FTI-277) and geranylgeranyl transferase-1 (e.g. GGTI-298) have been developed to prevent the prenylation of Ras protein which is required for its activity (Der CJ and Cox AD. 1991). Active Raf, which is downstream of Ras, can mediate pro-survival signaling and has been frequently observed to be mutated in cancer cells (Davies H, *et al.* 2002). Hence Raf kinase inhibitors such as sorafenib have been developed. However, it is now known that sorafenib can inhibit Raf as well as a variety of other kinases including VEGFR and PDGFR (Strumberg D, *et al.* 2005).

In tumor cells, over-expression of MDM2 can inhibit wild-type p53 activation protecting cancer cells from toxic effects of chemotherapeutic agents (Oliner JD, *et al.* 1992). Hence, drugs such as Nutlins have been developed that can mimic p53 and thereby bind MDM2 (Vassilev LT. 2005). Nutlins can therefore inhibit p53-MDM2 interaction and allowing appropriate activation of the p53 pathway in cancer cells leading to cell cycle arrest, cell
death and also growth inhibition of tumor cells in human tumor xenograft models
(Vassilev LT, et. al. 2004).

Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACI) are another family of chemotherapeutic agents that are thought to inhibit tumor survival and growth by regulating expression of several genes involved in proliferation and/or apoptosis (Peart MJ, et. al. 2005). Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACI) represent a class of agents that act by blocking histone deacetylation, thereby modifying chromatin structure and gene transcription. HDAC inhibitors (HDACIs) promote histone acetylation and neutralization of positively charged lysine residues on histone tails, allowing chromatin to assume a more open conformation, which favors transcription (Gregory PD, et. al. 2001). However, HDACIs are known to promote acetylation of non-histone proteins as well (Dasmahapatra G, et. al. 2007; Marks PA, et. al. 2003; Bali P, et. al. 2005; Kwon SH, et. al. 2002). Most HDACIs are thought to function by binding to the zinc atom in the HDAC catalytic site thereby inhibiting the enzyme’s activity (Richon VM. 2006; Taddei A, et. al. 2005). HDACI such as vorinostat and sodium valproate are known to cause up-regulation of pro-apoptotic genes, down-regulation of anti-apoptotic genes, growth arrest, differentiation as well as cell death in a variety of cancer cells (Mitsiades CS, et. al. 2004; Richon VM, et. al. 1998; Kuendgen A, et. al. 2007).

Certain cancer cells have been shown to be highly dependent on over-expression of pro-survival Bcl-2 family proteins in order to evade death signals induced by chemotherapeutic agents (Tsujimoto Y, et. al. 1984). Hence, BH-3 mimetics were developed that mimic the BH-3 domain of the pro-death Bcl-2 family members and interact with pro-survival Bcl-2
members there by inhibiting their function (Zhang L, *et. al.* 2007). One such agent is ABT-737 that has a high affinity for binding Bcl-xl, Bcl-2 and Bcl-w but since it is unable to effectively inhibit Mcl-1, several cancer cells have been shown to be resistant to this agent (van Delft MF, *et. al.* 2006). Another BH-3 mimetic is -(-) Gossypol that is known to inhibit Bcl-2, Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 and is currently in Phase II clinical trials for treatment of prostate cancer (Meng Y, *et. al.* 2008). Gossypol can induce a conformational change in Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl proteins thereby converting their pro-survival function to pro-death resulting in cytochrome c release from the mitochondria that can induce cell death pathways (Lei X, *et. al.* 2006). Obatoclax is another such BH-3 mimetic that is currently in Phase II clinical trials being investigated both as a single agent and in combination with other drugs (www.geminx.com).

Hence, new molecular targets are being discovered and novel drugs as well as drug combinations are being identified that may enhance our ability to treat as well as prevent resistance development in cancer.
A. Introduction:

The EGFR family consists of four members, namely ERBB1, ERBB2, ERBB3 and ERBB4 (Olayioye, et. al. 2000; Yarden Y and Sliwkowski MX. 2001). These receptors are present on the cell surface as monomers and upon ligand binding, can homo- or heterodimerize thereby increasing their affinity for ATP binding which allows autophosphorylation of specific tyrosine residues on the cytosolic domain of the receptors that serve as docking sites for molecules which can mediate downstream intracellular signaling (Hynes NE and Lane HA. 2005; Lin NU and Winer EP. 2004; Nelson MH and Dolder CR. 2006). A variety of cancers have been shown to have deregulated EGFR signaling via various mechanisms such as constitutive receptor activation, impaired receptor down-regulation and increased receptor stimulation via an autocrine loop leading to constitutive downstream pro-survival signaling resulting in very aggressive tumors (Peschard, P. and Park, M. 2003; Sizeland AM and Burgess AW. 1992; Sunpaweravong P, et. al. 2005; Salomon, DS, et. al. 1995; Hynes NE and Lane HA. 2005). Hence, studies have been performed to identify mechanisms via which ERBB receptors and their downstream signaling pathways can be inhibited in such tumor cells. Current strategies aimed at inhibiting receptor activation include (i) monoclonal antibodies that prevent ligand binding to the receptors (example: cetuximab), (ii) quinazoline-derived small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) that prevent ATP binding in the kinase domain of the receptors thereby inhibiting receptor kinase activity required to activate downstream
signaling (example: lapatinib, erlotinib) and (iii) dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors (CD533 and CD572, respectively) that have truncated C-terminal kinase domains, hence forming non-functional dimers with wild type receptors (Imai K and Takaoka A. 2006; Schmidt-Ullrich RK, et. al. 2003).

Lapatinib is a reversible small molecule TKI developed by GlaxoSmithKline that can efficiently inhibit ERBB1 and ERBB2 activation. IC$_{50}$ values for purified ERBB1 and ERBB2 inhibition via lapatinib are 10.2 and 9.8 nM, respectively (Wood ER, et. al. 2004; Rusnak DW, et. al. 2001). Several studies show lapatinib to be a promising therapeutic agent for treating cancer. Lapatinib (Tykerb) was recently approved by the Food and Drug Administration to be used in combination with capecitabine to treat patients with advanced or metastatic breast cancer that over-express ERBB2 and have been previously treated with other drugs (National Cancer Institute). Lapatinib has been studied in herceptin/trastuzumab resistant breast cancer lines and has been shown to induce apoptosis in such cells by inhibiting downstream pro-survival signaling mediated by ERBB1, ERBB2 and also insulin like growth factor –1 receptor (IGF-1R) (Nahta R, et. al. 2007).

Bcl-2 is an anti-apoptotic protein that has been shown to be over-expressed in certain cancer cells and associated with drug resistance by allowing cells to evade death signals (Reed JC, et. al. 1996; McDonnell TJ, et. al. 1992). Combination studies involving lapatinib and Bcl-2 inhibitors in certain cancer cells show synergistic anti-tumor effects (Witters LM, et. al. 2007). Lapatinib is >300 fold selective for ERBB1 and ERBB2 inhibition compared to its ability to inhibit other kinases commonly found in a cell (Rusnak DW, et. al. 2001). This high selectivity may prevent undesirable effects arising
from non-specific inhibition of other molecules by lapatinib. Lapatinib is a particularly efficacious anti-cancer agent because it can inhibit two ERBB receptors simultaneously. This may allow lapatinib to be used to treat a wide variety of cancers that may depend on ERBB1 and/or ERBB2 signaling and also reduce the chances of resistance development in cancer cells.

Usually, cancer patients treated with chemotherapeutic agents respond well initially resulting in the reduction of tumor size and death of cancer cells. However, months or years later, the cancer can reappear as an aggressive and therapeutically refractory malignancy that may be cross-resistant to many other therapeutic drug treatments, making such refractory cancers very difficult to manage (Kobayashi S, et. al. 2005). There are several ways in which a cancer cell can become resistant to chemotherapeutic agents. Resistance to trastuzumab has been suggested to be mediated via the insulin like growth factor –1 receptor (IGF-1R) signaling that can activate downstream pro-survival pathways (Lu Y, et. al. 2001; Camirand A, et. al. 2002). Src (a tyrosine kinase) and estrogen receptor have also been implicated in mediating resistance to TKIs in certain cancer cells by activating pro-survival signaling pathways (Qin B, et. al. 2006; Xia W, et. al. 2006).

Resistance to ERBB targeted drugs can also occur due to mutations in ERBB receptors resulting in the inability of drug to inhibit receptor activation (Pao W, et. al. 2005; Sok JC, et. al. 2006). Multi-drug resistance pumps may also be involved in drug resistance by pumping out toxic drugs from cancer cells (Szakacs G, et. al. 2006). As mentioned previously, studies have also shown that certain cancer cells over-express anti-apoptotic molecules belonging to the Bcl-2 family which can protect these cells from cyto-toxic
effects of drugs by allowing them to evade cell death signals and hence render them resistant to chemotherapeutic agents (Raffo AJ, et. al. 1995).

Constitutive activity of the transcription factor nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) has also been implicated in drug resistance (Herrmann JL, et. al. 1997; Sumitomo M, et. al. 1999). Inhibitor of κB (IκB) usually sequesters NF-κB in the cytosol. IκB Kinase (IKK) can phosphorylate IκB leading to its degradation. This releases NF-κB which can then translocate to the nucleus where it can initiate transcription of genes involved in survival (Baldwin AS. 2001). In certain cancer cells, constitutive NF-κB activation due to defective IκB activity or persistent IKK activity, allows constant transcription of genes involved in cellular growth (Cabannes E, et. al. 1999; Kordes U, et. al. 2000).

Resistance to the effects of lapatinib has been demonstrated in BT474 breast cancer cells and was reported to occur via estrogen receptor signaling (Xia W, et. al. 2006). As noted by the authors, a combination of lapatinib and estrogen receptor inhibitors may decrease the probability of development of refractory cancers and therefore enhance the chances of patient survival. Lapatinib has not been approved for the treatment of colon cancer yet, although its potential effectiveness has been reported. Various colon cancer cell lines have been reported to express ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors, including HCT116 cells and lapatinib has been shown to cause inhibition of cell proliferation and apoptosis in vitro and in vivo in cancer cells of this origin (Cunningham MP, et. al. 2006; Zhou Y, et. al. 2006).

We have generated lapatinib resistant HCT 116 colon cancer cells and the aim of this project was to understand the mechanism of action of lapatinib as well as lapatinib resistance in HCT116 colon cancer cells. Understanding drug resistance may enable us to
reduce the chances of occurrence of refractory cancers by targeting the pathways utilized by resistant cells for survival and increase the likelihood of patient survival.

**B. Materials:**

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, penicillin/streptomycin, and 0.25% trypsin-EDTA were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). HCT116 cells were originally purchased from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) before multiple transfection procedures. Fetal bovine serum was purchased from HyClone (Logan, UT). Trypan blue dye and crystal violet for colony-formation assays were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). For Western blot analysis, 8 to 16% Tris-HCl gels were used (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). CMV control virus, ERBB1-CD533, and ERBB2-CD572 were obtained from Dr. Kristoffer Valerie (Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA). BCL-XL recombinant adenovirus was obtained from Dr. J. Moltken (University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH). Dominant-negative (dn) dnI_B (S32A) recombinant adenovirus and STAT inhibitory peptide were purchased from Cell Biolabs (Philadelphia, PA) and Calbiochem, respectively. Control siRNA and siRNA to knockdown apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) (SI02662114, SI02662653), Bcl-xl (SI03025141, SI03068352, SI03112018, SI00023191), Mcl-1 (SI02781205, SI00131768), and BAK (SI00299376, SI02654512) were purchased from QIAGEN (Valencia, CA). Lapatinib was obtained from GlaxoSmithKline (Boston, MA). The IGF-1R inhibitor PPP, the Src family kinase inhibitor PP2, 4-hydroxy tamoxifen, and epidermal growth factor were purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). Primary antibodies against Mcl-1, Bcl-xl, BAX, BAK, AIF, and cytochrome c were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.
Inc. (Danvers, MA). ERBB1 (Ab-5) antibody for fluorescence microscopy, primary antibody for active BAK (Ab-1), caspase 8 inhibitor LEHD, caspase 9 inhibitor IETD, and pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD were purchased from Calbiochem. EGF receptor (Ab-13 cocktail) and c-ERBB2 (Ab-11 cocktail) to immunoprecipitate ERBB1 and ERBB2 were purchased from NeoMarkers (Freemont, CA). Anti-Phospho-Tyr 4G10 antibody was purchased from Millipore Bioscience Research Reagents (Temecula, CA). Primary antibodies for GAPDH, wild-type p53 (FL-393), mutant p53 (Pab 240), ERK2, active BAX (6A7), and protein A/G Plus agarose beads for immunoprecipitation were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Secondary mouse antibody (Alexa Fluor 680 goat anti-mouse IgG) was purchased from Invitrogen, and secondary rabbit antibody (anti-rabbit IgG) was purchased from Rockland Immunochemicals (Gilbertsville, PA). UCN-01 was kindly supplied by was provided by the Cancer Treatment and Evaluation Program of the National Cancer Institute. VP-16 was purchased from Sigma.

C. Methods:

Cell culture: Parental HCT116 colon cancer cells (WT cells) were cultured in DMEM media containing 5% fetal bovine serum and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin. Lapatinib resistant HCT116 cells (WT-AD cells) were cultured in DMEM media containing 5% fetal bovine serum, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin and 2µM Lapatinib. When WT-AD cells were thawed out of the freezer, they were plated in DMEM media containing 5% fetal bovine serum, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin and 10µM Lapatinib for 3 days to ensure they were Lapatinib resistant.
Detection of Cell Death by Trypan Blue Assay: After treatment, medium was removed and cells were washed in 1X PBS. Cells were then harvested by trypsinization with Trypsin/EDTA for ~5 min at 37°C. Because some apoptotic cells detached from the culture substratum into the medium, these cells were also collected by centrifugation of the medium at 1400 RPM for 5 min. The pooled cell pellets were resuspended and mixed with trypan blue dye. Trypan blue stain, in which blue dye-incorporating cells were scored as being dead, was performed by counting of cells using a light microscope and a hemacytometer. The number of dead cells was counted and expressed as a percentage of the total number of cells counted.

Culture of Cells and Drug Treatments for Colony Formation Assays: Cells were plated (250–1000 cells/well of a 6-well plate). 12 h after plating medium was removed and serum-free medium was added to the cells for 24 or 48 h as indicated. After this, the serum-free media was carefully removed and fresh media (with serum) was added. Colony formation assays were cultured for an additional 8-10 days, after which the media were removed, cells were fixed with methanol, stained with crystal violet, and counted manually.

Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting: 12 hours after plating cells, they were either infected with CD533 and CD572 or control virus for 24h or serum starved and treated with indicated concentrations of lapatinib or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 2h. After either of these treatments, cells were treated with 20ng/ml EGF or vehicle for 10 mins. Cells were then scraped using RIPA buffer (50mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 1%NP-40, 1% Sodium Deoxycholate, 1mM PMSF, 1mM Sodium orthovanadate, 10mM Sodium Fluoride, 10mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.5mM EGTA, 0.5mM EDTA and protease
inhibitor cocktail purchased from (Roche)) and ERBB1 or ERBB2 was immunoprecipitated as indicated, after which samples were boiled for 10 minutes in whole cell lysis buffer (0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.02% bromophenol blue). 12 hours after plating cells, they were also scraped using a non-denaturing lysis buffer (50mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 1%NP-40, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche)) and mutant p53 was immunoprecipitated after which samples were boiled for 10 min in whole cell lysis buffer. Cells were also scraped in CHAPS buffer (10mM HEPES, 140mM NaCl, 1% CHAPS) and then active BAK or active BAX was immunoprecipitated. Samples were boiled for 10 min in whole cell lysis buffer. All samples were then loaded on 8%-16% Criterion pre-cast gels (BIORAD) after normalizing total protein and run for about 2 hours. Proteins were then electrophoretically transferred onto 0.22um nitrocellulose membranes and immunoblotted with various primary antibodies as indicated.

**Virus Infections:** Cells were infected 12h after plating with adenoviruses at an approximate multiplicity of infection of 30 for 4 h with gentle rocking, after which time the media was replaced. Cells were further incubated for 24 h to ensure adequate expression of transduced gene products before drug exposures.

**Transfection of Cells with Small Interfering RNA Molecules:** RNA interference for down-regulating the expression of AIF, Mcl-1, Bcl-xl and BAK was performed using validated target sequences designed by Qiagen. For transfection, 20-40 nM concentration of the annealed siRNA-targeting AIF, Mcl-1, Bcl-xl or BAK, or the negative control (a "scrambled" sequence with no significant homology to any known gene sequences from
mouse, rat, or human cell lines) were used. The siRNA molecules were transfected into cells according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were cultured for 24 h after transfection before any additional experimentation.

**Cell Fractionation:** 12 h after plating cells, they were serum starved and treated with 2µM lapatinib or DMSO for 36 h. This experiment was performed on ice at all times. Medium from plates was then aspirated and cells were scraped in buffer (75 mM NaCl + 8 mM Na₂HPO₄ + 1 mM Na₂H₂PO₄ + 0.5 mM EDTA + 0.5 mM EGTA with freshly added 350 µg/ml digitonin, 250 mM sucrose, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche)) and passed through a 25 gauge needle 12 times. After 15 to 30 minutes on ice, cells were spun down at 5000 RPM for 1.5 minutes at 4°C to remove cell debris. Pellet was discarded and supernatant was transferred to a new tube and spun down at 13000 RPM for 25 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant obtained is the cytosolic fraction where as the pellet is the mitochondrial fraction. Whole cell lysis buffer was added to the supernatant and the pellet, boiled for 10 minutes and then western blot analysis was performed. This protocol was adapted from Leist M, et. al. (1998).

**Flow Cytometry:** Flow cytometric analysis of cells was performed after staining by the ANNEXIN V-FITC kit (Beckman Coulter) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and read on Beckton Dickinson FACScan.
D. Results:

**Generation of Lapatinib resistant HCT116 cells:** HCT116 colon cancer cells (henceforth called WT cells) were cultured in the presence of 10µM lapatinib, a concentration that is below the lapatinib \( C_{\text{max}} \) in patients (GlaxoSmithKline). Within 72 h of lapatinib treatment, many cells became detached and died from this drug exposure. Cells were cultured in the presence of 10µM lapatinib for a further ~3 months until a homogeneous population of cells grew out from the survivors that were resistant to lapatinib (henceforth called WT-AD cells).

**Confirmation of Lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells:** 24 h after plating WT and WT-AD cells, they were serum-starved and exposed to increasing concentrations of lapatinib (0-10 µM) for 48 h. Trypan blue analysis showed that at each concentration of lapatinib tested, WT-AD cells showed significantly lower levels of cell death compared to WT cells (Figure 3). The level of cell death observed in WT-AD cells treated with 10 µM lapatinib, which was the highest concentration of lapatinib used in this experiment, was comparable to the level of cell death observed in WT cells that were simply serum-starved (i.e. no lapatinib was added). Also, WT-AD cells were significantly protected from serum-starvation induced cell death compared to WT cells. This indicates that compared to WT cells, WT-AD cells are resistant to lapatinib as well as serum-starvation.

In another experiment, WT and WT-AD cells were serum-starved and exposed to vehicle or 2µM lapatinib for varying times (12 h – 48 h) and cell viability was measured by Annexin-PI staining flow cytometric analysis. Results confirmed that WT-AD cells were
resistant to the effects of 2µM lapatinib as well as serum-depletion compared to WT cells at each time point tested (Figure 4).

WT and WT-AD cells were treated with either vehicle or increasing doses of lapatinib (0.1-10µM) without serum-starvation. Annexin-PI flow cytometric analysis showed that WT-AD cells were more resistant to the cyto-toxic effects of lapatinib at all doses tested compared to WT cells even in the presence of serum (Figure 5).

Reinforcing our previous observations, colony formation assays showed that WT-AD cells are more resistant to the effects of serum-starvation than WT cells (Figure 6). Colony formation assays also showed that ionizing radiation reduced survival by comparable levels in both WT and WT-AD cells (Figure 7).

*Culturing WT-AD cells in the absence of lapatinib*: To investigate whether lapatinib resistant WT-AD cells maintained their resistant phenotype if cultured in the absence of lapatinib, these cells were cultured without lapatinib for >10 flask passages (~2 months) and are labeled WT-AD10. Cell death levels in serum-starved WT-AD10 cells were not significantly different than the levels in serum-starved WT-AD cells under vehicle as well as lapatinib treated conditions. WT-AD and WT-AD10 cells showed much lower cell death levels compared to WT cells under serum starved conditions in the presence of vehicle or 2µM lapatinib. Hence, WT-AD10 cells maintained their resistance to serum-starvation as well as lapatinib in spite of being cultured in the absence of the drug (Figure 8).

*Effect of chemotherapeutic agents on WT and WT-AD cells*: To determine whether WT-AD cells were cross-resistant to toxicity induced by commonly used chemotherapeutic agents, WT-AD and WT cells were treated with other chemotherapeutic agents namely,
VP-16 (etoposide), UCN-01 (an analogue of staurosporine) and Taxotere. WT-AD cells were significantly more resistant to all of these agents than parental WT cells at all doses tested (Figure 9 and 10).

**Effect of lapatinib on ERBB1 receptor activation:** Next we wanted to determine whether lapatinib was able to inhibit ERBB1 receptor activation in WT and WT-AD cells. Increasing concentrations of lapatinib (0-2μM) resulted in increased inhibition of basal and epidermal growth factor (EGF) induced ERBB1 tyrosine phosphorylation in WT and WT-AD cells (Figure 11). This confirmed that lapatinib was able to inhibit ERBB1 receptor activation in both cell lines and that lack of lapatinib uptake in WT-AD cells was not the mode of resistance in these cells. It was also noted that total ERBB1 levels in WT and WT-AD cells were comparable as judged by immunoblotting of whole cell lysates.

**Cell surface ERBB1 receptor level in WT and WT-AD cells:** Results from the previous experiment showed that basal and EGF stimulated levels of ERBB1 receptor tyrosine phosphorylation in WT-AD cells appeared to be lower compared to WT cells. Also, EGF mediated ERK1/2 phosphorylation in WT-AD cells was observed to be reduced compared to WT cells (Figure 11). These data suggested that there may be fewer cell surface ERBB1 receptors available for activation in WT-AD cells compared to WT cells. To investigate this possibility, immunocytochemistry was performed to look at the cell surface level of ERBB1 receptors in non-permeabilized, serum-starved WT and WT-AD cells. Results indicated that WT-AD cells appeared to have considerably lower plasma membrane associated ERBB1 levels compared to WT cells which could possibly explain why basal
and EGF induced ERBB1 phosphorylation appeared to be lower in WT-AD cells than in WT cells (Figure 12).

**VEGFR and c-KIT levels are comparable in WT and WT-AD cells:** Over-expression of other growth factor receptors such as VEGFR-1 and c-KIT has been implicated in mediating resistance to chemotherapeutic agents (Bianco R, *et. al.* 2008; Raspollini MR, *et. al.* 2004). Immunoblotting analysis showed that levels of VEGFR-1 and c-KIT receptor were similar in WT and WT-AD cells and hence unlikely to be responsible for mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Figure 13).

**Role of Src, IGF-1R, estrogen receptor (ER), NF-κB or STAT in mediating lapatinib resistance:** Since previous studies have implicated Src and IGF-1R in mediating drug resistance we wanted to investigate whether these kinases were important in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Qin B, *et. al.* 2006; Lu Y, *et. al.* 2001). Inhibition of neither Src family kinases using the inhibitor PP2 nor IGF-1R function using the inhibitor PPP restored lapatinib sensitivity in WT-AD cells (Figure 14). It is noteworthy that inhibition of the IGF-1R with PPP caused significant toxicity in parental WT cells that was abolished in WT-AD cells. These data suggested that Src and IGF-1R were not primary mediators on lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells.

Previous studies have also implicated ER, NF-κB and STAT proteins in mediating drug resistance in cancer cells (Xia W, *et. al.* 2006; Herrmann JL, *et. al.* 1997; Sumitomo M, *et. al.* 1999; Catlett-Falcone R, *et. al.* 1999). Hence, we wanted to determine whether any of these proteins played a role in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells. Incubation of WT-AD cells with ER inhibitor 4-hydroxy tamoxifen in phenol-red free media,
inhibition of NF-κB function by over-expression of IκB super-repressor (dominant negative IκB) or inhibition of STAT function by expression of STAT inhibitory peptide did not restore lapatinib sensitivity in WT-AD cells (Figure 15). In control studies, it was noted that expression of super-repressor IκB or STAT inhibitory peptide suppressed reporter construct activity in WT and WT-AD cells and in phenol red-free media, basal estrogen receptor activity was reduced by tamoxifen treatment in both WT and WT-AD cells (Figure 16). These control studies confirmed that super-repressor IκB, STAT inhibitory peptide and 4-hydroxy tamoxifen were functional.

**Role of multi-drug resistance (MDR) pumps in mediating lapatinib resistance:** Since studies have shown that MDR pumps can mediate drug resistance in cancer cells, immunoblotting was performed to determine whether MDR pumps had a role to play in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Szakacs G, et. al. 2006). Little change was observed in the levels of MRP-1, ABCG-2 and Pgp transporters in WT and WT-AD cells suggesting that these MDR pumps were not major players in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Figure 17).

**Levels of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins in WT and WT-AD cells:** Alterations in the levels of Bcl-2 family members have been shown to mediate drug resistance in cancer cells (Raffo AJ, et. al. 1995; Tsujimoto Y, et. al. 1984; Fulda S and Debatin KM. 2006; Meijerink JP, et. al. 1998). To investigate this possibility in WT-AD cells, immunoblotting studies were performed which indicated that compared to WT cells, WT-AD cells expressed higher levels of p53 as well as anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins namely, Mcl-1 and Bcl-xl (Figure 18). Over-expression of Bcl-xl abolished lapatinib toxicity on WT
cells (Figure 19). WT-AD cells expressed lower levels of pro-apoptotic protein BAX compared to WT cells (Figure 18). Further, immunoprecipitation studies showed that upon treatment with lapatinib, WT-AD cells have reduced BAK and BAX activation compared to WT cells (Figure 18). This occurred in spite of the observation that total BAK levels appeared to increase in WT-AD cells, post lapatinib treatment.

In WT-AD cells, knockdown of Mcl-1 expression, to a greater extent than that of Bcl-xl, partially reverted lapatinib resistance by ~50% compared to WT cells treated with lapatinib (Figure 20). In WT-AD cells, knockdown of BAK activation significantly reduced the reversion of their resistant phenotype due to Mcl-1 knock-down (Figure 21).

**Role of p53 in lapatinib resistance:** Previous immunoblotting data indicated that WT-AD cells showed less p53 phosphorylation under vehicle or lapatinib treated conditions compared to WT cells. This is important since phosphorylation has been shown to play an important role in stabilizing and activating p53 so that it can elicit an appropriate response to cellular stress (Jimenez GS, et. al. 1999). Also, WT-AD cells have higher total p53 levels than WT cells. It is known that in cancer cells, p53 expression is often elevated when p53 is mutated (Kohler MF, et al. 1992). Hence, we wanted to further investigate whether p53 in WT-AD cells was mutated. Native p53 proteins were immunoprecipitated from WT and WT-AD cells using an antibody that specifically recognizes mutant forms of p53, as judged by the recognition of mutant p53 tertiary structure within the DNA binding domain of p53. The p53 proteins were then separated on denaturing SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted. WT-AD cells, but not WT cells, immunoprecipitated “mutant” p53 (Figure 22). Total mRNA was isolated from WT and WT-AD cells, amplified and
sequenced using primers specific for the DNA binding domain of p53. We noted, however, that a mutation in the p53 DNA binding domain was not detected in WT-AD cells. Further experiments will be required to investigate the role of p53 in WT-AD cells.

**Co-expression of dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 to mimic lapatinib treatment:**
In order to investigate the mechanism of lapatinib mediated cell death, dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors were expressed in WT and WT-AD cells. Co-expression of dominant negative ERBB1 (CD533) and dominant negative ERBB2 (CD572) proteins inhibited both basal and EGF mediated ERBB1 and ERBB2 tyrosine phosphorylation in WT cells (Figure 23A). In spite of inhibiting ERBB1 and ERBB2 tyrosine phosphorylation similar to lapatinib, trypan blue analysis showed that co-expression of CD533 and CD572 failed to recapitulate the toxic effects of lapatinib in serum-starved WT and WT-AD cells suggesting that lapatinib mediated cell death may involve mechanisms in addition to/other than inhibition of the ERBB receptor system (Figure 23C). Also, in WT cells, the lack of increased cell death in the presence of CD533 and CD572 was not due to the inability of these dominant negative receptors to inhibit survival pathways downstream of ERBB receptors as shown by their capability to inhibit ERK1/2 and AKT phosphorylation (Figure 23B).

**Role of caspases in lapatinib mediated cell death:** In WT cells, it was noted that inhibition of caspase enzymes resulted in protection from cell death induced by serum starvation (Figure 24). However, inhibition of caspase 8 or caspase 9 or treatment with a pan-caspase inhibitor did not protect WT cells from lapatinib mediated cell death (Figure 25). This indicated that lapatinib mediated cell death was likely caspase independent. As calpains,
cathepsins and serine proteases can also initiate cell death pathways, we wanted to investigate whether they were involved in lapatinib mediated cell death (Vandenabeele P, et. al. 2005; Droga-Mazovec G, et. al. 2008; Broker LE, et. al. 2004). Inhibition of calpains, cathepsins and serine proteases did not protect WT cells from lapatinib toxicity suggesting that they were not likely involved in lapatinib mediated cell death (Figure 26 and 27).

**Caspase independent mechanism of lapatinib mediated cell death:** Since previous experiments suggested that lapatinib mediated cell death was likely caspase independent, we wanted to investigate the role of apoptosis inducing factor (AIF) as it is thought to initiate cell death pathways independent of caspase enzymes (Penninger JM and Kroemer G. 2003). Immunoblotting and cell fractionation studies showed that increased cytosolic release of AIF as well as cytochrome c was observed in WT cells treated with lapatinib but not in WT-AD cells under the same conditions (Figure 28). Knockdown of AIF expression reduced lapatinib toxicity in WT cells, and knockdown of AIF expression combined with pan-caspase inhibition further reduced lapatinib toxicity in these cells (Figure 29).
Figure 3: WT-AD cells are resistant to effects of lapatinib and serum-starvation. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (0–10µM). Cells were isolated 48 h after serum-starvation/lapatinib addition, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. Significant effects of lapatinib dose and cell type were observed (Two-way ANOVA p < 0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post-test revealed that under vehicle treated conditions and at each concentration of lapatinib tested, there was significantly higher cell death in WT cells compared to WT-AD cells (p < 0.0001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 4: WT-AD cells are resistant to effects of lapatinib.
Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or 2µM lapatinib. Cells were isolated at the indicated times, and cell viability (indicated under each panel) was determined by annexin-PI flow cytometric analysis (n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 5: WT-AD cells are resistant to effects of lapatinib

24 h after plating parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD), they were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or increasing concentrations of lapatinib (0.1-10μM). 48 h later, cells were isolated and cell viability (indicated under each panel) was determined by annexin-PI flow cytometric analysis (n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 6: WT-AD cells are resistant to serum-starvation.

Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated as single cells and 12 h after plating were placed into serum-depleted medium for either 24 or 48. Colonies were permitted to form over the following 10 to 14 days in fresh media with serum and no lapatinib, after which the media were removed, the colonies fixed and stained with crystal violet, and the colonies of >50 cells were counted. Significant effect of serum starvation duration was observed in both cell lines (p < 0.0001, Two-way ANOVA, n=8) and Bonferroni’s post test revealed that 48 h after serum starvation, WT cells showed significantly decreased survival than WT-AD cells (p < 0.05). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 7: Ionizing radiation affects WT and WT-AD cell survival.

Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated as single cells in sextuplicate and 12 h after plating were exposed to ionizing radiation (0-3 Gy). Colonies were permitted to form over the following 10 to 14 days, after which the media were removed, the colonies fixed and stained with crystal violet, and the colonies of >50 cells were counted. A significant effect of radiation dose in both cell lines (p < 0.0001, Two-way ANOVA, n=8) but no significant difference in the cell types was observed. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 8: Absence of lapatinib in culture does not restore lapatinib sensitivity in WT-AD cells. Parental HCT116 cells (WT), HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cell (WT-AD) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells that had been grown for ~2 months in the absence of lapatinib (WT-AD10) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (2µM). Cells were isolated 48 h after serum starvation/lapatinib addition, and cell viability was determined in triplicate by trypan blue exclusion assay. Student’s t-test showed that there was no significant difference between cell death levels in WT-AD and WT-AD10 cells under vehicle or lapatinib treated conditions. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 9: WT-AD cells are resistant to effects of VP-16 and UCN-01. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were treated with increasing concentrations of VP-16 (0-3μM) or UCN-01 (0-3μM). 36 h later, cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. For both experiments effects of dose and cell type were observed (Individual Two-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post test revealed higher levels of cell death in WT cells relative to WT-AD cells under vehicle conditions and with VP-16 and UCN-01 treatment at all concentrations tested.
Figure 10: WT-AD cells are resistant to effects of Taxotere.
Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were treated with increasing concentrations of Taxotere (0-300nM). 48 h later, cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. An effect of dose and an effect of cell type were observed (Two-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post test revealed that with increasing concentration of Taxotere, significantly higher level of cell death was observed in WT cells compared to WT-AD cells under each condition. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 11: Lapatinib inhibits ERBB1 and ERBB2 phosphorylation in WT and WT-AD cells. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted medium for 2 h followed by treatment with vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (L, 0–2 μM), as indicated. Thirty minutes after lapatinib exposure, cells were treated as indicated with 20 ng/ml EGF. Ten minutes after EGF addition, cells were harvested and subjected to lysis, and portions of the lysate either were immunoprecipitated to isolate ERBB1 and determine ERBB1 tyrosine phosphorylation or were directly subjected to SDS-PAGE to determine total ERBB1, GAPDH and ERK2 expressions and ERK1/2 phosphorylation. A representative study is shown (n = 2).
Figure 12: **WT-AD cells appear to have fewer cell surface ERBB1 receptors than WT cells.** Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted medium for 2 h. Cells were fixed but not permeabilized, and cell surface levels of ERBB1 were determined by immunostaining and examination under fluorescent light microscope. Two representative images from one experiment are shown. Quantitative assessment of increase in fluorescence intensity was determined from fifty cells counted over two independent experiments.
Figure 13: No change in VEGFR and c-KIT receptor levels in WT-AD cells. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h later were lysed and lysate was subjected to SDS PAGE followed by immunoblotting to determine the expression of c-KIT and VEGFR-1 receptors as well as ERK2 as a loading control.
Figure 14: Lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells is unlikely to be mediated via Src or IGF-1R. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h later were grown in serum-depleted medium with vehicle (DMSO), Lapatinib (2μM), PP2 (10μM), PPP (250nM), or the drug combinations indicated. Cells were isolated 48 h after serum starvation, and cell viability was determined trypan blue exclusion assay. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 15: Lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells is unlikely to be mediated via estrogen receptor, NFκB or STAT. A) Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted, phenol red-free medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO), 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OH TAM, 50 nM) or lapatinib (2 μM); B) HCT116 lapatinib resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 12 h after plating were infected with either a control empty vector adenovirus or a recombinant adenovirus to express dominant-negative IκB (dnIκB). 24 h after virus infection, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (0–3 μM). Cells were isolated 48 h after drug addition, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay; C) HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 12 h after plating were infected with either a control empty vector adenovirus or a recombinant adenovirus to express dominant negative STAT3 (dnSTAT3). 24 h after virus infection, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (3 μM). Cells were isolated 48 h after drug addition, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 16: Tamoxifen, STAT IP and dn I\kappa B inhibit reporter construct activity in WT and WT-AD cells. 24 h after plating HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) in triplicate, they were infected with vector control virus (CMV), a virus to express dominant negative I\kappa B (dnI\kappa B), or treated with STAT3 inhibitory peptide (STAT IP). 24h later, cells were transfected with plasmids to express firefly luciferase under NF\kappa B or STAT promoter regulation, respectively, together with an internal control renilla luciferase plasmid under constitutive expression. Parallel triplicate sets of plates of WT and WT-AD cells in phenol red free media were plated and 24 h later were transfected to express firefly luciferase under an estrogen responsive element (ERE), together with an internal control renilla luciferase plasmid under constitutive expression. 24 h later, cells were treated with Vehicle (VEH, DMSO) or 4-Hydroxy-tamoxifen (TAM, 50nM). Promoter activity for ERE, STAT and NF\kappa B elements was measured 24 h after transfection/tamoxifen addition and corrected for transfection efficiency using the renilla luciferase plasmid ±S.E.M. (n=3). *, p < 0.05 less than corresponding vehicle control/vector control value (Student’s t-test).
Figure 17: Lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells is unlikely to be mediated via MDR pumps. 24 h after plating HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD), they were serum-starved for 24 h. Cells were then isolated and cell lysates were subjected to SDS PAGE followed by immunoblotting to determine the expression of MRP1, Pgp and ABCG2. Loading controls (GAPDH and EF1) were also measured. As a positive control for Pgp, lysates from doxorubicin resistant SW480 cells was included. Data from representative study (n=2).
**Figure 18: Alterations in protein levels in WT-AD cells.** Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h later were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (2μM). Cells were isolated 48 h after lapatinib addition and were subjected to SDS-PAGE to determine the expression of multiple proteins. Or, cell were isolated 36 h after serum depletion/lapatinib addition, cells were isolated for immunoprecipitation to determine the amount of the activated forms of BAX and BAK. Representative images shown (n=2).
Figure 19: Bcl-xl over-expression protects WT cells from effects of lapatinib. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and 12 h after plating were infected with either a control empty vector adenovirus or a recombinant adenovirus to express BCL-XL. 24 h after virus infection, cells were grown in serum depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (2μM). Cells were isolated 48 h after drug addition, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. One-way ANOVA (n=6) revealed that lapatinib induced significantly high cell death compared to all other conditions (p < 0.0001) and over-expression of BCL-xl significantly protected WT cells from cell death under both basal (p < 0.05) and lapatinib treated conditions (p < 0.0001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 20: Knockdown of Mcl-1 partially reverted lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 12 h later as indicated were transfected with siRNA molecules to reduce the expression of nothing/control (siSCR), Bcl-xl (siBcl-xl), or Mcl-1 (siMcl-1). 48 h after transfection, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or Lapatinib (2 μM) as indicated. Cells were isolated 36 h after serum starvation, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. $, p < 0.05$ greater than corresponding HCT116 siSCR + lapatinib cell value (Student’s t-test, n=2). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 21: In WT-AD cells, knockdown of BAK reduced reversion of resistant phenotype due to Mcl-1 knock-down. HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 12 h later as indicated were transfected with siRNA molecules to reduce the expression of nothing/control (siSCR), MCL-1 (siMCL-1), BAK (siBAK), or MCL-1 and BAK (siMCL+siBAK). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (2 µM). Cells were isolated 48 h after serum starvation, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. One-way ANOVA (n=6) with Bonferroni’s post test revealed that lapatinib induced significantly higher level of cell death compared to vehicle treated cells, knock-down of MCL-1 further significantly enhanced lapatinib lethality compared to all other conditions, knock-down of BAK significantly reduced lapatinib mediated cell death (p < 0.001) and knock-down of BAK and MCL-1 simultaneously not only prevented lapatinib mediated cell death (p < 0.001) but also reverted MCL-1 mediated enhancement of lapatinib induced cell death (p < 0.001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 22: “Mutant” p-53 immunoprecipitated in WT-AD cells. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were lysed and prepared for immunoprecipitation and pseudoimmunoprecipitation with or without mixing with agarose beads against mutated inactive p53 followed by SDS-PAGE. The mixing with or without agarose beads was to ensure as a control that no spurious effects were observed on the SDS-PAGE mobility of p53 due to agarose bead inclusion in the loading of the gel. The SDS-PAGE was transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with an anti-p53 antibody and an anti-GAPDH antibody. Two representative images shown from three separate studies.
Figure 23: Dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 fail to produce lapatinib-like cell death levels in WT cells. A) Parental HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and 12 h after plating were infected with either a control empty vector adenovirus (CMV) or recombinant adenoviruses to express dominant-negative ERBB1-CD533 and dominant negative ERBB2-CD572. 24 h later, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium for 2 h and then treated with 20 ng/ml EGF as indicated for 10 min. Cells were isolated and subjected to immunoprecipitation of ERBB1 or ERBB2 followed by SDS-PAGE as indicated followed by anti-phospho-tyrosine blotting to determine the activation of ERBB1/2 proteins. A representative study is shown (n = 3). B) CD533 and CD572 also inhibit basal ERK and AKT phosphorylation. A representative study is shown (n = 2). C) 12 h after plating WT and WT-AD cells, they were infected with CMV, CD533, CD572 or both CD533 and CD572. 24h later, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium. Cells were isolated 48 h after serum starvation, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. No significant effect on cell death was observed in either cell line under each condition tested (One-way ANOVA). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 24: Inhibition of caspases protects WT cells from serum starvation induced death. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and 24 h later were grown in serum-depleted medium. Cells were isolated 48 h after serum-starvation and cell viability was measured by trypan blue exclusion assay. Cells were significantly protected from the effects of serum starvation in the presence of IETD (caspase 8 inhibitor), LEHD (caspase 9 inhibitor) and ZVAD (pan-caspase inhibitor) (p < 0.0001, One-way ANOVA, n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 25: Inhibition of caspases fails to protect WT cells from effects of lapatinib. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and 24 h later were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (2μM). In parallel, cells were grown in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO), the caspase 8 inhibitor IETD (50μM), the caspase 9 inhibitor LEHD (50μM) or the pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD (50μM). Cells were isolated 36 h after serum starvation, and cell viability was determined in triplicate by trypan blue exclusion assay. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post test revealed that WT cells were not protected from lapatinib induced cell death under any condition (p > 0.05, n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 26: Inhibition of calpains and/or cathepsins fails to protect WT cells from effects of lapatinib. HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and treated with vehicle or lapatinib in combination with Cathepsin B and/or Calpain inhibitors. 24 or 36 h after treatment, cell viability was measured using trypan blue exclusion assay (n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 27: Inhibition of serine proteases fails to protect WT cells from effects of lapatinib. HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and treated with vehicle or lapatinib in combination with serine protease inhibitor, AEBSF. 48 h after treatment, cell viability was measured using trypan blue exclusion assay (n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 28: Cytosolic AIF and cytochrome c release observed in WT cells treated with lapatinib. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) and HCT116 lapatinib-resistant cells (WT-AD) were plated and 24 h after plating were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO) or lapatinib (2μM). 36 h after serum depletion/lapatinib addition, cells were isolated for cell fractionation assay to determine AIF and cytochrome c release into the cytosol. A representative from two separate studies is shown.
Figure 29: Knock-down of AIF expression in WT cells reduces lapatinib toxicity. Parental HCT116 cells (WT) were plated and 12 h later as indicated were transfected with siRNA molecules to reduce the expression of nothing/control (siSCR) or AIF (siAIF). 48 h after transfection, cells were grown in serum-depleted medium in the presence or absence of vehicle (DMSO), lapatinib (2 μM), in parallel with or without pan-caspase inhibitor zVAD (50 μM), as indicated. Cells were isolated 36 h after serum starvation, and cell viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion assay. *, p < 0.05 less than corresponding siSCR cell value; #, p < 0.05 less than parallel siSCR value (Student’s t-test, n=6). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
E. Discussion:

Development of resistance to chemotherapeutic agents is a common occurrence in the clinic (Kobayashi S, et. al. 2005). This study investigated the mode of lapatinib action as well as the mechanism of lapatinib resistance in HCT 116 colon cancer cells. We have shown that resistance to lapatinib is possible in colon cancer cells using cell viability as well as cell survival assays. These studies show that lapatinib resistant HCT 116 (WT-AD) cells are indeed resistant to lapatinib and also to serum-starvation (Figure 3, 4, 5, 6). It is known that refractory cancers are difficult to manage since often times they are not only resistant to the primary drug that was used to treat the cancer but also to other chemotherapeutic agents (Kobayashi S, et. al. 2005). To investigate whether this was true in the case of WT-AD cells, we treated WT (parental HCT116 cells) and WT-AD cells with various chemotherapeutic agents. Results showed that as expected, WT-AD cells were significantly more resistant to other chemotherapeutic agents that we tested, namely, UCN-01, VP-16 and Taxotere compared to WT cells (Figure 9,10). Another important finding was that the presence of lapatinib in the culture medium of WT-AD cells was not essential to maintain their lapatinib resistant phenotype (Figure 7). This shows that removal of lapatinib from the culture media of WT-AD cells does not restore lapatinib sensitivity in these cells.

Studies have shown that one of the mechanisms by which cells can become resistant to ERBB tyrosine kinase inhibitors is by outgrowth of cells that have a mutation in the tyrosine kinase domain such that the inhibitor can no longer bind to the receptor (Pao W, et. al. 2005; Sok JC, et. al. 2006). Our studies show that lapatinib is able to inhibit basal
and EGF stimulated levels of ERBB1 receptor phosphorylation in both WT and WT-AD cells (Figure 11). This suggests that it is unlikely that a mutation in the ERBB1 receptor, such that it can no longer bind lapatinib, is the mechanism of lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells. These results also indicate that lapatinib intake is not disrupted in WT-AD cells and hence is not a mode of lapatinib resistance in these cells. It was noted that in spite of total ERBB1 levels in the two cell types being similar, both basal and EGF stimulated levels of ERBB1 receptor phosphorylation in WT-AD cells appeared to be lower compared to WT cells (Figure 11). To investigate the reason behind this observation, immunocytochemistry studies were performed to look at cell surface levels of ERBB1 receptors available for activation in non-permeabilized WT and WT-AD cells under serum-starved conditions. Results showed that WT-AD cells appeared to have a lower cell surface ERBB1 receptor level than WT cells (Figure 12). Hence, this can also explain why EGF treatment resulted in a lower level of ERK1/2 phosphorylation in WT-AD cells compared to WT cells.

Studies have shown that resistance to ERBB receptor inhibitors can occur due to over-expression of other receptors and tyrosine kinases that can also mediate pro-survival signals (Lu Y, et. al. 2001; Camirand A; Qin B, et. al. 2006; Xia W, et. al. 2006). Vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFR) are known to play a major role in regulating cell cycle in certain cells and VEGFR-1 has been found to be over-expressed in certain cancer cells (Huang Y, et. al. 2007; Bianco R, et. al. 2008). c-KIT receptors are also known to play a role in cell survival, proliferation and differentiation pathways and increased expression of this receptor in certain cancers has been linked to poor patient
prognosis (Taniguchi M, *et. al.* 1999; McIntyre A, *et. al.* 2005). To determine whether over-expression of VEGFR-1 or c-KIT receptors accounted for resistance to lapatinib in WT-AD cells, receptor expression levels were measured in WT and WT-AD cells. Results showed that there was no obvious difference in the expression levels of VEGFR-1 or c-KIT receptors between WT and WT-AD cells (Figure 13). This suggested that lapatinib resistance was not likely due to altered expression of these receptors.

In some cell types, including colon cancer cells, Src family nonreceptor tyrosine kinases and the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor tyrosine kinase (IGF-1R) have been linked to drug resistance (Lu Y, *et. al.* 2001; Camirand A, *et. al.* 2002; Xia W, *et. al.* 2006). Hence, we wanted to investigate whether these proteins had a role to play in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells. However, we noted that inhibition of neither Src family kinases (using the inhibitor PP2) nor IGF-1 receptor function (using the inhibitor PPP) restored lapatinib sensitivity in WT-AD cells (Figure 14). It is noteworthy that inhibition of the IGF-1 receptor using PPP caused significant toxicity in WT cells but not in WT-AD cells, arguing that WT-AD cells are also cross-resistant to agents that inhibit the function of other receptor tyrosine kinases that are known to compensate for ERBB survival signaling.

As mentioned previously, constitutive activation of a variety of transcription factors such as nuclear factor κB (NFκB) and signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) have been implicated in mediating drug resistance (Herrmann JL, *et. al.* 1997; Sumitomo M, *et. al.* 1999; Bewry NN, *et. al.* 2008). In order to investigate whether NFκB was involved in mediating lapatinib resistance, WT and WT-AD cells were infected with adenovirus that over-expressed IκB super-repressor. NFκB is usually sequestered in the
cytosol by inhibitor of κB (IκB) (Baldwin AS. 2001). IκB kinase (IKK) phosphorylates IκB which leads to its degradation, releasing NFκB to perform its functions (Baldwin AS. 2001). However, the super-repressor IκB (S32A) lacks one of the residues that is required to be phosphorylated by IKK to allow IκB to release NFκB. In the presence of super-repressor IκB (S32A), NFκB activation is constitutively inhibited. Hence, if lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells was due to constitutive NFκB activation, infection of these cells with super-repressor IκB would inhibit NFκB activation and therefore render WT-AD cells lapatinib sensitive. However, WT-AD cells maintained lapatinib resistance in spite of constitutive NFκB inhibition (Figure 15B). This indicated that NFκB was not likely involved in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells. To investigate the involvement of STAT proteins, STAT inhibitory peptide was introduced in WT-AD cells. STAT inhibitory peptide is known to significantly lower the DNA-binding activity of STAT proteins by forming an inactive STAT:peptide complex and reduce the levels of active STAT:STAT dimers that can bind to promoter elements and induce transcription of genes involved in migration, survival and proliferation (EMD Biosciences). It was noted that treatment of WT-AD cells with STAT inhibitory peptide did not make them sensitive to the effects of lapatinib suggesting that STAT proteins were not primary candidates involved in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Figure 15C).

Previous studies have shown that the estrogen receptor can mediate lapatinib resistance in BT474 breast cancer cells (Xia W, et. al. 2006). Some colon cancer cells have been known to express estrogen receptor (Cho NL, et. al. 2007; Xia W, et. al. 2006). Tamoxifen is a selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) and is thought to antagonize the estrogen
receptor in most tissues (Riggs BL and Hartmann LC. 2003). Hence, to investigate whether estrogen receptor was involved in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells, these cells were treated with 4-hydroxy tamoxifen (one of the active metabolites of tamoxifen) in phenol-red free media since phenol-red is a weak estrogen mimic and its presence may alter the effect of 4-hydroxy tamoxifen in WT-AD cells (Reddel RR, et. al. 1983; Berthois Y, et. al. 1986). Results showed that inhibition of estrogen receptor did not revert lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells indicating that unlike in BT474 breast cancer cells, estrogen receptor did not appear to be involved in mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Figure 15A) (Xia W, et. al. 2006). In control studies, we noted that expression of super-repressor IkB, treatment with STAT inhibitory peptide or 4-hydroxy tamoxifen suppressed reporter construct activity in parental and lapatinib resistant cells confirming that these agents were functional (Figure 16).

Multi drug resistance pumps (MDR pumps) have been implicated in mediating drug resistance in a variety of cancer cells as they can pump toxic drugs out of cells (Szakacs G, et. al. 2006). In WT-AD cells, drug efflux could be a mechanism of lapatinib resistance, particularly as we observed cross-resistance to multiple cytotoxic therapeutic drugs. Hence, we performed immunoblotting analyses to determine the expression of multidrug-resistant plasma membrane drug transporters that have been commonly implicated in mediating drug resistance in cancer cells. Comparing WT and WT-AD cells, no obvious change in the protein level of membrane drug transporters tested was observed arguing that changes in drug efflux was unlikely to be a major component of the lapatinib-resistance mechanism in WT-AD cells (Figure 17).
Since we were unable to identify candidates responsible for mediating lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells, we decided to look at other molecules known to modulate cell death pathways. Immunoblotting analyses showed that compared to WT cells, WT-AD cells expressed higher levels of Mcl-1, Bcl-xl, p53 proteins and lower levels of pro-apoptotic protein BAX (Figure 18). Unlike WT-AD cells, lapatinib treatment in WT cells induced BAK and BAX activation (Figure 18). It was noted that total BAK levels increased in lapatinib treated WT-AD cells but no obvious increase in BAK activation was noticed under the same conditions (Figure 18). This may occur because the increased levels of pro-apoptotic proteins Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 may be able to sequester any up-regulated BAK and prevent it from being activated thereby inhibiting activation of cell death pathways. Hence, these alterations in the Bcl-2 family proteins in WT-AD cells are likely to profoundly protect these cells from toxic insults. Along the same lines, it was also noted that over-expression of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-xl protected WT cells from the toxic effects of lapatinib (Figure 19). Based on the established concept of the so-called “apoptotic rheostat,” in which the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins act in a dynamic balance to suppress the pro-apoptotic signals generated by proteins such as BAX and BAK, our data suggest that WT-AD cells could be resistant to lapatinib compared to WT cells because they have increased expression of the mitochondrial protective proteins Bcl-xl and Mcl-1, reduced expression of the mitochondrial toxic protein BAX and reduced activation of pro-apoptotic proteins BAK and BAX upon lapatinib treatment.

Since WT-AD cells had increased expression of anti-apoptotic Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 proteins, we wanted to investigate whether knock-down of expression of one or both of these
proteins would render WT-AD cells sensitive to lapatinib. Results showed that knock-down of Mcl-1 expression partially reverted lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells to a greater extent than by knock-down of Bcl-xl expression (Figure 20). Recent studies have shown that over-expression of Mcl-1, but not Bcl-2 or Bcl-xl, abrogated BAK activation after exposure to ABT-737 (a Bcl-2/Bcl-xl inhibitor) and roscovitine (a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor), arguing that Mcl-1 plays a major role in regulating BAK function (Chen S, et. al. 2007). This is consistent with data demonstrating that Mcl-1 binds with greater affinity to BAK compared with Bcl-xl (IC₅₀ < 10 versus < 100 nM) (Willis SN, et al. 2005). In WT-AD cells, knock-down of BAK activation significantly reduced the reversion of their resistant phenotype by reduced Mcl-1 expression (Figure 21). Thus, the mechanism of lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells seems to be in part due to the loss of BAX expression and loss of BAK activation primarily due to over-expression of Mcl-1. Along these lines, a recently published study showed that in human H3255 non-small-cell lung cancer cells, Erlotinib (a tyrosine kinase inhibitor of ERBB1) induced mitochondria-mediated apoptosis via loss of mitochondrial membrane potential, cytosolic cytochrome c release and activation of BAK and BAX proteins (Ling YH, et. al. 2008). The tumor suppressor protein p53, known as the “guardian of the genome”, can regulate the cell cycle and prevent its progression upon recognition of DNA damage or other cellular insults (Read AP and Stachan T. 1999; Bhana S and Llyod DR. 2008). p53 can also activate DNA damage repair proteins and can activate cell death cascades if the DNA damage appears to be irreparable (Offer H, et. al. 2002). It is known that WT cells express wild-type p53 (Zawacka-Pankau J, et al. 2007). In our studies to determine the mechanism
of lapatinib resistance, we noted that p53 was over-expressed in WT-AD cells and that the expression of a transcriptional target of p53, BAX, was lower in these cells compared to WT cells (Figure 18). It is known that in cancer cells, expression of p53 is often elevated when it is mutated (Kohler MF, et al. 1992). It was also noted that p53 phosphorylation was reduced in WT-AD cells compared to WT cells under vehicle as well as lapatinib treated conditions (Figure 18). This is important since phosphorylation of p53 has been shown to play an important role in stabilizing and activating p53 so that it can elicit an appropriate response to cellular stress (Jimenez GS, et. al. 1999). Hence the lack of p53 phosphorylation may suggest that WT-AD cells may be able to avoid activation of cell death pathways due to lack of appropriate activation of p53. Together, these data suggested that it was possible that HCT 116 cells expressing a wild-type p53 protein may have become lapatinib resistant by developing a p53 mutation or by selection of cells already bearing a p53 mutation. In agreement with this hypothesis, WT-AD cells but not WT cells expressed a p53 protein that could be immunoprecipitated by an antibody that specifically recognizes p53 protein mutated in its DNA binding domain (Figure 22). This antibody differentiates between wild-type and mutant p53 under non-denaturing conditions since a mutation in the DNA binding domain of p53 can alter its tertiary structure such that it allows exposure of an epitope that can be recognized by this antibody (Gannon JV, et. al. 1990). This epitope is usually hidden and therefore not exposed to the antibody in the correctly folded structure of wild-type p53 protein. To further identify whether there existed a mutation in p53 in WT-AD cells, gene sequencing was performed. However, upon sequencing the coding regions in the DNA binding domains of p53, no mutations
were noted in WT-AD cells. This suggests that our antibody was recognizing an alteration in p53 tertiary conformation in WT-AD cells unrelated to a p53 DNA binding domain mutation but that was in all likelihood still suppressing p53 function (i.e., reduced BAX expression) or that p53 mutation had occurred in a domain unrelated to the DNA binding domain of p53 but that was affecting the tertiary conformation of the DNA binding domain.

Further studies are required to understand how p53 function, with respect to modulation of all p53 targets has been altered in lapatinib resistant HCT116 cells.

Next, we wanted to determine whether lapatinib mediated toxicity in WT cells depended solely on inhibition of ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors and their downstream pro-survival pathways. Since lapatinib is thought to mediate its effects by inhibition of ERBB1 and ERBB2 function, we wanted to mimic this effect using adenovirus-mediated expression of dominant negative ERBB1 (CD533) and dominant negative ERBB2 (CD572) proteins (Wood ER, et. al. 2004; Rusnak DW, et. al. 2001). CD533 and CD572 function as dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors, respectively because they have truncated C-terminal kinase domains, therefore forming non-functional dimers with wild-type receptors (Imai K and Takaoka A. 2006; Schmidt-Ullrich RK, et. al. 2003). In spite of being able to inhibit ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptor phosphorylation similar to lapatinib in WT cells, the dominant-negative receptors did not recapitulate the toxic effects of lapatinib in serum-starved WT or WT-AD cells (Figure 23). This suggests that there are possibly other targets that lapatinib inhibits/interacts with that may play an important role in inducing toxic effects mediated by lapatinib.
Since we observed changes in the expression of proteins that act at the mitochondrion to modulate mitochondrial stability, we next determined whether activation of caspase proteases played a role in lapatinib toxicity. Caspases are known to activate cell death pathways by activating pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members that can then alter mitochondrial integrity or vice versa (Gross A, et. al. 1999). Serum-starvation has been known to induce cell death by activation of caspases (Kilic M, et. al. 2002). Inhibition of caspase 8 and caspase 9 using IETD and LEHD (inhibitors for the respective enzymes), as well as using zVAD (a pan-caspase inhibitor), significantly reduced the level of cell death induced by serum-starvation in WT cells (Figure 24). However, inhibition of caspase 8, caspase 9 or pan-inhibition of caspase function did not suppress lapatinib toxicity in serum-starved WT cells (Figure 25). This suggested that lapatinib mediated cell death involves caspase-independent mechanisms. Since other enzymes such as calpains, cathepsins and serine proteases have also been implicated in activating cell death pathways, we wanted to determine whether these factors were involved in lapatinib mediated cell death (Vandenabeele P, et. al. 2005; Droga-Mazovec G, et. al. 2008; Broker LE, et. al. 2004). However, inhibiting these enzymes did not significantly protect WT cells from lapatinib induced cell death, indicating that these enzymes are not prime mediators of lapatinib toxicity in WT cells (Figure 26, 27).

To investigate caspase-independent mechanisms of lapatinib mediated cell death, we decided to focus on apoptosis inducing factor (AIF). AIF is normally present in the inter-membrane space of the mitochondrion, tethered to the inner mitochondrial membrane (Otera H, et. al. 2005). In certain stressful situations, AIF is cleaved, released into the
cytosol upon mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) and it then makes its way to the nucleus where it can initiate DNA fragmentation (Modjtahedi N, et. al. 2006; Penninger JM and Kroemer G. 2003). Cell fractionation studies showed that AIF was released into the cytosol of WT cells treated with lapatinib but a similar increase in cytosolic release of AIF was not observed in WT-AD cells under the same treatment conditions (Figure 28). Similar results were observed for cytochrome c although cytochrome c is typically thought to be involved in caspase-dependent cell death pathways (Figure 28). However, it has been suggested that cytochrome c release can occur in caspase independent cell death due to MOMP and this can occur after AIF release because electrostatic interactions with cardiolipin can retain cytochrome c at the inner mitochondrial membrane and hence, delay its release (Uren RT, et. al. 2005). Knock-down of AIF expression in WT cells protected them from lapatinib mediated toxicity and knock-down of AIF expression combined with pan-caspase inhibition further reduced cell death induced by lapatinib in these cells (Figure 29). These results suggested that AIF is a key player in lapatinib mediated cell death in WT cells and failure of AIF cytosolic release in lapatinib treated WT-AD cells possibly protected them from cell death.

Our results show that an alteration in the Bcl-2 family proteins has an important role to play in mediating lapatinib resistance in HCT 116 cells. Future studies involving Bcl-2/Bcl-xl/Mcl-1 antagonists such as obatoclax, combined with lapatinib will need to be performed in order to determine whether such drugs will enhance the lethality of lapatinib as well reduce the chances of occurrence of lapatinib resistant cancers. Also, our studies
suggest that the mechanism of lapatinib mediated toxicity in WT cells may involve effects of lapatinib on targets other than ERBB1 and ERBB2.
A. Introduction:

The Raf-MEK1/2-ERK1/2 pathway is frequently dysregulated in neoplastic transformation (Dent P, et. al. 2003; Valerie K, et. al. 2007). The MEK1/2-ERK1/2 module comprises, along with c-Jun NH2-terminal kinase (JNK1/2) and p38 MAPK, members of the MAPK super-family. These kinases are involved in responses to diverse mitogens and environmental stresses and have also been implicated in cell survival processes. Activation of the ERK1/2 pathway is often associated with promoting cell survival whereas JNK1/2 and p38 MAPK pathway signaling often induces apoptosis. Although the mechanisms by which ERK1/2 activation promote survival are not fully characterized, a number of anti-apoptotic effector proteins have been identified, including increased expression of anti-apoptotic proteins such as c-FLIP, Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 and also direct inactivation of pro-apoptotic proteins such as caspase 9, BAD and BIM (Grant S and Dent P. 2004; Allan LA, et. al. 2003; Mori M, et. al. 2003; Ley R, et. al. 2003; Wang WF, et. al. 2007; Qiao L, et. al. 2003). In view of the importance of the RAF-MEK1/2-ERK1/2 pathway in neoplastic cell survival, inhibitors have been developed that have entered clinical trials, such as sorafenib (4-[4-[[4-chloro-3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl] carbamoylamino] phenoxy]-N-methyl-pyridine-2-carboxamide, Bay 43-9006, Nexavar®; a Raf kinase inhibitor) (Li N, et. al. 2007). Sorafenib is a multi-kinase inhibitor that was originally developed as an inhibitor of Raf-1 (c-Raf). Sorafenib occupies the ATP-binding domain of Raf-1 and B-Raf, there by preventing ATP from binding the kinase (Wan P, et. al. 2004). Subsequently however,
sorafenib was shown to inhibit multiple other kinases, including platelet-derived growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1 and 2, c-Kit and FLT3 (14). Sorafenib is also known to inhibit mutant \(^{V559E}\)B-Raf (Wilhelm S and Chien DS. 2002). It is important to note that sorafenib did not significantly increase cell death in non-transformed cells (Rosato RR, et. al. 2007). Anti-tumor effects of sorafenib in renal cell carcinoma and in hepatoma have been ascribed to anti-angiogenic actions of this agent through inhibition of the growth factor receptors (Rini BI. 2006; Gollob JA. 2005).

Sorafenib has also been shown to inhibit tumor cell proliferation and tumor xenograft growth (Wilhelm SM, et. al. 2004). Previous studies have shown in vitro that sorafenib kills human leukemia cells at concentrations below the maximum achievable dose (C\(_{\text{max}}\)) of 15-20 µM, through a mechanism involving down-regulation of the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member Mcl-1 (Rahmani M, et. al. 2005; Rahmani M, et. al. 2007a). In these studies sorafenib-mediated Mcl-1 down-regulation occurred through a translational rather than a transcriptional or post-translational process that was mediated by endoplasmic reticulum stress signaling (Dasmahapatra G, et. al. 2007; Rahmani M, et. al. 2007b). Hence, previously observed anti-tumor effects of sorafenib are mediated by a combination of inhibition of Raf family kinases; receptor tyrosine kinases that signal angiogenesis; and the induction of ER stress signaling.

There are 18 known histone deacetylases (HDACs) in humans and these can be divided into three classes: Class I include HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3 and HDAC8; Class II include HDAC4, HDAC5, HDAC7 and HDAC9. HDAC6 and HDAC10 belong to Class IIa and have two catalytic sites. HDAC11 shares characteristics with both Class I and Class
II and hence is often placed in Class IV. Class III HDACs include sirtuins that require NAD$^+$ for their activity, lack zinc in their catalytic sites and are not inhibited by commonly used HDACIs such as vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, SAHA, Zolinza™) (Dokmanovic M, et. al. 2007; Marks P, et. al. 2001). HDACs, along with histone acetyltransferases (HATs), reciprocally regulate the acetylation status of the positively charged NH$_2$-terminal histone tails of nucleosomes there by regulating transcription of genes (Gregory PD, et. al. 2001). HDACs can act not only on histones but on other proteins as well such as p53. Acetylation of p53 can lead to increased sequence specific binding activity and hence increased transcription of p53 target genes (Tang Y, et. al. 2008). HDACs can deacetylate p53 which can decrease the ability of p53 to transcribe target genes (Glozak MA, et. al. 2005). Alterations in HATs and HDACs have been found in a variety of cancers. Alterations in expression levels of HDACs have been observed in certain cancers; however, structural mutations in HDACs are a rare event in cancer cells (Bolden JE, et. al. 2006; Marks P, et. al. 2001; Dokmanovic M, et. al. 2007). Aberrant activity of HDACs in cancer cells is often associated with HDACs being recruited by oncogenic translocation protein complexes (Wilson AJ, et. al. 2006). Histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACI) represent a class of agents that act by blocking histone de-acetylation, thereby modifying chromatin structure and gene transcription. HDAC inhibitors (HDACIs) promote histone acetylation and neutralization of positively charged lysine residues on histone tails, allowing chromatin to assume a more open conformation, which favors transcription (Gregory PD, et. al. 2001). Most HDACIs are thought to function by binding to the zinc atom in the HDAC catalytic site thereby inhibiting the enzyme’s activity.
However, HDACIs have also been known to have plieotropic biological consequences, including inhibition of chaperone HSP90 function (thought to be required for survival of oncogenic fusion proteins), induction of oxidative injury and up-regulation of death receptor expression (Dasmahapatra G, et. al. 2007; Marks PA, et. al. 2003; Bali P, et. al. 2005; Kwon SH, et. al. 2002).

Vorinostat is a hydroxamic acid HDACI that has shown preliminary pre-clinical evidence of activity in hepatoma and other malignancies with a $C_{\text{max}}$ of $\sim 9 \, \mu\text{M}$ (Wise LD, et. al. 2007; Zhang G, et. al. 2008). Vorinostat is a pan-inhibitor of HDACs belonging to classes I and II and has been known to induce growth arrest in a variety of transformed cells (Marks PA and Breslow R. 2007; Mitsiades CS, et. al. 2004). Vorinostat was also found to be efficacious in vivo by inhibiting the growth of human cancer xenografts (Marks PA, et. al. 2005). Vorinostat is known to increase reactive oxygen species (ROS) in cancer cells but not in normal cells. In addition, vorinostat can increase the levels of thioredoxin binding protein-2 in cancer cells thereby reducing thioredoxin (known to have ROS scavenging function) levels and this may facilitate ROS mediated cell death in cancer cells (Marks PA and Breslow R. 2007). This can explain, at least in part, the relative resistance to vorinostat toxicity in normal cells and the sensitivity of many cancer cells.

Valproic acid is used as an anti-epileptic drug and also as a mood-stabilizer (Robert E, 1991). It has been known to have teratogenic effects that have now been linked to its ability to inhibit HDAC activity which is also thought to be responsible for its ability to inhibit tumor growth, proliferation, angiogenesis, induction of differentiation and radiosensitization in certain cancer cells (Alsdorf R and Wyszynski DF. 2005; Cinatl J. Jr.,
et. al. 1997; Duenaz-Gonzalez A, et. al. 2008). Valproic acid administered alone or in combination with other agents such as cytosine arabinoside, hydroxyurea, cisplatin and etoposide has been shown to be effective in killing cancer cells (Blaheta RA, et. al. 2005; Gupta E, et. al. 1997). Valproic acid is a much weaker inhibitor of HDAC activity than vorinostat and is known to inhibit the function of Class I (1, 2, 3) and Class II (4, 5, 7) HDACs (Xu W, et. al. 2007; Gurvich N, et. al. 2004).

With respect to combinatorial drug studies with a multi-kinase inhibitor such as sorafenib, HDACIs are of interest in that they have potential to down-regulate multiple oncogenic kinases by interfering with HSP90 function, leading to proteasomal degradation of these proteins. Sorafenib and HDACIs target multiple overlapping downstream signaling pathways implicated in tumor cell survival. Hence, if combined, there was a possibility that the two drugs administered together would be more effective than either drug individually and also that lower doses of these agents may be required to observe toxic effects on cancer cells. Previous studies have shown that low doses of sorafenib and vorinostat can act synergistically in a variety of cancer cells (Zhang G, et. al. 2008; Park MA, et. al. 2008). This drug combination is known to activate the de novo ceramide synthesis pathway which along with the acidic sphingomyelinase ceramide generation pathway initiates CD95 death receptor activation. Hence, according to previous studies, sorafenib and vorinostat mediated cell death is thought to occur via ligand independent but ceramide dependent activation of the CD95 death receptor signaling pathway and this drug combination is now entering Phase I clinical trials (Zhang G, et. al. 2008; Park MA, et. al. 2008). It is important to note that previous studies have shown that unlike cancer cells,
sorafenib and vorinostat combined failed to cause significant cell death in normal bone marrow cells (Dasmahapatra G, et. al. 2007). Studies have shown that sorafenib interacts with other HDACI (such as Trichostatin A and sodium butyrate) as well to markedly increase lethality in cancer cells (Dasmahapatra G, et. al. 2007).

This study investigated whether low dose of sorafenib combined with sodium valproate (another HDACI, sodium salt of valproic acid) also acted synergistically to kill cancer cells and whether CD95 death receptor activation had a role to play in mediating cell death under these conditions.

Several cancers are known to lose CD95 expression/function and this can enable cells to become resistant to chemotherapeutic agents that utilize the CD95 signaling pathway to mediate cell death (Ozoren N and El-Deiry WS. 2003; Los M, et. al. 1997; Houston A and O’Connell J. 2004). Increased expression of mitochondrial protective proteins such as Mcl-1 and Bcl-xl have also been implicated in mediating drug resistance in cancer cells (Martin AP, et. al. 2008; Del Poeta G, et. al. 2008). Since previous studies show that sorafenib and HDACI combine synergistically to cause cell death via CD95 signaling, it was possible that in the clinic, resistance to these agents may occur via dysregulation of the CD95 signaling pathway. Hence, it would be logical to combine sorafenib and HDACIs with an agent that facilitated cell death independent of CD95 by targeting the mitochondrial protective proteins and could synergize with the two drugs to result in enhanced cell death. Theoretically, this would reduce the likelihood of tumor cells being inherently resistant as well as potentially circumventing the development of drug resistant cancers.
Obatoclax (GX 15-070) is a small molecule antagonist of the BH-3 binding domain of the Bcl-2 family proteins and is known to mediate its effects in cancer cells independent of the CD95 pathway via inhibition of Mcl-1-BAK interaction, BIM upregulation and cytochrome c release into the cytosol (Trudel S, et. al. 2007). Short term toxicity assays showed that obatoclax has minimal effects on normal human peripheral blood lymphocyte viability where as significant reduction in cell viability was observed in obatoclax treated cancer cells (Trudel S, et. al. 2007). Hence, we investigated whether obatoclax enhanced the toxicity of sorafenib and sodium valproate and whether this three-drug combination maintained high cell death levels in spite of knock-down of CD95 in cancer cells.

**B. Materials:**

Sorafenib tosylate was generously provided by Bayer Inc. Vorinostat was generously provided by Merck & Co., Inc. GX15-070 was generously provided by Gemin X Pharmaceuticals. Trypsin-EDTA, DMEM, RPMI, penicillin-streptomycin were purchased from GIBCOBRL (GIBCOBRL Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Sodium valproate was purchased from Sigma. Fetal bovine serum was purchased from hyclone (Logan, UT). Trypan blue dye and crystal violet were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. For western blot analysis, 8-16% gels were used (BIORAD, Carlsbad, CA). HEPG2, HEP3B and PANC1 cells were purchased from the ATCC. Commercially available validated short hairpin RNA molecules to knock down RNA/protein levels were purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA). Primary antibodies recognizing BAX, BAK, BIM, Mcl-1 and cytochrome c were purchased from Cell Signaling (San Diego, CA). Primary antibody for active BAK (Ab-1) was purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA). Primary antibodies for GAPDH,
active BAX (6A7) and protein A/G plus agarose beads for immunoprecipitation were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Secondary mouse antibody was purchased from Invitrogen Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR) and secondary rabbit antibody was purchased from Rockland (Gilbertsville, PA). All siRNAs were purchased from Qiagen. C-FLIP-s virus was purchased from Vector Biolabs. DAPI stain was purchased from Vector Laboratories Inc (Burlingame, CA).

Methods:

Cell Culture: Panc1 pancreatic cancer cells were cultured in DMEM media containing DMEM media containing 20% fetal bovine serum and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin. HEPG2 and HEP3B hepatic cancer cells were culture in MEM Alpha media containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin supplemented with sodium pyruvate, sodium bicarbonate and non-essential amino acids.

Detection of Cell Death by Trypan Blue Assay: After treatment, medium was removed and cells were washed in 1X PBS. Cells were then harvested by trypsinization with Trypsin/EDTA for ~5 min at 37°C. Because some apoptotic cells detached from the culture substratum into the medium, these cells were also collected by centrifugation of the medium at 1400 RPM for 5 min. The pooled cell pellets were resuspended and mixed with trypan blue dye. Trypan blue stain, in which blue dye-incorporating cells were scored as being dead, was performed by counting of cells using a light microscope and a hemacytometer. The number of dead cells was counted and expressed as a percentage of the total number of cells counted.
**Culture of Cells and Drug Treatments for Colony Formation Assays:** Cells were plated (250–1000 cells/well of a 6-well plate). 12 h after plating medium was removed and serum-free medium was added to the cells for 24 or 48 h as indicated. After this, the serum-free media was carefully removed and fresh media (with serum) was added. Colony formation assays were cultured for an additional 8-10 days, after which the media were removed, cells were fixed with methanol, stained with crystal violet, and counted manually.

**Immunoprecipitation and Western Blotting:** 12 hours after plating cells, they were treated with various drugs as indicated. 12, 24 or 48 h after drug treatment, cells were lysed in whole cell lysis buffer (0.5 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 1% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.02% bromophenol blue).

12 hours after plating cells, they were treated with drugs as indicated and 24 h after drug treatment, cells were scraped in CHAPS buffer (10mM HEPES, 140mM NaCl, 1% CHAPS) and then active BAK, active BAX or Mcl-1 was immunoprecipitated. Samples were boiled for 10 min in whole cell lysis buffer. All samples were then loaded on 8%-16% Criterion pre-cast gels (BIORAD) after normalizing total protein and run for about 2 hours. Proteins were then electrophoretically transferred onto 0.22μm nitrocellulose membranes and immunoblotted with various primary antibodies as indicated.

**Virus Infections:** Cells were infected 12h after plating with adenoviruses at an approximate multiplicity of infection of 30 for 4 h with gentle rocking, after which time the media was replaced. Cells were further incubated for 24 h to ensure adequate expression of transduced gene products before drug exposures.
Transfection of Cells with Small Interfering RNA Molecules: RNA interference for down-regulating the expression of various molecules was performed using validated target sequences designed by Qiagen. For transfection, 20-40 nM concentration of the annealed siRNA-targeting Mcl-1, Bcl-xl or Bcl-2, or the negative control (a "scrambled" sequence with no significant homology to any known gene sequences from mouse, rat, or human cell lines) were used. The siRNA molecules were transfected into cells according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were cultured for 24 h after transfection before any additional experimentation.

Cell Fractionation: 12h after plating cells, they were treated with drugs as indicated. 12 or 24 h later, medium from plates was aspirated and cells were scraped in buffer (75mM NaCl+8mMNa2HPO4+1mMNa2H2PO4+0.5mMEDTA+ 0.5mMEGTA with freshly added 350ug/ml digitonin, 250mM sucrose, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche)) and passed through a 25 gauge needle 10 times. After 15 to 30 minutes on ice, cells were spun down at 5000RPM for 1.5 minutes at 4°C to remove cell debris. Pellet was discarded and supernatant was transferred to a new tube and spun down at 13000 RPM for 25 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant obtained is the cytosolic fraction where as the pellet is the mitochondrial fraction. Whole cell lysis buffer was added to the supernatant and the pellet, boiled for 10 minutes and then western blot analysis was performed. This protocol was adapted from Leist M, et. al. (1998).

CD95 cell surface localization: Cells were washed once in DPBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in DPBS. Slides were washed again in DPBS and placed in a humidified chamber. Blocking solution (1% bovine serum albumin and 2% rat serum in DPBS) was
added to the cells for 1 hour at room temperature. 1:100 diluted CD95 antibody was then added to the cells that were then placed in a humidified chamber at 4 degrees Celsius overnight. The next day, cells were washed once in DPBS and secondary antibody (R-488) was added diluted 1:300 for an hour. Cells were then washed in DPBS and vectashield with Dapi stain was added to the cells which were then cover-slipped and read at 100X magnification.

**DAPI staining:** Cells were washed once with DPBS and fixed for 20 minutes with 4% paraformaldehyde (in PBS). Chamber covers were removed from the slides and cells were washed in DPBS once. Mounting media containing DAPI staining was added to cells and slides were coverslimped.
D. Results:

**Effect of sorafenib + vorinostat on cell survival and death:** Colony formation assays show that sorafenib and vorinostat act synergistically in Panc1 cells to reduced cell survival (Table 1). Trypan blue analysis also showed that sorafenib and vorinostat combination can induce significantly higher levels of cell death compared to vehicle or individual drug treatments in Panc1 cells (Figure 30), HEP3B cells (Figure 31) and also in HEPG2 cells (Figure 32).

**CD95 pathway in sorafenib + vorinostat induced death:** We wanted to confirm previous studies that showed that sorafenib and vorinostat mediated toxicity occurred via CD95 activation (Zhang G, et. al. 2008; Park MA, et. al. 2008). Knock-down of CD95 using siRNA protected Panc1 cells from sorafenib and vorinostat induced cell death as determined by trypan blue assay (Figure 33).

c-FLIP-s is a negative regulator of the CD95 pathway and hence, we wanted to investigate whether over-expression of c-FLIP-s would protect cells from sorafenib and vorinostat mediated toxicity (Krueger A, et. al. 2001). Results showed that over-expression of c-FLIP-s protected Panc1 cells from sorafenib and vorinostat induced cell death as determined by trypan blue assay (Figure 34). DAPI staining also showed that over-expression of c-FLIP-s protected cells from sorafenib and vorinostat induced DNA fragmentation (Figure 35).

**Effect of sorafenib + sodium valproate on cell survival and death:** We wanted to determine whether sorafenib combined with sodium valproate (also a HDACI) would also act synergistically to kill cancer cells. For all short term cell viability experiments, we used
1mM dose of sodium valproate which is a clinically relevant dose (Ueshima S, et al. 2008). Colony formation assays showed that sorafenib and sodium valproate act synergistically in Panc1 and HEP3B cells to reduced cell survival (Table 1, 2). Trypan blue analysis also showed that sorafenib and sodium valproate in combination can induce significantly higher levels of cell death compared to vehicle or individual drug treatments in Panc1 and HEPG2 cells (Figure 36, 37).

**Mitochondrial translocation of BAX upon sorafenib+sodium valproate treatment:** We next investigated the proteins that may be involved in mediating sorafenib and sodium valproate toxicity. Cell fractionation studies showed that 12 h after treatment with sorafenib and sodium valproate combined in HEPG2 cells, BAX translocation from cytosol to mitochondrial was observed (Figure 38).

**BIM and BAK co-immunoprecipitation with MCL-1 in sorafenib + sodium valproate treated cells:** In HEPG2 cells, immunoblotting studies failed to show any significant change in Mcl-1 expression level 6 h post sorafenib and sodium valproate treatment (Figure 39). However, at this time-point, immunoprecipitation studies showed that BAK association with Mcl-1 was decreased in cells treated with the drug combination compared to vehicle treated cells (Figure 39). 12 h after drug combination treatment, total Mcl-1 expression level as well as levels of BIM and BAK associated with Mcl-1 appeared to be significantly reduced compared to vehicle treated cells (Figure 39).

**CD95 cell surface localization in sorafenib + sodium valproate treated cells:** 6 h after treating HEPG2 cells with vehicle, 3μM sorafenib alone, 1mM sodium valproate alone or the two drugs together, CD95 cell surface localization was determined (Figure 40). This
time point was chosen since previous studies have shown that sorafenib and vorinostat combined result in CD95 cell surface localization/activation as early as 6 h post drug treatment (Zhang G, et. al. 2008). We observed that 6h after drug treatment, CD95 localization at the cell surface was much greater in HEPG2 cells treated with sorafenib+sodium valproate compared to other conditions.

**Effect of obatoclax in combination with sorafenib alone:** We wanted to investigate whether inhibition of Bcl-2 family members via obatoclax would enhance cancer cell killing when combined with sorafenib alone. Obatoclax treatment resulted in significantly higher cell death compared to vehicle treated HEPG2 cells (Figure 41). Obatoclax and sorafenib combined resulted in significantly higher cell death compared to sorafenib alone at 3μM and 6μM doses of sorafenib (Figure 41). At all other doses of sorafenib tested, the presence of obatoclax did not significantly enhance cell death compared to vehicle treated cells (Figure 41).

**Effect of obatoclax in combination with vorinostat alone:** We wanted to investigate whether inhibition of Bcl-2 family members via obatoclax would enhance cancer cell killing when combined with vorinostat alone. Results showed that obatoclax alone induced significantly higher cell death in HEPG2 cells compared to vehicle treated cells (Figure 42). Increasing concentrations of vorinostat, alone or in combination with obatoclax, failed to have a significant effect on cell death compared to respective vehicle treated conditions (Figure 42).

**Effect of obatoclax in combination with sodium valproate alone:** We wanted to investigate whether inhibition of Bcl-2 family members via obatoclax would enhance
cancer cell killing when combined with sodium valproate alone. In HEPG2 cells, obatoclax showed significantly higher levels of cell death compared to vehicle treated cells (Figure 43). Increasing concentrations of sodium valproate, alone or in combination with obatoclax, failed to have a significant effect on cell death compared to respective vehicle treated conditions (Figure 43).

**Effect of obatoclax on sorafenib + sodium valproate mediated cell death:** We wanted to investigate whether inhibition of Bcl-2 family members via obatoclax would enhance cancer cell killing when administered to cells treated with sorafenib and sodium valproate simulataneuosly. Trypan blue analysis revealed that there were significant effects of sorafenib + sodium valproate treatment (at each dose combination tested) and also of obatoclax on HEPG2 cell death compared to vehicle treated cells (Figure 44). It was also found that the presence of obatoclax significantly enhanced sorafenib + sodium valproate induced cell death at each dose combination (Figure 44).

**Effect of CD95 knock-down in sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax treated cells:** Knock-down of CD95 in HEPG2 cells protected them from the effects of sorafenib and sodium valproate alone or in combination and also from obatoclax induced cell death (Figure 45). However, CD95 knock-down failed to protect HEPG2 cells from death induced by all three drugs (sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax) in combination (Figure 45).

**Effect of c-FLIP-s over-expression in sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax treated cells:** c-FLIP-s over-expression in HEPG2 cells protected them from the effects of sorafenib and sodium valproate alone or in combination and also from obatoclax induced
cell death (Figure 46). However, c-FLIP-s over-expression failed to protect HEPG2 cells from death induced by all three drugs (sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax) in combination (Figure 46).

**Effect of Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 or Bcl-2 knock-down on sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death:** To investigate whether obatoclax mediated inhibition of any one Bcl-2 family member was sufficient to enhance sorafenib+sodium valproate toxicity, HEPG2 cells were transfected with siRNA to knock-down Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 or Bcl-2 expression and then treated with vehicle or sorafenib and sodium valproate combined. Trypan blue analysis was then performed to measure cell viability. Knock-down of Bcl-xl or Bcl-2, but not Mcl-1, enhanced sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death (Figure 47).

**Effect of Bcl-xl and Bcl-2 or Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 and Bcl-2 knock-down on sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death:** HEPG2 cells were transfected with siRNA to knock-down Bcl-xl and Bcl-2 simultaneously or Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 and Bcl-2 simultaneously and then treated with vehicle or sorafenib and sodium valproate combined. Trypan blue analysis was performed to measure cell viability. Compared to siSCR treated cells, simultaneous knock-down of Bcl-xl and Bcl-2 significantly increased sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death (Figure 48). Simultaneous knock-down of Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 and Bcl-2 also significantly increased sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death compared to siSCR as well as compared to combined siBcl-xl and siBcl-2 transfected cells (Figure 48).
**Activation of BAK and BAX:** Treatment of HEPG2 cells with sorafenib and sodium valproate combined, obatoclax alone or sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax combined resulted in increased BAK and BAX activation compared to vehicle treated cells suggesting that under these conditions, BAK and BAX are available to initiate cell death pathways (Figure 49).

**Cytosolic release of cytochrome c in cells treated with sorafenib, sodium valproate and obatoclax:** Cell fractionation assays revealed that HEPG2 cells treated with sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax showed significant release of cytochrome c into the cytosol and correspondingly, a decrease in the mitochondrial levels of cytochrome c was observed under the same treatment conditions (Figure 50). These results show that cytosolic cytochrome c is available to initiate cell death pathways under this condition. Expression of c-FLIP-s appeared to prevent the release of cytochrome c in these cells under basal and sorafenib + sodium valproate treatment conditions indicating that blocking the extrinsic cell death pathway prevents release of cytochrome c under these conditions (Figure 50). However, in spite of c-FLIP-s over-expression, cytosolic release of cytochrome c was observed in cells treated with obatoclax alone or sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax combined (Figure 50). This confirms that in spite of blocking the CD95 pathway, treating cells with sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax combined can result in cytosolic cytochrome c release which is then available to activate cell death pathways.
Table 1: Sorafenib synergizes with vorinostat and with sodium valproate in Panc1 cells. 12h after plating Panc1 pancreatic cancer cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), sorafenib and sodium valproate or vorinostat, as indicated at a fixed concentration ratio to perform median dose effect analyses for the determination of synergy. After drug exposure (48h), the media was changed and cells cultured in drug free media for an additional 10-14 days. Cells were fixed, stained with crystal violet and colonies of > 50 cells / colony counted. Colony formation data were entered into the CalcuSyn program and combination index (CI) and fraction affected (Fa) values were determined. A CI value of less than 1.00 indicates synergy and larger the Fa value, the lower the survival under that condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorafenib (uM)</th>
<th>Vorinostat (nM)</th>
<th>Fa</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorafenib (uM)</th>
<th>Valproic Acid (mM)</th>
<th>Fa</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 30: Sorafenib and vorinostat combined enhance cell death in Panc1 cells. 12 h after plating Panc1 cells, they were treated with Vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib, 500nM vorinostat or 3µM sorafenib and 500nM vorinostat combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using the trypan blue exclusion assay ±S.E.M. $n=2$. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test revealed that sorafenib and vorinostat combined induced significantly higher levels of cell death ($**$, $p < 0.0001$, $n=6$) as compared to all other treatment conditions. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 31: Sorafenib and vorinostat combined enhance cell death in HEP3B cells. 12 h after plating HEP3B hepatoma cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib, 500nM vorinostat or 3µM sorafenib and 500nM vorinostat combined. 48 or 96 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using the trypan blue exclusion assay. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test revealed that sorafenib and vorinostat combined induced significantly higher levels of cell death (***, p < 0.001 at 48 h and **, p < 0.001 at 96 h, n=6) as compared to all other treatment conditions. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 32: Sorafenib and vorinostat combined enhance cell death in HEPG2 cells. 12 h after plating HEG2 hepatoma cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib, 500nM vorinostat or 3µM sorafenib and 500nM vorinostat combined. 48 or 96 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using the trypan blue exclusion assay ± S.E.M. n=2. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test revealed that sorafenib and vorinostat combined induced significantly higher levels of cell death (*, p < 0.05 at 48 h and ***, p < 0.0001 at 96 h, n=6) as compared to all other treatment conditions. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 33: Knock-down of CD95 protects Panc1 cells from sorafenib+vorinostat combined lethality. 12 h after plating Panc1 cells, they were transfected with scrambled siRNA (siSCR) or with siRNA to knock-down CD95 (siCD95). 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 6µM sorafenib, 500nM vorinostat or 3µM sorafenib and 500nM vorinostat combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using Trypan blue exclusion assay. There were significant effects of drug treatment and knock-down of CD95 on Panc1 cell death (two-way ANOVA, p<0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post-tests revealed that CD95 knock-down significantly protected against cell death in the presence of sorafenib and vorinostat combined (p < 0.001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 34: Over-expression of c-FLIP-s protects Panc1 cells from sorafenib+ vorinostat comined lethality. 12 h after plating Panc1 cells, they were infected with an empty vector recombinant adenovirus (CMV) or a virus to express c-FLIP-s. 24 h after virus infection, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 6µM sorafenib, 500nM vorinostat or 6µM sorafenib and 500nM vorinostat combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using trypan blue exclusion assay ±S.E.M. n=2. There were significant effects of drug treatment and FLIP expression on Panc1 cell death (two-way ANOVA, p<0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post-tests revealed that FLIP expression significantly protected against cell death in the presence of sorafenib or sorafenib and vorinostat combined ( p < 0.001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 35: Panc1 cells over-expressing c-FLIP-s fail to show nuclear fragmentation upon sorafenib+vorinostat combined treatment. 12 h after plating Panc1 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 6µM sorafenib, 500nM vorinostat or 6µM sorafenib and 500nM vorinostat combined. 48 h later, cells were fixed and DAPI staining was performed using mounting media containing DAPI stain. Apoptosis was measured (50 cells per condition) by expressing the nuclei with obvious DNA fragmentation as a percentage of DAPI positive nuclei (shown below each panel). Representative study images from two independent studies shown.
Table 2: Sorafenib synergizes with sodium valproate to reduce HEP3B cell survival. 12h after plating HEP3B hepatoma cells, they were treated with vehicle (VEH, DMSO) or sorafenib and sodium valproate, as indicated at a fixed concentration ratio to perform median dose effect analyses for the determination of synergy. After drug exposure (48h), the media was changed and cells cultured in drug free media for an additional 10-14 days. Cells were fixed, stained with crystal violet and colonies of > 50 cells / colony counted. Colony formation data were entered into the CalcuSyn program and combination index (CI) and fraction affected (Fa) values determined. A CI value of less than 1.00 indicates synergy and larger the Fa value, the lower the survival under that condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sor (µM)</th>
<th>Valproic (mM)</th>
<th>Fa</th>
<th>CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 36: Sorafenib and sodium valproate combined enhance cell death in Panc1 cells. 12 h after plating Panc1 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 6μM sorafenib, 1mM valproate or 6μM sorafenib and 1mM valproate combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using the trypan blue exclusion assay. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test revealed that sorafenib and valproate combined induced significantly higher levels of cell death (**, p < 0.001, n=6) as compared to all other treatment conditions. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 37: Sorafenib and sodium valproate combined enhance cell death in HEPG2 cells. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3μM sorafenib, 1mM sodium valproate or 3μM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate combined. 48h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using trypan blue exclusion assay. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test revealed that sorafenib and valproate combined induced significantly higher levels of cell death (***, p < 0.0001, n=6) as compared to all other treatment conditions. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 38: Mitochondrial translocation of BAX in HEPG2 cells treated with sorafenib+ sodium valproate combined. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or sorafenib and sodium valproate as indicated. 12 h after drug treatment, cell fractionation assay was performed to determine mitochondrial and cytosolic localization of BAX. Representative image from two independent studies shown.
Figure 39: BAK and BIM co-immunoprecipitation with Mcl-1 in sorafenib+sodium valproate combined treated HEPG2 cells. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 3µM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate as indicated. 6 h or 12 h after drug treatment, Mcl-1 was immunoprecipitated and immunoblotting was performed to determine levels of BIM or BAK co-immunoprecipitated with Mcl-1. Representative image from two independent studies shown.
**Figure 40: CD95 cell surface localization in HEPG2 cells treated with sorafenib and sodium valproate.** 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with vehicle, sorafenib, sodium valproate or sorafenib and sodium valproate combined as indicated. 6 h post drug treatment, CD95 cell surface localization was performed. Representative images from one experiment are shown (n=2). Quantitative assessment of increase in fluorescence intensity was determined from fifty cells counted over two independent experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>68.31±0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3μM Sorafenib</td>
<td>88.18±0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1mM Valproate</td>
<td>82.52±1.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3μM Sorafenib+1mM Valproate</td>
<td>244.8±0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure 41: Sorafenib dose response in HEPG2 cells with or without obatoclax.** 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with increasing concentrations of Sorafenib as indicated along with Vehicle or 100nM Obatoclax. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured via trypan blue analysis. Two way anova (n=6) shows that there is an effect of Sorafenib dose (p < 0.0001) and there is an effect of Obatoclax dose (p < 0.0001). Bonferroni post-test shows that the presence of Obatoclax causes a significant increase in cell death only under Veh (p < 0.0001) treated or 3 (p < 0.05) and 6 µM (p < 0.0001) Sorafenib doses compared to identical conditions in the absence of Obatoclax. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 42: Vorinostat dose response in HEPG2 cells with or without obatoclax. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with increasing concentrations of Vorinostat as indicated along with Vehicle or 100nM Obatoclax. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured via trypan blue analysis. Two way ANOVA (n=6) shows that there is an effect of Obatoclax dose (p < 0.0001) but no significant effect of increasing doses of Vorinostat on cell death. Bonferroni’s post-test shows that the presence of Obatoclax causes a significant increase in cell death under Vehicle treated conditions and also with all doses of Valproate (p < 0.0001) compared to identical conditions in the absence of Obatoclax. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 43: Sodium valproate dose response in HEPG2 cells with or without obatoclax. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with increasing concentrations of sodium valproate (VA) as indicated along with vehicle or 100nM obatoclax. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured via trypan blue analysis. Two way ANOVA (n=6) shows that there is an effect of obatoclax dose (p < 0.0001) but no significant effect of increasing doses of valproate on cell death. Bonferroni’s post-test shows that the presence of obatoclax causes a significant increase in cell death under vehicle treated conditions and also with all doses of valproate (p < 0.0001) compared to identical conditions in the absence of obatoclax. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 44: Cell death induced by sorafenib+sodium valproate combined in HEPG2 cells with or without obatoclax. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with Vehicle (DMSO) or various dose combinations of Sorafenib and Sodium valproate as indicated with or without 100nM Obatoclax. 48h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using trypan blue exclusion assay. There were significant effects of sorafenib + sodium valproate treatment (at each dose combination tested) and also of obatoclax on HEPG2 cell death (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001 in both cases, n=6). Bonferroni’s post-test revealed that the presence of obatoclax significantly enhanced sorafenib + sodium valproate induced cell death at each dose combination tested (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.001, *** p < 0.0001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 45: Knock-down of CD95 failed to protect HEPG2 cells from obatoclax+sorafenib+sodium valproate combined lethality. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were transfected with scrambled siRNA (siSCR) or with siRNA to knock-down CD95 (siCD95). 24 h after being transfected with siRNA, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib, 1mM valproate or 3µM sorafenib and 1mM valproate combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured in triplicate using trypan blue analysis. There were significant effects of drug treatment and knock-down of CD95 on HEPG2 cell death (two-way ANOVA, p<0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post-tests revealed that CD95 knock-down significantly protected against cell death in the presence of sorafenib and sodium valproate combined or obatoclax (p < 0.001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 46: Over-expression of c-FLIP-s failed to protect HEPG2 cells from obatoclax + sorafenib + sodium valproate combined lethality. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were infected with an empty vector recombinant adenovirus (CMV) or a virus to express c-FLIP-s. 24 h after virus infection, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib, 1mM sodium valproate or 3µM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measured using trypan blue exclusion assay. There were significant effects of drug treatment and FLIP over-expression on HEPG2 cell death (two-way ANOVA, p<0.0001, n=6). Bonferroni’s post-tests revealed that FLIP over-expression significantly protected against cell death in the presence of sorafenib (p < 0.01), sorafenib and sodium valproate combined or obatoclax (p < 0.001). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 47: Knock-down of Bcl-xl or Bcl-2, but not Mcl-1, enhanced sorafenib+sodium valproate induced cell death. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were transfected with scrambled siRNA (siSCR) or with siRNA to knock-down BclL-xl (siBcl-xl), Mcl-1 (siMcl-1) or Bcl-2 (siBcl-2). 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 3µM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate combined. 48 h after drug treatment, cell viability was measure using trypan blue assay. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post test showed that knock-down of Bcl-xl or Bcl-2, but not Mcl-1, enhanced sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death (p < 0.05). Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 48: Knock-down of Bcl-xL+Bcl-2 or Bcl-xL+Bcl-2+Mcl-1 enhanced sorafenib+sodium valproate induced cell death. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were transfected with control siRNA (siSCR), siRNA to knock-down Bcl-xL+Bcl-2 or Bcl-xL+Bcl-2+Mcl-1. 24 h later, cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO) or 3µM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate. One way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post test showed that knock-down of Bcl-xL+Bcl-2 and of Bcl-xL+Bcl-2+Mcl-1 significantly enhanced sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death (p < 0.001) compared to siSCR treated cells. Data (mean ± S.E.M.) are from two independent experiments combined.
Figure 49: BAK and BAX activation in HEPG2 cells treated with sorafenib, sodium valproate and obatoclax. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate combined, 250nM obatoclax alone or all three drugs combined. 24 h after drug treatment, cells were isolated for immunoprecipitation to determine the amount of the activate BAX and BAK. Representative images shown (n=2).
Figure 50: c-FLIP-s over-expression in HEPG2 cells prevents cytosolic cytochrome c release due to sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax. 12 h after plating HEPG2 cells, they were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 3µM sorafenib and 1mM sodium valproate combined, 250nM obatoclax alone or all three drugs combined. 24 h after drug treatment, cells were isolated for cell fractionation assay to determine cytochrome c release into the cytosol. A representative from two separate studies is shown.
D. Discussion:

We have attempted to determine whether sorafenib and sodium valproate interact to kill transformed cells, and whether obatclax mediated inhibition of mitochondrial Bcl-2 family protective proteins could enhance sorafenib + sodium valproate toxicity. The results of the present study indicate that low concentrations of sorafenib and vorinostat as well as sorafenib and sodium valproate interact in a synergistic manner to kill pancreatic and liver cancer cells in vitro. Other studies have shown that sorafenib and vorinostat combined can induce cell death via ligand independent but ceramide dependent activation of the CD95 death receptor signaling pathway (Zhang G, et. al. 2008; Park MA, et. al. 2008). The CD95 pathway can be negatively regulated by FLIP proteins that have sequence homology to caspase 8 but lack the catalytic domain and hence when FLIP is recruited to activated death receptors rather than caspases, it can block activation of the caspase cascade and the cell death cascade (Krueger A, et. al. 2001). There are several splice variants of FLIP known to exist at the mRNA levels but three isoforms of FLIP protein namely, c-FLIP-s, c-FLIP-r and c-FLIP-l have been identified (Djerbi M, et. al. 2001; Rasper DM, et. al. 1998; Golks A, et. al. 2005). This study confirms that sorafenib + vorinostat induced cell death in cancer cells is mediated via CD95 signaling and shows that sorafenib + sodium valproate induced lethality in cancer cells also involves CD95 signaling. Knock-down of CD95 or over-expression of c-FLIP-s protected tumor cells from the effects of these drug combinations. Studies have suggested similar roles of c-FLIP-s and c-FLIP-r in death receptor mediated apoptosis (Golks A, et. al. 2005). We chose to over-express c-FLIP-s rather than c-FLIP-l because studies have confirmed the
anti-apoptotic role of c-FLIP-s as it can inhibit the activation of pro-caspase 8 and thereby inhibit CD95 induced cell death (Golks A, et al. 2005). However, the role of c-FLIP-l in the activation of CD95 pathway remains controversial. Some studies suggest the c-FLIP-l is an anti-apoptotic molecule whereas other studies ascribe pro-apoptotic functions to c-FLIP-l referring to its assistance in pro-caspase 8 activation (Chang DW, et al. 2003; Micheau O, et al. 2002). Collectively, the present findings argue that pancreatic and hepatoma tumor cells are susceptible to being killed by sorafenib + HDACI exposure through a death receptor dependent mechanism.

Studies have shown that anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins namely, Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 play a role in maintaining mitochondrial integrity and prevent activation of cell death pathways (Certo M, et al. 2006; Chipuk JE and Green DR. 2008). In unstressed cells, pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family protein BAK has been shown to be sequestered primarily by Mcl-1 (Cuconati A, et al. 2003). Upon death receptor and caspase 8 activation, BH-3 only protein BID is cleaved to form truncated BID (tBID) which is known to displace BAK from the Mcl-1-BAK complex allowing BAK to perform its pro-apoptotic functions (Clohessy JG, et al. 2006). We observed that 6 h after sorafenib and sodium valproate treatment, no significant change in Mcl-1 level is observed compared to control treated cells. However, at this time point after sorafenib and sodium valproate combined treatment, a decrease in BAK association with Mcl-1 is observed suggesting that BAK is available to activate cell death pathways as early as 6 h post sorafenib and sodium valproate combined treatment. 12 h post sorafenib and sodium valproate treatment, a decrease in Mcl-1 level as well as a decrease in BIM co-immunoprecipitated with Mcl-1 in
observed. Studies have shown that in unstressed cells, BIM is sequestered by Mcl-1 and certain stressful stimuli can lead to caspase mediated degradation of Mcl-1 and this allows Mcl-1-free BIM to initiate cell death pathways (Han J, et. al. 2006).

It is known that the pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family protein BAX primarily resides in the cytosol of unstressed cells and upon certain stressful stimuli, BAX is known to translocate to the mitochondria where it can damage mitochondrial integrity and initiate cell death pathways (Murphy KM, et. al. 2000). We found that sorafenib and sodium valproate combined induce mitochondrial localization of BAX within 12 h of treatment suggesting that upon exposure to the drug combination, BAX translocates to the mitochondria in order to initiate cell death pathways.

Studies have shown that in cancer cells HDACI alone can induce CD95 activation and sorafenib and vorinostat combined can cause an increase in CD95 cell surface localization/activation 6 h post drug treatment (Insinga A, et. al. 2005; Zhang G, et. al. 2008). Our results showed that 6 h after drug treatment, neither sorafenib nor sodium valproate alone induced CD95 cell surface localization but this was observed in cells treated with both drugs at the same time suggesting that the drug combination is able to activate CD95 cell death pathway as early as 6 h after treatment. This also indicates that CD95 activation is likely involved in mediating cell death induced by sorafenib and sodium valproate combination treatment.

An inability to express death receptors or the ability to over-express dominant negative forms of death receptors has been linked to apoptosis resistance (Walsh CM, et. al. 2003; Safa AR, et. al. 2008). An additional mechanism that could block toxic death receptor
signaling is constitutive high expression levels of c-FLIP-s (Safa AR, et. al. 2008).

Increased expression of mitochondrial protective proteins such as Mcl-1 and Bcl-xl have also been implicated in mediating drug resistance in cancer cells (Martin AP, et. al. 2008; Del Poeta G, et. al. 2008). As mentioned previously, our studies show that sorafenib + HDACI combined can trigger cell death via CD95 signaling. We wanted to enhance the toxicity of sorafenib and sodium valproate combined, as well as reduce the chance of resistance development in cancer cells treated with these agents. Therefore, it was logical to combine sorafenib and sodium valproate with obatoclax, which can facilitate cell death independent of CD95 signaling, target the mitochondrial protective proteins, and according to our results, obatoclax can enhance cell death in cancer cells when combined with sorafenib and sodium valproate at all dose combinations tested. Theoretically, using these three drugs in combination would reduce the likelihood of tumor cells being resistant as well as potentially circumventing the development of drug resistant cancers. We found that while knock-down of CD95 or over-expression of c-FLIP-s, protected tumor cells from sorafenib and sodium valproate combined lethality, additional treatment of cells with obatoclax under these conditions failed to protect them from cell death. This confirmed our hypothesis that treating cancer cells with obatoclax+sorafenib+sodium valproate induces cell death that is maintained even upon blockade of CD95 signaling.

No significant effect on cell death was found upon addition of obatoclax to cells co-treated with varying doses of vorinostat or sodium valproate. The presence of obatoclax, however, caused a significant increase in cell death when combined with 3 uM and 6 uM sorafenib compared to identical conditions in the absence of obatoclax. However, cell death was
enhanced most when obatoclax was combined with sorafenib and sodium valproate simultaneously.

As mentioned previously, obatoclax is a pan-inhibitor of the protective Bcl-2 family proteins which includes Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 and Bcl-2 (Trudel S, et. al. 2007). Since obatoclax enhanced sorafenib and sodium valproate mediated cell death, we determined whether knock-down of Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 or Bcl-2 alone would be sufficient to mimic the effects of obatoclax on sorafenib and sodium valproate treated cells. siRNA mediated individual knock-down of Bcl-xl or Bcl-2, but not Mcl-1, significantly enhance sorafenib and sodium valproate induced cell death compared to control siRNA treated cells. Simultaneous knock down of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl significantly increased sorafenib + sodium valproate toxicity compared to control siRNA treated cells. Furthermore, simultaneous loss of Bcl-2, Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 expression resulted in a significant increase in sorafenib + sodium valproate induced cell death compared to control siRNA and siBcl-xl + siBcl-2 treated cells. This suggests that inhibiting more than one pro-survival Bcl-2 family protein at the same time, rather than individual Bcl-2 family members, enhances sorafenib and sodium valproate mediated lethality to a greater extent.

Studies have shown that in the presence of cellular stress, BAK and BAX change conformation, oligomerize and form pores in the outer mitochondrial membrane that allows the release of proteins from the inter-mitochondrial space that can then activate cell death pathways (Antignani A and Youle RJ. 2006). Immunoblotting studies showed that compared to vehicle conditions, treatment of cells with sorafenib + sodium valproate combined, obatoclax alone or sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax combined resulted
in increased “active” BAK and BAX proteins suggesting that in the presence of the above mentioned drug treatments, BAK and BAX are activated and hence available to initiate cell death pathways.

Upon activation, pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins BAK and BAX can lead to the release of cytochrome c from the mitochondria to cytosol (Wei MC, et. al. 2001; Desagher S, et. al. 1999). This is considered a key step in the initiation of cell death pathways as this can lead to formation of the apoptosome consisting of cytochrome c, its adaptor molecule called Apaf-1 (apoptotic protease activating factor 1) and pro-caspase 9 (Cain K, et. al. 2000). Binding of cytochrome c to Apaf-1 is thought to facilitate the binding of ATP to the apoptosome which then allows for caspase 9 activation (Adrain C, et. al. 1999). Active caspase 9 can then lead to activation of various other caspases including caspase 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 and 10 (Guerrero AD, et. al. 2008). Cells treated with sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax simultaneously show a higher level of cytochrome c release into the cytosol as compared to other treatment conditions. Over-expression of c-FLIP-s abolishes basal and sorafenib + sodium valproate induced cytosolic release of cytochrome c. However, in spite of c-FLIP-s over-expression, cytosolic release of cytochrome c is observed in cells treated with either obatoclax alone or treated with sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax combined. This indicates that cell death pathways can be activated via cytosolic release of cytochrome c in cells treated with sorafenib + sodium valproate + obatoclax in spite of loss of CD95 function mediated by c-FLIP-s over-expression.
As sorafenib+HDACI therapy is about to be explored in a phase I trial, our data suggest that the incorporation of obatoclax together with sorafenib+HDACI therapy may provide significant additional value in tumor control.

In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that sorafenib and vorinostat or sodium valproate interact in a synergistic manner to kill pancreatic and liver tumor cells in vitro via activation of CD95. These effects are magnified when Bcl-2 family protein activity is inhibited and of novelty demonstrate that loss of extrinsic pathway activation does not diminish cell death levels induced by sorafenib and sodium valproate in combination with obatoclax.
GENERAL DISCUSSION

We investigated the mechanism of lapatinib mediated cell death and lapatinib resistance in HCT 116 colon cancer cells. Lapatinib has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration to be used in the treatment for breast cancer as part of a combination therapy. Clinical trials have been planned and are underway to investigate the use of lapatinib in the treatment of other types of cancers including colorectal cancer (Clinicaltrials.gov). Hence, it is important to understand the mechanism of action of lapatinib in order to optimize its toxic effects on cancer cells and also identify other drugs that may be combined with lapatinib to enhance cancer cell killing and reduce occurrence of refractory cancers. Lapatinib has been known to cause its effects by inhibiting ERBB1 and ERBB2 tyrosine kinase receptors and their downstream signaling pathways (Wood ER, et. al. 2004; Rusnak DW, et. al. 2001). We expressed dominant negative ERBB1 and/or ERBB2 receptors in wild-type HCT116 colon cancer cells in order to mimic the effects of lapatinib and to investigate whether inhibition of these receptors was the sole mechanism of lapatinib mediated toxicity in these cells. Dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors were able to inhibit receptor activation similar to lapatinib but were unable to induce comparable levels of cell death as seen in the presence of lapatinib. It is possible that CD533 and CD572 inhibit the receptors but are unable to inhibit downstream ERK and Akt activation Hence, we determined whether an inability to inhibit ERK1/2 and Akt pro-survival signaling pathways prevented CD533 and CD572 from inducing of cell death in WT cells. However, dominant negative ERBB1 and ERBB2 receptors inhibited
ERK1/2 and Akt phosphorylation in WT cells indicating that lack of inhibition of these pro-survival signaling pathways was not the reason for lack of cell death in cells treated with CD533 and CD572. This data suggests that lapatinib mediated effects in wild-type HCT 116 cells may not solely depend on inhibition of ERBB1 and ERBB2 pathways and that possibly other targets of lapatinib are involved. Several drugs have been developed to target specific, however, over the years, studies have shown that these agents can mediate their toxicity by inhibition of other target molecules as well. For instance, sorafenib was developed as a Raf-kinase inhibitor but later studies showed that it could mediate its effects via inhibition of other kinases such as VEGFR and PDGFR (Wilhelm SM, et. al. 2008). Hence, it is possible that further studies may reveal other targets of lapatinib.

The present study showed that lapatinib induced cell death in wild-type HCT 116 cells is caspase independent and is mediated by cytosolic release of apoptosis inducing factor (AIF) from the mitochondria which is thought to initiate cell death (Penninger JM and Kroemer G. 2003). Other chemotherapeutic agents have also been known to induce cell death via AIF activation. For instance, studies show that arsenic trioxide is effective in killing human cervical cancer cells via cytosolic release of AIF (Kang YH, et. al. 2004).

Chemotherapeutic drug resistance development has been shown to be a major problem in the process of treating cancer (Kobayashi S, et. al. 2005). Initial drug treatment may kill cancer cells but often times cells may become resistant to drugs used to treat the cancer initially and also to a variety of other chemotherapeutic agents making it refractory cancers very difficult to manage (Kobayashi S, et. al. 2005). Our studies show that it is possible for lapatinib resistance to occur in colon cancer cells. This suggests that lapatinib resistance
could occur in patients and understanding the mechanism of lapatinib resistance in colon cancer cells may enable us to diagnose and treat resistance development in the clinic. It may also assist us in designing combinatorial therapy regimes in order to reduce the chances of lapatinib resistance development in cancer patients.

Other studies have shown that in breast cancer cells, lapatinib resistance can occur due to alterations in the PI3K pathway via loss-of-function mutations in the tumor suppressor PTEN, up-regulation of estrogen receptor or due to an inability of lapatinib to inhibit pro-survival ERK and Akt signaling downstream of ERBB receptors (Eichhorn PJ, et. al. 2008; Chen FL, et. al. 2008; Zhou H, et. al. 2004). However, in WT-AD cells, it was observed that inhibition estrogen receptor signaling did not restore lapatinib sensitivity in these cells suggesting that lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells was unlikely to be mediated by changes in estrogen receptor signaling. Studies performed by others in our laboratory have also shown that inhibition of ERK1/2 and/or Akt in WT-AD cells did not enhance lapatinib mediated cell death suggesting that these proteins were not responsible for lapatinib resistance in WT-AD cells (Martin AP, et. al. 2008).

In WT-AD cells, increased expression Bcl-xl, Mcl-1 and p53 proteins, decreased expression of pro-death Bax protein and decreased phosphorylation of p53 was noted. It was also found that Bax and Bak proteins were activated in lapatinib treated wild-type HCT 116 cells but not in WT-AD cells. Hence, it appears that alterations in the expression and activation of Bcl-2 family proteins are involved in mediating lapatinib resistance in HCT 116 colon cancer cells. Other studies have shown that altered expression of Bcl-2 family proteins can confer multi-drug resistance phenotype in cancer cells by dramatically
reducing toxicity mediated by commonly used therapeutic agents such as bleomycin, cisplatin and etoposide (Raffo AJ, et. al. 1995; Minn AJ, et. al. 1995). Hence, several drugs have been developed to target Bcl-2 family proteins such as obatoclax that mimics the BH-3 domain of pro-death Bcl-2 family members and can inhibit the activity of pro-survival Bcl-2 members by interacting with them (Zhang L, et. al. 2007).

Further studies will be required to investigate the effects of Bcl-2 family inhibitors on WT and WT-AD cells but it can be expected that such inhibitors will prove to be toxic to lapatinib resistant WT-AD cells. If this is the case, it may prove beneficial to combine lapatinib with a Bcl-2 family inhibitor such as obatoclax in the clinic in order to reduce the likelihood of resistance development in patients.

Our studies confirmed previous finding that multi-kinase inhibitor sorafenib and vorinostat (a histone deacetylase inhibitor or HDACI) combined toxicity is mediated via CD95 death receptor pathway (Zhang G, et. al. 2008; Park MA, et. al. 2008). We have also shown that sorafenib interacts with another HDACI named sodium valproate in a synergistic manner to kill transformed cells via a mechanism involving the CD95 death receptor pathway.

Studies have shown that HDACIs themselves can cause an induction of the CD95/CD95L system and hence cells treated with HDACI alone may also show elevated CD95 surface localization/activation (Glick RD, et. al. 1999). However, at the time-points tested, we found that CD95 cell surface localization was elevated in cells treated with sorafenib and sodium valproate combined but not in cells treated with vehicle or individual drugs.

Previous studies have shown that in cancer cells, resistance to drugs that mediate their effects via the CD95 pathway can occur due to an inability of the cells to express death
receptors or by over-expressing dominant negative forms of death receptors (Park SM, et. al. 2005; Walsh CM, et. al. 2003). Since sorafenib+HDACI mediated effects involve CD95 activation, it is possible that alterations in the CD95 signaling pathway may render cancer cells resistant to this drug combination. Hence, we wanted to combine sorafenib and sodium valproate with a third agent i.e. obatoclax. Obatoclax mediated cell death is CD95 independent and therefore combining it with sorafenib+sodium valproate may reduce chances of resistance development occurring due to alterations in the CD95 signaling pathway. Obatoclax is a BH-3 mimic and binds the BH-3 binding domain of the Bcl-2 family proteins and is known to mediate its effects in cancer cells via inhibition of Mcl-1-BAK interaction, BIM upregulation and cytochrome c release into the cytosol (Trudel S, et. al. 2007). Alterations in the Bcl-2 family proteins have also been implicated in mediating chemotherapeutic drug resistance in cancer (Martin AP, et. al. 2008) and based on previous, using obatoclax may likely reduce occurrence of resistance development in cancer cells via alterations in the Bcl-2 family proteins as well (Nguyen M, et. al. 2007). Results showed that obatoclax enhanced the toxicity of sorafenib and sodium valproate combined. Knock-down of CD95 or over-expression of c-FLIP-s (a negative regulator of the CD95 pathway) to mimic a non-functional CD95 pathway, protected cells from sorafenib+sodium valproate mediated cells death. However, no significant reduction in cell death levels was found in HEPG2 hepatoma cells treated with all three agents (i.e. sorafenib+sodium valproate+obatoclax) in spite of CD95 knock-down or over-expression of c-FLIP-s. This confirmed our hypothesis that combining sorafenib and sodium valproate
with obatoclax (which is known to mediate cell death independent of CD95 activation) maintains toxic effects in cancer cells even if the CD95 pathway is not functional.

In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that sorafenib and vorinostat or sodium valproate interact in a highly synergistic manner to kill tumor cells \textit{in vitro} via activation of CD95 pathway. These effects are magnified as well as maintained in spite of loss of CD95 death receptor pathway function when Bcl-2 family protein activity is inhibited in addition to sorafenib+sodium valproate treatment of HEPG2 liver cancer cells. This suggests that it may prove beneficial to combined sorafenib+HDACI with a Bcl-2 family inhibitor such as obatoclax in order to avoid occurrence of refractory cancers in patients.
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